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## MEET JOHN MCALLISTER



The Gold Coast Congress always attracts plenty of international visitors and the 2019 edition is no different. This year we have players from the Netherlands, England, Canada, USA, New Zealand, China, and New Caledonia amongst others joining us for a fun-filled week. So far, we haven't had any complaints about the weather!
Among these overseas visitors is John McAllister from Charlottesville, Virginia. As well as being an expert bridge player, John is a filmmaker and this is his first time in Australia. He was invited to compete in the Gold Coast Congress by one of our top players, Sartaj Hans, and so far he is having a great time here. Some of you may have noticed John playing in the Bobby Richman Open Pairs with Nabil Edgtton together they have a claim to be the tallest partnership at the event!
Before focussing on filmmaking and bridge, John worked in investor relations for a hedge fund. Shortly after finishing up at his former job in 2012, he was playing at his first North American Bridge Championships (think Spingold, Vanderbilt etc.) and was part of a brainstorming session about how to get more young people into the game. John realised he could play a role in bringing young people into bridge if he could show off the allure that bridge has for so many youth players around the world. What better way to do this than a movie?
Although John's primary reason for visiting Broadbeach is for the competition, he is very excited about the Australian premiere this week of Double Dummy, his most recent film and the first ever feature film about bridge. The film follows the USA under-21 team at the 2012 World Youth Championships and their journey through the tournament, while also talking to their families and friends back in the USA as well as major bridge personalities about the future of the game. On Thursday night at 9pm, Double Dummy will be screened at Event Cinemas Pacific Fair, a short walk from the Gold Coast Convention Centre.

Quiz: what three things do all of these names have in common?

- Renee Cooper
- Peter Hollands
- Andi Boughey

Answer: all are past international representatives at youth level for Australia or New Zealand. All have since gone on to represent their country at senior level (Women's for Cooper and Boughey, Open for Hollands). And all can be spotted in Double Dummy if you keep your eyes peeled on Thursday night!
If you'd like to see the movie (John will also be doing a free Q\&A after the screening) and support a great cause, tickets are $\$ 20$ each. All profits will go towards the Friends of Youth Bridge Fund which assists up-and-coming Australian youth players. Please see the Admin Desk or Liam Milne/Andy Hung/John McAllister who will be carrying a few tickets around with them. Tickets are strictly limited and already selling so please get in early to avoid disappointment.
John is a passionate supporter of Youth Bridge and is a firm believer that to keep bridge alive, we need more young people coming into the game. "If just one person comes to see Double Dummy and picks up bridge as a result, that has huge ramifications", he tells me. Come along on Thursday night and feel free to bring a friend it might just change their life.

## OUR FIRST WINNERS



Winners: Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs Open Chris Ackerley and Mike Pemberton


Winners: Sunday Rookie Pairs
$1^{\text {st }}$ NS: Stephen Bennett \& Louise Bennett


Winners: Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs 0-500 Linda Norman and Wendy Gibson


Winners: Sunday Rookie Pairs $1^{\text {st }}$ EW: Caroline Nelson \& Felicity McKenzie

| Dealer: East | ¢ Q 10 |  | West | North | East | South <br> P. Hollands |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\bullet Q 53$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 6 | - A 953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Pairs Final S1 | \& 7643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ J 643 |  | ¢ 95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -9 |  | - AK J 10874 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 106 |  | - 42 |  | Make | le Con | acts |  |
| \& K J 1085 |  | \& 92 | - | 2 | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ AK 872 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | ¢ |
|  | - 62 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K J 87 |  | - | 4 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A Q |  | - | - | - | 1 | \% |

Peter Hollands managed this hand nicely declaring as South. Indeed, this was the most popular contract around the room, usually after East pre-empted $3 \bullet$ as dealer. Hollands received a heart lead to the $\geqslant 10$ followed by the ace and king of hearts. Refusing to weaken his trumps, Hollands ditched the \&Q (a likely loser on the bidding anyway) as West discarded two clubs. East switched to a club and Pete won and made another good move, finessing dummy's $\uparrow 10$. After cashing dummy's $\$$ he was left with the following position:

| Dealer: East | ¢ - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark$ - |  |
| Brd 6 | A 953 |  |
| Open Pairs Final S1 | ¢ 764 |  |
| - J 6 |  | - - |
| $\bullet$ - |  | - J 874 |
| - Q 106 |  | - 42 |
| \& K J |  | -2 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \& A K } 8 \\
& - \\
& \text { K J } 87 \\
& 4-
\end{aligned}
$$

Pete ruffed a club and drew two more rounds of trumps ridding everyone of spades. Now came the coup de grâce: a diamond to the ace followed by a club pitching a low diamond. West was endplayed to lead into the $\downarrow$ KJ for the last two tricks: N/S +140.
If you are interested in improving your game, I have no hesitation in recommending you Pete's website BridgeVid (www.bridgevid.com).
Pete and his wife Laura Ginnan explain key concepts in bridge through engaging videos definitely worth taking a look at.

I thought the challenge on this next hand would be to play in 3NT rather than 44, but a majority of E/W pairs in the A Final navigated to the superior matchpoint contract.

| Dealer: West | $\text { Q Q } 102$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc 983$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 8 | - A 864 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Pairs Final S1 | \& K J 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ A 95 |  | ¢ K J 643 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K J 4 |  | - A 102 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 1052 \\ & +983 \end{aligned}$ |  | - K Q | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \& A Q 4 | 6 | - | 6 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ 87 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | \$ |
|  | - Q 765 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 973 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 10652 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | 8 |

 - 3NT and Mullamphy duly managed twelve tricks. In the B Final, Marshall Lewis and Joe Haffer found a different route to Notrumps, bidding P-19-1NT - 3NT; Lewis did well not to correct back to spades.
Frequently in bridge, technique has to be its own reward. Defending as North against East's 3NT contract (who had shown a five-card spade suit in the auction), Sartaj Hans saw his partner lead a heart to dummy's jack. At trick two declarer led a spade from the dummy and Hans contributed the queen in tempo! Declarer won and led the king of diamonds to Sartaj who took the ace and returned a diamond to declarer's queen. A small spade was led towards dummy and time stood still while declarer considered whether finesse the nine, believing that the queen of spades was singleton, or to play the ace and refuse to be fooled. Eventually declarer Wubbo de Boer
from the Netherlands played the... ace. +490 was worth $77 \%$ of the matchpoints while +460 would have been almost a bottom board.

| Dealer: East | ¢ --- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AQJ 6 |  |
| Brd 10 | - AJ96 |  |
| Open Pairs Final S1 | \& A 10762 |  |
| - A 3 |  | - K 1052 |
| -1084 |  | -97532 |
| - K 10 |  | -432 |
| ¢KQJ983 |  | + 5 |
|  | @ Q J 9 8 764 |  |
|  | $\bullet$ K |  |
|  | - Q 875 |  |
|  | ¢ 4 |  |


| West <br> Van Jole | North Brown | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Williams | Whibley |  |
|  |  | $2{ }^{\text {Majors }}$ | Pass |  |
| 3NT | Double | Pass | Pass |  |
| 44 | Pass | Pass | 44 |  |
| Double | 4NT | Pass | Pass |  |
| Double | All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | ¢ |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | 9 |

This board created some action in the final. Nathan van Jole as West found an... interesting way to come out with a big score against the then leaders Michael Whibley and Matthew Brown. Initially sorting the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$ into his clubs (and believing he had a solid seven card suit), van Jole leapt to 3NT opposite his partner's $2 \checkmark$ opening showing a weak hand with both majors. Brown naturally doubled and by the time this came back around to him, van Jole had discovered the true shape of his hand and retreated to 4\%. Worried that double would be takeout, Brown passed and Whibley tried 4 . Van Jole decided now was the time to start doubling.
If Brown had dredged up a pass, +790 would've been a fine score, but he opted to pull to 4 NT which van Jole was hardly going to let stand undoubled. Williams led a club, and with the favourable diamond position nine tricks were there but a tenth was not: +200 for the only E/W positive on the board and one of only two $0 \%$ boards for Whibley-Brown over the course of the day.
Dutch guests De Boer-Snellers also ran into trouble here against Jan Cormack and Stephen Lester. De Boer passed, Lester opened 3 and Snellers made a very aggressive 3NT overcall. Cormack doubled that and kept doubling as the opponents first ran to clubs and then hearts. The defence wasn't perfect and the collection was only +800 , good enough for $81 \%$ of the matchpoints. In the championship final there was also an 1100 in 4 x and a 1400 in 4\&x; clearly this hand caused a few issues.

| Dealer: East | - A J 8 |  | West | North | East | South Whibley |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - Q J 2 |  | Selway | Brown | Preddy |  |  |
| Brd 14 | -94 |  |  |  | Pass | Pass |  |
| Open Pairs Final S1 | \& K Q 432 |  | 1 | Double | 19 | Pass |  |
| ¢Q1065 |  | ¢K 732 | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{1}$ | Pass | $29^{2}$ | 34 |  |
| - AK |  | -87653 | 3NT | All Pass |  |  |  |
| - AKQ 1052 |  | - J 63 |  | Makeabl | le Cont | acts |  |
| 4 A |  | ¢ 9 | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ 94 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | ¢ |
|  | -1094 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 87 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& J 108765 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ Artific | , strong, | ${ }^{2}$ Artifici | , weak |  |

Whibley's delayed 3\% bid looked somewhat futile, but it had the unexpected effect of keeping E/W out of their major suit fit. Nine tricks in 3NT was only worth $35 \%$ while eleven tricks in spades would've brought in a much healthier $73 \%$. The timing of the nuisance bid was just right to throw a spanner in the works.
Assuming East-West find their 4-4 spade fit, the key issue for West to solve is the quality of partner's spade suit, particularly the possession or otherwise of the $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathbf{J}$. Hans-Ashton put the issue firmly in the spotlight with their Precision auction:

| West Ashton | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hans |  |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 14* | Pass | 1** | Pass |
| 14* | Pass | 34* | Pass |
| 54! | All P |  |  |

1\& was $16+$ any shape, 1 was $0-7$ any shape. 1 showed 4+ (may be canape) and $3 \uparrow$ by Hans showed $5-7$ with $4+\uparrow$. Ashton's 5 now asked one simple question: do you like your trumps? Hans thought not and he was right. Deep Finesse reveals that the only making slam is $6 \leqslant$; well done to Klinger-Mullamphy and Gumby-Lazer who managed to navigate their way to +920 .


Hans was the star on this deal against overnight second place runners Whibley-Brown. Convinced by the takeout double that Ashton would hold three spades, Hans pulled from 3NT to spades but ran into a double. Whibley led two top hearts followed a small heart for Brown to ruff and Hans to overruff. At trick four Hans played a club and Whibley took the ace to return the suit to dummy's king. A top trump from dummy revealed the position. Now Sartaj could ruff a fourth heart low and lead the jack of diamonds, and when Whibley showed up with three diamonds Sartaj could cash out the suit and then ruff dummy's 10 with the king of trumps (Whibley underruffing). Dummy's $\uparrow$ Q10 covered the $\$ \mathrm{~J}$ for the last two tricks and +590 E/W.

