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## RONI PIETERS

Kim Ellaway
Friends of Therese Tully and myself know that if we start talking about the Gold Coast Congress and begin probing as to what their availability might be, they run away as they invariably know what is behind the question.
Roni had not been warned about this and had only been in Australia (returning from living in Hong Kong) for a couple of weeks when we asked if she would like to help at the Gold Coast Congress. We needed a bus driver to escort the bridge players and Roni eagerly said yes. Little wasn't she to know that after 10 plus years she would still be with us.

Roni now also organises the Dinner Dance (I tease her by stating it was a promotion) and has been doing this for a number of years very very successfully, I should add.

When we moved from the ANA Hotel, Therese's dream was to enable players to find their seats easily. We tried balloons, coloured tablecloths and then Roni suggested Flags. At the time I thought she was mad HOWEVER she is totally responsible for the idea of the many flags we see today and this will remain her legacy.
She is our go-to person when we, Therese and I - mostly Therese - have a vision of making life easier, we simply leave it to Roni to suggest how we can implement the vision. The table numbers with the Restaurant Table holders - Roni's idea and there are many more.
Since Roni moved back to Australia all three of her children have moved different countries AROUND THE WORLD and so, in two weeks' time, Roni will herself move back overseas to be with her children and grandchildren.

Roni - thank you for all your vision, friendship and nursing skills - Roni's first year was a nightmare - we had the ambulance to the GCCEC every night and Roni was the person who looked after each patient. The Dinner Dance won't be the same without you and the congress will certainly miss you and your ideas.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 8

## Barry Rigal

Frances Hinden and Graham Osborne come all the way from England, and who do they play? The Scots Team. Osborne played $4 \checkmark$ nicely here, I thought.

Dealer: West
Vul: E-W
Brd 16
Open Tms Qual R8

- Q J 1092
$\bullet 74$
- J 5
\&J764
-A 543
-AJ 10952
- 10
$\because 53$
- K 87
- KQ6 3
-KQ64
\& Q 8

| West | North | East | South |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamond | Osborne | Silverstone Hinden |  |  |
| Pass | 10 | Pass | 2 |  |
| Pass | $2 \infty$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |  |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 4 |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| - | - | - | - | $\$$ |
| - | 3 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\$$ |

There is only one lead by East to defeat 4『, a small trump. After Silverstone's lead of a top diamond honour, Osborne carefully won the ace to ruff a diamond, and crossed to a top club to lead a third diamond, ruffed by Diamond and overruffed. Now a club to dummy to ruff another diamond, the spade ace and a spade ruff produced this ending:


A fascinating variation arises if Diamond retains both his trumps as West. Compare this position: if declarer leads a diamond from dummy in this position, West ruffs with the $\geqslant 4$, declarer discards a spade, and East underruffs. Now a trump through the AJ10 lets East win and cross back with a spade to allow East to score one more trump trick. Instead, declarer

Osborne led a diamond from dummy and when Diamond ruffed with the four he discarded a spade. No matter what East did, Osborne could pitch a spade if necessary on the next trick and ensure two more tricks. In the other room declarer mistimed the cross ruff and ended with one too many trumps for the endplay to work.
 leads a club from dummy, and pitches a spade as West wins the jack, again catching East in a sort of decompression. He wants to leave partner on lead, but that forces him to pitch his spade. Now the defenders' communications have been cut.

A series of relatively flat boards ensured, both E/W pairs bidding a poor but laydown slam, earning 13 IMPs to par, but no IMPs at the table.

