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## SAND TO SEA PARADE

The first prize for the 'Sand to Sea' theme day goes to The Sands of Redcliffe! They transformed white T-shirts purchased from K-Mart with dyes, stencils, pasted on sand, shells and other nautical elements to create fantastic unique Sand to Sea shirts. Congratulations!


Winners: "The Sands of Redcliffe" (L to R) Deanna Cruickshank, Desley Strik, Paul Hendry, Thea Hobson, Annette Hendry, Janet Franklin


Second place winners "Kiwis by the Sea": Victor Kooter, Peter Daffurn, Judith Howard, Lauri Belfield


Third place winners "Neptune and mermaids": Jane Stearns, Di Emms, Leslie Watt, Russell Watt

GOLD COAST

## FIRST EVER BRIDGE FEATURE FILM

## ‘Double Dummy' - Australian Premiere

 Produced by American filmmaker John McAllister 9PM - THURSDAY $21^{\text {st }}$ FEBRUARY - INCLUDES Q\&A SESSION"Double Dummyoffers an extraordinary look at the competitive world of youth bridge and the relationships forged by the game around the world."

Join us in Broadbeach on Thursday night for an exclusive fundraiser screening of an entrancing documentary about bridge, triumph and friendship.


Film: Double Dummy
Venue: Event Cinemas Pacific Fair


Date/time: 9pm, Thursday 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Feb Runtime: 86 minutes

Event Cinemas Pacific Fair is a 10 min walk from the venue, with ample time to eat dinner before the film. Pacific Fair has many suitable dining options for those wishing to eat on site before the show.

An exclusive Q\&A with producer John McAllister will follow the film screening.

## \$20 per ticket, available from the Bridge Admin desk

Tickets also available from Liam Milne, Andy Hung or John McAllister
For more information contact Liam: 0405589491 liam.m.milne@gmail.com
All profits from this charity movie screening go to the Friends of Youth Bridge Fund which provides financial support for up-and-coming Australian youth bridge players to develop and compete.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH FIVE

Liam Milne
At the end of day one of the Open Teams the BOUGHEY squad was leading the pack with 63.89 VPs from four matches but there were six other teams who had crossed the 60 VP mark and were hot on their heels. If you kept a close eye on proceedings, you might have noticed that first place did not play second place in this match despite having not played them yet (in a Swiss format, you would normally see first and second play each other). There is a simple explanation: the draw gets decided as soon as results are available and they don't change the draw even if the standings subsequently change. Last night we had one appeal as well as a high number of director rulings (adjusted scores) from the last match yesterday - many were not decided until well after the session. Scoring corrections also contributed to teams moving up or down.
As a result, in round five the leading team actually ended up playing the team travelling eighth. I watched team 11 VAN DER VLUGT (Maurits van der Vlugt-Marshall Lewis, Kim MorrisonChris Hughes) take on team 41 BOUGHEY (Steve Boughey and his daughter Andi with Blair Fisher and his wife Liz). Van der Vlugt is a Dutchman now living in Sydney while Lewis is an American professional who spends a lot of time in Croatia. Their teammates Morrison and Hughes will be familiar names to Australian readers, while the Bougheys and Fishers are all frequent visitors from New Zealand. For clarity I will refer to the members of team BOUGHEY by their first names throughout this match.

The first three boards of this encounter were all flat. Board 1 saw

(L to R): Chris Hughes, Andi Boughey, Kim Morrison, Steve Boughey both tables get to a pushy $4 \checkmark$ contract. Lewis had forced to game with 8 points opposite a strong 1NT and left the table for your humble reporter to turn dummy's cards for van der Vlugt. Upon returning and seeing the contract hadn't yet been made, Lewis whispered to me, "He probably wishes you had been sitting there during the bidding as well." Nevertheless van der Vlugt and Andi Boughey both made their delicate contracts for an honourable push.

| Dealer: West | ¢ Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -KJ108652 |  |
| Brd 4 | -6 |  |
| Teams Qual R5 | \& A K J 6 |  |
| ¢ A J 9 8 2 |  | - 104 |
| ---- |  | - Q 9743 |
| -Q8753 |  | -AKJ 1042 |
| ¢975 |  | \& --- |
|  | ¢K7653 |  |
|  | - A |  |
|  | - 9 |  |
|  | \& Q 108432 |  |


| West | North <br> Lewis | East <br> Blair | South <br> van der Vlugt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | 10 | Pass | 14 |

This board was packed full of action and the scores were wild all around the room. The best N/S score was +990 in $40 x$, going all the way down to -1540 against $6 \times x$ and even one lonely -2000 in $60 x$ !
In comparison to all these crazy doubled contracts, the auction was quite sedate at table 11. Blair made an unusually conservative pass over 1 - I am usually an advocate of passing with length in the opponents suit but the diamonds are so strong that it seems like you have to bid here. Lewis decided to jump shift on the second round to describe his massive playing strength, and I find it hard to blame van der Vlugt for pushing to slam especially opposite what sounded like no diamond control. Lewis was heavy in playing strength but light on points so there were unexpectedly two aces missing. After leading the $\checkmark K$ for count the Fishers got the defence right with Liz dropping the $\downarrow 2$ (suit preference for hearts) and duly receiving her ruff for the second undertrick.
Going two down in a freely bid slam with no opposition bidding doesn't sound like a great score but it was worth 13 imps in the plus column this time. Morrison-Hughes did much more bidding with the E/W cards at the other table and pushed up to $5 \star$, doubled by the Bougheys. Making 12 tricks did not unduly strain Hughes and that was $+950 \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$.
On the next board, the Fishers missed a 21-point game with only an eight card fit but a bit of shape around and scored +170 for their efforts. That was another 7 imps to VAN DER VLUGT when Morrison-Hughes did well to find the game and managed an unusually large number of tricks scoring $+480 \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$.

Lewis was the star of the next deal:

| Dealer: East | ¢A96532 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AQ9 3 |  |
| Brd 6 | -102 |  |
| Teams Qual R 5 | \& K |  |
| ¢Q 74 |  | ¢ 108 |
| -1084 |  | -J75 |
| - J 86 |  | - AKQ 53 |
| \$10765 |  | \& A 32 |
|  | ¢ K J |  |
|  | - K 62 |  |
|  | -974 |  |
|  | \& Q J 984 |  |

Lewis' undiscussed 3 was a creative effort to show a good hand and it worked well to get his side to game. It was not clear that he would make it with three top losers in the minors and the spade finesse offside. Blair led the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ for count and continued with a revealing $\varangle$ Q. At trick three he switched to a low heart around to the queen and Lewis played out his king of clubs to Blair's ace. When Blair continued with a second heart, Lewis made the key investigatory move of winning in dummy and ruffing a diamond. The $\checkmark$ appeared and Lewis could now be almost certain that Blair had started with $\$$ AKQ53 based on the trick one signal and the trick two continuation of the queen. With all that plus the \&A and the Q , Blair surely would have considered the hand too strong for a Precision 1NT opening. Accordingly, Lewis played a spade

| West | North | East | South <br> Lan der Vlugt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Liz |  | Blair <br> LNT |  |
| Pass | $3-15$ | Pass |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | 9 |


(L to R): Liz Fisher Marshall Lewis, Blair Fisher, Maurits van der Vlugt to the king and ran the jack on the next round for a sparkling +420 $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$. Very few bid and made game on these cards and this was unsurprisingly good for 10 imps in.
The next board saw VAN DER VLUGT pick up another 6 imps when the Fishers failed in 3 while MorrisonHughes made an overtrick. Halfway through the match the score line was 37 to 0, but BOUGHEY were about to get on the scoreboard. After a flat board, VAN DER VLUGT lost 4 imps by failing in partscore at both tables. They lost another imp for an overtrick before this interesting signalling situation came up:

| Dealer: South Vul: None | ¢ 1054 $\bullet$ AK 7 |  | West Liz | North Lewis | East <br> Blair | South <br> van der Vlugt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 11 | -105 |  |  |  |  | Pass |  |
| Teams Qual R5 | \& AQ J 87 |  | Pass | 1NT | 2¢ Majors | 3NT |  |
| ¢ 9762 |  | ¢ A K J 8 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| -92 |  | -J8654 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 874 |  | - A 3 |  | Makea | le Contra | acts |  |
| -1042 |  | ¢ K 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | ¢ Q 3 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | ¢ |
|  | - Q 103 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -KQ962 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 965 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | 8 |

Against Lewis' 3NT Blair led the ace of spades asking for reverse attitude. Liz rightly (in my view) encouraged with the deuce and the $\Phi$ K came next. Liz had to find a second signal to help clarify the position, and she couldn't afford to pause for consideration - these hesitant spot card signals often pinpoint the problem to partner and put them under a lot of ethical pressure. Their agreement was to give reverse 'remaining count' so that's what she tried to do, contributing the $\mathbf{\$} \mathbf{~ i n ~ a n ~ e f f o r t ~ t o ~ s h o w ~ a n ~ o d d ~ n u m b e r ~ o f ~ r e m a i n i n g ~ c a r d s . ~}$
From Blair's point of view, knowing that partner was virtually broke, 1072 opposite was a definite possibility. If that was Liz's holding, he couldn't afford to play the $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{J}$ as it would crush the $\boldsymbol{\varphi} 10$ and declarer's $\boldsymbol{\varphi} 9 \mathrm{xxx}$ would become a stopper. He eventually decided to continue with the $\$ 8$ and Lewis was in with the $\$ 10$. There were some scary moments for the defence but without a 3-3 diamond break Lewis eventually had to fall back on the club finesse so still went down; - $50 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{S}$ and 3 imps out when scored up against Hughes' $2 \boldsymbol{1}$, also one down.
I think Liz could perhaps have made things easier by dropping the $\uparrow 9$ on the second round rather than the $\mathbf{~} 7$. This should clarify the entire position: firstly, by denying the $\$ 10$ as West would instead drop the 10 on the first
or second trick if they held $\$ 109 x(x)$, and secondly by making it clear that she held four spades. Why? While the $\$ 9$ would definitely suggest three cards remaining in the suit, Blair would also know that his partner would not encourage spades with only three spades to the nine, and would be certain that declarer had the ten of spades. Blair would be unlikely to go wrong after seeing the nine. If you have a better idea how to solve this one, feel free to let me know!

