

## Bulletin Editor: Andy Hung $\diamond$ Co-Editors: Brent Manley, Liam Milne, David Stern Contributions to andygccbulletin@gmail.com or 0425-101-094

## Spotlight on Our Guest Speakers

The Celebrity Speaker program at the Gold Coast Congress is a trail breaking idea that Therese Tully introduced during her time as the Gold Coast Congress convenor. It has been a highly successful project since 2011 and similar programmes have since been implemented at other Australian nationals. Not only are these talks an educational program for anyone who wants to improve their bridge, but it is also a fantastic way to support Youth Bridge in Australia as each talk brings in cash for the Friends of Youth Bridge Fund.
As a former youth player myself (albeit from what feels like a long time ago!) I have greatly appreciated the opportunities and support that I have received, and I am grateful that I can now give something back as one of the speakers myself. The talks this week have yet again been very well received. There are two more talks left for this week - William Jenner-O'shea will be discussing 'Modern Preempts and IMPs Strategy' on Thursday 9:30am-10:15am and Laura Ginnan and Peter Hollands will talk about their 'Top Five Conventions' on Thursday 2:00-2:45pm. Be sure to attend to learn something new and support a great cause!



Winners of the Senior Pairs Championship: Tony Marinos and Peter Grant


## Winners of the Tuesday 0-50MP:

NS: Upasana Shanti and Lynda Laffan


Winners of the Tuesday 0-50MP:

EW: Dianne Hiles \& Richard Booth

Meet Monique and Francois Texeraud


Monique and Francois Texeraud live in France and are having a holiday in Brisbane. When they heard about the Gold Coast Congress, they decided to catch the train here to play in the Walk-ins this morning. The joy of bridge you don't have to speak the local language to play with the locals!!!


Youngest caddy at the GCC!

## THE NUMBERS GAME

| Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Open | Seniors | Intermediate, <br>  <br> Restricted | Swiss <br> Pairs | Total | Open | Seniors | Intermediate, <br>  <br> Restricted | Total |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 324 | 98 | 156 |  | $\mathbf{5 7 8}$ | 244 | 56 | 86 | $\mathbf{3 8 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 297 | 91 | 180 |  | $\mathbf{5 6 8}$ | 243 | 45 | 103 | $\mathbf{3 9 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 332 | 104 | 162 |  | $\mathbf{5 9 8}$ | 274 | 48 | 96 | $\mathbf{4 1 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 284 | 106 | 180 |  | $\mathbf{5 7 0}$ | 246 | 46 | 96 | $\mathbf{3 8 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 314 | 102 | 204 |  | $\mathbf{6 2 0}$ | 214 | 44 | 138 | $\mathbf{3 9 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 304 | 98 | 292 |  | $\mathbf{6 9 4}$ | 240 | 46 | 150 | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 264 | 94 | 282 |  | $\mathbf{6 4 0}$ | 220 | 46 | 138 | $\mathbf{4 0 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 194 | 88 | 308 | 242 | $\mathbf{8 3 2}$ | 200 | 52 | 174 | $\mathbf{4 2 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 198 | 70 | 312 | 296 | $\mathbf{8 7 6}$ | 196 | 46 | 184 | $\mathbf{4 2 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 198 | 52 | 328 | 320 | $\mathbf{8 9 8}$ | 208 | 36 | 192 | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 184 | 52 | 350 | 350 | $\mathbf{9 3 6}$ | 206 | 34 | 216 | $\mathbf{4 5 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 186 | 48 | 326 | 470 | $\mathbf{1 0 3 0}$ | 214 | 40 | 228 | $\mathbf{4 8 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 190 | 56 | 352 | 448 | $\mathbf{1 0 4 6}$ | 226 | 36 | 202 | $\mathbf{4 6 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 201 | 35 | 378 | 406 | $\mathbf{1 0 2 0}$ | 212 | 20 | 234 | $\mathbf{4 6 6}$ |

## DIVISIONAL WINNERS <br> PAIRS CHAMPIONSHIP

Best Womens
OPEN Marianne Bookallil - Jodi Tutty

## Best QLD

OPEN
SENIOR
INTERMEDIATE
RESTRICTED

NOVICE Hugh Mcalister - Diana Mcalister Randall Rusk - Andrew Dunlop Joan Jenkins - Evelyne Mander David Earnshaw - Daniel Chua Peter Goldman - Michael Main (2 $2^{\mathrm{ND}}$ ) Prizes can be collected at the office!

## Andy Hung's

## Celebrity Speaker Seminar

We were very impressed with Andy Hung's celebrity speaker talk as the room was completely filled to capacity! Unfortunately, this meant that some of those who wanted to attend missed out and sadly we are not able to arrange for Andy to repeat his talk this week. It was amazing to see so much interest and we regret that not everyone was able to fit into the room.
However: if you wanted to come and would still like a copy of his comprehensive speaker notes "How to be a Fearsome Opponent", please leave your email address at the front office along with a gold coin donation to youth bridge and Andy will be able to send you an electronic copy of his notes.

# TABLE COUNT <br> TO THE END OF PLAY TUESDAY NIGHT 4167 (Last Year 2018: 4094, and in 2017: 4032) 

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH ONE

## Liam Milne

In the opening round of the event I chose to kibitz team 14 BROWN (Vanessa Brown-Peter Hollands, Jessica Brake-Simon Hinge, with Will Jenner O'Shea-Mike Doecke sitting out) as they took on team 121 LEVIN (Don Levin-Richard Trollope, Tracey Lewis-Suzie Braithwaite, with Andy Braithwaite-Ray Thorley warming the bench).

The first board was a flat game while on the next board, Lewis-Braithwaite pushed a little too hard to $4 \checkmark$ which went one down which lost 5 imps when their teammates also failed in 1NT the other direction.

| Dealer: South | \& 42 |  | West <br> Brake | North <br> SBrathwaite Hinge | East <br> Vul: E-W | K 109752 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Jessica Brake missed an opportunity in the auction above. Given the vulnerable pre-emptive raise and the opposition bidding, it was highly likely that Hinge was looking at heart shortage. That plus an ace in the black suits would give slam excellent play, so it seems worthwhile to make some investigatory move towards $6 \downarrow$. +620 E/W was still good for 8 imps when Brown-Hollands were allowed to escape for -300 in $50 \times$ at the other table.
BROWN won two more small swings before losing their largest loss of the match when both pairs on the team bid to pushy games each direction without much in the way of points, fit or shape. Vanessa Brown was doubled and two down in 40 while Jessica Brake was one down undoubled in $4 \oplus$. The net loss was 9 imps on the board, and there was more to come:


I was surprised Hinge didn't investigate a $5-3$ spade fit here. 4 is certainly not without issues, however, and Brake had no problem bringing home 3NT on a club lead around to her king. With seven top tricks, declarer must make an extra heart trick as well as one more trick from the red suits. Brake crossed to dummy at trick two to lead the $\quad J$ and it was all over when South ducked giving declarer a couple of extra tricks in the suit. If South had covered the jack of hearts, Brake would have had to steel her nerves and lead a diamond towards dummy, risking a possible four down if the $\downarrow$ A was offside.
This result looked ok, but Don Levin and Richard Trollope had the best E/W result in the room here. They bid to the same 3NT contract (by East) but were doubled for their troubles. Fortunately for them, 3NT is extremely difficult to beat. l'll give you a taste of the double dummy analysis: if South doesn't lead a club there's only one other lead that beats the contract... the $\vee$ ! East must hold up else the diamonds run which gives South a chance to switch back to clubs. Declarer found a way to make nine tricks in 3NTx and that was 4 imps back to LEVIN.

The biggest swing of the match went to BROWN on the next board. Brake-Hinge had a murky auction to miss a playable 6 and made +660 in $3 N T$. Levin-Trollope bid slam at the other table but in the wrong strain - hearts.

Aside from one of the defenders holding MQJ82 over declarer, they set up a trick on the lead and it was all too difficult. One down was 13 imps back to BROWN who led 32-14 with five boards to play.

| Dealer: West | - KQ 32 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - J 7 |  | Brake | S Braithwaite | Hinge | Lewis |  |
| Brd 12 | -94 |  | 1\% | Pass | 10 | Pass |  |
| Teams Qual R1 | \& A Q 987 |  | $2{ }^{\circ}$ | Double | Pass | 3 |  |
| -AJ 104 |  | ¢ 975 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 863 |  | -K1054 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K 63 |  | - Q J 72 |  | Makeabl | Contrad | acts |  |
| ¢ K J |  | -102 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - 86 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | - A 92 |  | 1 | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A 1085 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
|  | ¢ 6543 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

This deal created an auction with a point of interest: what should North's delayed takeout double look like? It's likely she will hold spades, but there is one certainty: a hand that passes over 1\% and then acts later in a live auction probably has length in clubs, usually something like a $4=1=4=4$ or $4=1=3=5$. The main reason for passing the first time would be length in opener's suit, and for that reason Lewis might have bid $3 \%$ (or perhaps 2NT for the minors). As it was, she had to navigate a delicate 4-2 fit.

Upon seeing the dummy, Lewis calmly said, "Thanks, great hand!" and proceeded to make her contract! She won the heart lead and returned the suit. Hinge won and played a trump, and Lewis took the ace to ruff a heart in the dummy. Next came the king of spades to Brake's ace. If Brake could see the layout, she would have drawn declarer's trumps, but she played a heart and Lewis gratefully scored another low trump, this time in her hand. Now she finessed the queen of clubs, cashed the queen of spades and ruffed a spade before crossing back to the ace of clubs. With eight tricks in and the solitary $>10$ left, she played a spade from the dummy. When Hinge ruffed low she overruffed and gracefully conceded the rest; $+110 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{S}$.

Lewis confided in me afterwards that evoking pleasure at seeing the dummy, no matter how awful it looks, was something she has learnt over recent years. It sure beats frowning at the dummy and giving the opponents hope. Needless to see, this is something we should all try to emulate - both for partnership harmony and better scores!

A similar auction happened at the other table but Peter Hollands finished down two instead of making $3 \downarrow$. That was 7 imps to LEVIN.

| Dealer: North | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ J } 72 \\ & \bullet Q 75 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Brake | North East S Braithwaite Hinge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both |  |  | Lewis |  |  |
| Brd 13 | - AKJ 9 |  |  | 1 NT | Pass | ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ Transfer |
| Teams Qual R1 | - A 52 |  |  | Pass | $2{ }^{\circ}$ | 2 | 3 |
| - A 4 |  | - K Q 10853 | All Pass |  |  |  |
| - K 43 |  | - 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Q1073 |  | -42 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| \& 10843 |  | \& J 97 | - | 1 | - | 1 NT |
|  | -96 |  | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | - A J 1096 |  | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 85 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 |
|  | \& K Q 6 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 |

Hinge's delayed interpose created an uncommon problem for Lewis. If Hinge had passed instead, I have no doubt that Lewis was going to force to game, but her low doubleton spade may have convinced her to make a bid that wasn't forcing by anyone's standards. With 25 combined points certain, it was important to find the vulnerable game, and superior bids would have been a takeout double or a 3 cue bid to show strength and leave the decision to partner. Naturally, Suzie Braithwaite would have chosen 40 with the three-card fit for partner's suit. Defending $3 \bullet$ Brake put pressure on declarer by switching to diamonds through strength before trumps were drawn so declarer played safe and made +140.