Jamie Ebery and Joe Haffer were also able to engineer similar plays to make the doubled game. Not easy to see (even double dummy), but the winning defence for South is to switch to trumps at trick two before the dummy reversal starts taking shape. Repeated trump leads kill declarer's end position.


This hand looked like a dull partscore deal but there was still some interest in the play of the cards. Most tables got to $2 \boldsymbol{v}$ via the preference auction above. With a difficult choice of leads Smith went for diamonds (many led an unsuccessful trump) and N/S took two tricks there before Ellena Moskovsky ruffed the third round. A heart went to the king and ace with declarer noting the appearance of the $\geqslant 9$.
McGrath returned a club and Moskovsky took the losing finesse, winning the club return in hand. With the spade finesse working, it all came down to how she would play the trumps. Eventually deciding to believe that 9 , she banged down the queen and was rewarded. Now she could cash the 10 and play clubs and then trumps, endplaying North if they held the $\mathbf{\Phi}$ K; nicely done for +110 E/W.

# TABLE COUNT <br> TO THE END OF PLAY SUNDAY NIGHT 1663 (Last Year 2018: 1645, and in 2017: 1529) 

 General Discussion Q \& A

All Directors and Players Most Welcome


Upstairs - Room 12
Opposite Administration


Come and see us at the Gold Coast Congress 2019

## VISIT THE TBIB TEAM AT TBIB's KIOSK IN THE FOYER AT THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS ON THE Monday $18^{\text {th }}$ February TILL Friday $\mathbf{2 2}^{\text {nd }}$ February, 2019.

The team can talk to you about ABF Travel Insurance or any of your insurance matters right there at the GCC

You can talk to us about

- Travel insurance
- Home and contents and motor vehicle insurance
- Insurance for your business
- Cover for your investment or commercial property
and,
- Insurance for your Bridge Club


## Try your luck at the TBIB Prize Wheel

Each day, 5 names will be drawn from the congress register and those 5 will get a chance to spin the TBIB Prize Wheel to win Bridge gift vouchers and a bunch of TBIB goodies. Don't miss out!

WEDNESDAY



Zephyr Education Inc is the charity the Gold Coast Congress and Qld Bridge Association are supporting again in 2019. A huge thank you to the many bridge players who continue to support Zephyr financially and in myriad other ways.
Zephyr provides the full educational needs of children affected by domestic violence in 77 Qld shelters and associated organisations, all 7 of those shelters in Tasmania and 3 at present in Western Australia.

Zephyr is completely run by volunteers and no one is reimbursed for personal expenses - let alone paid a wage. No rent is paid for premises and overheads are minimal, so virtually all money donated by you is spent helping these vulnerable children fit in and be given the opportunity of a full education.
From 1 November 2018 to 31 January 2019 Zephyr approved requests to assist 1043 children from Prep to Year 12 as well as 2 young adults starting TAFE.
Collections will be held Monday and Wednesday before play and after lunch so please give generously to this very practical and worthwhile charity. Carmel martin is available to answer any questions you may have.

## LUCK DESERTS NOVICE PAIRS LEADERS

## Brent Manley

The saying "when it rains, it pours" has a mostly negative connotation, but it can mean the opposite - a string of good events rather than bad ones.
Unfortunately for the North-South leaders of the Novice Pairs, Sunday was the day when the saying took on the most common meaning, at least for them.

Brisbane players Aijun Yang and Sameer Pandya gave credit to random luck for their two good sessions on Saturday, and they were hoping for more of the same on Sunday in the first A Final session.
It didn't work out that way, and in many cases there was nothing they could do about it.


Sameer Pandya and Aijun Yang

Yang and Pandya have been in Australia about 30 years, arriving from China and India, respectively. Yang is an agriculture scientist who works for the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation. Pandya is semi-retired from a job in business development and sales. "I was a deal maker," he says.
The two met at the Toowong Bridge Club in Brisbane and have played together about six months.

In the first final session of the A Final in the Novice Pairs, they started off against Debbie Kennedy and Jennifer Clarebrough who led the East-West qualifiers for the A Final. They are from the Ryo Beach Bridge Club in Mornington Beach Peninsula in Victoria.
The game started with board 13.

| Dealer: North | \& A 75 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: Both | \& J 72 |
| Brd 13 | J 106 |
| Novice Prs Final S1 | \& J 94 |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kennedy | Pandya | Clarebrough Yang |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 10 | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |  |
| 4 | - | 4 | - |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |

Yang started with the $\$ 10$. Clarebrough played low from dummy and won the $\boldsymbol{\top} \mathrm{J}$ when Pandya played low. Clarebrough unblocked dummy's diamonds and played a heart to the ace. Luck was with declarer when the queen drew both outstanding diamonds. Clarebrough was soon claiming plus 400 for an $81 \%$ score.
Yang and Pandya got some back on the next board, earning a $62 \%$ score when Pandya found the best opening lead against another 3NT contract:

| Dealer: East | ¢ A J 8 |  | West | North | East South Clarebrough Yang |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - Q J 2 |  | Kennedy | Pandya |  |  |  |
| Brd 14 | -94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Novice Prs Final 1 | \& K Q 432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ Q 1065 |  | *K 732 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AK |  | -87653 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AK Q 1052 |  | - J 63 |  | Makea | le Con |  |  |
| \& A |  | +9 | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  | ¢94 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | ¢ |
|  | -1094 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 87 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& J 108765 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 8 |

Kennedy ended up in 3NT after opening 1 and later rebidding the suit. Kennedy had an easy nine tricks and could develop a tenth by playing on spades, but not after Pandya led a low club at trick one. Kennedy had to be satisfied with nine tricks for plus 400.
On the next two boards, Yang and Pandya could only sit back and watch the matchpoints heading in the wrong direction.


3 ostensibly showed 10-12 high-card points with four-card heart support. Kennedy acknowledged that she "upgraded" the hand. Yang led the $\checkmark K$ but there was no way for the defence to come to more than one trick. Plus 980 was a $96 \%$ score for East-West.
There was more slam misery for Yang and Pandya on the next board, played against Debra Niesler and Dawn George.

| Dealer: West | ¢ 1074 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - A 6 |  |
| Brd 16 | - J 8753 |  |
| Novice Prs Final S1 | \& 953 |  |
| ¢ Q |  | ¢AKJ 8532 |
| - Q 85432 |  | -10 |
| - A Q 9 |  | - K 62 |
| \& K Q 4 |  | \& A 10 |
|  | ¢96 |  |
|  | - K J 97 |  |
|  | -104 |  |
|  | \& J 8762 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Nieslen | Pandya | George | Yang |  |
| 10 | Pass | 3ゅ | Pass |  |
| 3NT | Pass | 4NT | Pass |  |
| 5 | Pass | 5NT | Pass |  |
| 6 | Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | - | 5 | - |  |
| 6 | - | 6 | - |  |
| 4 | - | 4 | - |  |
| 4 | - | 4 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |

A heart lead would have salvaged 8 matchpoints for North-South, but that's a hard lead to find on the auction. George won the opening diamond lead with dummy's ace, cashed the $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$, played a diamond to her king, then pulled trumps. Her singleton heart went away on one of dummy's clubs. Plus 1460 was good for all the matchpoints for East-West.

Two boards later, Yang and Pandya had one of their best results of the session.

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ K Q } 52 \\ & \bullet A K J \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North <br> Pandya | East | South Yang |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 18 | - A 85 |  |  |  | Pass | Pass |  |
| Novice Prs Final S1 | \& J 42 |  | 3\% | Double | Pass | 3 |  |
| ¢ --- |  | ¢ A 864 | Pass | Pass | 4\% | 4¢ |  |
| - Q 87 |  | -109653 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - 732 |  | - K |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& AK 109875 |  | \& Q 63 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ J 10973 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | \$ |
|  | - 42 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -QJ10964 |  | - | 5 | - | 6 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& --- |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |

Yang jumped at the chance to compete further and, with some help from the defense, she brought home her contract with two overtricks.

West started with a high club, ruffed by Yang. The $\$ 7$ went to the king and East's ace. The next play was a surprising $\forall K$ from East, sparing Yang the chore of guessing how to play the suit. Yang won the $\vee A$, pulled trumps and claimed plus 680 for a $96 \%$ score.
Over the next nine boards - ending with No. 27, Yang and Pandya enjoyed only two scores above average, but when board 1 came along, they seemed to be righting the ship, thanks to Yang's refusal to go quietly.

| Dealer: North Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet K Q J 4 \\ & \bullet 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North <br> Pandya | East | South <br> Yang |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 1 | -K976 |  |  | 14 | Pass | 10 |  |
| Novice Prs Final S1 | \& A 962 |  | Double | Pass | 14 | 20 |  |
| ¢ A 86 |  | ¢ 107532 | 24 | Pass | Pass | 3 |  |
| - Q 6 |  | - J 852 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| -Q J 1052 |  | - A 4 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& Q J 3 |  | \% 85 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - 9 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | - AK 10974 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 83 |  | - | 1 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K 1074 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | 9 |

After West led the $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ A, it wasn't difficult for Yang to get to nine tricks for plus 140 and an $88 \%$ score.
Two boards later, North-South benefited from a defensive error to score an overtrick in $4 \uparrow$ for $92 \%$ of the matchpoints.

| Dealer: South Vul: E-W | ¢K6432 $\bullet$ KQ |  | West | North <br> Pandya | East | South Yang |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 3 | -984 |  |  |  |  | 1 Double |  |
| Novice Prs Final S1 | ¢ 76 |  | Pass | 14 | 20 |  |  |
| ¢ J 107 |  | ¢ 95 | Pass | 24 | 3 | 44 |  |
| -986 |  | - A J 10532 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - 652 |  | - K | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& J 432 |  | \& AK 98 | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | - A Q 8 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | \$ |
|  | - 4 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q J 1073 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q 105 |  | - | 1 | - | - | 9 |

East started with the \&A, followed by the \&K. Looking at dummy's imposing diamond suit and his singleton king, East would have done well to cash the $\vee$ A at trick three. Instead, East played the diamond and Pandya was soon claiming plus 450.

That gave Pandya and Yang some hope for improvement in their score, but over the next nine deals, taking them to the end of the session, they had only two above-average results. One of them was earned by Yang.

| Dealer: East | ¢ --- |  | West | North <br> Pandya | East | South <br> Yang |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AQ J 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 10 | - A J 96 |  |  |  | Pass | 14 |  |
| Novice Prs Final S1 | \& A 10762 |  | 2\% | Double | Pass | 24 |  |
| ¢ A 3 |  | ¢K1052 | Pass | 3NT | Pass | 44 |  |
| -1084 |  | -97532 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - K 10 |  | -432 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& K Q J 983 |  | ¢ 5 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | ¢Q J 98764 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | \$ |
|  | - K |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q 875 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \$ 4 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 9 |

Yang was very light for her vulnerable opener, but Pandya came to her rescue with his nice 16-pointer. West led the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, taken in dummy with the ace. Yang played dummy's low heart to her king and put the $₫ \mathrm{Q}$ on the table. When both opponents played low, Yang continued with the $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ to West's ace. Yang ruffed the club continuation and played the $\$ 6$ to East's 10 . East exited with a diamond, picking up West's king and allowing Yang to get back to her hand via the $\$$. She drove out the trump king with the 99 and was able to claim 10 tricks for plus 620 and an $81 \%$ score.
The final two boards of the session went pretty much the way it had gone up to that point: one good board, one bad one. Pandya earned a $69 \%$ score by engineering a crossruff to get to 10 tricks in $4 \boldsymbol{\bullet}$, but on defense he and Yang allowed declarer in a 4 contract to make an overtrick, leaving them with only $8 \%$ of the matchpoints.