VAN DER VLUGT won 7 imps across the next two boards when the Fishers bid to a game with fair play that failed (Morrison-Hughes playing partscore) and Lewis-van der Vlugt played a higher-scoring $4 \checkmark$ against Steve Boughey's 3NT.

| Dealer: East | $\text { - AK } 3$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark A K Q 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 14 | - 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teams Qual R5 | \& A 762 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 75 |  | -1098 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 7642 |  | - 95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 93 |  | - Q J 6 |  | Make | Co | cts |  |
| \& 10 |  | \&Q J 985 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | ¢ 642 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | \$ |
|  | -103 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - K 10754 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K 43 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

The challenge on this final board was whether you could make 3NT or not. Across our 212 tables in the Open section, 103 bid and made game while 89 went down one or two (usually in 3NT). The remaining tables were in partscores or other 'interesting' contracts.
John McAllister found a sneaky way to bring 3NT home in his match. He declared from the North seat after West had opened a light $1 v$ in third seat. East led the top of their club sequence and was allowed to hold the first trick. They continued with a club. McAllister won with dummy's king and successfully ran the $\mathbf{~ 1 0}$ ! With eight top tricks now, declarer ran his hearts and E/W had to find the killer defence of East holding onto all their spades with West later unblocking the $₫ Q-J$ under the $₫ A-K$ in order to avoid the looming danger. East's first discard was the 10 so McAllister was good to go. He finished the hearts and played ace, king and a third spade, endplaying West into giving dummy the ninth trick with the $\forall K$. The other table failed by one trick in $3 \diamond$ so McAllister's efforts won 10 imps for his team.

In the feature match, Steve Boughey made 3NT on the nose while Marshall Lewis managed an overtrick. The final result was $44-8$ in favour of the Aussies (with American support). With seven matches left to play VAN DER VLUGT was now up to second, just 0.23 off the lead.

# TABLE COUNT <br> TO THE END OF PLAY WEDNESAY NIGHT 6124 (Last Year 2018: 6040, and in 2017: 6039) 


AN EVERYDAY ESCAPE
OASIS


Fourth place "Jolly Jellies": Tim Rigter, Charlotte Jager, Rachelle van Heuven, Anna Kalma


Special award: Mermaid and Beach Box Abigail Wanigaratne, Ann Mellings

Come and see us at the Gold Coast Congress 2019

VISIT THE TBIB TEAM AT TBIB's KIOSK IN THE FOYER AT THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS ON THE

Monday $18^{\text {th }}$ February TILL Friday 22 $^{\text {nd }}$ February, 2019.

The team can talk to you about ABF Travel Insurance or any of your insurance matters right there at the GCC
You can talk to us about

- Travel insurance
- Home and contents and motor vehicle insurance
- Insurance for your business
- Cover for your investment or commercial property and,
- Insurance for your Bridge Club


## Try your luck at the TBIB Prize Wheel

Each day, 5 names will be drawn from the congress register and those 5 will get a chance to spin the TBIB Prize Wheel to win Bridge gift vouchers and a bunch of TBIB goodies. Don't miss out!

## TOOWONG SQUAD MAKES A BIG JUMP

## Brent Manley

After four rounds of qualifying in the Novice Teams, the foursome captained by Rhonda Henry was in 18th place and looking to move up. Henry was joined by Arjen Draaisma, Margot Harris and Karen Sweep. The players are all members of the Toowong Bridge Club. With 900 members, it is one of the largest clubs in Queensland.

The Henry team represented Toowong well, defeating a Sunshine Coast team captained by Gary Petterson 488. Petterson was playing with Laurie Bell, Peter Logan and Annette Moss.

On the first two boards, Petterson took an 8-1 lead with a non-vulnerable game swing of 7IMPs and an overtrick IMP. This board started a rally for the Henry team.

| Dealer: South | - 1072 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - 642 |  |
| Brd 3 | - J 943 |  |
| Teams Qual R5 | \& 543 |  |
| -64 |  | ¢ J 83 |
| -KJ985 |  | -1073 |
| - 865 |  | - A Q 102 |
| \& AK 7 |  | \& J 96 |
|  | - AKQ95 |  |
|  | - $A Q$ |  |
|  | - K 7 |  |
|  | ¢Q1082 |  |


| West | North | East | South <br> Moss <br> Sweep |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Logan | Henry <br> $2 \mathbf{\$ 1 ~}^{19+}$ |  |  |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | 1 | - | NT |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\oplus$ |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

Moss started with the 4 , continuing with the king and the seven to partner's jack and declarer's queen. The 3-2 trump break meant Henry had her eight tricks via five spades, two clubs and the 『A.

Plus 110 was a 5 -IMP gain because North-South at the other table were two down in 4ه for minus 100.

The next board looked like it might be a big swing for the Petterson team, but it didn't work out that way.

| Dealer: West | - Q |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - K J 108652 |  | Moss | Sweep | Logan | Henry |  |
| Brd 4 | - 6 |  | Pass | 10 | Pass | $1 \stackrel{ }{0}$ |  |
| Teams Qual R5 | \& AKJ 6 |  | Pass | 30 | Pass | 4 |  |
| - AJ982 |  | -104 | All Pa |  |  |  |  |
| - --- |  | - Q 9743 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Q8753 |  | - AKJ 1042 |  | Make | Con | acts |  |
| \& 975 |  | \& --- | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ K 7653 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | * |
|  | - A |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -9 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q 108432 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

This looked like a contract with excellent potential - until trick three, that is. Logan started with two high diamonds, Sweep ruffing the second. Gloom settled in when she played a trump to dummy's ace and Moss discarded a low club. The nasty trump split was bad enough, but Logan had some good spots to go with his five to the queen. Sweep gave it a good try, but she finished with only seven tricks for minus 300.

Sweep's spirits were raised when her teammates returned to compare and they got to board 4.

Draaisma held the East hand and bid $2 \checkmark$ when North opened $1 \diamond$. South bid 2 and West bid $3 \diamond$, followed by a pass from North. Draaisma jumped to $5 \downarrow$, which was followed by two passes and a double from North.
South led the $\vee$ A, ruffed in dummy. Draaisma could not remember the exact details of the play, but he ended up with an overtrick for plus 950 . There appear to be multiple ways to get to 12 tricks on the lie of the cards, all of them starting with a ruff of the opening heart lead. One way to do it, although a bit double dummy, is to pick up the opposing trumps in one round and play a low spade to dummy's ace. When the $Q \mathbf{Q}$ drops, declarer need only play a low spade to his 10 and South's king. He would then have plenty of winners: three spade tricks and the crossruff of hearts and clubs. Plus 950 was a 12-IMP swing for the Henry team. They picked up another 10 IMPs on the next board when Draaisma managed 10 tricks in $4 \checkmark$ at one table while Logan was unlucky to go one off in the same contract at the other table.
On this board, Sweep played well to land a 3NT contract, good for 7 IMPs because the contract at the other table was 4 making with an overtrick for plus 150.

| Dealer: West <br> Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ Q } \\ & \bullet K \text { Q } 9 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Moss | North Sweep | East Logan | South Henry |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 8 | -KJ4 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |  |
| Teams Qual R5 | \& AJ9832 |  | Pass | 1NT | Pass | 2 |  |
| -A 1043 |  | ¢ J 752 | Pass | 2 | Pass | 3 |  |
| - 875 |  | - AJ 1063 | Pass | 3NT | All Pa |  |  |
| -1092 |  | - -- | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& Q 104 |  | \& K 765 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | - K 986 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | - 42 |  | 1 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q 87653 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | - |
|  | \& --- |  | - | 1 | - | - | 4 |

Logan led the $\mathbf{~} 6$ to Sweep's 9 . She thought things over briefly before putting the $\mathbf{Q Q}$ on the table. Moss won the $\boldsymbol{\Phi} A$ and returned a heart to the queen and ace. Logan exited with the $\mathbf{V}$. Sweep discarded a spade from dummy and won in hand. She carefully cashed the \&A to discard dummy's other spade loser before cashing her seven diamond tricks for plus 460 . The score at that point was $34-8$ for Henry. The team outscored their opponents $14-0$ over the final three boards to seal the victory. The IMP score converted to 18.41 victory points, enough to move the Henry squad from $18^{\text {th }}$ to $7^{\text {th }}$ place.



FOUR SEMINAL LESSONS from Paul and Ishmael. Ish is one of the best players in the world, with many major titles to his credit. These days he is living in Las Vegas. But, most importantly, he is also an entertaining and engaging teacher.
There will also be a special guest presentation from Nevena Djurovic, who is one of this country's top players and teachers.