At the other table N/S bid to game but something happened in the play and they didn't find their way home in 4V. This added 6 more unexpected imps to the tally for LEVIN. On the last board of the match BROWN picked up 2 imps by playing in a higher scoring strain to run out 35-27 winners of the first match of the event.

## ABF and the Tree of Life

Peter Cox



## Peter Cox is the Head of Marketing for the ABF and an expert writer and TV commentator on media and sports economics

In this series of columns I am going to examine the state of bridge around the world and the challenges bridge clubs face with an ageing player base, a high attrition rate and a very competitive leisure market.

However, today I am going to begin with the thee new products that the ABF is announcing at this year's Gold Coast Congress to try and bring more new players into our great sport, how to retain them through the crucial learning stage and efforts to increase the player experience.

## 1. ABF Personalised SMS Results service

The ABF has recently appointed Mark Guthrie as National Technology Officer and he has brought IT strategic expertise, energy and enthusiasm to the organisation with a comprehensive ABF Technology Strategy report for the longer term and a new service immediately.

Mark has created a text message service to your phone that will give your score and rank for the previous round and your seating position for the next round. This will save many people from having to watch the rotating screens and trying to find their name and table number.
This is a pilot program that he and Matthew McManus have worked on and tested extensively together. However, like many technology projects there may be teething problems when done on such a huge scale as the GCC as many will have experienced at sporting events or concerts with large crowds in a confined area. They ask you to register your phone number at https://tinyurl.com/GCCSMS and for your patience. If proven it will be made available free to event coordinators all round Australia. Don't forget to turn your phones back onto silent.

## 2. ABF Daily Bridge Column

Bridge columns are a threatened species in daily newspapers around the world with The New York Times cancelling theirs in 2015, The Australian having one a week by Paul Marston, Melbourne not having any and Ron Klinger being cut back from six days a week in the SMH to only two days.
As a result the ABF is very pleased to announce it will publish a subscription bridge column every day of the year. The ABF has entered a joint venture with Ron Klinger who has assembled 7 of the world's leading bridge players and journalists who will each contribute one column every week. The writers are Ron Klinger - Australia, Mike Lawrence - America, Andrew Robson - England, Barbara Travis - Australia, Barry Rigal - New York, Phillip Alder - USA and John Carruthers - Canada.

It has been a huge job to do in an extremely short period by a very hard working ABF team.
Wing Roberts has created wonderful art work with the Tree of Life to encapsulate the spirit of the venture. This concept will be used in a number of ways in the future. Mark Guthrie has provided and tested the technology, subscription and payment systems. Jane Rasmussen has the huge job of editing all the columnists and publishing the emails. Our President, Allison Stralow, has worked with Ron Klinger to provide the 7 columnists. The ABF Daily Journal will be launched at the GCC and start publishing on March 1.
Please subscribe at www.abf.com.au/Daily-Column

## 3. ABF Marketing Special Project 2019

The ABF Management Committee is implementing a new marketing approach to assist clubs to grow the number of new players and increase the retention rate of bridge beginners. The ABF will subsidise a number of bridge clubs to implement trial Facebook Advertising campaigns in metro and regional areas. I will write more about this in a future column and you are welcome to come and discuss the advertising campaign with me at the ABF Marketing Stand.

## The Tree of Life and Knowledge

The Tree of life has down the ages been a spiritual symbol of creation, good health and knowledge; all important to many bridge players. A serpent at its base protects the tree from intruders. In Wing's creation the branches represent the knowledge of the columnists and the ABF at the base protects the magical tree.


IMPROVE YOUR BRIDGE WITH THE ABF'S DAILY COLUMN

Come and see us at the Gold Coast Congress 2019

VISIT THE TBIB TEAM AT TBIB’s KIOSK IN THE FOYER AT THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS ON THE Monday $18^{\text {th }}$ February TILL Friday $\mathbf{2 2}^{\text {nd }}$ February, 2019.

The team can talk to you about ABF Travel Insurance or any of your insurance matters right there at the GCC

You can talk to us about

- Travel insurance
- Home and contents and motor vehicle insurance
- Insurance for your business
- Cover for your investment or commercial property and,
- Insurance for your Bridge Club


## Try your luck at the TBIB Prize Wheel

Each day, 5 names will be drawn from the congress register and those 5 will get a chance to spin the TBIB Prize Wheel to win Bridge gift vouchers and a bunch of TBIB goodies. Don't miss out!


## One of the younger players at the 2019 Gold Coast Congress? Come to the 4th Annual Youth Bridge Fun Night at the Gold Coast Congress



FREE entry
\&REE food
CASH prizes

* GREAT youth director (Laura Ginnan)

Date: Wednesday 20 February 2019.
Time: 7 pm (food), 7.30pm to $9: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ (bridge). Note Congress bridge finishes that day at 6.30pm

Venue: Gold Coast Bridge Club (1.2km, or 15 minutes walk, north of the GC Convention Centre). Format: Some standard bridge, some "party" bridge
Age Limit: Youth \& youth-ish players (up to 35 years) are welcome.
Interested? Please contact Jessica Brake on 0414134888 or jessicabrake@hotmail.com

## SECOND REMATCH, SAME RESULT

## Brent Manley

In the third match of the Senior Teams qualifying on Tuesday, the team leading the field - Richard Brightling, David Hoffman, Paul Lavings and Robert Krochmalik - sat down against familiar foes. Neil Ewart, a member of the Zolly Nagy team along with David Smith and David Middleton, noted that the two teams met last year in the Senior Teams qualifying and the Brightling team won big. Undaunted, Nagy and company rallied to make it to the final against Brightling and took their revenge by winning the championship.

If the Brightling team was thinking about their own payback on Tuesday, they were disappointed by the result: a 37-3 victory for Nagy.

The Nagy team got the first big swing on the second board of the match.

| Dealer: West | ¢ K Q 9854 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | ---- |  | Smith | Lavings | Ewart | Krochmalik |
| Brd 8 | - 653 |  | Pass | 19 | Pass | 2\% |
| Teams Qual R3 | \& A J 83 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | 20 |
| ¢ A J 2 |  | ¢ 103 | Pass | 3\% | Pass | 3NT |
| - Q J 876 |  | -10532 | Pass | 5\% | All Pas |  |
| -987 |  | - A J 102 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |
| \& 97 |  | \& Q 42 | - | 5 | - | 5 NT |
|  | ¢ 76 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 ¢ |
|  | - AK 94 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 - |
|  | - K Q 4 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 |
|  | \& K 1065 |  | - | 5 | - |  |

The auction revealed the light opener by Lavings, whose heart void was not an asset for a 3NT contract, making a suit contract preferable, at least in principle. Smith led the $>8$ and Ewart took the ace to return the $>J$. Krochmalik won with the $<K$, cashed the \&K and played a club to dummy's jack and Ewart's queen. There was an unavoidable spade loser, so 5\% was one down - a 10-IMP loss because North-South at the other table reached 3 NT and took 10 tricks for plus 430 on the opening lead of the $>5$.

Nagy was ahead 10-3 when this deal came along:

| Dealer: East | ¢ K Q 93 |  | West | North | East | South <br> Krochmalik |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -10532 |  | Smith | Lavings | Ewart |  |
| Brd 14 | - 632 |  |  |  | 1NT | Pass |
| Teams Qual R3 | ¢ 94 |  | $2{ }^{\text {Transfer }}$ | Pass | $2 \varnothing$ | Pass |
| ¢ J 5 |  | ¢A10764 | 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| - AKJ 76 |  | - 98 |  |  |  |  |
| -K754 |  | - A Q J |  | Makeab | e Con | cts |
| \& J 3 |  | \& K 65 | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | ¢ 82 |  | 3 | - | 3 | ¢ |
|  | - Q 4 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  | -1098 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | \& A Q 10872 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - 8 |

Krochmalik started with the fourth-best \&8. Ewart called for the jack, which held. He gave his next play some thought before cashing the $\checkmark A$ and following with the queen and jack. When Krochmalik followed with the 10, 9 and 8 , Ewart was able to overtake the $\checkmark J$ with dummy's king and cash the $\checkmark 7$. Ewart had five tricks in the bag, and when he cashed the $\downarrow$ A and $\because K$, he had the pleasure of seeing the $\bullet Q$ drop, making the jack trick number eight. The AA provided the needed ninth trick for plus 400. At the other table, East played in 3NT with the same opening lead. How the play went at the other table is not known, but the contract was two down for minus 100 and an 11-IMP loss.

The final big swing of the match came two boards later.

## Vugraph Operators Needed

We are looking for BBO Vugraph Operators for the Open and Senior Teams Finals. If interested, please see Kim or Ray Ellaway at the office.

| Dealer: East | \$ --- |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | -KJ98652 |  | Smith | Lavings | Ewart | Krochmalik |
| Brd 2 | - AKQ 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teams Qual R3 | 495 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ A K Q } 762 \\ & \bullet \text { Q } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔J } 1054 \\ & \bullet 107 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| -942 |  | -1065 |  | Makeab | le Con | cts |
| \& Q 6 |  | \& K J 104 | - | 1 | - | 1 NT |
|  | ¢ 983 |  | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | - A 4 |  | - | 6 | - | $6 \quad$ |
|  | - J 83 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 |
|  | \& A 8732 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 \% |

At one table, East-West competed in spades and ended up playing 4థ, going down three, for plus 150 to NorthSouth. At the other table, North got to $5 \bullet$, which was doubled, making for plus 850 and a 12 -IMP swing to Nagy.

## MEET DAVID CAPRERA



David Caprera, from Denver Colorado, is visiting the GCC for his first time. He is the coach of the USA U26 teams, and is a heavy supporter of Youth Bridge. He regularly writes a humorous column called "Sleeping on the couch" for his district's newsletter, and recently a compilation of these columns have been published as a book - also called "Sleeping on the couch". As most bridge players might know, playing bridge with one's spouse usually ends in a disaster. David however was interested in this idea of it (even when he has had to sleep on the couch several times) and as a result, he and his wife Anne have gone through quite a few journeys together, and this is what he had to say in the introduction of his book:
"I started playing bridge in college in the early 1970's. It is also in college where I met Anne Brenner. I have always said that it was fortunate that I did not discover duplicate until after I finished undergrad for I believe that there is serious doubt I would have made it through. Annie and I joined the ACBL in 1975 and earned our first masterpoints that summer at the Princeton N.J. YMCA. We were married in 1976. Forty-three years and a combined 27,000+ masterpoints later we are still playing as partners and still married."

As David is a heavy supporter of Youth Bridge, all of the profits he makes from this book will go back to the US junior bridge programme. Here is one of the columns from his book:
I picked up $\uparrow$ K1086 Q6 AJ42 \&AQ5 as dealer, bidding with my wife. Our 1NT opening in this position is 1416 , so I made the obvious choice.