They finished the first session at $39.60 \%$ and clearly had some work to do to get back into contention for the championship.

## OPEN PAIRS FINAL ROUND TWO

## Liam Milne

After the first session of the final, Ron Klinger-Matthew Mullamphy and Michael Whibley-Matthew Brown were virtually tied for the lead. Livesey-Gill, Bookallil-Tutty, Hirst-Gue and Van der Vlugt-Korenhof were not far behind and the event was still wide open.

| Dealer: West | - Q 107542 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - 76 |  |
| Brd 4 | -K9874 |  |
| Open Prs Final S2 | \& --- |  |
| ¢K 93 |  | - A |
| - AJ9 3 |  | $\bullet$ KQ 1085 |
| - Q J 32 |  | - 5 |
| ¢ K 5 |  | \&976432 |
|  | - J 86 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 42$ |  |
|  | - A 106 |  |
|  | \& A Q J 108 |  |

Maurits van der Vlugt and Andre Korenhof, two Dutchmen since flown to Sydney, put the pressure on their opponents on this competitive deal. Opposite van der Vlugt's 'shapely wonder' $2 \oplus$, Korenhof opted to pass 3 and await developments, possibly thinking of doubling 3NT. When McLeod pulled to $4 \checkmark$ Korenhof belatedly supported spades. Having opened 1NT Cleaver probably should have left the decision to his partner, but the undisclosed four-card heart support was enough for him to venture on to the five level, doubled by Korenhof.

To beat the contract double dummy, Korenhof needed to give his partner a club ruff. When he instead led a spade, declarer did the natural thing by winning the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, crossing to dummy's $\downarrow \mathrm{A}$ and cashing the $\boldsymbol{\$}$ K throwing a diamond. At this point, the contract was no longer makeable: after drawing a second round of
 trumps, declarer only has two trump tricks, five ruffs, two spades and the \&K. McLeod accordingly finished one down for N/S +200 and 92\%.

Amazingly, Deep Finesse reveals that declarer must retain their $\leqslant 5$ and instead discard a club on dummy's spade winner! Upon returning to hand in trumps, declarer can lead their carefully preserved diamond. Whether South rises $\forall$ A or ducks, declarer can establish a diamond trick one way or the other for their eleventh trick. Try and do it without the $\downarrow$ : it simply doesn't work.

| Dealer: North | $762$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 5 | - Q 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Prs Final S2 | +J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q } 105 \\ & \checkmark \text { Q } 65 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔K } 43 \\ & \bullet K J 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 874 \\ & \& A K 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | - J 32 |  | Make | Con |  |  |
|  |  | \& Q 854 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | - J 98 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | ¢ |
|  | - 92 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | - AK95 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | +10976 |  | 1 | - | 1 |  | 4 |

Van der Vlugt and Matthew Brown both shone as North on this next board. After similar auctions where West ended in 3NT with no suits shown, both led a heart at their respective tables. Dummy won the 9 J and at trick two declarer played a heart to their $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$.
After ample consideration, van der Vlugt and Brown each won the $\vee A$ and plonked down the $\$$. After the defence ran the diamonds, declarer was one down. Note that North must switch to the $\uparrow Q$ or $\$ 10$ in case declarer holds $\diamond$ A9x; leading a low diamond instead will blow up the suit on that layout.

Dealer: East
Vul: None
Brd 14
Open Prs Final S2

- J 8
- AQJ2
- Q 109753
$\% 9$
- KQ543

West North East South
$-5$
-A 864
\& K 65

|  | -A 1092 <br> - 9843 <br> -K <br> \& A Q 104 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | NT |
| - 76 |  | 3 | - | 3 |  | - |
| - K 1076 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\bullet$ |
| - J 2 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
| \&J8732 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 8 |



Matthew Mullamphy and Ron Klinger

Matthew Mullamphy impressed me with his line in $4 \checkmark$ declared by East. After Justin Williams had overcalled 14 as North, Nathan van Jole led the $\boldsymbol{\$} 7$ to the queen and ace. Mullamphy took a heart finesse before sneaking a diamond to the bare king. A spade to the jack and king put Williams on lead who switched to clubs, Mullamphy taking the ace. Another heart finesse revealed the bad break.

Mullamphy now made the key play: the $\vee$ Q from dummy, pinning the jack! Williams declined to cover so Mullamphy threw a club. The $\$ 10$ followed, Williams again ducking, Mullamphy discarding his penultimate club and van Jole ruffing with the 10 . Dummy still had two trumps so van Jole could not afford to exit his trump as declarer would claim the rest, so he opted to make dummy ruff by returning a club. At this point Mullamphy was cold for an overtrick by drawing the outstanding trump and making his $\uparrow 10-9$, but something went wrong and van Jole was able to make a trick at the end. This didn't distract from the beauty of the earlier play.

| Dealer: East | ¢ 5 |  | West | North | East | South <br> Lazer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - AK 963 |  | Snellers | Gumby | De Boer |  |  |
| Brd 18 | - AQ95 |  |  |  | 14 | Pass |  |
| Open Prs Final S2 | \& K 74 |  | 24 | 30 | 4 | 5 |  |
| ¢ 1097 |  | ¢ A K Q 8432 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 8 |  | - 52 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K108764 |  | - J 3 |  | Makea | le Contr | cts |  |
| 4 J |  | \% 62 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ J 6 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | ¢ |
|  | - 1074 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 2 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A Q 109853 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\%$ |

Warren Lazer did well to compete to the five level in what turned out to be only an eight-card fit, but perhaps introducing his long suit would have worked better. Having passed the first time out of deference to the vulnerability, on the second round of the auction he faced an ugly decision whether to pass, bid 50 or $5 \mathbf{~ H e}$ chose to bravely bid $5 \bullet$ despite the obvious dangers of being doubled and was rewarded with +650 , but what if he had bid $5 \&$ ? Logically this must be implying a moderate heart fit, and Gumby may well have been tempted to bid the slam; poor on the auction, but successful.
Stephen Fischer and Therese Demarco (eleventh at the start of the session) were one of the pairs to find $6 \mathbf{\$}$, a sign of things to come as they climbed up the rankings.


Dealer: East

- K 943

Vul: E-W

- 952

Brd 22
Open Prs Final S2

- ---
- AKQJ73
-AK2
\& J 853
- 109763
$\%$ Q
- J 1062
- --
- Q J 854
- K 942

> A Q 875 10864 --1076

| West Gill | North <br> Cormack | East <br> Livesey | South <br> Lester |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 191 | Pass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & 1 v^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Pass |  |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | 3 | - | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 1 | ¢ |
| - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | 9 |

${ }^{1}$ Nat clubs or $17+$ any, ${ }^{2}$ Negative

Near the end of the day, this board was one of the swingiest of the session. Peter Gill-Peter Livesey had the above auction to 'right-side' $4 \mathbf{v}$; it is much easier for North to lead their singleton club (or a diamond) than it is for South to lead the \&A. Nevertheless, Stephen Lester unerringly put the $\& A$ on the table and continued the suit. Wave goodbye to all of declarer's minor cards as N/S rapidly cross-ruffed the first seven tricks. Livesey only made dummy's six solid trumps; $+400 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{S}$.
Top honours for N/S on the board did not go to Lester/Cormack, however. Whibley-Brown defended $60 \times$ by West, Lightner doubled by Whibley (S) for an unusual lead. Brown obliged with a diamond lead and the defence produced the same cross-ruff: $+1700 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{S}$.
Lying third at this point in the event, Stephen Fischer and Therese Demarco scored very well here as E/W. Fischer doubled Paul Hooykaas' 14 opening and saw Martin Doran raise to 34 . Expecting spade shortage opposite, Demarco ventured $4 \diamond$ and Fischer bid $4 \bullet$. When the opponents competed to $4 \boldsymbol{4}$, Fischer valued his diamond fit with partner and pushed on to $5 \boldsymbol{v}$. On a spade lead (six tricks difference compared to a minor suit), Fischer somehow managed to build a club trick to record a sweet $+680 \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ and $96 \%$ of the matchpoints.
Fischer-Demarco went on to make a doubled partscore on the next board before beating a game on the last board of the round to record almost all the matchpoints from those three boards. Another good round to finish bumped them up to $64.96 \%$ for the session and into the lead with one session to go. Just trailing them are seasoned internationals Whibley-Brown while the rest of the field is a fair way behind, so tomorrow looks like a race to the podium between these two pairs unless there is a breakaway from the peloton chasing them.


We encourage all players to dress in keeping with the Gold Coast Annual Theme for Wednesday Teams Sessions. The theme is "Sand to Sea" - make of it what you will! The photography will commence when play finishes and the parade from 2:30pm to $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ Wednesday $20^{\text {th }}$ February. Prizes will be available for some combination of groups, pairs or individuals.

## Personalised Results via SMS Text

If you would like to receive a text message at the end of each match with your result and next round draw, register your phone number at: https://tinyurl.com/GCCSMS
The service will be operating for Teams and Swiss Pairs events, and will be available to Australian phone numbers only.

## DEFENCE IN DETAIL

Liam Milne


When the opponents have done something good, don't give up: look for a way out.
Near the end of a Swiss qualification during an international tournament, you find yourself on lead against slam holding the following hand:

- K652 - J65 - 943 \& 965

Sitting West, you see your opponents conduct the following auction:

What would you lead against the slam?
Heeding Garozzo's advice "games may be quietly defended but slams must be attacked", you select the two of spades.
Dummy appears:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 64 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |



## Lead: $\mathbf{\$ 2}$

The first trick proceeds: two of spades, four, seven, ace. Declarer takes the king of diamonds, the queen (overtaken with the ace) and the jack, throwing a small club. Next comes a trump from the dummy. Partner plays the king and declarer wins the ace.
Declarer continues with the queen of hearts and a low heart to your jack, partner throwing the $\$ 8$ and the $\$ 8$. Do you return a spade or a club?

The first step is to reconstruct declarer's shape. Six hearts and two diamonds are known, and both the auction and the play to the first trick mark declarer with a singleton spade and four clubs. Declarer is down to three trumps and three clubs.

An important skill in defence is to focus on the layout that matters. If declarer has the $\& K-Q$, they would have claimed, while if declarer is missing the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ there is no way for them to avoid a club loser. Partner must have the \&Q and declarer the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$.

It looks like declarer has successfully endplayed you. Do you open up the clubs or concede a spade trick?
The one play we can completely rule out is the king of spades, a 'give up' play. Declarer will ruff and later throw a club. A club is a possibility, but this will immediately concede the contract when partner has $\& Q-x$ and declarer has $\$ \mathrm{~K}-10-x-x$.