## Two fun bridge events

The bridge is fun and friendly with players from all around the country. Only one 22-board duplicate session per day, leaving plenty of time to enjoy all the wonderful things about Noosa.
Good partners available. The bridge venue is the Tewantin Bowls Club, right next door to the Ivory Palms Resort.

## Noosa lessons are different

The aim of the lessons is to raise your bridge to a new level. This is done by focussing on core topics that enhance understanding rather than the latest gadgets, which might come and go.
You will be sent a lesson-primer and video, well before you get to Noosa to help you to hit the ground running.
The week finishes with a reinforcement session where you play 8 deals that illustrate the key points of the week.
Do not delay if you plan to come - we are cutting off at 120 to ensure your complete comfort. In previous years, at another venue, we cut off at 200 and still had a waiting list. You can arrange your own accommodation or book with us.

## Excellent accommodation right next door at Ivory Palms



Downstairs in a 2-BR apartment. There is also a bathroom, laundry and kitchen. Upstairs there are 2 BRs \& 2 bathrooms.


## Andy Hung's Celebrity Speaker Seminar

We were very impressed with Andy Hung's celebrity speaker talk as the room was completely filled to capacity! Unfortunately, this meant that some of those who wanted to attend missed out and sadly we are not able to arrange for Andy to repeat his talk this week. It was amazing to see so much interest and we regret that not everyone was able to fit into the room.
However: if you wanted to come and would still like a copy of his comprehensive speaker notes "How to be a Fearsome Opponent", please leave your email address at the front office along with a $\$ 2$ coin donation to youth bridge and Andy will be able to send you an electronic copy of his notes.

## Vugraph Operators Needed

We are looking for BBO Vugraph Operators for the Open and Senior Teams Finals. If interested, please see Kim or Ray Ellaway at the office.

# Young caddy 'really, really' into bridge. 

By Brent Manley

Paddy Taylor, who is working as a caddy at the Gold Coast Congress, was introduced to bridge by his great aunt, Therese Tully, long-time head of the tournament until she handed the reins to Tim Runting last year.
By Paddy's reckoning, he was 8 or 9 years old - and he wasn't very interested. No one in his immediate family played the game, he says, and he went with Tully to a QLD Youth Fun Day bridge event somewhat reluctantly. "I never really liked it except for the free food," he says.

The 12-year-old from Brisbane has a completely different view of the game today.
"I really, really like it," he said while doing caddy chores on Wednesday after filling in the movement in a 0-50 game.
When he's not playing on Bridge Base Online, Paddy drops in for practice at the Kenmore Bridge Club in Brisbane. He also studies bridge books his great aunt gave him.
When Paddy won a $\$ 100$ gift certificate for use at Paul Lavings' the bridge store at this tournament, he spent the


Paddy Taylor and Therese Tully money on books about bridge conventions.
Says Tully, "He loves conventions."
Bridge, says Paddy, "is very strategic and exciting because you never get the same hand, ever."
On Sunday, Tully and Paddy played in the Holiday Walk-In Pairs, scoring $50.51 \%$, good for sixth place out of 19 East-West pairs. It was the first time Paddy had played in any tournament.

Because he sees thinking and memory as essential to bridge, he believes "it's a good idea to teach it in school." He says he has tried to interest some of his classmates in the game but so far has not had much success.
Still, he says, he would recommend bridge "to anyone of any age. It gets you involved with people."
Tully is pleased at the turn-around in her great nephew's view of bridge, and she's happy to see him involved with the game as a caddy. "It's good for him."
As for his bridge game, Tully says Paddy needs to improve his card play, but "he certainly has potential."


## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH SEVEN

Liam Milne
Not long before this match I heard a funny story about my esteemed colleague, bulletin editor Andy Hung. You may have heard that his celebrity speaker talk on Monday afternoon ('How to be a Fearsome Opponent') was very popular - so popular, in fact, that the organisers had to barricade off the stairway to stop the flow of eager attendees up to the conference room. Running fashionably late, Andy arrived to find that he couldn't get upstairs to give his own talk! Eventually he managed to convince the person at the bottom of the stairs that it was probably a good idea to let him in as it might please the crowd upstairs. (Oh, and by the way, if you missed out on Andy's seminar, feel free to put your email address down at the reception office along with a $\$ 2$ donation to Youth Bridge and he will send out an electronic copy of the notes to you.)
During the second day of the Open Teams qualifying my team took pity on me in my journalist role and allowed me to play a couple of matches. I sat down for this set opposite James Coutts, a fellow Kiwi flown the coop to Australia, against Kiwi youth player Brad Johnston and his countryman Peter Hall. At the other table our teammates were Nabil Edgtton and Andy Hung facing off against Anne Sommerville and Geoff Eyles, two more New Zealanders.
Our match started quietly until our opponents picked up 11 imps for staying out of mediocre slam which, among other issues, couldn't cope with a 5-0 trump split. The next board generated a fairly frequent balancing problem. Would you come back in here as East or let this one go?


You don't often get rich defending at the one level. In the above auction, many would double for takeout or bid 1NT in the passout seat, but I just wasn't feeling it. I guess l've been burnt too many times by a strong opener backing into game after you give them another chance, so I decided to pass it out and take my chances against 14. This time I got lucky that partner had a spade stack - if I had acted then North would likely have found a way to declare 2\&, a much healthier contract. Teammates brought back -200 and we beat $1 \boldsymbol{\phi}$ a trick to hold the loss on the board to 3 imps .
We won 10 imps a couple of boards later by bidding a vulnerable game that was missed at the other table before picking up a stream of single and double imp swings for overtricks and undertricks. I came close to facing a highstakes problem on one of the partscore deals, however. Imagine, like me, you double a strong 1\& opening at unfavourable vulnerability to show both majors holding A965 Q Q1052 $\leqslant$ \& KJ42 (you are free to disagree as many would). Let's say your left-hand opponent redoubles, passed back to you. If you ask, the meaning of the redouble is not firmly agreed. What would you bid?

Dealer: North
Vul: E-W
Brd 9
Teams Qual R7

- J 7
- 84
- Q 983
\&A9873
- K 10832
- 93
-K742
\& 106

West North East South

- Q 4
- AKJ76
-AJ105
\& Q 5

-A 965
- Q1052
- 6
-K J 42

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& A } 965 \\ & \bullet \text { Q } 1052 \\ & 6 \\ & \& K J 42 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |

Were you going to run? Here is the full hand. I was never given the problem when North made a good decision to pull to 1 NT , but I think I was going to pass out the redouble: partner hadn't bid a major or 1 so it sounded to me like they had a few clubs, and besides, 1\&xx doesn't fetch the game bonus. Here's where a bit of knowledge of the scoring table helps - they only get 230 if they make it (plus 200 per overtrick), quite a fair price to pay if a) you are going for a number if you play the hand and b) you have a chance of beating their contract and getting a fat redoubled penalty. As it happened, South had intended his redouble to show 5-7 any shape so North did well not to test us out as they would have been -600 or -1000 if they had passed.

After North pulled to 1NT, the auction got murky and N/S ended up in 3NT. I was still convinced that partner had some clubs so I led that suit and took five quick tricks there for a rapid set; declarer finished down three for 2 imps to the good guys.
A few boards later, I missed a chance for a decisive defence:


I led a heart which went to the eight, jack and ace, and declarer returned a heart at trick two. I ducked reflexively and declarer played a diamond to the king. When declarer played their next heart, I was there with the king and had worked out by now to shift to clubs, but in the meantime, partner had to find a discard on the third heart. It looks totally normal to pitch a club and that's what he did, so now we were limited to three club tricks at most after my switch. Declarer could have made eight tricks after this defence but played safe at the end to make his contract.

What should I have done differently? Instead of waiting around, I should have jumped up at trick two and switched to ace and another club. Now partner knows to keep their clubs and we get all our tricks. Seeing as I was probably going to switch to clubs later anyway looking at the dummy, this purposeful defence is the best chance. This time, declarer can still make their contract by setting up diamonds, but you don't have to change the layout much to give declarer no winning line.
Our teammates reached 3NT which was quickly scuppered on an opening club lead, so our -90 was a 7 -imp loss with their -200. We gave away two medium swings on the last two deals to lose 19-35 (5.58-14.42 VPs). JOHNSTON climbed to the lead after this match while our team sank to eighth, but with the bulletin co-editors safely back on the bench our team bounced back in the last match of the day.


Also from this match, English visitor Jason Hackett showed off a neat piece of cardplay on this deal. East led the king of hearts which held and continued with a second heart which Hackett won with the ace. He played off the ace and king of diamonds next, carefully unblocking dummy's $\$ 9-8$ as East's queen fell. He could now play two more rounds of diamonds ending in hand, East pitching a heart and a spade and West pitching a club.

This left the following position:

| Dealer: East | - Q 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark 4$ |  |
| Brd 14 | - |  |
| Teams Qual R 7 | \% 1073 |  |
| ¢ A J 10 |  | ¢ 754 |
| $\bullet$ - |  | - J 106 |
| - |  | - |
| \& K J 96 |  | ¢ 5 |
|  | ¢ K 96 |  |
|  | - - |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ - |  |
|  | \& A Q 82 |  |

Hackett now ran the $\$ 10$ to West's jack who was endplayed for the first time. She tried the effect of the jack of spades which went around to dummy's king. A spade from the dummy was taken by West in order to exit with her third spade. But there was no escape: a club ducked around to her endplayed West for the second time in the same suit! The double endplay produced the ninth trick; N/S +400 .
There was no defence after trick two. Double dummy, the only card to beat the contract after the lead was to switch to the 4. This takes West off one of the endplays - declarer can still tuck West in once but there is no ninth trick.