Partner bid $2 \boldsymbol{*}$, transfer to spades and my hand, in my eyes, became huge. There are a number of "super accept" variations, but we have chosen to keep it simple and not give away information (I am not a fan of variations where opener can have three trump because it violates the Law, nor where opener patterns out because it is often better to have the unbalanced hand be described to the balanced hand, but using $2 \mathrm{M}+1$ as a relay or showing a side suit source of tricks has some appeal to me.)

We just play that a jump to 3M shows four trump and a max, over which responder can bid the next step to show a balanced hand or show shortness up the line with a slam try. We call this "BUTL," and there are ten different situations in our partnership agreements where it comes into play (e.g., after 1M-3M, Drury, etc.) So, I bid $3 \downarrow$.
Annie rebid $4 \diamond$ showing shortness and slam aspirations. Now my huge hand became humongous. ("Humongous" started as college slang in the 1960's but has now made it into Webster's and the Oxford English Dictionary.) Here is where I abused system and made it to the couch. After $4 \diamond$, I had one under the trump suit, 4* in this case, available as Last Train, a slam try without promising or denying a control in hearts. This was clearly my best call given my heart holding and my wasted $>\mathrm{J}$. But no, I bid 4NT keycard, found one keycard and then the spade queen, and bid $6 \oplus$.

Partner held ©AQJ75 4543 Q $\$ \mathrm{KJ106}$.
Oops. Before we get to the obvious problem with the contract, let's look at the auction from Annie's side of the table. After my super accept, we could make slam with as little as $\uparrow$ K432 \A2 $54324 A Q 2$ if spades are 2-2, and that is 13 HCP. Throw in a red suit king and slam is close to cold. So, a slam try is aggressive but reasonable. However, showing a singleton holding an honor is a problem, as partner is not going to value secondary honours in that suit.

I would have devalued the king of diamonds and signed off. An alternative for Annie would be to make a balanced slam try. This would have been my choice in her position with a singleton diamond king, but it's hard to know what to do with the singleton queen. If responder put her 13 HCP 's anywhere outside of the diamond suit slam is reasonable.

So. we bid to $6 \uparrow$, or Annie would say that I bid to $6 \boldsymbol{\text { . And this is where there is a difference between those who }}$ value bidding in its purity, and those who value results (the "bed sleepers" and the "couchers"). Opening leader held $\$ 943$ K 1087 K 1093 42 and the entire deal was:
After a conservative trump lead declarer can make 6 on a "double dummy reversal." Win the trump in dummy, cross to the A, ruff a diamond, cross twice more in clubs (judging that $3=5+=2+=2+$ is more likely than clubs $5-1$, particularly given the lead and no opposition bidding), to ruff two more diamonds, and finally cross in trump, pull the last one and claim 12 tricks.

I ask you. "Should bidding and making slam on these cards deserve such a sad fate as sleeping in sofa city?"



Link to the book on the bridgeshop website - http://bit.Iy/dc-couch
Price $\$ 29.95$ - paperback || \$22.99- PDF copy
A sample of a couple of chapters free to download - http://bit.ly/dc-short


## GCC 2019 Trivia Night

By Tony Treloar

What's the most sparsely populated country in the world? What African country's flag features an AK47 rifle? Who is Jorge Mario Bergoglio? These are things you don't need to know unless you come to the Gold Coast Congress Trivia night where a full house of 18 teams took their minds away from the bridge table to compete for trivia glory.
While the real winners on the night was youth bridge (all proceeds go towards helping the youth attend the Gold Coast Congress) the night was won by That's a Burger? (a team including the Open Pairs winner Stephen Fischer) by a decisive margin. Hopefully everyone had a good night regardless of their position on the scoreboard.

The trivia night has remained a popular addition to the GCC social calendar. Be sure to get in early next year. (Answers: Mongolia, Mozambique, Pope Francis)

| No | Team | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | Car Logo | Movie | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ryevitas | 10 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 48 |
| 2 | Trivial Trumpers | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 59 |
| 3 | The Swampies | 10 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 54 |
| 4 | Roger | 7 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 49 |
| 5 | Annie's Lane | 6 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 47 |
| 6 | Bridge Buddies | 7 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 45 |
| 7 | That's a Burger ? (1st) | 14 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 76 |
| 8 | Cairns Cats | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 49 |
| 9 | Splinters | 12 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 62 |
| 10 | Rushing Doctors (2nd) | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 65 |
| 11 | Lisa's Lunatics | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 58 |
| 12 | Mysterfiers (3rd) | 11 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 64 |
| 13 | Tarzan | 11 | 10 | 10.5 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 59.5 |
| 14 | Alias Smith \& Jones | 8 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 56 |
| 15 | Peninsula Mermaids | 6 | 10 | 10 | 7.5 | 10 | 5 | 48.5 |
| 16 | Finesse | 11 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 57 |
| 17 | The Jolly Jellies | 5 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 48 |
| 18 | Not So Sharp | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 57 |




Kevin Willcox signing up to the new ABF Bridge Column

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH FOUR

## Liam Milne

In the last match of day one of the Open Teams, I sat down to watch second seeds MCALLISTER (John McAllister-Sartaj Hans, Michael Whibley-Matthew Brown) take on ninth-seeded CORMACK (Jan CormackStephen Lester, Jet Liu-Jun Lei). The match started with an overtrick imp to CORMACK before this fine piece of deception by Whibley:


Whibley declared as West after 1NT-3\& (puppet)-3 (no five card major)-3NT. Cormack led the $\boldsymbol{\uparrow}$. If declarer takes this and misguesses clubs, the defence will have no problem switching to diamonds and the final result will be one down. Many tables did exactly that. Not Whibley: he ducked the $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{J}$ in both hands! The defence naturally continued spades and when they got in with the $\& Q$ they played another spade, transfixed by the trick one duck into believing that this was declarer's weak suit. Now Whibley had time to set up the ninth trick in hearts for E/W +600.

At the other table, McAllister as North doubled a Precision 1\& opening to show both majors. This backfired when it got Hans off to the wrong lead (a low heart) and declarer also used the information to pick the club suit up for E/W +660 and 2 imps to CORMACK.

| Dealer: North | - J 83 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - A J 8 |  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | Pass |
| Brd 17 | -KJ72 |  | 2 | Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| Teams Qual R4 | +432 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 652 |  | * A Q 10974 |  |  |  |  |
| -KQ65 |  | $\bullet$--- |  |  |  |  |
| -53 |  | - A 10864 |  | Makea | Con |  |
| ¢K975 |  | \$86 | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - K |  | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | -1097432 |  | - | 3 | - | $3 \quad$ |
|  | - Q 9 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | \& A Q J 10 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 \% |

Both tables had the same auction to game, but Matthew Brown outplayed Jun Lei as declarer in 44. Against Lei, Hans led a heart and McAllister opted to duck dummy's VK, Lei throwing a club. Declarer next played a diamond to the ace and another round, Hans winning the $\diamond Q$ to continue hearts for declarer to ruff. Lei led a club up and Hans took the ace and returned the suit letting declarer onto the dummy. I am in no doubt that Hans planned to give declarer an entry to take a losing spade finesse which is exactly what happened next, Lei playing a spade to the queen and king. After ruffing the club return, Lei was still cold if he had cross-ruffed, as North had to follow to both remaining diamonds, but he banged down the $\uparrow A$ and finished two down.
At Brown's table, the 10 lead went to the king, ace and a ruff. Brown continued with two rounds of diamonds, Lester winning the queen to play ace and another club. Based on the tempo and the spots of the diamond plays, Brown was confident that this was the layout (Cormack had given count and thought briefly about overtaking her partner's $\leqslant Q$, while Lester had followed nine-queen and hadn't led a diamond to begin with). Visualising the acejack of hearts and the king-jack of diamonds with North, Brown was certain she couldn't have the king of spades having passed as dealer. Accordingly his next play was a spade to the ace! It was easy after that as Brown ruffed a diamond, hooked the 10 and gave up a diamond. +420 E/W was good for 11 imps .

| Dealer: East | - J 872 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - 865 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 18 | - Q 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teams Qual R4 | \& Q 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1093 |  | - K |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 732 |  | -KQ4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AK |  | -97532 |  | Makea | le Co |  |  |
| ¢AJ864 |  | ¢K973 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - A Q 654 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | - A J 109 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - J 106 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | +2 |  | 3 | - | 4 | - | 4 |

Ron Klinger showed me an interesting defensive signalling problem on this board. Say South declares 3 example after P-1p-P-3-AP. West leads the king of diamonds, East signalling discouragement with the $\uparrow 9$, and continues with $\forall$ A. Many pairs agree to lead top of their honour sequences in general, so this abnormal order of plays suggests West has doubleton $\forall$ AK and would like to ruff the next round. What card should East play?

West needs to know how to get over to East's hand to get the diamond ruff, so East's card should logically be suit preference for where their entry lies. It looks like East has to guess whether to signal for hearts (with the $\downarrow$ ) or clubs (the $\downarrow$ ), effectively guessing which ace West holds. The answer is probably that neither of these plays is correct - you don't want to take partner out of the decision-making process. If you can suggest that you are unsure about where your entry is, holding two possible entries (or maybe no entries, in which case the defence will be doomed anyway), play a middle diamond on the second round. If West is on the same wavelength, they'll underlead whichever suit they hold the ace in and life will be dandy.
In the feature match, Hans failed in 3 on this deal while Whibley-Brown also went down in 3NT. 6 imps to CORMACK, who trailed 11-9 at this point.

| Dealer: South | ¢ Q 102 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - A 1062 |  | Liu | McAllister Lei |  | Hans |  |
| Brd 19 | - 3 |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |
| Teams Qual R4 | \& AK 864 |  | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 |  |
| ¢ A 75 |  | ¢K984 | Pass | 3 | Pass | 3 |  |
| - 87 |  | -9 | Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4 |  |
| - Q 10875 |  | - J 964 | Pass | 4NT | Pass | 54 |  |
| \& 1032 |  | \& Q J 75 | Pass | 6 | All Pa |  |  |
|  | ¢ J 63 |  |  | Makeab | le Con |  |  |
|  | -KQJ543 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | - AK2 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | $\% 9$ |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |

Lester played $4 \checkmark$ here making all the tricks for $+510 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{S}$. McAllister-Hans bid to $6 \checkmark$ after the above auction; 2NT was Jacoby, 3 showed a singleton somewhere, 3 asking where and 3 showed a club singleton. Two cue bids and Keycard led them up to the six level. Liu was in the spotlight: would he swing the $\uparrow$ A or try something else? I've never been a big advocate of leading unsupported aces, even against slam, but I know many disagree with me (the most vocal I know of being Stephen Burgess). Leading the ace was right this time, but Liu led a trump. N/S +980 and 10 imps to MCALLISTER. Across the field 22 pairs made slam while 41 went down on a spade lead.