The defence that has the most appeal is a low spade. If declarer puts up the queen of spades he will prevail, but is there any reason for him to do this? Most likely, declarer will play a reflexive ten of spades from the dummy and all of the defensive chances will be alive.

The full deal:

| DIr: East | - Q 104 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: EW | -943 |
|  | - A J 5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { You (W) } \\ &-K 652 \\ & \text { J } 65 \\ &-943 \\ & \& 965 \end{aligned}$ | ¢ A J 74 |
|  | N - J 9873 |
|  | $w \quad$ W AK1093 |
|  | W E 105 |
|  | S $¢ 95$ |
|  | ¢ A |
|  | - AQ 10873 |
|  | -KQ |
|  | \& K 832 |

When you get off play with a low spade, declarer mis-guesses by playing the ten. After ruffing partner's $\boldsymbol{\top} \mathbf{J}$, declarer plays their penultimate trump on which you discard a spade, dummy a club and partner a spade. Declarer ends up taking the club finesse and you write down +100 .
All declarer had to do was play their last trump and you would have been exposed to a "show-up squeeze", forced to come down to two clubs in order to hold the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$. After discarding the $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$ from the dummy, declarer would have a good chance to drop the \&Q. Luckily, our opponents don't always play perfectly!

Point to remember: after something goes wrong in the defence or declarer makes a good play, there is often life still in the hand. If the hand is over, let declarer claim; until then, work out what chance there is to beat the contract.

## WELCOME TO NEW PLAYERS AT THE GCC

Brent Manley



## Have You Discussed? Part 3

This actually happened at a bridge tournament. The bidding went $1 \downarrow$ - Pass $-2 \vee$ - Pass; 5NT - All Pass. Opener scolded her partner. "You can't pass 5NT!" The befuddled responder asked, "What kind of bid is 5NT?" Said opener, "I was asking for kings." "But you didn't ask for aces! "I didn't have to," said opener. "I had all four of them."

Just as everyone knows about Blackwood 4NT, everyone knows about Stayman, right? Easy as pie: Partner opens 1NT, next player passes and you bid $2 \&$ to see if partner has a four-card major. What could be simpler?
Hang on: What if partner bids $2 \boldsymbol{\text { ? }}$ ? Does that deny four cards in hearts? Have you discussed which suit to bid first if as opener you have both majors? In general, it will be better to bid hearts first, giving responder the opportunity to bid 2 , showing a raise to 2 NT (invitational) with four spades. On the occasions when the two hands will make only eight tricks in spades (bad breaks, ruffs...whatever), you will be happy to have this agreement. You can't have it, of course, if you haven't discussed it.

Nowadays, many partnerships use a direct bid of 2NT as a transfer (usually to diamonds), so they have to go through Stayman to invite game in Notrump. If you are really fond of using 2NT in this way, skip to the next paragraph. If not, consider that over the long haul the opponents will have many opportunities to double $2 \boldsymbol{q}$ for the lead. These are opportunities they would not have if you played 2NT as simply natural.
Down from the soapbox now.
Okay, what if the bidding goes $1 \mathrm{NT}-2 \boldsymbol{2} ; 2 \mathrm{NT}$ ? Does this promise 4@? Logically, it should. Otherwise, why bother with Stayman? You will be more confident if you talk it over to be sure.

Well, consider what you would do with this hand after partner opens 1NT:

- A 7 Q Q 85 - 6 KQJ 1064

Wouldn't you want to at least explore slam in clubs if partner bids 2 (no major) or 2 (denying four hearts)? This could be one of those deals where you are cold for 6and going down in 3NT.
If you have a weak hand with clubs, there are other ways to show it, so logically $3 \%$ should be forcing with slam interest. Same with 3 in that sequence. It's best, of course, not to spring this on partner for the first time in the middle of the auction.

Here's another topic for discussion: Partner opens 1NT and you have 5-4 or 6-4 in the majors and game values. What do you do? Have you and partner discussed the Smolen transfer?

Transfers are used when partner opens 1NT (strong) or 2NT in order to keep the strong hand concealed and to force the opening lead into the strong hand rather than through it. When you have both majors - 5-4 or 6-4 either way - you could transfer into the longer suit and bid 3NT, but then you might miss your 4-4 fit. What to do?
The late Mike Smolen devised a solution: bid Stayman and raise to game if partner bids a major. If the response is $2 \checkmark$ (no major), you simply jump in the shorter suit to show four of the suit you're jumping in and five (occasionally six) of the other major. Partner, with only two of the longer suit, can prefer 3NT or, with three of responder's fourcard suit, decide to play a 4-3 fit instead. When responder has 4-6 shape, he can transfer to the longer suit over $3 N T$, assuring that the strong hand will be concealed. For example: 1NT $-2 \boldsymbol{e} ; 2-3 \vee ; 3 N T-4 \vee ; 4 \boldsymbol{-}$ Pass. This bidding shows that responder has six spades and four hearts.

As part of your discussion of this convention, be sure to promise each other that it's okay to forget the convention the first two or three times it comes up. The jump sounds so natural that it's easy to forget what it means. Can you guess how I know so much about this part of playing Smolen?

## GROW YOUR CLUB

Peter Cox


Peter Cox is the Head of Marketing for the ABF and an expert writer and TV commentator on media and sports economics

## Membership Campaigns

We have established in the earlier columns in this series that the number of bridge players around the world including Australia is under threat from a maturing player group aged over 70, a high attrition rate and a very competitive leisure market.

I have spoken to the head of marketing at the ACBL in the US who have marketing programs but no way of measuring the results. Recently I was fortunate to spend considerable time in Sydney with a visiting board member of the English Bridge Union who is responsible for marketing in England and we shared extensive research and strategies.
He concluded that "Clearly there are many similar issues facing Bridge in both countries. These include age profiles and difficulties in acquiring new members." The EBU Membership Campaign and the ACBL Cooperative Advertising Campaign experiences have been incorporated in our strategies.

## ABF Objective

The ABF Management Committee has decided to implement a new marketing approach to assist clubs to grow the number of new players and to increase the retention rate of students.

## Strategy

The use of traditional media including newspapers, radio and direct mail has proven to be largely ineffective in the past and has not provided a reasonable return on marketing investment. The new approach is intended to take advantage of more cost efficient social media advertising.
We will use Facebook advertising campaigns with the ABF providing financial and administrative support to participating clubs.

Facebook claims that 15 million Australians or $60 \%$ are active Facebook users with 6.9 million over the age of 40.

Facebook allows advertisers to target specific audiences by serving ads into the News Feeds of people who have no connections to them. The great advantage of Facebook is that the advertiser creates their own ads on Facebook and can closely define target locations, post codes, ages, gender, and user profiles.
ABF marketing has conducted a small trial in Sydney and can help clubs with establishing their own campaigns.

## Bridge Clubs

The ABF will subsidise the selected bridge clubs on a dollar for dollar basis up to a limit of $\$ 1000$ for any one club.

The clubs will be refunded on proof of payment and conditions including they are required to conduct entry and exit surveys, return campaign results to the ABF and provide teaching and supervised sessions.
The clubs will need to establish a buddy or mentor system to support beginners and improvers to get through the teaching and supervised stages to become club members.
The value to a bridge club of each member is an average $\$ 400$ a year, with some clubs receiving far more, and the revenue to the ABF for capitation and masterpoint fees is $\$ 22$ per year.

## ABF Marketing

A more detailed package will be available from marketing@abf.com.au
Visit Peter Cox at the ABF Marketing Stand or ring him on 0413676326 to discuss how we can work together to grow your bridge club.

## if TWOME日 YOUR COMPLETE MOVING PROFESSIONALS

we move people


Phone: 1300880412

Email: truck@twomen.com.au

Online: www.twomen.com.au


Residential Removals
 Removals


DO YOU HAVE AN APPLE OR ANDROID DEVICE? Download the Gold Coast Congress APP

On this APP you will be able to follow CONTACT DETAILS, SCORES, PHOTOS, INFORMATION, GUEST SPEAKERS AND NOTIFICATIONS
For the online version click on the appropriate logo
iPhone Store http://tinyurl.com/ibtrxtz iPad Store http://tinyurl.com/hfgnhig Android Store: http://tinyurl.com/joybod9


Located under Wave Resort
LUNCH DEAL: Regular coffee + sandwich $\$ 13$
You can pre order in the morning It will be ready by the lunch break!


## THE KLINGER QUIZ

Ron Klinger
Teams: Dealer North, Nil vulnerable.
You are sitting as West, declaring in 4. after the following auction below. North leads the

| Teams | West | East | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dealer: North | - K Q 652 | - 83 |  | 3 | Pass | Pass |
| Vul: Nil | - Q J 6 | - AK 872 | 34 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
|  | $\text { K } 862$ | - 104 <br> $\%$ K J 102 | 4 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Contract: 44 by West Lead: 99 by North

Solution: ALL IN GOOD TIME

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: Both | -94 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 9 |  |
|  | - J73 |  |
|  | \&AQ87653 |  |
| ¢ K Q J 652$\bullet$ Q 6 |  | - 83 |
|  |  | - AK872 |
| -K862 |  | -104 |
| \& --- |  | +K J 102 |
|  | - A 107 |  |
|  | -10543 |  |
|  | - A Q 95 |  |
|  | +94 |  |

In the final of a National Open Teams the contract was 4 4 at both tables on this deal (diagram on the left).

At both tables the bidding and lead were the same. The lead is very likely a singleton and so trumps figure to be 3-2. North is less likely to have a 7-4-1-1 or 8-3-1-1 pattern.
If North has three spades and South has the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathrm { A }}$, you are doomed. Your best hope is for the $\boxtimes$ A with South and North to have two trumps or $4 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$. You should take care not to block the hearts and plan to pitch two diamonds on dummy's long hearts later. Therefore duck the heart in dummy, win in hand and lead a top spade.

That is how one West played. South won the first trump and gave North a heart ruff. North returned a diamond to the ace and South played a third heart. When North discarded, declarer overtook in dummy, cashed another heart to pitch a diamond and then played $\leqslant \mathrm{K}$, ruff a diamond, ruff a club, draw trumps for +420 .

At the other table West took the heart lead with dummy's ace and led the $\$ 3$, hoping for South to play second-hand-low. Not this time. South rose with the $\boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ A and led the $\boldsymbol{\bullet}$ for North to ruff. North tried to cash the \&A, but West ruffed and played four rounds of spades. South did not let go of a heart and so declarer could do no more than overtake the $\Psi \mathrm{J}$ with the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$, cash the $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathrm{K}$ for one diamond discard and lead a diamond. South rose with the $>A$ and West still had another diamond to lose for one down.