## ALSACE/GERMANY EXPERIENCE

## Bridge...

 Beauchamp with David Beauchamp \& Anita Curtis

This is a tour for people who enjoy walking \& sightseeing.
Non-bridge players are welcome. The tour includes:
$\checkmark 13$ nights' accommodation in 4 star hotels: 1 night Strasbourg (France); 2 nights Colmar (France); 3 nights Freiburg (Germany - Black Forest); 1 night Baden Baden; 2 nights Rothenburg on the Tauber River; 1 night Nuremberg; 3 nights Berlin
$\checkmark$ All breakfasts; 4 dinners; welcome and farewell drinks (beer, wine, soft drinks)
$\checkmark$ Private coach transfer between cities; train from Nuremberg to Berlin
$\checkmark$ Alsace: explore Strasbourg; walk from quaint village to village (Riquewihr-Hunawihr-Ribeauville) while staying in the picturesque town of Colmar
$\checkmark$ Germany: cable cars \& walk in the Black Forest; Baden Baden|-spa town; Romantic Road towns - Rothenburg \& Dinkelsbühl; guided tours of historic Nuremberg (view castle, city walls \& Hauptmarkt) \& Berlin (view Brandenburg gate; Reichstag building; Holocaust Memorial). Entrance to Mauermuseum (Berlin Wall museum) - Checkpoint Charlie.
$\checkmark$ One session at a bridge club in Germany
$\checkmark$ Daily bridge bulletins; bridge quizzes on coach between cities; evening bridge discussions in the bar!

The cost of this tour is $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 9 0 0}$ per person LAND COST ONLY based on twin share/double accommodation, excluding airfare and travel costs before/after the tour. The single supplement is approximately $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 9 3 5}$.

The tour starts in Strasbourg, France (near the border of Germany) and finishes in Berlin.

For an Alsace/Germany 2020 itinerary and further information, contact Anita: anita@bridgewithbeauchamp.com.au or phone 0405449767 . You can see past Bridge with Beauchamp holidays on our website: www.bridgewithbeauchamp.com.au.


Letting auto-pilot take over is not normally conducive to high quality bridge. But sometimes partner's signal can help you snap out of it.
Playing matchpoints sitting in the East seat, you hear the following auction:

| DIr: North <br> Vul: Nil | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$ |  |  | West | North | East 14 | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | - Q J 10632 |  |  |  | Double | Pass | 1NT | 2 |
|  | 4 Q |  | You (E) | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
|  |  |  | - A 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | W | E | - Q98 | Contra | 2 b | South |  |
|  |  |  | - K 95 | Lead: |  |  |  |

 trick two declarer leads a diamond and partner win the ace in order to continue with the 4 confirming a doubleton.
You take the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and return the $\$ 2$ to signal for a diamond as partner ruffs. Partner dutifully follows your signal, but declarer trumps your $\leqslant \mathrm{K}$ then plays the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ around to your $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$.
With four tricks in the bag already and dummy completely dead, you naturally continue with the deluge of clubs. On the fourth club, declarer throws the $\boldsymbol{\vee}$ a and partner throws their last diamond, while on the fifth and final club declarer throws the $\vee 7$ and partner throws the $『 3$, low encouraging. What should you play in this ending?

Dlr: North
Vul: Nil


As always, we must start with what we know. Declarer is surely marked with a $6=3=1=3$ shape, so they have one heart and four trumps left. Partner has two trumps and three hearts. The top two hearts rate to be split both on the bidding and partner's failure to lead a heart.
The auto-pilot play is to play a diamond. There doesn't seem much that can go wrong, and we might promote a trump trick for partner - for example, if they are down to $\$ 10-x$ at this point. It certainly does look like declarer is trying to avoid a trump promotion.
Could it ever be wrong to play your diamond? Yes. If partner has the $\mathbb{V}$ and an unpromotable trump holding, declarer will throw their last (losing) heart on the diamond and partner will be forced to ruff with their trump trick. Turning +150 into +100 won't be a good outcome.
So, does the ending look like this in $[A]$ (play a diamond?). Or like this in $[B]$ (play a heart)?


There are plenty of clues, but partner has given you one clear signal at just the moment you need it. Their encouraging heart discard when declarer is marked with only one more heart to everyone at the table simply must show the ace. If that is the case, it can never be wrong to play a heart and might be the only way to avoid declarer throwing their 0 K away.
If partner didn't have the ace of hearts, they could make their need for a trump promotion as clear as possible by throwing a high (discouraging) heart. Even the king (denying the ace) would do the trick nicely!
Point to remember: when you aren't quite sure what is going on, conduct a quick recap on what spot cards partner has played. They are the one on your side, after all - even if it doesn't always feel like it!

## WELCOME TO NEW PLAYERS AT THE GCC

Brent Manley



## Have You Discussed? Part 6

Crazy scores during midnight games - where the beer often flows - are not unusual. One late night, the director thought one particular score was worth notice. After the TD announced that one of the competitors had managed minus 3400 , someone from the back of the room yelled, "Could you have made it on a different line of play?"

The hapless declarer in this case might well have started with one of the undisciplined weak two-bids that have gained traction with some players. Granted, they can wreak havoc, but the partnership willing to open $2 \triangleleft$ on six to the jack and a weak hand must have a high tolerance for four-digit minuses

So, have you and your partner decided on a "style" for your weak two-bids? There are pluses and minuses to both styles. If your approach is too conservative, you will miss out on opportunities to make life difficult for the opponents. If you are too aggressive, you will often find yourself in a top-or-bottom situation, and if your style is really out there, it can be tough on the partnership.

For partnerships committed to weak two-bids that can vary widely in strength and suit quality, there is the convention invented by Harold Ogust. When partner opens a weak two-bid, 2NT by responder asks for more information. Opener's responses:
3\% = minimum strength, poor suit
$3 \checkmark$ = minimum strength, good suit In the original version of this convention,
$3 \bullet$ = maximum strength, poor suit
the $3 \checkmark$ and 30 bids were reversed.
$3 \uparrow$ = maximum strength, good suit
3NT = solid suit (six to the AKQ)
You and your partner should decide what constitutes a "good" suit - perhaps two of the top three honours or three of the top five. Other items for discussion:

Can any five-card suit can be defined as "good?" Is it okay to have a four-card major suit on the side?
How about a side void? Is it okay to have a seven-card suit?
Is a new suit by responder forcing? If you play it as non-forcing, what does responder do with a strong hand and a strong suit? In such a case, responder would start with 2NT.
When the opponents start with a weak two-bid, do you and partner have agreements about how you compete? Takeout doubles, of course, will necessarily be somewhat aggressive. You can't sit back and wait for the ideal hand to come along. If you do, you will be recording lots of bad scores.

As for bidding instead of doubling, a handy tool is the Rule of 7 or 8 . When you are considering whether to bid directly over an opening two-bid, proceed with the expectation that your partner will have 7 to 8 high-card points. If your hand is worth a bid on that basis, get in there and fight. If partner has the expected high-card strength, he must be careful about raising without good reason - e.g. extra trump support and perhaps a singleton or void. A raise usually shows a bit more than 7-8 HCP.
When you have a hand good enough to be in game if partner has the expected strength, you must make a jump bid over the weak two: e.g. $2 \boldsymbol{v}-3 \boldsymbol{p}$. This tells partner that if he has the expected HCP and any kind of trump support, he should raise to game.

One final point of discussion for competing against weak two-bids: Lebensohl. Suppose your RHO opens $2 \boldsymbol{}$ and you hold \& A Q $95 \vee 76 \triangleleft$ A Q $107 \&$ A J 10. You double, of course, and partner bids $3 \diamond$. Now what? You want to at least try for 3NT with a cuebid, but what if partner has the following hand?

## ¢ 764 •J64 9876 \& Q 54

You would be way too high at $4 \diamond$. So you pass and find that partner has 46 Q J 10 K 9654 \& K 54 .
Now you're cold for game. Must you guess every time? No, you can agree that when you double a weak two-bid, a bid of 2NT by partner is a relay to 3\&. Partner plans to pass if he has five or more clubs or to bid another suit to play. Partner's 2NT shows a weak hand (0-7). A direct bid at the three level shows $8-10 \mathrm{HCP}$, allowing the, you as doubler to make an informed decision instead of guessing


Our tireless and hard working staff: Pele Rankin, Elizabeth Handley, Ray Ellaway, Barbara Hospers, Toni Bardon, Prue Dick, Kim Ellaway, Gerald Schaaf


Staff taking power naps


Tim Runting taking part in the Sand to Sea

# THE KLINGER QUIZ 

Ron Klinger
Teams: Dealer South, E/W vulnerable.

| Teams | North | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dealer: South | $\$ 10862$ |  |  |  | 1 |
| Vul: E/W | 3 | $2 \downarrow$ | $?$ |  |  |
|  | KQ98 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\&$ K 1082 |  |  |  |  |

What would you do now as North?
Solution: VALUE BID
From the final of a National Teams:

```
Dealer: South $10862
Vul: E/W - 3
    *KQ98
    &K1082
@ 7 @ J 5
\bulletAJ10985 *KQ72
* }72\mathrm{ - A 10
&AQ5 &97643
    - AKQ943
    \bullet64
    -J643
    & J
```

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| 29 | $3{ }^{1}$ | Double | 4* |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

South had no trouble making ten tricks for +420 . East might have bid $4 \cup$, which shows better support than the mere double of $3 \bullet$. As a sound two-level overcall is expected to have seven losers or fewer, East can afford 40 with a seven-loser hand. East-West would not want to be in $5 \checkmark$ doubled this time, but bidding $4 \checkmark$ might help find a useful sacrifice if West had more extreme shape.
The auction at the other table:

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 \uparrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \oplus$ | $4 \oplus$ | $5 \oplus$ | $5 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | Double All Pass |  |

The contract was one down for an 11 IMP pick-up to East-West. Don't lay all the blame on South for that $5 \mathbf{~ b i d}$. North's jump to 4 suggests a hand good in playing strength, but very weak in defence. With 1.5 quick tricks outside spades, North should describe the hand as a defensive raise, via $3 \downarrow$ or something similar.