MCALLISTER won a further 14 imps on the next board when Liu declared a doomed 3NT, going down three vulnerable, while Whibley-Brown worked out to play in a successful Moysian 4థ contract. Then this misfit deal came along:

| Dealer: North | - A Q 862 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - 932 |  | Whibley | Cormack | Brown | Lester |  |
| Brd 21 | - A 6542 |  |  | 14 | 2\% | Pass |  |
| Teams Qual R4 | \& --- |  | 2 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |  |
| ¢ J 97 |  | ¢ K 10543 | 3 | All Pass |  |  |  |
| - J 86 |  | - A 107 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K Q 1097 |  | - --- |  | Makeab | e Con | acts |  |
| \& 64 |  | \& AK 752 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | ¢ --- |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | - KQ 54 |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - J 83 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q J 10983 |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | 8 |

Both tables had bizarre auctions leading to a combined nine undertricks. At Cormack's table, some interesting choices were made by E/W to find their $5-0$ fit. Without dwelling on the play, Whibley managed to scrape together five tricks for -200. At the other table McAllister opened 14 and Lei overcalled... 3\&? No one had anything more to say - not even the bulletin editor. The play was pretty strange too and declarer made just two top clubs and two heart tricks for E/W -250. All in all, this was a quiet 2 imp swing to MCALLISTER.

Not every table played undoubled - many penalties were collected around the room. lan Lisle dobbed himself and his team in. He and his wife Vicky were N/S and had the auction to themselves: 2 (spades and a minor)3* (pass or correct)-3 , somebody doubled and that was -800. At the other table North opened 19 and lan's teammate overcalled $2 \ldots \ldots$... pass pass double and that went for -1100 ; net -1900 and 18 imps in the out column. Amazingly, no one on his team did anything completely crazy!
MCALLISTER won another 6 imps when he played 1NT making four while Lester overreached to $5 \boldsymbol{\&}$, one down. Trailing heavily, CORMACK picked up their biggest swing of the match on this board:


Liu's timing for a light Precision 1 opener was excellent: if he had passed, $N / S$ would have quickly bid to the cold 3NT. McAllister likely should have overcalled 1NT, but his double perhaps didn't deserve to work out as badly as this. Having missed game, Hans found a deliberate sequence of plays to make his partscore. Liu led a heart and the jack won the first trick, followed by a club ducked around to Lei who did well to return the $\uparrow 9$. Hans played low from hand and won dummy's ace and innocently played a diamond to his hand before leading a club towards the dummy. Lei needed to unblock the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ but didn't see what was coming and played low. After the A had taken the trick, Hans drew the last trump, cashed the $\geqslant$ A and exited a club to Lei to endplay him out of his second spade trick: +130 N/S. Pretty, but this was still losing 7 imps against 3NT in the other room, the margin now being 43-16 to MCALLISTER.
The last four boards of the match were a bloodbath. Board 25 saw McAllister make a fearless double of a partscore and collect 500 (the biggest score in the room) to win 12 imps , while on the next board Liu-Lei were hamstrung by their version of Precision into missing a vulnerable major-suit game which Whibley-Brown had no problem bidding and making for 11 more imps. On the penultimate board of the match Lei overbid to 3NT and misguessed the play to go three down while Brown managed an overtrick in his 2NT contract to add 7 more imps before this last exciting board of the match:

| Dealer: West | -94 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | -K72 |  | Liu | McAllister |  | Hans |
| Brd 28 | -K762 |  | Pass | Pass | $1{ }^{*}$ | 24 |
| Teams Qual R4 | \& K 1096 |  | 24 | 30 | Double | 34 |
| - Q J 108653 |  | - AK7 | Pass | 3NT | 4. | Pass |
| - Q J 86 |  | - A 953 | Pass | 5\% | Double | All Pass |
| -10 |  | -Q9853 |  | Makeabl | e Contra |  |
| ¢ 4 |  | ¢ 8 | - | - | - | NT |
|  | - 2 |  | 5 | - | 5 | ¢ |
|  | -104 |  | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - AJ 4 |  | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | \& A Q 7532 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 |

It was not at all clear what $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ should do in the bidding here and McAllister took a winning view when he bid one more for the road. I thought Sartaj Hans did very well to make 54 x, albeit with a small defensive slip. Liu led his singleton diamond and Hans was almost certain about the shape of the hand at trick 1: hearts were highly likely to be 4-4, East had made a takeout double then supported spades so probably had three of those and everything sounded like he was $3=4=5=1$ with both major-suit aces. Hans ran the diamond lead around to the jack before rattling off seven rounds of clubs. The defence was in good shape for most of the hand: Liu threw the $\uparrow$ Q early, so Lei worked out he could pitch his $\uparrow A K$ and keep the $\uparrow$ 7. The ending with one club to come was:


Jun Lei sitting in the East set had discarded perfectly up this point, keeping guard of the diamonds, unblocking his spades and retaining a small heart up until declarer was out of trumps. On the last club, he could let go the $\checkmark 9$ and it all should have been over... except West, not quite plugged into the hand yet, pitched a fatal 10 . Reading the position perfectly, Hans cashed the ace of diamonds before ducking a heart to East's ace. Had West kept some more spades the defence would have survived, but as it went they could only take one spade trick and dummy's red kings took the last two tricks; N/S +750 . This meshed well with Whibley-Brown's 44 making in the other room and capped off the match with a 15 imp gain. The final scoreline was $88-16$ in favour of MCALLISTER who rose to fifth place on the strength of the result.
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## DEFENCE IN DETAIL

Liam Milne


Don't let your partner struggle. Try to help them out on every hand.
Playing matchpoints, your hand is of its usual quality:

## - 1092 - 8654 - 95 \& 6532

After partner's opening bid, the opponents have a conservative auction to a solid-looking partscore:

| DIr: West | - K J |  |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Nil | - AKQ 9 |  |  | $1 *$ | Double | Pass |  |
| Matchpoints | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } 83 \\ & +\mathrm{J} 107 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
|  |  |  | You (E) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | - 1092 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | E | - 8654 | Contr | ct: 30 by | South |  |
|  |  |  | - 95 | Lead: |  |  |  |

Partner leads the $\downarrow$ A, dummy follows with the $\downarrow$, you play the $\$ 5$ encouraging and declarer contributes the $\downarrow$ 2. The $\leqslant$ K comes next, declarer following with the $\downarrow 4$.

At trick three, your esteemed partner leads the $\downarrow$ Q. What should you play?
What do you think partner will try at trick four? They are unlikely to play a heart or a trump, so the plays that we expect to see are a spade or a fourth diamond (if declarer also has four diamonds).
If partner has the $₫ A$, they may well try a low spade hoping you have the $₫ Q$ and that declarer mis-guesses (on the basis that West would never be so brave as to underlead an ace at matchpoints that they might end up going away). This notion of partner's will only be reinforced if you discard a pedestrian discouraging heart.
Another great idea that partner might get into their head if they hold four diamonds is that another diamond will see you over-ruffing the dummy. With four baby trumps, you can see this isn't the case.
In fact, whatever partner is thinking of doing at trick four, you know there is only one winning defence: to cash the A if they have it. If they don't take it now, they may never make it - especially given dummy's strong hearts. And at matchpoints, that overtrick could be very costly.
What discard should you make to get partner to do the right thing? A discouraging heart might induce a low spade, while an encouraging spade certainly will. A discouraging spade might be interpreted as asking for a fourth round of diamonds for an over-ruff.
Nothing too fancy required, really. Just ruff partner's winning $\diamond Q$ and return a spade for them, simplifying the entire problem!
The full deal:


If West plays a low spade, they lose their spade trick immediately as declarer's other two spades disappear on dummy's hearts. If West tries a fourth diamond, declarer ruffs with the $\boldsymbol{j}$ and runs five rounds of clubs (throwing spades) to squeeze partner in the majors. The only defence to hold 30 to nine tricks is to win the © At trick four, and East can make the defence foolproof by ruffing partner's winner at returning a spade.
Easy once you think of it, isn't it?
In fact, a partner of sufficient culture and taste (that is, one who reads this column) should reason exactly on these lines from their side of the table as well. When you don't ruff and return a spade for them, they will assume you either want a low spade or an over-ruff of the dummy. This makes ruffing and playing back a spade with our actual hand not just a good play, but a mandatory one.

Point to remember: If you can do what partner needs to do equally well from your side of the table, go ahead. They won't be insulted.

## WELCOME TO NEW PLAYERS AT THE GCC

Brent Manley


Have You Discussed? Part 5
A player opened $1 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ in third seat and his partner responded $2 \boldsymbol{*}$. When opener rebid $2 \boldsymbol{*}$, his opponent called for the director. "They're playing Drury and they didn't Alert," she said. "But we don't play Drury," said the 19 opener. "There it is," she continued, "right on their convention card." Opener calmly said, "That's not our convention card." Undeterred, the woman said, "Look at the name on the top. It belongs to . . ." At that point, her voice trailed off as she realized she was reading her own name.

In North America, the so-called "back" of the ACBL convention card has a box for describing a partnership's 1 NT overcall agreements.
Most partnerships use a range similar, if not identical, to the strong 1 NT opener, i.e., $15-17$. There is room in the box to indicate whether systems - e.g., Stayman and transfers - are "on." If the bidding goes 1 - 1 NT - Pass $2 \%$, does your partnership have an agreement about what $2 \%$ means? To play? Stayman? What if advancer (the partner of the overcaller) bids $2 \downarrow$ ?

Do you have an agreement about what to do if your hand is stronger than the 1NT overcall range? The normal way is to double the opening bid and follow with notrump, assuming partner has made a minimum response. You're on your own if partner jumps to the four or five level in your doubleton. No one said there is a perfect bid for every situation.

Another important topic: Do you have some means of escape if partner's 1NT overcall is doubled for penalty by third hand? If the card gods have dealt you a five-card suit, your choice is easier, but you might not always be so lucky. Suppose you hold 543 J 876 K $765 \$ 62$ and the auction goes:

| West | North <br> Partner | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1\& | 1NT | Double $?$ |  |

You do not want leave partner in 1NT doubled, but you could end up in a 4-2 fit if you pick one of your four-card suits to run out to. It's important for you and your partner to decide on a system for running in such cases.
One possibility is DONT runouts ("Disturb Opponents No-Trump, where $2 \&$ shows \& \& higher suit, 2 shows \& a higher suit, $2 \bullet$ shows hearts and spades, and 2 shows single suited spades), which have the virtue of simplicity. In the given auction, you could bid $2 \checkmark$ to show diamonds and a major. You might even be lucky enough to find a 4-4 fit. When you have a five-card suit or, on a really lucky day, a six-bagger, you can redouble, which tells partner to bid 2\&, after which you will pass if clubs is your long suit. With some other long suit, just bid it.
For those occasions when you are on the other side of the 1NT overcall, you will occasionally find yourself with a hand strong enough that you want to compete but not strong enough to double (usually a good 9 or more highcard points). Say partner opens 1 and your right-hand opponent overcalls 1NT. Maybe your hand is

## - Q 1087 Q 844 K 5 \& 1097

If you are playing Hamilton (or Cappelletti, depending on where you play bridge), you can get into the auction by bidding 2 for the majors. If partner doesn't like either major and has five or six diamonds, he can pass.
The full scheme is this: double = penalty; $2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}=$ single-suited hand; $2 \boldsymbol{=}$ majors, and two of a major shows that major and a minor. Partner won't go crazy because your failure to double limits your hand.
There's one more 1NT situation you and partner must discuss: When the bidding goes 1 any suit - Pass - Pass, what does a bid of 1NT show? Do you play "system on" as you do when its 1Suit - 1NT? Does it guarantee a stopper?