## RonKlingerBridge.com



TOP SCORES - SUNDAY ROOKIE PAIRS

| Place | North-South | $\%$ | Place | East-West | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Stephen Bennett - Louise Bennett | 62.33 | 1 | Caroline Nelson - Felicity Mckenzie | 60.84 |
| 2 | Barbara Tumilowicz - Sylvia Jurkiewicz | 57.19 | 2 | Muriel Baker - Suzan Haynes | 58.98 |
| 3 | Michael Murtagh - Christine Lane | 56.90 | 3 | Vanessa Wells - Helen Baran | 58.64 |
| 4 | Peter Green - Sue Green | 56.27 | 4 | Linda Neil - Peter Neil | 58.43 |
| 5 | Marjory Harms - Jane Gordon | 56.13 | 5 | Maria Miller - Anne Gardiner | 58.05 |
| 6 | Gayleen Trevaskis - Jennifer Brangan | 55.89 | 6 | Richard Hemphill - Janet Lewis | 57.88 |
| 7 | Jenny Sanders - Keith Sanders | 54.48 | 7 | Wes Assaad - Kerrie Goldston | 56.76 |
| 8 | Neil Cawthorne - Annie Vaughan | 54.34 | 8 | Heather Mckelvie-Morris - Carmel Dwan | 54.27 |
| 9 | Rosemary Muller - Vivienne Van Rooyen | 53.93 | 9 | Midge Spice - Lynda Bennion | 53.01 |
| 10 | Denyse Stephens - Wendy Sheehan | 53.50 | 10 | Wendy Carter - Diane Tierney | 52.42 |

## OPEN PAIRS SCORES AFTER FINALS 2 OF 3 - Leading Scores

| Leading Scores Open Final A |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |  | Leading Scores Open Final B | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Therese Demarco - Stephen Fischer | 50.65 | 64.96 | 57.81 | 1 | Giselle Mundell - Matt Smith | 58.12 | 53.65 | 55.89 |
| 2 | Michael Whibley - Matthew Brown | 57.31 | 57.98 | 57.65 | 2 | Joachim Haffer - Marshall Lewis | 47.44 | 62.39 | 54.92 |
| 3 | Bill Hirst - Phil Gue | 54.47 | 54.13 | 54.30 | 3 | Nabil Edgtton - John Mcallister | 60.68 | 48.20 | 54.44 |
| 4 | Karen Creet - Andrew Creet | 52.89 | 54.84 | 53.87 | 4 | Will Jenner-O'Shea - Mike Doecke | 44.73 | 61.05 | 52.89 |
| 5 | Ron Klinger - Matt Mullamphy | 57.36 | 49.72 | 53.54 | 5 | Eva Samuel - Maxim Henbest | 53.99 | 51.48 | 52.74 |
| 6 | Justin Williams - Nathan Van Jole | 48.85 | 56.13 | 52.49 | 6 | Peter Buchen - George Smolanko | 48.72 | 56.70 | 52.71 |
| 7 | Peter Livesey - Peter Gill | 56.96 | 47.86 | 52.41 | 7 | Andrew Peake - Elizabeth Adams | 51.85 | 53.47 | 52.66 |
| Leading Scores Open Final C |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |  | Leading Scores Open Final D | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| 1 | Nicky Strasser - George Bilski | 54.81 | 64.25 | 59.53 | 1 | Marlene Watts - Michael Prescott | 54.27 | 61.09 | 57.68 |
| 2 | Anne Brenner - David Caprera | 66.51 | 50.14 | 58.33 | 2 | Michael Johnstone - Paula Gregory | 59.12 | 56.07 | 57.60 |
| 3 | Zolly Nagy - David Middleton | 56.26 | 59.83 | 58.05 | 3 | Herman Yuan - Andrew Liu | 61.54 | 51.45 | 56.50 |
| 4 | Watson Zhou - Charlie Lu | 57.93 | 55.41 | 56.67 | 4 | Blair Fisher - Liz Fisher | 49.29 | 59.45 | 54.37 |
| 5 | Wallace Ashton - Andy Hung | 61.93 | 48.58 | 55.26 | 5 | Lorraine Stachurski - Alister Stuck | 55.41 | 52.87 | 54.14 |
| 6 | Jason Hackett - John Sansom | 51.60 | 58.83 | 55.22 | 6 | Teri Smoot - Ti Davis | 51.00 | 56.72 | 53.86 |
| 7 | Gary Foidl - Anthony Hopkins | 63.17 | 46.30 | 54.74 | 7 | Attilio De Luca - Susan Emerson | 56.13 | 50.98 | 53.56 |
| Leading Scores Open Final E |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |  | Leading Scores Open Final F | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| 1 | Julie Sheridan - Karen Martelletti | 58.40 | 64.53 | 61.47 | 1 | Julian Foster - David Weston | 56.55 | 59.83 | 58.19 |
| 2 | George Sun - Alice Young | 50.71 | 65.38 | 58.05 | 2 | Siegfried Konig - Terry O'Dempsey | 55.41 | 57.12 | 56.27 |
| 3 | Owen Camp - Anisia Shami | 66.81 | 49.00 | 57.91 | 3 | Mark Guthrie - Julie Guthrie | 59.69 | 47.15 | 53.42 |
| 4 | Lori Smith - Angela Norris | 62.39 | 51.99 | 57.19 | 4 | Paul Weaver - Terry Bodycote | 53.42 | 53.28 | 53.35 |
| 5 | Viv Wood - Sue Lusk | 57.55 | 52.85 | 55.20 | 5 | Neville Francis - Magnus Moren | 51.71 | 54.42 | 53.07 |
| 6 | Kieran Dyke - Jane Dawson | 55.27 | 54.13 | 54.70 | 6 | Alexander Cook - Robin Ho | 56.55 | 49.29 | 52.92 |
| 7 | Zachary Neulinger - Jeremy Fraser-Hoskin | 50.85 | 57.55 | 54.20 | 7 | Barbara Gassmann - Krystyna Homik | 58.97 | 46.58 | 52.78 |
| Leading Scores Open Final G |  | S1 | S2 | Avg | Leading Scores Open Final H |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| 1 | Craig Francis - Richard Wallis | 68.52 | 60.42 | 64.47 | 1 | Lynn Baker - Bev Peters | 54.23 | 56.65 | 55.44 |
| 2 | Don Levin - Bob Ashman | 62.50 | 53.24 | 57.87 | 2 | Bill Nash - James Wallis | 51.81 | 57.86 | 54.84 |
| 3 | Pam Schoen - Phil Hale | 54.63 | 58.33 | 56.48 | 3 | Christine Chandler - Stephen Miller | 51.21 | 58.16 | 54.69 |
| 4 | Sandra Milner - Vera Rowland | 54.40 | 55.09 | 54.75 | 4 | Jamie Mcfall - Frances Gaunt | 48.49 | 60.58 | 54.54 |
| 5 | Kate Terry - Marion Kelly | 57.18 | 52.08 | 54.63 | 5 | Anne Bell - Christophe Leach | 54.84 | 52.42 | 53.63 |
| 6 | Eva Shand - Les Varadi | 48.84 | 53.94 | 51.39 | 6 | Bill Morgan - Philip Thompson | 51.51 | 55.14 | 53.33 |
| 6 | Janet Hill - Bernie Adcock | 45.83 | 56.94 | 51.39 | 7 | Ann Mellings - Marion Spurrier | 57.86 | 42.44 | 50.15 |


| Leading Scores Seniors Final A |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |  | Leading Scores Seniors Final B | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tony Marinos - Peter Grant | 175.00 | 190.00 | 182.50 | 1 | Peter Chan - Bob Sebesfi | 301.00 | 296.67 | 298.84 |
| 2 | Martin Bloom - Nigel Rosendorff | 162.00 | 199.00 | 180.50 | 2 | Robert Krochmalik - Paul Lavings | 250.00 | 331.11 | 290.56 |
| 3 | David Hoffman - Richard Brightling | 183.00 | 172.00 | 177.50 | 3 | Marilyn Chadwick - Toni Sharp | 329.00 | 225.00 | 277.00 |
| 3 | Barry Palmer - Neil Stuckey | 187.00 | 168.00 | 177.50 | 4 | Ewa Kowalczyk - Gheorghi Belonogov | 249.00 | 264.78 | 256.89 |
| 5 | Dee Harley - Elizabeth Havas | 177.00 | 173.00 | 175.00 | 5 | Bill Lockwood - David Lusk | 249.00 | 263.22 | 256.11 |
| 6 | Arjuna De Livera - George Kozakos | 186.00 | 156.00 | 171.00 | 6 | Lynne Geursen - Patsy Walters | 250.00 | 261.89 | 255.95 |
| 7 | Sam Arber - Richard Greenfield | 164.00 | 176.00 | 170.00 | 7 | Emlyn Williams - Tim Davis | 284.00 | 220.11 | 252.06 |

## INTERMEDIATE PAIRS SCORES AFTER FINALS 2 OF 3 - Leading Scores

| Leading Scores Intermediate Final A |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |  | Leading Scores Intermediate Final B | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kinga Hajmasi - Andrew Michl | 59.86 | 59.18 | 59.52 | 1 | Jenny Williams - lan Barfoot | 53.65 | 63.53 | 58.59 |
| 2 | Rob Hurst - Rowan Corbett | 57.64 | 54.48 | 56.06 | 2 | Chris Larter - John Lahey | 56.62 | 58.97 | 57.80 |
| 3 | Julia Zhu - Tony Jiang | 56.96 | 52.77 | 54.87 | 3 | Jane Henderson - Karen Wallwork | 51.15 | 61.97 | 56.56 |
| 4 | Keith Blinco - Eric Baker | 59.01 | 50.65 | 54.83 | 4 | David Graham - Jill Graham | 55.45 | 53.70 | 54.58 |
| 5 | Winny Chan - Sheila Wills | 56.80 | 51.79 | 54.30 | 5 | Sharyn Dilosa - Mandy Johnson | 52.32 | 56.70 | 54.51 |
| 6 | Don Cameron - Adrian Lohmann | 56.92 | 50.36 | 53.64 | 6 | David Featherstone - John Sherlock | 59.07 | 49.00 | 54.04 |
| 7 | Johanna Thomas - Elizabeth Voveris | 49.18 | 57.55 | 53.37 | 7 | Annie Pilcher - Camilla Boyd | 54.99 | 52.42 | 53.71 |
| Leading Scores Intermediate Final C |  | S1 | S2 | Avg | Leading Scores Intermediate Final D |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| 1 | Diana Keeling - Sandra Purser | 49.28 | 61.11 | 55.20 | 1 | Freddie Zulfiqar - John Aquino | 64.66 | 62.35 | 63.51 |
| 2 | Loraine King - Helen Standfast | 46.55 | 62.25 | 54.40 | 2 | Jeanette Chatterton - Dawn Simpson | 63.27 | 56.94 | 60.11 |
| 3 | Rosemary Carleton - Glenda Vincent | 65.11 | 43.30 | 54.21 | 3 | Richard Stuart - Carol Joseph | 51.40 | 62.96 | 57.18 |
| 4 | Penny Cockbill - Gillian Richmond | 50.09 | 57.69 | 53.89 | 4 | Janice Steward - Jan Chivers | 56.67 | 54.17 | 55.42 |
| 5 | Clive Klugman - Graham Carson | 57.20 | 50.57 | 53.89 | 5 | Judy Scott - Lance Coffey | 55.56 | 54.78 | 55.17 |
| 6 | Max Gilbert - Kathy Gilbert | 52.25 | 53.70 | 52.98 | 6 | Jorgen Boettiger - Matt Raj Mal | 52.47 | 55.25 | 53.86 |
| 7 | Allison Simon - Marg Fanning | 55.93 | 49.72 | 52.83 | 7 | Gwen Wiles - Lynne Milne | 50.52 | 56.02 | 53.27 |
| Leading Scores Intermediate Final E |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Ruth Goerg - Cristel Philp | 54.68 | 57.56 | 56.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Carole Cooke - John Pellen | 58.64 | 52.88 | 55.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Barbara O'Shea - Glenda Parmenter | 48.56 | 58.64 | 53.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Janice Coventry - Margaret Glover | 54.32 | 52.88 | 53.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Kelly Barber - Leslie Treasure | 53.24 | 51.44 | 52.34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Judith Anderson - Trish Arnold | 53.60 | 50.00 | 51.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Carmella Rauchberger - Piroska Ehrich | 41.36 | 56.84 | 49.10 |  |  |  |  |  |