East's 5 was courageous, but even if this was doubled and the best defence is found to collect 500, the loss would be only 2 IMPs. At pairs, losing 500 would be a calamity, but at teams that loss is tiny compared with the gain if they bid 54 .

## RonKlingerBridge.com



Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Open

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | M Ware - G Tislevoll - T Nunn - J Mill - M Thomson - H McGann |  |  |  |  | 118.26 |
| 2 | 3 | $J$ Coutts - S Harrison - N Edgtton - E Moskovsky - L Milne - A Hung |  |  |  |  | 116.68 |
| 3 | 62 | G Lee - A Currie - L Moses - N Moore |  |  |  |  | 116.44 |
| 4 | 11 | M Van Der Vlugt - M Lewis - K Morrison - C Hughes |  |  |  |  | 115.60 |
| 5 | 39 | P Jeffery - Y Li - A Liu - H Yuan |  |  |  |  | 114.06 |
| 6 | 22 | K McCallum - A Johannsson - A Brenner - D Caprera |  |  |  |  | 111.34 |
| 7 | 2 | J McAllister - S Hans - M Brown - M Whibley |  |  |  |  | 109.34 |
| 8 | 8 | D Beauchamp - J Tutty - N Van Jole - J Williams |  |  |  |  | 108.69 |
| 9 | 66 | B Johnston - P Hall - A Somerville - G Eyles |  |  |  |  | 108.61 |
| 10 | 24 | A Beck - T Kiss - E Otvosi - A Bach - M Green |  |  |  |  | 108.33 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 42 | Demarco | 105.68 | 112 | 125 | B Stacey | 78.41 |
| 12 | 50 | Badley | 105.44 | 113 | 172 | Owen | 78.40 |
| 13 | 69 | K Bailey | 103.91 | 114 | 73 | Mottram | 78.18 |
| 14 | 13 | Fischer | 103.00 | 115 | 25 | Pemberton | 78.14 |
| 15 | 19 | Giura | 102.49 | 116 | 58 | B Clarke | 77.71 |
| 16 | 23 | Carter | 101.85 | 117 | 199 | Ajzner | 77.35 |
| 17 | 9 | Lester | 101.47 | 118 | 151 | Scrivens | 77.16 |
| 18 | 10 | Cooper | 100.90 | 118 | 143 | A Young | 77.16 |
| 19 | 4 | Kanetkar | 100.69 | 120 | 157 | Mountjoy | 76.81 |
| 20 | 7 | Francis | 99.57 | 121 | 203 | Muller | 76.66 |
| 21 | 33 | Mundell | 99.55 | 122 | 196 | Hewson | 76.47 |
| 22 | 14 | Brown | 99.25 | 123 | 195 | Carson | 76.36 |
| 23 | 16 | Cheval | 99.13 | 124 | 158 | Schon | 76.25 |
| 24 | 5 | Buchen | 98.49 | 125 | 90 | Whiting | 76.13 |
| 25 | 15 | Ranson | 98.19 | 126 | 201 | Webb | 75.81 |
| 26 | 93 | Johnstone | 97.42 | 127 | 86 | Fleischer | 75.76 |
| 27 | 34 | Lockwood | 96.63 | 128 | 142 | P Watson | 75.67 |
| 28 | 44 | Klofa | 96.57 | 129 | 185 | Slutzkin | 75.64 |
| 29 | 17 | Reitzer | 96.43 | 130 | 75 | Wilks | 75.57 |
| 30 | 46 | Power | 96.29 | 131 | 186 | M Baker | 74.97 |
| 31 | 129 | O'Gorman | 96.15 | 132 | 26 | McLeish | 74.83 |
| 32 | 18 | Chen | 96.11 | 133 | 188 | Barda | 74.74 |
| 33 | 61 | Mott | 96.07 | 134 | 137 | Buckley | 74.69 |
| 34 | 60 | Dibley | 95.97 | 135 | 148 | Morris | 74.16 |
| 35 | 31 | Genc | 95.91 | 136 | 139 | Stewart | 74.13 |
| 36 | 192 | Lazar | 95.68 | 137 | 162 | Redhead | 74.07 |
| 37 | 40 | Adams | 95.47 | 138 | 68 | Bedi | 73.88 |
| 38 | 49 | Nash | 94.82 | 139 | 161 | Chandler | 73.35 |
| 39 | 134 | Fox | 94.75 | 140 | 85 | Lowry | 73.30 |
| 40 | 53 | Harrop | 94.66 | 141 | 117 | Kilvert | 72.98 |
| 41 | 150 | Pisko | 94.45 | 142 | 78 | Sheedy | 72.91 |
| 42 | 29 | Zhou | 94.18 | 143 | 138 | Thatcher | 72.62 |
| 43 | 57 | Martin | 94.08 | 144 | 146 | L Baker | 72.04 |
| 44 | 67 | Creet | 94.04 | 145 | 152 | Randall | 71.96 |
| 45 | 41 | Boughey | 93.86 | 146 | 184 | Rigano | 71.86 |
| 46 | 6 | Mullamphy | 93.71 | 147 | 159 | Homik | 71.77 |
| 47 | 12 | Hirst | 93.57 | 148 | 126 | Small | 71.58 |
| 48 | 64 | A De Luca | 93.04 | 149 | 55 | Abrams | 71.14 |
| 49 | 65 | Mayo | 92.81 | 150 | 189 | Barber | 71.00 |
| 50 | 99 | Hannan | 92.69 | 151 | 170 | White | 70.39 |
| 51 | 82 | Palmer | 92.37 | 152 | 123 | Douglas | 70.26 |
| 52 | 27 | Greenspan | 91.78 | 153 | 91 | K Smith | 69.99 |
| 53 | 105 | Harris | 91.75 | 154 | 97 | Norden | 69.66 |
| 54 | 147 | Kovacs | 91.44 | 155 | 174 | Parkin | 69.50 |
| 55 | 47 | Jackman | 91.38 | 156 | 107 | Shaw | 69.46 |
| 56 | 88 | Brookes | 91.20 | 156 | 72 | Soutter | 69.46 |
| 57 | 45 | Driscoll | 91.17 | 158 | 153 | Brahma | 69.26 |
| 58 | 20 | Dawson | 91.11 | 159 | 104 | Abbenbroek | 69.13 |
| 59 | 130 | Longmire | 91.04 | 160 | 204 | Plimmer | 69.09 |
| 60 | 32 | Jacob | 90.38 | 161 | 178 | Read | 68.90 |


| Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 61 | 156 | C Watson | 89.85 | 162 | 79 | Toon | 68.69 |
| 61 | 112 | Olsen | 89.85 | 163 | 167 | Gosney | 68.63 |
| 63 | 70 | Kempthorne | 89.70 | 164 | 115 | Thorp | 68.15 |
| 64 | 74 | Gunner | 89.60 | 165 | 177 | Athea | 68.12 |
| 65 | 51 | Bolt | 89.43 | 166 | 110 | Tredrea | 67.84 |
| 66 | 131 | Foidl | 89.38 | 166 | 54 | Bilski | 67.84 |
| 67 | 120 | McAlister | 89.22 | 168 | 121 | Levin | 67.50 |
| 68 | 28 | Robbins | 88.85 | 169 | 179 | Tucker | 67.19 |
| 69 | 108 | Moffat | 88.68 | 170 | 164 | Fraser | 67.06 |
| 70 | 89 | K Fuller | 87.98 | 171 | 183 | Sheldrake | 66.95 |
| 71 | 92 | Fallet | 87.74 | 172 | 94 | Weathered | 66.82 |
| 72 | 113 | Foreman | 87.64 | 173 | 135 | Nichols | 66.62 |
| 73 | 76 | Lisle | 87.63 | 174 | 160 | Baljet | 66.17 |
| 74 | 63 | S Clarke | 87.47 | 175 | 109 | Babiszewski | 66.15 |
| 75 | 36 | Askew | 87.42 | 176 | 116 | Valentine | 66.01 |
| 76 | 95 | Steinwedel | 87.27 | 177 | 155 | Turner | 65.93 |
| 77 | 80 | McLeod | 86.78 | 178 | 98 | Gaspar | 65.12 |
| 78 | 30 | Baron | 86.76 | 179 | 118 | Collins | 65.00 |
| 79 | 133 | Howes | 86.22 | 180 | 198 | Clifford | 64.89 |
| 80 | 140 | Cook | 86.04 | 181 | 84 | Miller | 64.70 |
| 81 | 38 | T Fuller | 85.89 | 182 | 111 | Nicholson | 64.06 |
| 82 | 35 | Thompson | 85.83 | 183 | 101 | Schoen | 64.04 |
| 83 | 128 | Tuxworth | 84.91 | 184 | 180 | Mathews | 63.42 |
| 84 | 48 | Kyburz | 84.35 | 185 | 169 | Reynolds | 62.91 |
| 85 | 208 | Hannah-Brown | 83.85 | 186 | 127 | Grayden | 62.20 |
| 86 | 187 | Pollett | 83.80 | 187 | 207 | Procel | 60.85 |
| 87 | 87 | Finikiotis | 83.79 | 188 | 102 | Darley | 60.78 |
| 88 | 211 | Early | 83.76 | 189 | 176 | I Bailey | 60.35 |
| 89 | 145 | Bonnick | 83.35 | 190 | 154 | Geare | 60.31 |
| 90 | 52 | Afflick | 82.90 | 191 | 175 | Adey | 60.09 |
| 91 | 77 | L Jeffery | 82.72 | 192 | 106 | Warnock | 59.50 |
| 92 | 182 | Delany | 82.56 | 193 | 212 | Cooney | 59.16 |
| 93 | 83 | Sheridan | 82.52 | 193 | 122 | Lorraway | 59.16 |
| 94 | 165 | Barrett | 82.45 | 195 | 149 | Brandt | 59.13 |
| 95 | 43 | Beeby | 82.20 | 196 | 141 | L Young | 56.79 |
| 96 | 166 | Watt | 82.02 | 197 | 210 | Whittle | 56.73 |
| 97 | 114 | Crockett | 81.90 | 198 | 200 | Sylvester | 55.90 |
| 98 | 37 | Hoffman | 81.25 | 199 | 193 | Matskows | 55.75 |
| 99 | 96 | Lindner | 81.07 | 200 | 119 | Allanson | 55.70 |
| 100 | 56 | Faranda | 81.04 | 201 | 205 | Spencer | 54.95 |
| 101 | 81 | Berry | 80.96 | 202 | 194 | Fawcett | 54.45 |
| 102 | 190 | Carr | 80.07 | 203 | 132 | Morrison | 51.85 |
| 103 | 163 | Fletcher | 79.62 | 204 | 209 | Orsborn | 51.47 |
| 104 | 173 | Zoia | 79.56 | 205 | 202 | J Stacey | 49.19 |
| 105 | 59 | Alexander | 79.37 | 206 | 71 | McEntegart | 46.76 |
| 106 | 168 | Cordingley | 79.13 | 207 | 197 | Woodage | 46.35 |
| 107 | 103 | Bogatie | 79.06 | 208 | 181 | Manuel | 45.80 |
| 108 | 136 | Whiddon | 78.88 | 209 | 144 | Leach | 43.93 |
| 109 | 206 | C De Luca | 78.87 | 210 | 100 | Allen | 43.47 |
| 110 | 124 | Bugler | 78.81 | 211 | 171 | Rose | 42.08 |
| 111 | 21 | Watts | 78.46 | 212 | 191 | M Smith | 39.23 |

## Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Seniors

| Place | No. | Team Members | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Z Nagy - D Middleton - D Smith - N Ewart | 115.32 |
| 2 | 4 | M Bloom - N Rosendorff - S Bock - D Zines | 104.70 |
| 3 | 7 | S Mendick - B Waters - T Marinos - P Grant | 100.25 |
| 4 | 2 | T Leibowitz - P Gill - D Stern - R Grynberg - T Moss | 95.43 |

Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Seniors

| Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 10 | Chan | 94.38 | 13 | 8 | Walters | 79.39 |
| 6 | 6 | Walsh | 92.44 | 14 | 11 | Cormack | 78.54 |
| 7 | 3 | Brightling | 88.25 | 15 | 17 | Hanson | 74.56 |
| 8 | 18 | Richards | 85.38 | 16 | 20 | Chang | 69.00 |
| 9 | 5 | Hutton | 82.46 | 17 | 16 | Rasmussen | 57.48 |
| 10 | 12 | McKinnon | 81.37 | 18 | 13 | Marker | 50.53 |
| 11 | 15 | Obenchain | 81.26 | 19 | 19 | MacAulay | 47.36 |
| 12 | 9 | Arber | 80.96 | 20 | 14 | Brown | 40.94 |

## Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Intermediate

| Place | No. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |  |
| 2 | 7 | K |
| 3 | 10 | H |
| 4 | 63 | C |
| Pl |  |  |

E Baker - K Blinco - M Holewa - D Holewa
K Hajmasi - A Michl - T Jiang - J Zhu
H Tomlinson - B Foster - J Donovan - M Johnson
C Greenwich - R Langley - P Armstrong - N Armstrong

| Place | No. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 |
| 6 | 42 |


| gley - P Armstrong - N Armstrong | 110.03 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 103.52 | 54 | 78 | Ramsund | 79.16 |
| 103.25 | 55 | 26 | Cockbill | 78.34 |
| 102.90 | 56 | 79 | Prickett | 78.07 |
| 102.51 | 57 | 97 | Lane | 76.72 |
| 100.14 | 58 | 45 | Binsted | 76.44 |
| 100.07 | 59 | 94 | Ruddell | 76.38 |
| 99.02 | 60 | 65 | Wippell | 76.36 |
| 98.16 | 61 | 27 | Giles | 76.11 |
| 97.26 | 62 | 93 | Trengove | 75.53 |
| 95.84 | 63 | 98 | Jacobs | 74.54 |
| 95.60 | 64 | 44 | Keating | 74.32 |
| 94.77 | 65 | 57 | Johnstone | 74.13 |
| 94.53 | 66 | 77 | Davis | 73.94 |
| 93.75 | 67 | 46 | Leeton | 73.74 |
| 93.39 | 68 | 86 | Moody | 73.51 |
| 92.50 | 69 | 37 | J Rossiter-Nuttall | 73.45 |
| 92.25 | 70 | 87 | JStewart | 72.93 |
| 92.23 | 71 | 71 | B Rossiter-Nuttall | 72.92 |
| 92.02 | 72 | 30 | Marsh | 71.53 |
| 91.82 | 73 | 54 | Newbery | 70.71 |
| 91.77 | 74 | 95 | D Scott | 70.52 |
| 91.63 | 75 | 99 | Deaker | 70.51 |
| 90.41 | 76 | 15 | Paris | 70.49 |
| 89.59 | 77 | 81 | Munro | 70.42 |
| 89.44 | 78 | 92 | Beckman | 70.01 |
| 87.91 | 79 | 82 | Van Kruistum | 69.97 |
| 87.52 | 80 | 83 | Hoschke | 69.63 |
| 87.28 | 81 | 91 | Simon | 67.76 |
| 87.26 | 82 | 100 | Linden | 66.36 |
| 86.09 | 83 | 24 | Raward | 66.16 |
| 85.82 | 84 | 102 | Dundas | 65.89 |
| 85.57 | 85 | 85 | Parmenter | 65.39 |
| 85.46 | 86 | 35 | Baguley | 65.26 |
| 85.25 | 87 | 84 | McMaster | 64.29 |
| 85.12 | 88 | 59 | Schmalkuche | 63.69 |
| 84.63 | 89 | 73 | Coventry | 62.63 |
| 84.59 | 90 | 96 | Harris | 61.99 |
| 83.97 | 91 | 62 | Power | 61.71 |
| 83.80 | 92 | 52 | Davidson | 60.00 |
| 83.68 | 93 | 40 | Moylan | 59.27 |
| 83.59 | 94 | 66 | Kanetkar | 589.46 |
| 83.49 | 95 | 60 | Steward | 58.07 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 47 | 50 | Williamson | 83.08 | 96 | 6 | Keeling | 56.86 |
| 48 | 61 | Bailey | 82.19 | 97 | 12 | McDonald | 56.07 |
| 49 | 101 | Kalma | 81.71 | 98 | 89 | Stretton | 55.98 |
| 50 | 22 | Fardoulys | 80.65 | 99 | 36 | M Robertson | 53.30 |
| 51 | 88 | Mietzke | 80.52 | 100 | 39 | Cooper | 52.19 |
| 52 | 64 | Zollo | 80.17 | 101 | 67 | Beckett | 47.78 |
| 53 | 41 | Stephenson | 80.13 | 102 | 72 | J Scott | 38.41 |


| Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Restricted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 38 | G Saxby - J Vickers - A Yang - S Pandya |  |  |  |  | 122.89 |
| 2 | 57 | I Argent - T Rayfield - K Meyers - K Rymer |  |  |  |  | 113.93 |
| 3 | 62 | I Bannister - F Martin - O O'Brien - C Hagen |  |  |  |  | 112.36 |
| 4 | 10 | M Stevens - S Luby - N Williams - K Murray |  |  |  |  | 108.20 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 90 | R Stuart | 107.11 | 55 | 6 | O'Neill | 77.83 |
| 6 | 7 | Chaffey | 103.43 | 56 | 26 | Cotton | 77.42 |
| 7 | 53 | Crommelin | 103.09 | 57 | 75 | Clarke | 77.37 |
| 8 | 35 | Yoffa | 101.02 | 58 | 68 | Little | 77.18 |
| 9 | 70 | Bowers | 99.57 | 59 | 19 | Riley | 77.10 |
| 10 | 40 | Teitzel | 99.45 | 60 | 24 | Hall | 75.58 |
| 11 | 84 | McBride | 99.43 | 61 | 30 | Wyeth | 75.22 |
| 12 | 20 | Morahan | 99.15 | 62 | 12 | Irving | 74.93 |
| 13 | 69 | Thillainathan | 98.06 | 63 | 63 | Nice | 74.66 |
| 14 | 18 | Gruythuysen | 97.75 | 64 | 101 | Whitehead | 74.54 |
| 15 | 54 | Goldman | 96.98 | 65 | 51 | Burns | 74.30 |
| 16 | 4 | Tracey | 96.26 | 66 | 96 | Hazlehurst | 74.26 |
| 17 | 44 | Cameron | 94.51 | 67 | 95 | Snow | 73.94 |
| 18 | 83 | Hamilton-Reen | 94.39 | 68 | 94 | Russell | 73.62 |
| 19 | 3 | Williams | 94.21 | 69 | 88 | Shannahan | 73.46 |
| 20 | 13 | Francis | 93.81 | 70 | 25 | Eather | 73.38 |
| 21 | 58 | Ledger | 93.17 | 71 | 5 | Opray | 73.24 |
| 22 | 97 | Gurney | 92.91 | 72 | 66 | Hooper | 73.03 |
| 23 | 41 | Zink | 92.11 | 73 | 28 | Murray | 72.63 |
| 24 | 52 | Garside | 91.98 | 74 | 2 | Earnshaw | 72.56 |
| 25 | 8 | Boocock | 91.51 | 75 | 14 | Munro | 72.16 |
| 26 | 43 | Henke | 91.15 | 76 | 15 | Fenaughty | 70.47 |
| 27 | 93 | Lenton | 90.86 | 77 | 42 | Gibson | 69.89 |
| 28 | 45 | Lipton | 90.37 | 78 | 85 | Mabin | 69.48 |
| 29 | 82 | Edginton | 89.77 | 79 | 81 | Innes | 69.23 |
| 30 | 99 | Mather | 89.75 | 79 | 73 | Nilsson | 69.23 |
| 31 | 17 | Hart | 88.18 | 81 | 77 | Gray | 69.20 |
| 32 | 21 | Lawson | 87.84 | 82 | 11 | Heck | 68.84 |
| 33 | 87 | Penington | 87.76 | 83 | 31 | McClintock | 68.53 |
| 34 | 92 | Tattersfield | 87.58 | 84 | 72 | Wood | 68.19 |
| 35 | 67 | Biddick | 87.56 | 85 | 60 | Reilly | 67.51 |
| 36 | 89 | Haslett | 87.44 | 86 | 49 | Crawford | 67.45 |
| 36 | 79 | Singer | 87.44 | 87 | 33 | Bartlett | 66.09 |
| 38 | 32 | J Stuart | 87.39 | 88 | 74 | Brink | 65.28 |
| 39 | 80 | Hartley | 86.64 | 89 | 65 | Tipler | 64.31 |
| 40 | 91 | Phillips | 86.48 | 90 | 50 | Meakin | 63.55 |
| 41 | 1 | Dunlop | 84.32 | 91 | 59 | Maclntosh | 62.14 |
| 42 | 64 | Houston | 83.11 | 92 | 36 | Coloper | 61.95 |
| 43 | 98 | Ward | 82.51 | 93 | 61 | Bunting | 61.79 |
| 44 | 102 | Dale | 82.23 | 94 | 9 | Treloar | 60.47 |
| 45 | 29 | Webb | 81.74 | 95 | 16 | Wright | 59.32 |
| 46 | 104 | Williams | 81.57 | 96 | 27 | Gault | 57.52 |
| 47 | 37 | Mathieson | 81.10 | 97 | 23 | Akhtar | 56.60 |


| Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Restricted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |  |
| 48 | 34 | Borthwick | 79.86 | 98 | 56 | Northey | 55.31 |  |
| 49 | 103 | Falkingham | 79.80 | 99 | 39 | Bowen-Thomas | 54.69 |  |
| 50 | 48 | Bustany | 79.32 | 100 | 78 | MacKintosh | 54.38 |  |
| 51 | 47 | Gedge | 78.22 | 101 | 76 | Sargent | 52.64 |  |
| 52 | 100 | Kooter | 78.06 | 102 | 71 | Burke | 52.05 |  |
| 53 | 22 | Egan | 78.03 | 103 | 86 | Thurairetnam | 51.03 |  |
| 54 | 46 | Brown | 78.01 | 104 | 55 | Vary | 41.74 |  |


| Qualifying Scores After 8 Rounds - Novice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |  |  |  |
| 1 | 18 | N Anderson - D Smith - J Reid - D Dwyer | 112.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 13 | D Stacey - P Nigem - G Jenkyn - R Sheldrake | 110.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 21 | D Treloar - J Vazirzadeh - R Clark - A Lawson |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97.56 |
| 4 | 10 | B Yates - N Hullah - K Barns - S Bray | 96.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |  |  |
| 5 | 24 | Grgic | 93.88 | 17 | 6 | Jackson | 75.65 |  |  |
| 6 | 1 | Coote | 92.54 | 18 | 28 | Basile | 70.84 |  |  |
| 7 | 4 | Sharp | 92.10 | 19 | 17 | Hume | 69.78 |  |  |
| 8 | 7 | Petterson | 88.19 | 20 | 3 | Van Kruistum | 68.71 |  |  |
| 9 | 22 | Dunworth | 87.80 | 21 | 19 | Burley | 68.63 |  |  |
| 10 | 11 | Howitt | 87.79 | 22 | 5 | King | 68.58 |  |  |
| 11 | 9 | Pappas | 87.67 | 23 | 23 | Duke | 67.69 |  |  |
| 12 | 2 | Colling | 87.66 | 24 | 12 | Moule | 67.16 |  |  |
| 13 | 15 | Henry | 85.84 | 25 | 8 | Noble | 63.11 |  |  |
| 14 | 16 | Sexton | 81.95 | 26 | 26 | Blake | 60.98 |  |  |
| 15 | 14 | Carter | 78.12 | 27 | 20 | Shotter | 56.59 |  |  |
| 16 | 25 | Verboeket | 76.06 | 28 | 27 | Paranthoine | 45.75 |  |  |

0-50 MP Pairs - Wednesday - Overall

| Rank | Pair | Average | Rank | Pair | Average |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Jane Clitheroe - Barbara Dillner | 60.05 | 38 | David Ting - Ming Ting | 50.71 |
| 2 | Eugene Pereira - Rex Meadowcroft | 59.57 | 39 | Les Johnson - Ludwik Tomalak | 50.36 |
| 3 | Dianne Hiles - Richard Booth | 59.18 | 40 | Sandy Carter - Susan Eason | 50.29 |
| 4 | Midge Spice - Lynda Bennion | 58.20 | 41 | Netta Stringer - Leon Lorensen | 50.09 |
| 5 | Paddy Taylor - Brian Salter | 57.46 | 42 | Donna Skoropada - Trish Clearwater | 49.44 |
| 6 | Gerry Orrin - Joy Orrin | 57.34 | 43 | Judy Hefferan - Robyn Harrison | 49.44 |
| 7 | Robyn Chippindall - Jane Whelan | 56.80 | 44 | Maurice Naftal - Sandra Naftal | 49.29 |
| 8 | June Drysdale - Phil Griffiths | 56.36 | 45 | Deborah Nilsson - Phillip Douglas | 48.86 |
| 9 | Sharon Ivany - Shelley Watson | 56.21 | 46 | Lyn Greer - Joy Fielding | 48.63 |
| 10 | Wendy Crombie - Julie Stockley | 56.07 | 47 | Denyse Stephens - Wendy Sheehan | 48.52 |
| 11 | Gwen Riordan - Kevin Riordan | 55.77 | 48 | Neil Cawthorne - Annie Vaughan | 48.47 |
| 12 | Deanna Cruickshank - Janet Franklin | 55.30 | 49 | Peter Leggo - Daphne Leggo | 48.08 |
| 13 | Annette Hendry - Paul Hendry | 55.20 | 50 | Sandrine Taillardat - Camie Mcmahon | 47.71 |
| 14 | Philip Argyris - Rob Swann | 54.78 | 51 | Gaye Clark - Eliza Hemphill | 47.64 |
| 15 | Mike Le Voi - Kathleen Le Voi | 54.54 | 52 | Robert Hogarth - Gillian Hogarth | 47.55 |
| 16 | Penny Robertson - Fiona Ferwerda | 54.22 | 53 | Graeme Schubert - Charmain Mills | 47.23 |
| 17 | Wandini Noal - Di Robinson | 54.00 | 54 | Hans Limacher - Claire Williams | 46.65 |
| 18 | Tim Angley - Eric Rowe | 53.90 | 55 | Barbara Love - Roger Love | 46.14 |
| 19 | Heather Douglas - David Douglas | 53.79 | 56 | Philip Kerr - Ange Kerr | 45.89 |
| 20 | Peter Uldrich - Di Court | 53.00 | 57 | Jann Simmonds - Claudia Gibson | 45.77 |
| 21 | Julie More - Janice Meldrum | 52.97 | 58 | Heather Mckelvie-Morris - Carmel Dwan | 45.74 |
| 22 | Vad Furminger - Marie Lincoln | 52.70 | 59 | Jenny Burchmore - Barbara Richardson | 45.57 |
| 23 | Robyn Lichter - Judy Leiba | 52.64 | 60 | lan Leach - Nina Doyle | 44.92 |