Many experts recommend that the balancing 1NT shows 11-14 when the opening bid was in a minor and 13-15 when it was a major. The reason for the different ranges is that when you are stronger than your agreed range, you must double first and bid notrump over partner's response. When the opening was in a minor, you most likely will be able to double and rebid 1NT. When the opener was a major, your second call after doubling would be 2NT in the majority of cases. That will put you too high when partner is broke.
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# THE KLINGER QUIZ 

Ron Klinger
Swiss Pairs: Dealer West, N/S vulnerable.
How would you play this hand as South?

| Swiss Pairs | North | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dealer: South | - AK 10 | 14 | Double | $1 \checkmark$ | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Vul: Both | - A 732 | 24 | 34 | Pass | 3 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{K} 74 \\ +\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~J} \end{array}$ | Pass | 4 | Double | All Pass |


| - J 9762 | Contract: 4¢x by South |
| :---: | :---: |
| - KQ 4 | Lead: ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| - J 106 | Plan your play. |
| +95 | Plan your play. |

## Solution: WHERE ARE THE HONOURS?

First you count that your side has 25 HCP. That means the opponents have both bid on a combined 15 count. You could win the first heart in hand and take the spade finesse, but that is high risk. If the finesse loses a heart might come back and if that is ruffed, you are finished.
A better chance, especially after West has rebid the clubs, is to assume that West holds the \&A-Q and the $\diamond A$ for the opening bid. Win the lead with the $\Psi$ A and cash the $\uparrow A, \Phi K$. When the $₫ Q$ does not drop, cross to the QK and play a low club. If West plays low, finesse the $\boldsymbol{J}$. Continue with a heart to hand and another club. Say West takes the \&A and tries a low diamond. You rise with the $\forall \mathrm{K}$ and play the \&K, discarding a diamond from hand. You lose one spade, one club and one diamond.

This was the full deal from a State Team Selection event:
The defence can defeat 4 4 , but the opening lead has to be a diamond. That is very unlikely in practice.
No bridge player is immune from talking nonsense from time to time; the misfortune is to do it seriously.

| Dealer: West Vul: Both | ¢ AK 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - A 732 |  |
|  | -K74 |  |
|  | \& K J 3 |  |
| ¢ 54 |  | ¢ Q 83 |
| -6 |  | - J 10985 |
| - A 853 |  | - Q 93 |
| \& A Q 10864 |  | \& 72 |
|  | ¢J9762 |  |
|  | - K Q 4 |  |
|  | - J 106 |  |
|  | \& 95 |  |

## 



| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 41 | S Boughey - A Boughey - B Fisher - L Fisher |  |  |  |  | 63.89 |
| 2 | 66 | B Johnston - P Hall - A Somerville - G Eyles |  |  |  |  | 62.94 |
| 3 | 16 | H Cheval - J Skipper - J Skipper - J Wignall |  |  |  |  | 62.27 |
| 4 | 17 | J Reitzer - M Courtney - N Sellway - K Preddy |  |  |  |  | 62.11 |
| 5 | 2 | J McAllister - S Hans - M Brown - M Whibley |  |  |  |  | 62.03 |
| 6 | 80 | D McLeod - B Cleaver - P Nisbet - G McMullin |  |  |  |  | 61.82 |
| 7 | 38 | T Fuller - L Fuller - M Leybourne - N Cantatore |  |  |  |  | 61.21 |
| 8 | 11 | M Van Der Vlugt - M Lewis - K Morrison - C Hughes |  |  |  |  | 58.98 |
| 9 | 63 | S Clarke - G Clarke - M Johnson - M Simes |  |  |  |  | 58.91 |
| 10 | 44 | S Klofa - A St Clair - B Kingham - S Gluck |  |  |  |  | 58.68 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 8 | Beauchamp | 58.33 | 112 | 114 | Crockett | 39.57 |
| 12 | 3 | Coutts | 58.30 | 113 | 116 | Valentine | 39.50 |
| 13 | 120 | McAlister | 57.80 | 114 | 97 | Norden | 38.98 |
| 14 | 1 | Ware | 57.43 | 115 | 43 | Beeby | 38.73 |
| 15 | 62 | Lee | 57.29 | 116 | 169 | Reynolds | 38.62 |
| 16 | 50 | Badley | 57.16 | 117 | 82 | Palmer | 38.49 |
| 17 | 19 | Giura | 57.09 | 118 | 35 | Thompson | 38.40 |
| 18 | 23 | Carter | 56.93 | 119 | 136 | Whiddon | 38.24 |
| 18 | 10 | Cooper | 56.93 | 120 | 139 | Stewart | 38.16 |
| 20 | 51 | Bolt | 56.87 | 121 | 131 | Foidl | 38.06 |
| 21 | 147 | Kovacs | 56.22 | 122 | 130 | Longmire | 37.78 |
| 22 | 39 | P Jeffery | 55.73 | 123 | 183 | Sheldrake | 37.37 |
| 23 | 34 | Lockwood | 55.49 | 124 | 76 | Lisle | 37.27 |
| 24 | 6 | Mullamphy | 55.26 | 125 | 145 | Bonnick | 37.15 |
| 25 | 137 | Buckley | 54.83 | 126 | 54 | Bilski | 37.1 |
| 26 | 14 | Brown | 54.66 | 127 | 165 | Barrett | 36.99 |
| 27 | 157 | Mountjoy | 54.61 | 128 | 189 | Barber | 36.93 |
| 28 | 22 | McCallum | 54.34 | 129 | 29 | Zhou | 36.69 |
| 29 | 13 | Fischer | 53.49 | 130 | 166 | Watt | 36.64 |
| 30 | 21 | Watts | 53.23 | 131 | 158 | Schon | 36.49 |
| 31 | 67 | Creet | 52.82 | 132 | 207 | Procel | 36.43 |
| 32 | 148 | Morris | 52.76 | 133 | 65 | Mayo | 36.29 |
| 33 | 27 | Greenspan | 52.27 | 134 | 155 | Turner | 36.12 |
| 34 | 24 | Beck | 52.13 | 135 | 168 | Cordingley | 35.73 |
| 35 | 18 | Chen | 51.99 | 136 | 117 | Kilvert | 35.20 |
| 36 | 195 | Carson | 51.66 | 137 | 159 | Homik | 35.09 |
| 37 | 57 | Martin | 51.43 | 138 | 172 | Owen | 34.87 |
| 38 | 140 | Cook | 50.43 | 139 | 26 | McLeish | 34.72 |
| 39 | 61 | Mott | 50.09 | 140 | 204 | Plimmer | 34.41 |
| 40 | 69 | K Bailey | 50.05 | 141 | 77 | L Jeffery | 34.29 |
| 41 | 81 | Berry | 49.78 | 142 | 182 | Delany | 34.08 |
| 42 | 37 | Hoffman | 49.62 | 143 | 86 | Fleischer | 33.74 |
| 43 | 33 | Mundell | 49.48 | 144 | 94 | Weathered | 33.62 |
| 44 | 12 | Hirst | 49.25 | 145 | 98 | Gaspar | 33.45 |
| 45 | 25 | Pemberton | 49.16 | 146 | 203 | Muller | 33.43 |
| 46 | 42 | Demarco | 49.13 | 147 | 75 | Wilks | 33.35 |
| 47 | 70 | Kempthorne | 48.70 | 148 | 194 | Fawcett | 33.09 |


| 48 | 125 | B Stacey | 48.64 | 149 | 73 | Mottram | 32.89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 60 | Dibley | 48.57 | 150 | 68 | Bedi | 32.87 |
| 50 | 95 | Steinwedel | 48.28 | 151 | 119 | Allanson | 32.70 |
| 51 | 104 | Abbenbroek | 47.92 | 152 | 192 | Lazar | 32.67 |
| 52 | 49 | Nash | 47.73 | 153 | 106 | Warnock | 32.52 |
| 53 | 5 | Buchen | 47.59 | 154 | 123 | Douglas | 32.48 |
| 54 | 4 | Kanetkar | 47.49 | 155 | 185 | Slutzkin | 32.46 |
| 55 | 30 | Baron | 47.36 | 156 | 180 | Mathews | 32.25 |
| 56 | 31 | Genc | 47.35 | 157 | 109 | Babiszewski | 32.01 |
| 57 | 91 | K Smith | 47.34 | 158 | 132 | Morrison | 31.83 |
| 58 | 15 | Ranson | 47.15 | 159 | 162 | Redhead | 31.78 |
| 59 | 59 | Alexander | 47.13 | 160 | 124 | Bugler | 31.72 |
| 60 | 96 | Lindner | 47.02 | 161 | 89 | K Fuller | 31.68 |
| 61 | 56 | Faranda | 46.91 | 162 | 103 | Bogatie | 31.66 |
| 62 | 142 | P Watson | 46.73 | 163 | 178 | Read | 31.21 |
| 63 | 64 | A De Luca | 46.68 | 164 | 154 | Geare | 30.97 |
| 64 | 143 | A Young | 46.66 | 165 | 84 | Miller | 30.81 |
| 65 | 99 | Hannan | 46.22 | 166 | 198 | Clifford | 30.75 |
| 66 | 20 | Dawson | 46.16 | 167 | 149 | Brandt | 30.51 |
| 67 | 87 | Finikiotis | 45.98 | 168 | 48 | Kyburz | 30.27 |
| 68 | 93 | Johnstone | 45.96 | 169 | 101 | Schoen | 30.15 |
| 69 | 199 | Ajzner | 45.78 | 170 | 212 | Cooney | 30.14 |
| 70 | 161 | Chandler | 45.74 | 171 | 186 | M Baker | 29.99 |
| 71 | 128 | Tuxworth | 45.60 | 172 | 164 | Fraser | 29.92 |
| 72 | 88 | Brookes | 45.39 | 173 | 177 | Athea | 29.45 |
| 73 | 211 | Early | 45.27 | 174 | 205 | Spencer | 29.14 |
| 74 | 74 | Gunner | 45.13 | 175 | 184 | Rigano | 29.01 |
| 75 | 36 | Askew | 45.07 | 176 | 146 | L Baker | 28.85 |
| 76 | 7 | Francis | 44.94 | 177 | 181 | Manuel | 28.49 |
| 77 | 28 | Robbins | 44.85 | 178 | 208 | Hannah-Brown | 28.45 |
| 78 | 83 | Sheridan | 44.71 | 179 | 210 | Whittle | 28.26 |
| 79 | 196 | Hewson | 44.37 | 180 | 176 | I Bailey | 28.13 |
| 80 | 156 | C Watson | 44.31 | 181 | 107 | Shaw | 28.04 |
| 81 | 115 | Thorp | 43.80 | 182 | 111 | Nicholson | 27.84 |
| 82 | 113 | Foreman | 43.76 | 183 | 135 | Nichols | 27.63 |
| 83 | 167 | Gosney | 43.67 | 184 | 179 | Tucker | 27.34 |
| 84 | 105 | Harris | 43.51 | 185 | 152 | Randall | 27.06 |
| 84 | 78 | Sheedy | 43.51 | 186 | 160 | Baljet | 27.01 |
| 86 | 40 | Adams | 43.39 | 187 | 202 | J Stacey | 26.79 |
| 87 | 53 | Harrop | 43.32 | 188 | 102 | Darley | 26.04 |
| 88 | 188 | Barda | 43.16 | 189 | 127 | Grayden | 25.47 |
| 89 | 112 | Olsen | 43.01 | 190 | 110 | Tredrea | 25.22 |
| 90 | 150 | Pisko | 42.98 | 191 | 170 | White | 25.05 |
| 91 | 206 | C De Luca | 42.82 | 192 | 72 | Soutter | 24.95 |
| 91 | 134 | Fox | 42.82 | 193 | 153 | Brahma | 24.67 |
| 93 | 92 | Fallet | 42.81 | 194 | 174 | Parkin | 24.26 |
| 94 | 151 | Scrivens | 42.77 | 195 | 90 | Whiting | 24.06 |
| 95 | 58 | B Clarke | 42.56 | 196 | 163 | Fletcher | 23.94 |
| 96 | 52 | Afflick | 42.43 | 197 | 200 | Sylvester | 23.67 |
| 97 | 9 | Lester | 42.37 | 198 | 173 | Zoia | 23.11 |