## RESTRICTED PAIRS SCORES AFTER FINALS 2 OF 3 - Leading Scores

| Leading Scores Restricted Final A |  | S1 | S2 | Avg | Leading Scores Restricted Final B |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Neil Williams - Peter Fitzmaurice | 60.68 | 64.39 | 62.54 | 1 | lan Bannister - Fran Martin | 54.99 | 63.55 | 59.27 |  |
| 2 | Maciej Szczesny - Mariusz Tumilowicz | 62.96 | 50.14 | 56.55 | 2 | Brad Tattersfield - Jan Borren | 58.26 | 57.83 | 58.05 |  |
| 3 | Nick Walsh - George Wagner | 57.41 | 54.27 | 55.84 | 3 | Tony Morcom - Ming High | 57.41 | 54.71 | 56.06 |  |
| 4 | David Earnshaw - Daniel Chua | 55.27 | 53.56 | 54.42 | 4 | Christine Nice - Lorna Shuttlewood | 51.14 | 59.61 | 55.38 |  |
| 5 | Pam Whitehead - Stuart Grant | 50.43 | 57.83 | 54.13 | 5 | Marieta Borthwick - Annegrete Kolding | 55.27 | 55.08 | 55.18 |  |
| 6 | Denise Mayhew - Dave Garret | 56.98 | 49.15 | 53.07 | 6 | Jane Gray - Teena Mckenzie | 53.85 | 55.96 | 54.91 |  |
| 7 | Sam Ward - Julia Barnett | 56.13 | 49.00 | 52.57 | 7 | Graeme Dick - Margaret Dick | 61.54 | 46.68 | 54.11 |  |
| Leading Scores Restricted Final C |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |  | Leading Scores Restricted Final D | S1 | S2 | Avg |  |
| 1 | Alex Penklis - Phillip Halloran | 62.68 | 56.56 | 59.62 | 1 | Jane O'Brien - Chris Hagen | 59.97 | 66.95 | 63.46 |  |
| 2 | Louise Brassil - Michael Brassil | 52.14 | 63.48 | 57.81 | 2 | Jane Doig - Bridget Ryan | 48.29 | 65.24 | 56.77 |  |
| 3 | Deborah Matthews - Darryl Dowthwaite | 45.44 | 65.23 | 55.34 | 3 | George Gibson - Lynne Layton | 61.97 | 50.71 | 56.34 |  |
| 4 | Donald Mather - John Joseph | 56.70 | 53.71 | 55.21 | 4 | Alison Pratten - Margaret Titley | 53.42 | 57.12 | 55.27 |  |
| 5 | Ingrid Cooke - Sally Fraser | 57.83 | 52.55 | 55.19 | 5 | Kaye Mccredie - Yvonne Helps | 55.98 | 53.56 | 54.77 |  |
| 6 | Odette Hall - Dianne Hillman | 51.28 | 57.64 | 54.46 | 6 | Patrick Morgan - Julie Alliston | 56.27 | 50.71 | 53.49 |  |
| 7 | Lesley Power - Susan Lee | 52.28 | 54.65 | 53.47 | 7 | Sandie Rooke - Sarah Young | 53.28 | 52.71 | 53.00 |  |
| Leading Scores Restricted Final E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S1 | S2 |
| 1 | Avg |  | Leading Scores Restricted Final F | S1 | S2 | Avg |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Margaret Robertson - Don Robertson | 55.13 | 65.53 | 60.33 | 1 | Yvonne Doole - Ron Janes | 70.75 | 48.60 | 59.68 |  |


| 2 | Delores Graves - Suzanne Cole | 65.38 | 52.85 | 59.12 | 2 | Di Garside - Donna Fitch | 56.61 | 54.81 | 55.71 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | lan Cameron - Colleen Moule | 54.13 | 63.20 | 58.67 | 3 | Maria Campbell - Rick Gaylard | 52.75 | 55.67 | 54.21 |
| 4 | Daria Williams - Jennifer Sawyer | 50.28 | 63.20 | 56.74 | 4 | Drew Campi - Moira Smith | 54.78 | 51.29 | 53.04 |
| 5 | Mary Penington - Barbara Gordon | 53.13 | 55.70 | 54.42 | 4 | David Munro - Peter Rollond | 52.20 | 53.86 | 53.03 |
| 6 | Kim Reeder - George Till | 56.27 | 52.04 | 54.16 | 6 | Teresa Phillips - David Spencer | 57.16 | 45.85 | 51.51 |
| 7 | Marilyn Faiman - Bella Szmerling | 49.86 | 55.74 | 52.80 | 7 | John Toogood - Margie Toogood | 51.47 | 50.68 | 51.08 |

## NOVICE PAIRS SCORES AFTER FINALS 2 OF 3 - Leading Scores

| Leading Scores Novice Final A |  | S1 | S2 | Avg | Leading Scores Novice Final B |  | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | James Parker - Warwick Wilkins | 56.27 | 53.42 | 54.85 | 1 | Sue Smith - Penny Van Der Riet | 53.42 | 61.54 | 57.48 |
| 2 | Martin Brown - Gail Mckenzie | 58.83 | 49.43 | 54.13 | 2 | Karen Thompson - Jennifer Matheson | 55.27 | 55.41 | 55.34 |
| 3 | Debbie Kennedy - Jennifer Clarebrough | 60.68 | 47.29 | 53.99 | 3 | Claudia Gibson - Graham White | 52.56 | 57.98 | 55.27 |
| 4 | Martin Coote - James Harvey | 55.56 | 52.14 | 53.85 | 4 | Nola Anderson - Diane Smith | 51.85 | 58.40 | 55.13 |
| 5 | Deirdre Doepel - Jennifer Sharpe | 55.41 | 51.00 | 53.21 | 5 | Raelene Clark - Angela Lawson | 55.41 | 54.84 | 55.13 |
| 6 | Steve Colling - Mary Colling | 53.85 | 51.71 | 52.78 | 6 | Anne Kelly - Barbara Backshall | 53.56 | 54.99 | 54.28 |
| 7 | Sonia Roulston - Anna Swanson | 54.13 | 49.15 | 51.64 | 7 | Fiona Ferwerda - Penny Robertson | 50.28 | 56.98 | 53.63 |
|  | Leading Scores Novice Final C | S1 | S2 | Avg |  | Leading Scores Novice Final D | S1 | S2 | Avg |
| 1 | Michael Mumford - Alison Banks | 54.07 | 61.81 | 57.94 | 1 | Andrea Parkins - Catherine Flowers | 63.19 | 55.09 | 59.14 |
| 2 | Maeve Doyle - Diana Mcauliffe | 57.87 | 53.47 | 55.67 | 2 | Dominique Treloar - Jamshid Vazirzadeh | 54.40 | 61.11 | 57.76 |
| 3 | Fiona Trescowthick - Sarah Acton | 56.71 | 53.94 | 55.33 | 3 | Sandrine Taillardat - Camie Mcmahon | 52.31 | 58.80 | 55.56 |
| 4 | Mick King - Jan Harris | 62.41 | 47.22 | 54.82 | 4 | Narelle Jackson - Jane Starr | 50.93 | 58.56 | 54.75 |
| 5 | Jill Cox - Ken Jones | 63.86 | 44.44 | 54.15 | 5 | Wendy Casey - Barbara Moni | 58.80 | 48.38 | 53.59 |
| 6 | Liz Moss - Lin Stock | 55.09 | 49.77 | 52.43 | 6 | Jane Hills - Rae Moir | 52.78 | 51.39 | 52.09 |
| 7 | Lesley Martin - Cynthia Brinkman | 52.31 | 52.31 | 52.31 | 7 | Deborah Nilsson - Phillip Douglas | 58.80 | 43.75 | 51.28 |


| Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs - Open (Final) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rank | Names | Total | Rank | Names | Total |
| 1 | Michael Pemberton - Chris Ackerley | 135.10 | 71 | Ross Steinwedel - Erin Waterhouse | 88.90 |
| 2 | Greg Buzzard - Harry Shepherd | 126.61 | 72 | Andrew Struik - Brian Thorp | 88.50 |
| 3 | Gray Mcmullin - Pamela Nisbet | 125.35 | 73 | Alan Brown - Frances Brown | 88.43 |
| 4 | Matthew Thomson - Cathryn Herden | 123.28 | 74 | Michele Tredinnick - Pauline Erby | 87.85 |
| 5 | Herve Cheval - John Wignall | 122.67 | 75 | Lex Bourke - Ross Maher | 87.82 |
| 6 | Bruce Neill - Avinash Kanetkar | 122.62 | 76 | George Finikiotis - Margaret Klassen | 87.19 |
| 7 | Tom Kiss - Alasdair Beck | 121.87 | 77 | Ann Baker - Andre Baroukh | 86.96 |
| 8 | Jeanette Abrams - Linda Aubusson | 120.12 | 78 | Mick Mcauliffe - Wing Roberts | 86.94 |
| 9 | Leigh Owens - Jan Smith | 116.99 | 79 | Tom Strong - Edda Strong | 86.79 |
| 10 | lan Afflick - Paul Collins | 115.29 | 80 | Frank Vearing - Jo-Anne Heywood | 86.73 |
| 11 | Jon Greenspan - Theresa Oleske | 114.75 | 81 | Giza Fletcher - Louise Leibowitz | 86.58 |
| 12 | Felicity Gunner - Prudie Wagner | 114.21 | 82 | Harold Orsborn - Margaret Orsborn | 86.04 |
| 13 | Jill Magee - Terry Strong | 113.80 | 83 | Gregory Gosney - Margaret Plunkett | 85.94 |
| 14 | Lyn Muller - Sarah Green | 112.09 | 84 | David Grout - Yong White | 85.90 |
| 15 | Meta Goodman - Wynne Webber | 111.83 | 85 | Jenny Carr - Kelvin Tibble | 85.88 |
| 16 | Roger Thomas - Graham Wakefield | 111.07 | 86 | Gayle Webb - Colin Webb | 85.61 |
| 17 | Anne Lamport - Patrick Bugler | 110.86 | 87 | Paul Smith - Carol Hocking | 85.30 |
| 18 | Bas Bolt - John Kelly | 109.66 | 88 | Ross Crichton - Pam Crichton | 85.11 |
| 19 | Brian Leach - Carolyn Leach | 109.60 | 89 | Niek Van Vucht - Patricia Mcdonald | 84.30 |
| 20 | Jane Davies - Dianne Marler | 108.81 | 90 | Jennifer Rothwell - Phaik Yao | 83.96 |
| 21 | Kevin Tant - Jenny Michael | 108.18 | 91 | Joan Mccarthy - Patricia Knight | 82.75 |
| 22 | Kathy Johnson - Lois Steinwedel | 106.95 | 92 | lan Lincoln - Helen Christie | 82.33 |
| 23 | Jenni Buckley - Jim Wood | 106.16 | 93 | John Zollo - Judy Zollo | 82.20 |
| 24 | Charles Page - Baris Salt | 104.99 | 94 | Lindsay Young - Denise Donald | 81.72 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |


| 25 | Ian Bailey - Arianna Yusof | 104.29 | 95 | Phil Power - Kathy Power | 81.52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Ivy Luck - John Luck | 103.93 | 96 | Jim Thatcher - Carolyn Seymour | 81.36 |
| 27 | Eduardo Besprosvan - Richard Misior | 103.90 | 97 | Mairi Fitzsimons - Brian Fitzsimons | 80.64 |
| 28 | Ann Smith - Errol Miller | 103.24 | 98 | Bev Guilford - Sue Spencer | 80.09 |
| 29 | Michael Stoneman - Val Roland | 103.07 | 99 | Philip Hocking - Cathy Hocking | 79.99 |
| 30 | Jane Rasmussen - Tim Haubrick | 102.71 | 100 | Monica Darley - Kath Poole | 79.80 |
| 31 | Wendy Ashton - Paul Gosney | 102.39 | 101 | Wendy Webster - Moira Smith | 79.62 |
| 32 | Noel Grigg - Tania Gariepy | 102.28 | 102 | Nikki Riszko - Perelle Scales | 78.95 |
| 33 | Sylvia Gluck - Ben Kingham | 101.51 | 103 | Gina Hsu - Brian Craig | 78.45 |
| 34 | Pam Morgan-King - Linda Alexander | 101.14 | 104 | Keith Long - Barry Koster | 78.20 |
| 35 | Julia Hoffman - Noelene Law | 101.05 | 105 | Carole Foreman - John Foreman | 77.96 |
| 36 | Rick Rhodes - Maura Rhodes | 100.95 | 106 | Peter Nilsson - Laurie Skeate | 77.78 |
| 37 | Val Biltoft - Phil Tearne | 100.89 | 107 | Peter Gill - Peter Holloway | 77.67 |
| 38 | Carmel Bourke - Patricia Lacey | 100.84 | 108 | Sue Brown - Robert Brown | 77.56 |
| 39 | Sylvia Foster - Jaan Oitmaa | 100.80 | 109 | Trish Thatcher - Amber Noonan | 77.55 |
| 40 | Sue O'Brien - Bronwyn Macleod | 100.59 | 110 | David Tucker - Sarah Strickland | 77.01 |
| 41 | Helen Mclauchlan - Richard Mclauchlan | 100.58 | 111 | John Tredrea - Robyn Nolan | 76.66 |
| 42 | Liz Fanos - Julianne Rocks | 100.56 | 112 | Sue Cooper - Margaret East | 76.60 |
| 43 | Jim Martin - Adrienne Kelly | 100.04 | 113 | Alan Geare - Deborah Cooper | 76.09 |
| 44 | Sharon Mayo - Greg Mayo | 99.99 | 114 | Sandra Berns - Dov Berns | 74.37 |
| 45 | Ann Clarke - Arthur Porter | 99.39 | 115 | Julie Boyce - Christine Thomas | 74.24 |
| 46 | Alan Hamilton - June Glenn | 99.03 | 116 | Robin Steinhardt - Cheryl Stone | 74.17 |
| 47 | Sally Lazar - Richard Lazar | 98.64 | 117 | Carol De Luca - Bev Henton | 74.09 |
| 48 | Charles Howard - Kerry Wood | 98.51 | 118 | Peter Watson - Julia Watson | 74.05 |
| 49 | Chris Bayliss - Catherine Chown | 98.21 | 119 | Judy Herring - Kerry Milliner | 74.01 |
| 50 | Maggie Callander - Greg Nicholson | 97.28 | 120 | Jacqui Morton - Jenny Date | 73.75 |
| 51 | Roy Nixon - Margaret Kyburz | 96.54 | 121 | Annemarie Athea - Noel Athea | 73.64 |
| 52 | Heather Motteram - Margaret Walters | 95.40 | 122 | Michael Neels - Ella Gray | 73.56 |
| 53 | Christine Houghton - Wayne Houghton | 94.96 | 123 | Del Ryan - Janet Hansen | 72.69 |
| 54 | Ken Dawson - Andrew Slater | 94.67 | 124 | Dianne Benvie - Christina Mander | 71.71 |
| 55 | Rosemary Mooney - Roberta Tait | 94.29 | 125 | Dorothy Mackay - Ruth Brucker | 71.65 |
| 56 | Rosemary Matskows - Wayne Gyde | 94.05 | 126 | Don Scown - Judy Scown | 71.46 |
| 57 | Tony Marker - Bill Tutty | 93.36 | 127 | Roger Weathered - Birgitt Bingham | 70.96 |
| 58 | Janeen Solomon - Neven Burica | 92.59 | 128 | Sue Hollands - Gary Hollands | 67.84 |
| 59 | Ralph Parker - Lynne Gray | 92.49 | 129 | Pat Pepper - Judy Payne | 66.13 |
| 60 | Lynn Hall - Anita Thirtle | 92.09 | 130 | Colin Shugg - Chris Scott | 65.87 |
| 61 | Ken Moffitt - Sue Moffitt | 91.58 | 131 | Chris Turner - Ian Brash | 64.01 |
| 62 | Les Bonnick - Audrey Bonnick | 91.51 | 132 | Geoffrey Schaller - Vicky Lisle | 63.48 |
| 63 | Joey Chang - Susan Rowe | 91.35 | 133 | Phil Pollett - Annette Corkhill | 63.16 |
| 64 | Pam Tibble - Kees De Vocht | 90.54 | 134 | Donna Stewart - Gwendolyn Gray Jamieson | 61.38 |
| 65 | Len Meyer - Phyllis Moritz | 90.28 | 135 | Carol Wilson - Rosemary Kelley | 61.19 |
| 66 | Tony Leibowitz - Brenda Kaplan | 89.90 | 136 | Lalita Singh - Margaret Reid | 60.82 |
| 67 | Tim Healy - Helen Healy | 89.86 | 137 | Bill Peters - Evol Cresswell | 59.74 |
| 68 | Catherine Chaffey - Andrew Goodchild | 89.77 | 138 | John Ruddell - Alison Ruddell | 55.39 |
| 69 | Carolyn Roxburgh - Helen Crisp | 89.30 | 139 | Mary Waterhouse - Gizella Mickevics | 50.50 |
| 70 | Judy Marks - Adam Rutkowski | 89.13 | 140 | James Williamson - Janelle Williamson | 43.85 |

# Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs - 0-500 (Final) 

| Rank | Names | Total | Rank | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Linda Norman - Wendy Gibson | 133.80 | 34 | Cherry Trengove - Margaret Rex | 89.88 |
| 2 | Esther Saunders - Colin Saunders | 122.79 | 35 | Denis Ward - Ivan Schmalkuche | 89.85 |
| 3 | Jim Stewart - David Owen | 122.12 | 36 | Mick Fawcett - Lyn Tracey | 89.32 |
| 4 | Neville Clatworthy - Sherrell Cardinal | 119.86 | 37 | Jamal Rayani - Parveen Rayani | 89.30 |
| 4 | Max Holewa - Diane Holewa | 119.86 | 38 | Cheryl Henke - Jacky Gruszka | 88.92 |
| 6 | Megan Sutherland - Warren Mcelroy | 117.15 | 39 | Bruce Johnman - Michael Clibbon | 88.70 |
| 7 | Janet Price - Richard Spelman | 116.48 | 40 | Sonja Ramsund - Margaret Azar | 88.14 |
| 8 | Jeanette Weaver - Loraine Neville | 113.77 | 41 | Sue Hosie - Patricia Ottone | 85.87 |
| 9 | Liz Jacka - Libby Tonkin | 106.07 | 42 | Chris Mcdowell - Jennifer Mcdowell | 85.44 |
| 10 | Naomi Hannah-Brown - Priscilla Bloy | 105.50 | 43 | Kathy Males - Warren Males | 85.02 |
| 11 | Margaret Land - Rob Ward | 103.41 | 44 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 84.00 |
| 12 | Margie Knox - Carmel Wikman | 103.33 | 45 | Chris Cullen - Sally Cullen | 83.01 |
| 13 | Hamid Sadigh - Siamak Parsanejad | 102.56 | 46 | Rosalyn Stevens - Penny Johnson | 81.20 |
| 14 | Robert Stick - Colin Payne | 101.81 | 47 | Leigh Tindall - Althea Crowley | 80.95 |
| 15 | John Elich - Gabrielle Elich | 101.29 | 48 | Neil Smith - Clary Harridge | 79.57 |
| 16 | Sue Whitten - Greg Whitten | 99.75 | 49 | Anne Kirkpatrick - Judy Bardone | 79.30 |
| 17 | Rod Binsted - Judy Scholfield | 99.74 | 50 | Liz Robertson - Penny Bowen | 78.70 |
| 18 | Anne Ashmore - Joan Young | 99.31 | 51 | Susan Fookes - Carole Vildzius | 77.25 |
| 19 | Barbara Mackay - Jan Argent | 98.92 | 52 | Rob Gault - Helen Gault | 76.96 |
| 20 | Margaret Stevens - Sue Luby | 98.10 | 53 | Elizabeth Byrnes - Julie Fisher | 75.88 |
| 21 | Jenny Adey - Chris Girvan | 97.61 | 54 | Kate Hartley - Susan Armitage | 75.64 |
| 22 | Kay Baguley - Bruce Baguley | 96.57 | 55 | Dennis Sullivan - Albert Loh | 71.92 |
| 23 | David Hollands - Robert Jacobs | 96.56 | 56 | Lalita Kanetkar - Sheryl Gardner | 70.90 |
| 24 | John Rivers - Peter Schmidt | 96.12 | 57 | Sharon Ivany - Shelley Watson | 70.28 |
| 25 | Barry Williams - Warren Brook | 95.16 | 58 | Chris Pippen - John Courtman | 69.30 |
| 26 | Leslie Falla - Chez Rands | 93.95 | 59 | Gail Cowen - Lesley Meyer | 67.02 |
| 27 | Alan Bustany - Pauline Caust | 93.89 | 60 | Maurice Naftal - Sandra Naftal | 66.42 |
| 28 | Jane Kavanagh - Joan Campbell | 93.63 | 61 | Jenni Saunders - Bev Daniel | 65.66 |
| 29 | Deborah Mclay - Ian Michelson | 92.61 | 62 | Ian Greenwood - Richard Clarke | 64.19 |
| 30 | Neil Raward - Dot Piddington | 92.45 | 63 | Philip Houlton - Bambi Houlton | 61.98 |
| 31 | Rob Ziffer - Margaret Ziffer | 92.10 | 64 | Miriam Weisz - Harry Schwarz | 59.55 |
| 32 | Catherine Ng - Kenn Winter | 90.56 | 65 | Anne Rosengren - Lyn Mayer | 56.90 |
| 33 | Judy Hapeta - Barbara Love | 90.27 | 66 | David Shaw - Kevin Wheelahan | 55.89 |