| 24 | Marcelle Goslin - Anne Birt | 52.25 | 61 | Robyn Green - Ann Smith | 44.83 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 25 | David Phillips - Cheryl Bell | 52.24 | 62 | Annie Rose - Andrew Lazar | 44.77 |
| 26 | Cia Adermann - Neil Sadler | 51.99 | 63 | Paul Barrett - Carmel Barrett | 44.48 |
| 27 | Cecelia Hains - Mimma Fazio | 51.71 | 64 | Susie Thomson - Janet Warby | 44.26 |
| 28 | Bruce Stephens - Margaret Pilgrim | 51.67 | 65 | Marie Downing - Selby Downing | 43.96 |
| 29 | Leo Friedlaender - Francine Ben-David | 51.55 | 66 | Kathryn Kelly - Jan Ford | 43.85 |
| 30 | Margaret Brown - Jan Malcolm | 51.53 | 67 | Sue Clare - Lesley Henderson | 43.63 |
| 31 | Janet Mckeough - Liz Milner | 51.31 | 68 | Hanna Majewski - Rita Van Lieshout | 43.62 |
| 32 | Upasana Shanti - Lynda Laffan | 51.11 | 69 | Vivienne Polak - Frances Burns | 42.64 |
| 33 | Carolyn North - Sandra Aring | 51.10 | 70 | Fay Wells - Sandra Conoplia | 42.03 |
| 34 | Thea Hobson - Desley Strik | 51.04 | 71 | Aggie Bowyer - Wendy Ledgerwood | 41.86 |
| 35 | lan Hammond - Frances Hammond | 50.95 | 72 | lan Gaskell - Carol Gaskell | 40.65 |
| 36 | Michelle Ajzensztat - Frank Ajzensztat | 50.74 | 73 | Heather Todd - Clare Gleeson | 38.54 |
| 37 | Dennis Lincoln - Pamela Steele | 50.74 | 74 | Rosemary Muller - John Dwyer | 38.15 |


| Holiday Pairs Event 2 Session 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Rank | N-S | Score | Rank | E-W | Score |  |
| 1 | Raymond Jones - Rita Jones | 59.91 | 1 | Maria Campbell - Rick Gaylard | 55.42 |  |
| 2 | Peter Langston - Marit Langston | 59.44 | 2 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 54.18 |  |
| 3 | Johan Roose - Judith Roose-Driver | 58.77 | 3 | Maoliosa Hawkes - Nola Daly | 53.63 |  |
| 4 | Eddie Mullin - Dianne Mullin | 57.47 | 3 | Matthew Roberts - Robert Morton | 53.63 |  |
| 5 | Raelene Kell - Shelley Mccready | 56.56 | 5 | John-Claud Farrugia - Michael Rosen | 53.39 |  |
| 6 | Jamal Rayani - Parveen Rayani | 55.74 | 6 | Christine Young - Dianne Brinkworth | 52.02 |  |
| 7 | Jeanette Chatterton - Dawn Simpson | 54.77 | 7 | Glyn Stickland - Christine Egan | 51.35 |  |
| 8 | Jim Thatcher - Carolyn Seymour | 53.70 | 8 | Paulette Bourke - Georgina Blum | 51.25 |  |
| 9 | Pat Sleat - Ray Ingielewicz | 53.07 | 9 | Vicki Lloyd - Jo-Anne Bauer | 51.10 |  |
| 10 | Val Dawson - Colleen Berry | 51.26 | 10 | Joy Wesslink - Lois Chambers | 50.79 |  |
| 11 | Pattye Laing - Jack Carson | 48.07 | 11 | Deirdre James - Graham James | 50.43 |  |
| 12 | Val Watkins - Dianne St.Ledger | 46.90 | 12 | Peggy Pang - Birgitt Bingham | 50.27 |  |
| 13 | Kathryn Kerr - David Kerr | 45.81 | 13 | Jan Doran - June Hagar | 50.13 |  |
| 14 | Merit Morgan - Adele Munro | 45.44 | 14 | Linda Dewberry - Cherie Lucas | 47.67 |  |
| 15 | Dianne Musgrave - Syl Thiebaud | 43.76 | 15 | Alan Corkhill - Anne Alexander | 47.61 |  |
| 16 | Ann Gunner - Marianna Xerri | 43.72 | 16 | Pauline Greig - Virginia Sanders | 46.16 |  |
| 17 | Paula Pettersson - David Callan | 39.64 | 17 | Robin Williams - Norma Cameron | 44.35 |  |
| 18 | Lyn Mayer - Anne Rosengren | 38.82 | 18 | Ethel Lavin - Pam Schiller | 43.39 |  |
| 19 | Graham White - Jill Featherston | 37.15 | 19 | Yvon Joseph - Christian Blum | 43.21 |  |

 congress

# Where? upstairs Cost: minimum $\$ 5$ (Free entry for youth players) Opposite Admin office will be given to ABF Friends of Youth Bridge Fund 

| Saturday $2.00-2.45 \mathrm{pm}$ | Paul Marston | Accurate slam bidding using Two over One - the 3 agreements you need to make with your partner. <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sunday } \\ 9.30-10.15 \mathrm{am} \end{gathered}$ | Joan Butts | Working with Partner: Misfits: To bid or not? It would be nice if your side has a good fit on every hand, but life is not like that. | M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Monday } \\ 12.30-1.15 \mathrm{pm} \end{gathered}$ | Andy Hung | How to be a Fearsome (annoying) Opponent in the Auction <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |
| Tuesclay 9.30-10.15am | Kate McCallum | Trick One Thinking - Declarer or Defender, what to think about! | N |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Thursday } \\ 9.30-10.15 \mathrm{am} \end{gathered}$ | William Jenner-O'Shea | Modern Weak Twos and Pre-empts. Will's talk will also provide an Introduction to IMPs Scoring \& Strategy. | N |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Thursday } \\ 2.00-2.45 \mathrm{pm} \end{gathered}$ | Laura Ginnan \& Pete Hollands | Delve into Pete and Laura's top 5 Conventions and find out why they have such a good return on investment! <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |



Kate McCallum is a full-time professional player, a sixtime World Champion as well as a teacher, mentor and partnership coach.

Kate will be speaking about one of her favourite topics for up-and -coming players, "Trick One Thinking".


Joan's Online School of Bridge is extremely popular. As the ABF National Teaching Coordinator, she trains teachers and arranges professional development programmes.


Will Jenner-O'Shea has been teaching bridge for over 10 years. He has taught hundreds of Beginners and thousands of Intermediate and Advanced players. Will has taught at most of the larger clubs in Sydney, as well as presented bridge lessons during various National Events.
He has represented Australia and won several National events.


Andy Hung is a professional bridge player and teacher who has represented Australia at both Youth and Open competitions. He has won several Australian titles and titles and is a part of the 2019 Australian Open Team to compete in the Asia Pacific and World Championships. He thoroughly enjoys teaching and coaching bridge at all levels, and regularly runs bridge holidays.


They are revolutionising the way bridge is taught through online video teaching from their website Bridge Vid: www.bridgevid.com

|  | Thursday | Friday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Venue | 21st | 22nd |
|  | February | February |

NOVICE AND ROOKIE ACTIVITIES

| Rookies Help Available | In the Playing Area Rookies Section | 2:00pm to 3:00pm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 50MPs Help Available | In the Playing Area Under 50s Section | 9:30am to 10:30am |
| Novices <br> Help Available | In the Playing Area Novices Section | $\begin{gathered} \text { 09:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 10:00am } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTMIES |  |  |
| Collection for Zephyr Education Inc. The GCC Official Charity Helping Children affected by Domestic Violence Getting Back to School | Foyer GCCEC |  |
| TBIB Daily Prize Wheel You Must be Present to Win | Foyer GCCEC |  |
| Double Dummy' - First ever bridge feature film, produced by American filmmaker John McAllister | Event Cinemas Pacific Fair | 9pm-10:30pm |
| Champagne Breakfast For Shoe Shoppers In Her Shoes | In Her Shoes Ground Floor Oasis Shopping Centre | inherSHOES <br> 8:15am <br> local \& imported shoes • bags • accessories |
| BRIDGE 'W\|DOWS'ACTM|TES |  |  |
| Hosted Bridge Widows Expedition Sirromet Winery and Tasting <br> Cost $\$ 20.00$ p.p. for return bus | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach | Depart <br> 10:45am  <br> Return Appr SIRROMET <br> 5:00pm  |
| All Golf Enquiries to <br> Geoff Nice 0407-620-373•gnice200@gmail.com |  | Thursday Friday <br> 21st 23rd <br> February February |



Youth Bridge Night at the Gold Coast Bridge Club



SOLUTION TO YESTERDAY'S SUDOKU [TOUGH]


1. Nf1 Qd6 2. e5

TODAY'S CHESS PROBLEM


| 9 | 3 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 8 | 2 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 | 8 | 4 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 5 | 6 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 3 |
| 4 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 7 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 8 | 9 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 8 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 5 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 9 | 6 | 7 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 4 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | 1 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 7 | 2 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 9 | 1 | 8 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 1 | 8 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 5 | 7 |

TODAY'S SUDOKU [HARD]

|  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 9 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 3 | 1 |
|  | 9 |  | 4 |  | 7 |  | 2 |  |
| 5 | 3 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 2 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |

White to move Solutions in the next bulletin issue

## Pianola Plus will help improve your bridge

Pianola analyses your bidding and card play and lets you replay hands with card-by-card guidance.

It's available free for all players at the GCC!
If you don't already have access to Pianola, please contact support@pianola.net

For more information about Pianola visit www.pianola.net or call 0872001352