| 98 | 85 | Lowry | 42.25 | 199 | 144 | Leach | 22.65 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 99 | 108 | Moffat | 42.11 | 200 | 175 | Adey | 21.55 |
| 100 | 46 | Power | 42.08 | 201 | 126 | Small | 21.31 |
| 101 | 193 | Matskows | 42.05 | 202 | 141 | L Young | 20.62 |
| 102 | 55 | Abrams | 41.78 | 203 | 197 | Woodage | 20.54 |
| 103 | 190 | Carr | 41.54 | 204 | 121 | Levin | 20.50 |
| 104 | 187 | Pollett | 41.46 | 205 | 122 | Lorraway | 18.60 |
| 105 | 47 | Jackman | 41.45 | 206 | 138 | Thatcher | 17.78 |
| 106 | 129 | O'Gorman | 41.19 | 207 | 71 | McEntegart | 17.69 |
| 107 | 79 | Toon | 40.74 | 208 | 100 | Allen | 17.19 |
| 108 | 32 | Jacob | 40.70 | 209 | 191 | M Smith | 14.16 |
| 109 | 45 | Driscoll | 40.44 | 210 | 209 | Orsborn | 13.01 |
| 110 | 201 | Webb | 40.38 | 210 | 171 | Rose | 13.01 |
| 111 | 133 | Howes | 40.21 | 212 | 118 | Collins | 12.33 |


| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | Z Nagy - D Middleton - D Smith - N Ewart | 67.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4 | M Bloom - N Rosendorff - S Bock - D Zines |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56.70 |
| 3 | 3 | R Brightling - D Hoffman - R Krochmalik - P Lavings |  | 53.71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 9 | S Arber - R Greenfield - R Gallus - S Weisz | 51.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |  |  |
| 5 | 7 | Mendick | 49.18 | 13 | 15 | Obenchain | 33.02 |  |  |
| 6 | 6 | Walsh | 47.69 | 14 | 18 | Richards | 30.54 |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | Leibowitz | 47.69 | 15 | 20 | Chang | 30.18 |  |  |
| 8 | 11 | Cormack | 44.87 | 16 | 19 | MacAulay | 29.87 |  |  |
| 9 | 10 | Chan | 43.42 | 17 | 14 | Brown | 25.64 |  |  |
| 10 | 17 | Hanson | 42.36 | 18 | 16 | Rasmussen | 24.83 |  |  |
| 11 | 12 | McKinnon | 39.08 | 19 | 5 | Hutton | 22.69 |  |  |
| 12 | 8 | Walters | 38.85 | 20 | 13 | Marker | 20.04 |  |  |


| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 7 | K Hajmasi - A Michl - T Jiang - J Zhu | 69.09 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 21 | R Steinhardt - R Clark - K Elmes - C Stone | 63.44 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 80 | J Price - R Spelman - P Burke - N Hinsch | 61.87 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 | L Attwood - K Attwood - P Corry - C Fernando | 61.72 |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 9 | Delorenzo | 60.93 | 54 | 48 | Sutherland | 38.64 |
| 6 | 63 | Greenwich | 60.60 | 55 | 81 | Munro | 38.48 |
| 7 | 11 | M Rogers | 57.04 | 56 | 38 | Wiles | 38.34 |
| 8 | 64 | Zollo | 56.73 | 57 | 69 | Stick | 38.32 |
| 9 | 75 | Bakas | 56.47 | 58 | 67 | Beckett | 38.18 |
| 10 | 2 | Van Weeren | 55.98 | 59 | 60 | Steward | 37.73 |
| 11 | 10 | Tomlinson | 55.97 | 60 | 35 | Baguley | 37.55 |
| 12 | 32 | Clift | 55.67 | 61 | 87 | J Stewart | 37.20 |
| 13 | 4 | Baker | 55.51 | 62 | 22 | Fardoulys | 36.27 |
| 14 | 17 | Gilbert | 53.60 | 63 | 28 | L Rogers | 35.89 |
| 15 | 1 | McAuliffe | 51.54 | 64 | 77 | Davis | 35.70 |
| 16 | 76 | Brooks | 51.37 | 65 | 70 | Chan | 35.33 |


| 17 | 101 | Kalma | 50.00 | 66 | 41 | Stephenson | 34.91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 27 | Giles | 49.80 | 67 | 86 | Moody | 34.83 |
| 19 | 42 | Borrell | 49.32 | 68 | 59 | Schmalkuche | 34.58 |
| 20 | 98 | Jacobs | 49.04 | 69 | 90 | Swanson | 34.46 |
| 21 | 53 | Champain | 48.86 | 70 | 94 | Ruddell | 34.32 |
| 22 | 47 | Erlandson | 48.48 | 71 | 46 | Leeton | 34.08 |
| 23 | 29 | B Stewart | 48.38 | 72 | 71 | B Rossiter-Nuttall | 33.99 |
| 24 | 45 | Binsted | 48.29 | 73 | 91 | Simon | 33.70 |
| 25 | 13 | J Williams | 48.17 | 74 | 6 | Keeling | 33.67 |
| 26 | 37 | J Rossiter-Nuttall | 47.42 | 75 | 95 | D Scott | 33.63 |
| 27 | 14 | Bell | 46.53 | 76 | 65 | Wippell | 33.45 |
| 28 | 24 | Raward | 46.01 | 77 | 99 | Deaker | 33.16 |
| 29 | 54 | Newbery | 45.94 | 78 | 26 | Cockbill | 33.03 |
| 30 | 49 | Norman | 45.50 | 79 | 79 | Prickett | 32.79 |
| 31 | 5 | Packer | 45.25 | 80 | 97 | Lane | 32.36 |
| 32 | 83 | Hoschke | 44.75 | 81 | 52 | Davidson | 32.28 |
| 33 | 61 | Bailey | 44.01 | 82 | 15 | Paris | 32.01 |
| 34 | 58 | Weber | 43.98 | 83 | 20 | Knox | 31.91 |
| 35 | 56 | Hollingworth | 43.30 | 84 | 73 | Coventry | 31.87 |
| 36 | 66 | Kanetkar | 43.17 | 85 | 88 | Mietzke | 31.32 |
| 37 | 8 | Hurst | 42.86 | 86 | 31 | Gryg | 31.07 |
| 38 | 34 | McFall | 42.44 | 87 | 68 | L Robertson | 30.96 |
| 39 | 57 | Johnstone | 42.38 | 88 | 39 | Cooper | 29.35 |
| 40 | 33 | Cameron | 42.36 | 89 | 93 | Trengove | 29.23 |
| 41 | 25 | Sear | 42.13 | 90 | 92 | Beckman | 28.30 |
| 42 | 18 | Sinclair | 42.09 | 91 | 84 | McMaster | 28.06 |
| 43 | 30 | Marsh | 42.07 | 92 | 62 | Power | 25.63 |
| 44 | 19 | O'Regan | 41.60 | 93 | 85 | Parmenter | 25.46 |
| 45 | 50 | Williamson | 41.22 | 94 | 100 | Linden | 25.32 |
| 46 | 16 | Carson | 41.15 | 95 | 82 | Van Kruistum | 24.91 |
| 47 | 78 | Ramsund | 40.66 | 96 | 96 | Harris | 24.65 |
| 48 | 23 | Johnson | 40.48 | 97 | 89 | Stretton | 22.94 |
| 48 | 44 | Keating | 40.48 | 98 | 40 | Moylan | 20.81 |
| 50 | 43 | Churchett | 40.21 | 99 | 36 | M Robertson | 19.58 |
| 51 | 55 | Sklarz | 40.02 | 100 | 12 | McDonald | 18.48 |
| 52 | 74 | Johnston | 39.59 | 101 | 102 | Dundas | 14.01 |
| 53 | 51 | Jonsberg | 39.13 | 102 | 72 | J Scott | 11.33 |

## Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Restricted

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 38 | G Saxby - J Vickers - A Yang - S Pandya |  |  |  | 68.10 |  |
| 2 | 62 | I Bannister - F Martin - J O'Brien - C Hagen | 63.80 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3 | D Williams - J Sawyer - C Dempster - M Paterson |  | 61.64 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 98 | S Ward - J Barnett - T Morcom - M High | 60.42 |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 70 | Bowers | 57.39 | 55 | 45 | Lipton | 39.72 |
| 6 | 97 | Gurney | 55.71 | 56 | 91 | Phillips | 39.40 |
| 7 | 69 | Thillainathan | 55.46 | 57 | 40 | Teitzel | 39.38 |
| 8 | 84 | McBride | 54.36 | 58 | 72 | Wood | 38.99 |
| 9 | 53 | Crommelin | 54.22 | 59 | 63 | Nice | 38.81 |


| 10 | 48 | Bustany | 54.18 | 59 | 19 | Riley | 38.81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 99 | Mather | 53.09 | 61 | 75 | Clarke | 38.31 |
| 12 | 94 | Russell | 52.90 | 62 | 95 | Snow | 38.26 |
| 13 | 10 | Stevens | 52.78 | 63 | 25 | Eather | 38.17 |
| 14 | 58 | Ledger | 51.94 | 64 | 92 | Tattersfield | 37.54 |
| 15 | 52 | Garside | 51.89 | 65 | 26 | Cotton | 37.49 |
| 16 | 37 | Mathieson | 51.47 | 66 | 101 | Whitehead | 37.22 |
| 17 | 57 | Argent | 51.38 | 67 | 46 | Brown | 35.99 |
| 18 | 8 | Boocock | 51.10 | 68 | 1 | Dunlop | 35.71 |
| 19 | 31 | McClintock | 50.58 | 69 | 41 | Zink | 35.54 |
| 20 | 7 | Chaffey | 50.37 | 70 | 60 | Reilly | 34.82 |
| 21 | 35 | Yoffa | 50.00 | 71 | 104 | Williams | 34.07 |
| 22 | 90 | R Stuart | 49.81 | 72 | 42 | Gibson | 33.78 |
| 23 | 30 | Wyeth | 49.66 | 73 | 68 | Little | 33.48 |
| 24 | 28 | Murray | 49.22 | 74 | 34 | Borthwick | 32.41 |
| 25 | 13 | Francis | 48.34 | 75 | 24 | Hall | 32.14 |
| 26 | 4 | Tracey | 47.90 | 76 | 33 | Bartlett | 31.68 |
| 27 | 20 | Morahan | 47.62 | 77 | 23 | Akhtar | 31.65 |
| 28 | 44 | Cameron | 47.40 | 78 | 17 | Hart | 31.11 |
| 29 | 100 | Kooter | 47.18 | 79 | 11 | Heck | 30.87 |
| 30 | 5 | Opray | 47.03 | 80 | 76 | Sargent | 30.82 |
| 31 | 93 | Lenton | 46.74 | 81 | 29 | Webb | 30.65 |
| 32 | 43 | Henke | 46.73 | 82 | 80 | Hartley | 30.35 |
| 33 | 96 | Hazlehurst | 46.54 | 83 | 49 | Crawford | 30.27 |
| 34 | 77 | Gray | 46.22 | 84 | 59 | MacIntosh | 29.37 |
| 35 | 87 | Penington | 45.06 | 85 | 27 | Gault | 28.88 |
| 36 | 74 | Brink | 44.10 | 86 | 50 | Meakin | 28.65 |
| 37 | 18 | Gruythuysen | 43.55 | 87 | 2 | Earnshaw | 27.70 |
| 38 | 15 | Fenaughty | 43.49 | 88 | 73 | Nilsson | 27.36 |
| 39 | 79 | Singer | 43.3 | 89 | 36 | Coloper | 27.34 |
| 40 | 67 | Biddick | 43.23 | 90 | 47 | Gedge | 26.64 |
| 41 | 9 | Treloar | 43.16 | 91 | 88 | Shannahan | 26.14 |
| 42 | 32 | J Stuart | 43.13 | 92 | 51 | Burns | 26.13 |
| 43 | 64 | Houston | 42.94 | 92 | 16 | Wright | 26.13 |
| 44 | 103 | Falkingham | 42.77 | 94 | 81 | Innes | 25.81 |
| 45 | 89 | Haslett | 42.65 | 95 | 14 | Munro | 25.71 |
| 46 | 61 | Bunting | 41.92 | 96 | 66 | Hooper | 25.39 |
| 47 | 22 | Egan | 41.91 | 97 | 78 | MacKintosh | 24.21 |
| 48 | 21 | Lawson | 41.45 | 98 | 56 | Northey | 23.40 |
| 49 | 83 | Hamilton-Reen | 41.44 | 99 | 55 | Vary | 22.99 |
| 50 | 102 | Dale | 41.36 | 100 | 39 | Bowen-Thomas | 22.43 |
| 51 | 82 | Edginton | 41.16 | 101 | 71 | Burke | 22.22 |
| 52 | 6 | O'Neill | 40.85 | 102 | 85 | Mabin | 19.57 |
| 53 | 54 | Goldman | 40.60 | 103 | 65 | Tipler | 18.13 |
| 54 | 12 | Irving | 40.03 | 104 | 86 | Thurairetnam | 17.48 |


| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Novice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |  |
| 1 | 18 | N Anderson - D Smith - J Reid - D Dwyer | 66.06 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 21 | D Treloar - J Vazirzadeh - R Clark - A Lawson | 58.47 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 13 | D Stacey - P Nigem - G Jenkyn - R Sheldrake | 57.62 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 22 | J Dunworth - M Page - A Furlong - G Ayers | 50.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 9 | Pappas | 49.17 | 17 | 19 | Burley | 40.49 |
| 6 | 23 | Duke | 48.69 | 18 | 15 | Henry | 39.58 |
| 7 | 4 | Sharp | 46.68 | 19 | 16 | Sexton | 37.75 |
| 8 | 25 | Verboeket | 46.21 | 20 | 17 | Hume | 36.20 |
| 9 | 11 | Howitt | 45.00 | 21 | 8 | Noble | 30.86 |
| 10 | 14 | Carter | 44.13 | 22 | 24 | Grgic | 27.72 |
| 11 | 1 | Coote | 44.07 | 23 | 3 | Van Kruistum | 27.43 |
| 12 | 28 | Basile | 42.98 | 24 | 27 | Paranthoine | 26.36 |
| 13 | 10 | Yates | 42.39 | 25 | 5 | King | 23.80 |
| 14 | 7 | Petterson | 41.27 | 26 | 26 | Blake | 22.56 |
| 15 | 12 | Moule | 41.08 | 27 | 20 | Shotter | 21.48 |
| 16 | 2 | Colling | 40.77 | 28 | 6 | Jackson | 20.98 |


| Holiday Pairs Event 2 - Session 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Rank | N-S | Score | Rank | E-W | Score |  |
| 1 | Johan Roose - Judith Roose-Driver | 60.86 | 1 | Raymond Jones - Rita Jones | 59.05 |  |
| 2 | Jim Thatcher - Carolyn Seymour | 56.41 | 2 | Christine Young - Dianne Brinkworth | 57.28 |  |
| 3 | Val Dawson - Colleen Berry | 55.69 | 3 | John Scott - Michele Tredinnick | 56.81 |  |
| 4 | Kevin Dean - Bob Hunt | 54.81 | 4 | John-Claud Farrugia - Michael Rosen | 56.17 |  |
| 5 | Kathryn Kerr - David Kerr | 54.00 | 5 | Ludwik Tomalak - Birgitt Bingham | 55.16 |  |
| 6 | Dianne Mullin - Eddie Mullin | 53.17 | 6 | Sue Douglas - Christine Walker | 53.59 |  |
| 7 | Peter Langston - Marit Langston | 53.08 | 7 | Judy Buckley - Aidan Buckley | 52.46 |  |
| 8 | Maoliosa Hawkes - Nola Daly | 52.95 | 8 | Sarah Acton - Fiona Trescowthick | 52.19 |  |
| 9 | Denise Merrin - Sheena Pollock | 51.61 | 9 | Ethel Lavin - Pam Schiller | 52.11 |  |
| 10 | Pattye Laing - Jack Carson | 51.18 | 10 | Parveen Rayani - Jamal Rayani | 51.26 |  |
| 11 | Jan Ralph - Margaret Chesser | 51.15 | 11 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 50.75 |  |
| 12 | Raymond Ellaway - Barry Williams | 47.44 | 12 | Jan Doran - Val Watkins | 49.98 |  |
| 13 | Graham White - Vicki Hammond | 47.25 | 13 | Linda Dewberry - Cherie Lucas | 48.77 |  |
| 14 | Jeanette Chatterton - Dawn Simpson | 46.78 | 14 | Anne Rosengren - Lyn Mayer | 48.11 |  |
| 15 | Sharon Jackson - Raymond Jackson | 46.43 | 15 | Deborah Carmichael - Susanne Hollis | 47.89 |  |
| 16 | Shelley Watson - Sharon Ivany | 45.96 | 16 | Maria Campbell - Rick Gaylard | 46.64 |  |
| 17 | Dianne St.Ledger - June Hagar | 45.56 | 17 | Georgina Blum - Paulette Bourke | 45.84 |  |
| 18 | Pat Sleat - Ray Ingielewicz | 44.28 | 18 | Margaret Hunt - Frances Bourke | 44.61 |  |
| 19 | Lesley Martin - Cynthia Brinkman | 43.93 | 19 | Kerry Pope - Margaret Bower | 44.24 |  |
| 20 | Francois Texeraud - Monique Texeraud | 43.26 | 20 | Marianna Xerri - Ann Gunner | 42.74 |  |
| 21 | Dawn George - Debra Niesler | 43.09 | 21 | Richard Hemphill - Margaret Liversage | 35.46 |  |


| Holiday Pairs Event 2 - Session 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | N-S | Score | Rank | E-W | Score |  |
| 1 | Peter Langston - Marit Langston | 63.31 | 1 | Dianne Mullin - Eddie Mullin | 62.37 |  |
| 2 | Kevin Dean - Bob Hunt | 60.76 | 2 | Ludwik Tomalak - Birgitt Bingham | 61.20 |  |
| 3 | Warren Kirton - Dawn Simpson | 60.53 | 3 | Sue Douglas - Christine Walker | 60.42 |  |
| 4 | Johan Roose - Judith Roose-Driver | 56.25 | 4 | Deirdre James - Graham James | 55.86 |  |
| 5 | Val Dawson - Colleen Berry | 56.02 | 5 | Maria Campbell - Rick Gaylard | 54.63 |  |
| 6 | Ming Ting - David Ting | 54.98 | 6 | Robyn Lichter - Judy Leiba | 50.93 |  |
| 7 | Marianna Xerri - Maoliosa Hawkes | 54.86 | 7 | Raymond Jones - Rita Jones | 50.65 |  |
| 8 | Jamal Rayani - Parveen Rayani | 50.23 | 8 | Darryl Lock - Glenda Lock | 50.52 |  |
| 9 | Pattye Laing - Jack Carson | 50.12 | 9 | David Kerr - Kathryn Kerr | 50.39 |  |
| 10 | Sarah Acton - Fiona Trescowthick | 50.00 | 10 | Eugene Pereira - Rex Meadowcroft | 50.13 |  |
| 11 | Jim Thatcher - Carolyn Seymour | 49.54 | 11 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 49.65 |  |
| 12 | Denise Merrin - Sheena Pollock | 48.73 | 12 | Cherie Lucas - Linda Dewberry | 47.34 |  |
| 13 | Sharon Jackson - Raymond Jackson | 42.71 | 13 | Nola Daly - Ann Gunner | 46.06 |  |
| 14 | Jane Hills - Rae Moir | 40.39 | 14 | Charmain Mills - Graeme Schubert | 44.66 |  |
| 15 | Dennis Watkinson - Judy Hildebrand | 38.77 | 15 | Pauline Greig - Virginia Sanders | 42.94 |  |
| 16 | Lyn Mayer - Anne Rosengren | 37.04 | 16 | Sharon Ivany - Shelley Watson | 42.13 |  |
| 17 | Claudia Gibson - Jann Simmonds | 35.76 | 17 | Linda Stanton - Norma Cameron | 41.15 |  |
|  |  |  | 18 | Merit Morgan - Adele Munro | 40.86 |  |

 congress

# Where? upstairs Cost: minimum $\mathbf{\$ 5}$ (Free entry for youth players) Opposite Admin office will be given to ABF Friends of Youth Bridge Fund 

| Saturclay $2.00-2.45 \mathrm{pm}$ | Paul Marston | Accurate slam bidding using Two over One - the 3 agreements you need to make with your partner. <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sunday } \\ 9.30-10.15 a m \end{gathered}$ | Joan Butts | Working with Partner: Misfits: To bid or not? It would be nice if your side has a good fit on every hand, but life is not like that. | M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Monctay } \\ 12.30-1.15 \mathrm{pm} \end{gathered}$ | Andy Hung | How to be a Fearsome (annoying) Opponent in the Auction <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |
| Tuesclay 9.30-10.15am | Kate McCallum | Trick One Thinking - Declarer or Defender, what to think about! | N |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Thursday } \\ 9.30-10.15 \mathrm{am} \end{gathered}$ | William Jenner-O'Shea | Modern Weak Twos and Pre-empts. Will's talk will also provide an Introduction to IMPs Scoring \& Strategy. | N |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Thursday } \\ 2.00-2.45 \mathrm{pm} \end{gathered}$ | Laura Ginnan \& Pete Hollands | Delve into Pete and Laura's top 5 Conventions and find out why they have such a good return on investment! <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |



Kate McCallum is a full-time professional player, a sixtime World Champion as well as a teacher, mentor and partnership coach.