Bridge Widows


Warm welcome to New Zealanders! congress

# Where? upstairs Cost: minimum $\$ 5$ (Free entry for youth players) Opposite Admin office will be given to ABF Friends of Youth Bridge Fund 

| Saturday $2.00-2.45 \mathrm{pm}$ | Paul Marston | Accurate slam bidding using Two over One - the 3 agreements you need to make with your partner. <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sunclay } \\ 9.30-10.15 \mathrm{am} \end{gathered}$ | Joan Butts | Working with Partner: Misfits: To bid or not? It would be nice if your side has a good fit on every hand, but life is not like that. | M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Monday } \\ 12.30-1.15 \mathrm{pm} \end{gathered}$ | Andy Hung | How to be a Fearsome (annoying) Opponent in the Auction <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tuesday } \\ 9.30-10.15 \mathrm{am} \end{gathered}$ | Kate McCallum | Trick One Thinking - Declarer or Defender, what to think about! | N |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Thursday } \\ 9.30-10.15 \mathrm{am} \end{gathered}$ | William Jenner-O'Shea | Modern Weak Twos and Pre-empts. Will's talk will also provide an Introduction to IMPs Scoring \& Strategy. | N A |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Thursday } \\ 2.00-2.45 \mathrm{pm} \end{gathered}$ | Laura Ginnan \& Pete Hollands | Delve into Pete and Laura's top 5 Conventions and find out why they have such a good return on investment! <br> (Bring your lunch to eat at this session) | M |



|  | Kate McCallum |
| :--- | :--- | is a full-time professional player, a sixtime World Champion as well as a teacher, mentor and partnership coach.

Kate will be speaking about one of her favourite topics for up-and -coming players, "Trick One Thinking".


Joan's Online School of Bridge is extremely popular. As the ABF National Teaching Coordinator, she trains teachers and arranges professional development programmes.


Will Jenner-O'Shea has been teaching bridge for over 10 years. He has taught hundreds of Beginners and thousands of Intermediate and Advanced players. Will has taught at most of the larger clubs in Sydney, as well as presented bridge lessons during various National Events.
He has represented Australia and won several National events.


Andy Hung is a professional bridge player and teacher who has represented Australia at both Youth and Open competitions. He has won several Australian titles and titles and is a part of the 2019 Australian Open Team to compete in the Asia Pacific and World Championships. He thoroughly enjoys teaching and coaching bridge at all levels, and regularly runs bridge holidays.


They are revolutionising the way bridge is taught through online video teaching from their website Bridge Vid: www.bridgevid.com

| CALEN | D OTHER A | TIVITIE | , | COAST | ONC | 501 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Venue | Monday 18th February | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tuesday } \\ & \text { 9th } \\ & \text { February } \end{aligned}$ | Wednesday <br> 20th <br> February | Thursday <br> 21st <br> February | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Friday } \\ & \text { 22nd } \\ & \text { February } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VICE AND R | OKIE | TIVITI |  |  |  |
| Rookies <br> Help Available | In the Playing Area Rookies Section |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:00pm } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { 3:00pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Under 50MPs Help Available | In the Playing Area Under 50s Section |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 9:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 10:30am } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 9:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ 10: 30 \mathrm{am} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 9:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ 10: 30 \mathrm{am} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Novices Help Available | In the Playing Area Novices Section |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 09:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 10:00am } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 09:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 10:00am } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |


| SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collection for Zephyr Education Inc. The GCC Official Charity <br> Helping Children affected by Domestic <br> Violence Getting Back to School | Foyer GCCEC | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { From } \\ 9: 00 a m & \text { From } \\ \text { 10:00am } \end{array}$ |  |
| Fashion Parade With our Guest Models Jill Dunning \& Nicky Waurich | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Change Alley } \\ \text { Oasis Level } 1 \text { Top of } \\ \text { Escalator Above } \\ \text { Woolworths } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { 10:30am to } \\ 11: 30 a m}}{ }$ change alle $y$ |  |
| TBIB Daily Prize Wheel You Must be Present to Win | Foyer GCCEC |  |  |
| Trivia Night <br> Hosted by Tony Treloar <br> Teams to a Maximum of 8 Participants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beachside Pavilion } \\ \text { Beach End } \\ \text { Oasis Shopping Centre } \end{gathered}$ | Entries in by 1:30pm Trivia to start at 6pm |  |
| Hospitality for Bridge Teachers Hosted by Joan Butts | Apartment 3204 Air on Broadbeach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:30pm } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { t:30pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Double Dummy' - First ever bridge feature film, produced by American filmmaker John McAllister | $\underset{\text { Fair }}{\text { Event Cinemas Pacific }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9pm- } \\ & \text { 10:30pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Directors Get Together Hosted by Jan Peach | Upstairs <br> Room 10 | 8:30am |  |
| Parade Entrants Sand to Sea Theme | Foyer GCCEC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2:30pm to } \\ 2: 45 \mathrm{pm} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Champagne Breakfast For Shoe Shoppers In Her Shoes | In Her Shoes Ground Floor Oasis Shopping Centre | inherSHOES <br> ocal \& imported shoes . bags | 8:15am |
| BRIDGE 'WIDOWS' ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |


| Get Together Non-Bridge Playing Partners | Apartment 3204 Air on Broadbeach | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 11:30am } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hosted Bridge Widows Expedition to Crystal Castle and Shambhala Gardens Cost $\$ 20.00$ each for return bus | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach |  |  |  |  |
| Unhosted but bus canpick up and drop off to Sanctuary Cove | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach |  |  |  |  |
| Following Get Together Above Hosted Expedition to Kingscliff and Fingal Head <br> Cost $\$ 20$ p.p. for return bus | Depart Apartment 3204 \& Grnd Floor Lobby Air on Broadbeach | Depart11:30amReturn Appr4:30pm |  |  |  |
| Unhosted Excursion David Fleay Wildlife park Cost $\$ 10$ p.p. for return bus | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach |  |  |  |  |
| Hosted Bridge Widows Expedition Sirromet Winery and Tasting <br> Cost $\$ 20.00$ p.p. for return bus | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach | SIRROMET | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depart } \\ \text { 10:45am } \\ \text { Return Appr } \\ 5: 00 \mathrm{pm} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| All Golf Enquiries to Geoff Nice 0407-620-373 • gnice200@gmail.com |  | Monday Tuesday <br> 18th 19th <br> February February | Wednesday <br> 20th <br> February | Thursday <br> 21st <br> February | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Friday } \\ & \text { 23rd } \\ & \text { February } \end{aligned}$ |



SOLUTION TO YESTERDAY'S CHESS PROBLEM SOLUTION TO YESTERDAY'S SUDOKU [HARD]

| $\mathbf{3}$ | 9 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 9 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. d6 Be5 2. d7 Ne6 3. Re8 Nf4+ 4. Kg3 Bc7 5. $\mathrm{d} 8=\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Nd} 5+$ 6. $\mathrm{Re} 5 \mathrm{Bxd8}$ 7. $\mathrm{Rxd} 5+$

TODAY'S CHESS PROBLEM


TODAY'S SUDOKU [TOUGH]

| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 8 |  |  |  | 2 | 7 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  | 8 |  |  | 1 | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  | 5 |  |
|  |  |  | 6 |  | 7 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 5 |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |

White to move and win


## In 2019 the Northern Territory Bridge Association will be hosting the...

# Territory Gold Bridge Festival in Alice Springs 

 Director: Matthew McManusWhen: 4-8 September 2019
Where: Alice Springs Convention Centre
What: Matchpoint Pairs Sept $4^{\text {th }} 9.30 \mathrm{am}$ and 2.15 pm and Sept $5^{\text {th }} 9.30 \mathrm{am}$
Swiss Teams - Sept $5^{\text {th }} 7.30$ pm Sept $6^{\text {th }} 9.30$ am and 2.15 pm
Swiss Pairs (with PQP's) - Sept $7^{\text {th }} \& 8^{\text {th }}$ at $9.30 \mathrm{am} \& 2.15 \mathrm{pm}$

## ALL WITH GOLD MASTERPOINTS and CASH PRIZES

## ENJOY A RED CENTRE HOLIDAY

Make it part of a great "Red Centre" holiday. Alice Springs and the surrounding area offers so much to see in this unique part of Australia. Alice Springs Visitor Information Ph: 0889525800 Website: www.discovercentralaustralia.com

## ACCOMMODATION

Crowne Plaza Lasseters Hotel Casino and Convention Centre is an excellent venue, with exclusive event accommodation packages: 20\% off Best Flexible Rates

Breakfast $\$ 23 \mathrm{pp}$, per day when booked with accommodation

Rates valid for up to two adults, subject to availability at time of booking.
Valid for stays $1^{\text {st }}-12^{\text {th }}$ Sept.
Email: reservations@crowneplazaalicesprings.com.au or PH: (08) 89507777
Mention the Bridge Festival when booking because rooms are limited. BOOK EARLY TO ENSURE A GOOD PRICE!

Enquiries to the Tournament Organiser: Eileen Boocock
Ph: (08) 89524061 Mob: 0409677356 tgbf@abf.com.au


## voniday Night $18^{\text {i" }}$ Fenruary HRON 6:00.pm

## This year the Trivia night will be held again at

## BHICHISIDE PAVIION BROIDBHICH

(Beach end, The Oasis, Victoria Ave, Broadbeach)

## a big welcome to our hosts: Dominique and Tony Treloar

## Food commencing 6:00pm for a start of Trivia at 6:30pm

## Expected finishing time between 8:45pm and 9:15pm

The bridge menu is below, and we hope all players will avail themselves of these specials and arrive before 6 pm to give time to order their meal and drinks ready to start play at 6.30pm.

There will be a fee of $\$ 10.00$ per player with all proceeds going to Friends of Youth Bridge Fund to specifically be used to facilitate youth attendance at the Gold Coast Congress with the objective of having apartments for the week where youth players can stay for free or minimal (+/-\$10) charge.
Tables are made up of eight sittings, we will upon payment be allocating a table number. All individual/team registrations must be paid in full at the Bridge Administration desk at the GCCC before Monday 1:30pm, later entries at the organiser's discretion.
The members of the top three winning teams will receive prizes and other random prizes are available.

## \$25 Trivia Menu Selection will be available

Pavilion will be offering burger, Fish \& Chips (their specialty), pasta and salad options for vegetarians