Kate will be speaking about one of her favourite topics for up-and -coming players, "Trick One Thinking".


Joan's Online School of Bridge is extremely popular. As the ABF National Teaching Coordinator, she trains teachers and arranges professional development programmes.


Will Jenner-O'Shea has been teaching bridge for over 10 years. He has taught hundreds of Beginners and thousands of Intermediate and Advanced players. Will has taught at most of the larger clubs in Sydney, as well as presented bridge lessons during various National Events.
He has represented Australia and won several National events.


Andy Hung is a professional bridge player and teacher who has represented Australia at both Youth and Open competitions. He has won several Australian titles and titles and is a part of the 2019 Australian Open Team to compete in the Asia Pacific and World Championships. He thoroughly enjoys teaching and coaching bridge at all levels, and regularly runs bridge holidays.


They are revolutionising the way bridge is taught through online video teaching from their website Bridge Vid: www.bridgevid.com

## CALENDAR OF SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2019


#### Abstract

Wednesday 20ih February Thursday

Friday 22nd February


## NOVICE AND ROOKIE ACTIVITIES

| Rookies Help Available | In the Playing Area Rookies Section |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2:00pm } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 3:00pm } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 50MPs Help Available | In the Playing Area Under 50s Section | $\begin{gathered} \text { 9:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 10:30am } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 10:30am } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Novices Help Available | In the Playing Area Novices Section |  |  | 09:30am <br> to <br> 10:00am |
| SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |


| Collection for Zephyr Education Inc. The GCC Official Charity Helping Children affected by Domestic Violence Getting Back to School | Foyer GCCEC | From <br> 10:00am |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TBIB Daily Prize Wheel You Must be Present to Win | Foyer GCCEC |  |  |
| Double Dummy' - First ever bridge feature film, produced by American filmmaker John McAllister | Event Cinemas Pacific Fair | 9pm-10:30pm |  |
| Directors Get Together Hosted by Jan Peach | Upstairs Room 10 | 8:30am |  |
| Parade Entrants Sand to Sea Theme | Foyer GCCEC | 2:30pm to 2:45pm |  |
| Champagne Breakfast <br> For Shoe Shoppers <br> In Her Shoes | In Her Shoes Ground Floor Oasis Shopping Centre |  | 8:15am |
| BRIDGE 'WIDOWS' ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |


| Unhosted Excursion David Fleay Wildlife park Cost $\$ 10$ p.p. for return bus | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depart } \\ \text { 12:30pm } \\ \text { Pickup 4:30pm } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hosted Bridge Widows Expedition Sirromet Winery and Tasting <br> Cost $\$ 20.00$ p.p. for return bus | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach | SIRROMET | Depart <br> 10:45am <br> Return Appr <br> 5:00pm |  |
| All Golf Enquiries to Geoff Nice 0407-620-373 • gnice200@gmail.com |  | Wednesday 20th February | Thursday <br> 21st <br> February | Friday <br> 23rd February |

# GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2019 

|  | Wednesday 20th February | Thursday 21st February | Friday 22nd February | Saturday 23rd February |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPEN EVENTS |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Q/F Teams S/F Teams | Finals Teams |
| Open Teams | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \end{gathered}$ | 10:30am Start $4 \times 14$ Brds R9-R12 | $9: 00 \mathrm{am}$ $2: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> $2 \times 12$ Brds $4 \times 10$ Brds | $\begin{gathered} \text { 9:00am } \\ 4 \times 12 \text { Brds } \end{gathered}$ |
| Ivy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs |  |  | 10:00am 1/3 2:00pm 2/3 | 10:00am 3/3 Dinner |
| SENIORS EVENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Seniors Teams | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | 10:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final | 7:00pm |
| INTERMEDIATE EVENTS (Under 750MPs) |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Intermediate Butler Swiss Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \end{gathered}$ | 10:30am Start $4 \times 14$ Brds R9-R12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:00am Start } \\ 4 \times 12 \text { Brds Final } \\ \text { 10:00am 1/3 } \quad 2: 00 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{2/3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Drinks } \\ \text { 10:00am 3/3 } & \text { 7:30pm Start }\end{array}$ |
| RESTRICTED EVENTS (Under 300MPs) |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Restricted Butler Swiss Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:00am Start } \\ 4 \times 12 \text { Brds Final } \\ \text { 10:00am 1/3 } \quad 2: 00 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{2/3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bookings } \\ & \text { Essential } \end{aligned}$ |
| NOVICE EVENTS (Under 100MPs) |  |  |  |  |
| Novice Teams <br> Friday Novice Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R5-R8 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:00am Start } \\ 4 \times 12 \text { Brds Final } \\ \text { 10:00am 1/2 } \quad 2: 00 \mathrm{pm} 2 / 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A popular climax to the week. <br> Attendees will be invited to register |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Rookie Pairs - Single Session Events |  | 3:00pm 1/1 |  | The cost will be \$20 |
| (1) |  |  |  |  |
| 0-50 Masterpoint Pairs | 10:30am 1/2 3:00pm $2 / 2$ | 10:30am 1/2 3:00pm 2/2 |  | played in an event (walk-ins not |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Seres/McMahon Matchpoint Swiss Pairs |  |  | 10:00am 1/2 2:00pm 2/2 | otherwise. |
| OASIS WALK-IN PAIRS |  |  |  |  |
| Walk-In Pairs Event 2: Best 3 Scores Count Walk-In Pairs Event 3: Best 2 Scores Count | 3:00pm E2S3 | 10:30am E2S4 | 10:00am E3S1 2:00pm E3S2 | 10:00am E3S3 |
|  | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |

## DIARY OF SELECTED MAJOR NATIONAL EVENTS

| Event | Date | Website / Contact / Venue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autumn Nationals (ANOT) | Thursday $2^{\text {nd }}$ May to Monday $6^{\text {th }}$ May 2019 | Entries: ANOT.organiser@gmail.com <br> Jinny Fuss 0474-074-005 <br> Ridley Centre, Adelaide Showground |
| Australian National Championships and Butler Pairs. | Saturday $13^{\text {th }}$ July to <br> Thursday $25^{\text {th }}$ July 2019 | Entries: belinda lindsay@iinet.net.au <br> Belinda Lindsay 0409-853-769 <br> Venue: Bayview Eden Hotel, 6 Queens Road, Melbourne |
| Coffs Coast Gold Congress | Tuesday $13^{\text {th }}$ August to Sunday $18^{\text {th }}$ August 2019 | Entries: jon@australiawideconferences.com.au Phil Houlton 0419-486-333 <br> Venue: Opal Cove Resort, Coffs Harbour |
| Territory Gold Bridge Festival Pairs and Teams | Wednesday $4^{\text {th }}$ <br> September to Sunday $8^{\text {th }}$ <br> September 2019 | Entries: tgbf@abf.com.au <br> Eileen Boocock (08) 89524061 <br> Venue: Crowne Plaza Lasseters Hotel Casino and Convention Centre |
| Spring Nationals <br> Open Teams, Restricted Teams Dick Cummings Open Pairs Restricted and Novice Pairs Linda Stern Women's Teams Bobby Evans Seniors Teams | Wednesday October $16^{\text {th }}$ to Thursday October $24^{\text {th }}$ 2019 | Entries: sn@abf.com.au <br> Mike Prescott 0435-528-872 <br> Or NSWBA 02-9264-8111 <br> Canterbury Park Racecourse, Sydney |

SOLUTION TO YESTERDAY'S CHESS PROBLEM SOLUTION TO YESTERDAY'S SUDOKU [HARD]


| $\mathbf{6}$ | 7 | 4 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 1 | 9 | 5 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| 8 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 2 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 7 | 6 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1 | 9 |
| 3 | 6 | 1 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 9 | 2 | 8 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 7 |
| 9 | 8 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 | 6 | 5 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 2 | 4 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 5 | 3 | 8 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 | 9 | 6 |
| 7 | 9 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 6 | 5 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 3 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 8 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 5 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 6 | 9 | 3 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 7 | 8 | $\mathbf{1}$ |

1. Bh7 Kxd5 2. Bf5 h2 3. Bc8 Kc6 4. Bg4 h1=Q
2. $\mathrm{Bf} 3+$

TODAY'S CHESS PROBLEM


TODAY'S SUDOKU [HARD]

|  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 | 4 |  | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 | 9 |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 1 | 8 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 2 |  | 3 | 6 |  |  | 9 |  |  |

White to move
Solutions in the next bulletin issue

## Pianola Plus will help improve your bridge

Pianola analyses your bidding and card play and lets you replay hands with card-by-card guidance.

It's available free for all players at the GCC!
If you don't already have access to Pianola, please contact support@pianola.net

## FIRST EVER BRIDGE FEATURE FILM

GOLD COAST

## 'Double Dummy' - Australian Premiere

 Produced by American filmmaker John McAllister 9PM - THURSDAY $21^{\text {st }}$ FEBRUARY - INCLUDES Q\&A SESSION"Double Dummyoffers an extraordinary look at the competitive world of youth bridge and the relationships forged by the game around the world."

Join us in Broadbeach on Thursday night for an exclusive fundraiser screening of an entrancing documentary about bridge, triumph and friendship.


Film: Double Dummy
Venue: Event Cinemas Pacific Fair


Date/time: 9pm, Thursday $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Feb}$ Runtime: 86 minutes

Event Cinemas Pacific Fair is a 10 min walk from the venue, with ample time to eat dinner before the film. Pacific Fair has many suitable dining options for those wishing to eat on site before the show.

An exclusive Q\&A with producer John McAllister will follow the film screening.

## \$20 per ticket, available from the Bridge Admin desk

Tickets also available from Liam Milne, Andy Hung or John McAllister
For more information contact Liam: 0405589491 liam.m.milne@gmail.com
All profits from this charity movie screening go to the Friends of Youth Bridge Fund which provides financial support for up-and-coming Australian youth bridge players to develop and compete.