Two challenging defences followed on consecutive deals at the table I was watching, and on each occasion E/W were weighed in the balance and found wanting.

| Dealer: North | - 10985 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - J 2 |  |
| Brd 21 | -952 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | ¢ A J 103 |  |
| © 763 |  | © K J 4 |
| -KQ974 |  | $\bullet 8$ |
| - J 876 |  | - AQ4 3 |
| \& 6 |  | \& KQ 542 |
|  | - A Q 2 |  |
|  | - A 10653 |  |
|  | -K10 |  |
|  | ¢987 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamond | Osborne | Silverst'ne Hinden |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | 1 | - | NT |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\$$ |
| - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 1 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\$$ |

The auction was the same in both rooms.
Osborne thought for quite a while before passing out the double, and in a sense he was right, since 1s is a more comfortable spot. When Diamond led a club to Silverstone's queen, he shifted after much thought to a trump. Diamond took his queen and erred by returning a small trump (a diamond shift would suffice to beat the contract still, so long as the defence return a diamond, then West wins his remaining top heart honour and returns a top heart).

After the heart jack won the third trick declarer played a diamond towards her king, and finessed the queen on the spade return. Now she had two spades, one diamond, and could lead a club towards the ace and ensure seven tricks against any defence.
In the other room Renee Cooper won the club lead at trick one and returned a club for the ruff. Back came a diamond to the ace, another club ruff, and a second diamond. Now to escape for one down Murdoch had to play carefully; he led a low heart from hand to Kieran Dyke's queen, ruffed the diamond return, then played ace and another heart, and ruffed away the last diamond.

In the three-card ending he could lead a low spade round to Cooper, and could finesse the queen at trick 12 for one down. One heart doubled came home more often that it was defeated here (occasionally with overtricks).

| Dealer: East | - AKQ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -103 |  | Diamond | Osborne | Silverst'ne | Hinden |  |
| Brd 22 | - A Q 6 |  |  |  | Pass | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | ¢KJ984 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 1NT |  |
| -1086543 |  | -9 | Pass | 3NT | All pass |  |  |
| -K98 |  | - Q 7654 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 3 |  | -KJ875 |  | Makeab | le Contra |  |  |
| \& A 65 |  | \& Q 10 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - J 72 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | - A J 2 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | -10942 |  | - | 1 | - | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
|  | \& 732 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

Hinden and Osborne's transfer responses forced Hinden to respond 1NT after Silverstone's remarkable silence over the 1\& opener. Naturally Diamond led a spade, and Hinden smoothly won the queen and led the \&K from dummy, ducked all round. Now came the J losing to the queen. When Silverstone shifted to a low heart Diamond won his king and returned the suit. Hinden could cash two hearts to pitch a diamond from dummy, then drive out the club ace and take nine tricks without needing the diamond finesse.

Silverstone might have done better to lead the $\triangle$ Q instead of a low heart. But Hinden could win and return the suit, then duck the diamond return to endplay East to lead a red suit and concede the ninth trick.

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ AK } 854 \\ & \bullet \text { Q } 10975 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } 8 \\ & \& A \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 102 |  | -93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AKJ 8 |  | $\bullet 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 3 \\ & +10843 \end{aligned}$ |  | -Q9754 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \&QJ975 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ J 76 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | - 643 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K1062 |  |  | - |  | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | * K 62 |  |  | - | 2 | - | 4 |

David Wilshire showed me a fascinating theme. He played $2 \checkmark$ from the North seat, after showing the majors over a strong no-trump.
To make 20 against best defence on a top club lead you may need to manipulate the trump spots very carefully.

Win the \&A and play three rounds of spades, leaving West on play; win the club return in dummy, pitching a diamond, and lead a trump. When West wins the $\vee$ A you unblock the seven then when West takes the $\forall A$ and exits with a club you ruff with the nine, to reach this ending:


In this ending Wilshire can ruff a spade with the heart four, overruffed with the eight. When West exits with a club declarer ruffs low in hand and overruffs in dummy, cashes the diamond king to pitch a spade, and has the trump coup at trick 12.
Alternatively and less elegantly you can discard from dummy instead of ruffing. Now whether West ruffs in or not, the defenders cannot stop declarer crossing to dummy to take the heart finesse.

At the table the Datum Score was N/S -20 and the average number of tricks taken was eight.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 9 - NEWS FROM THE FRONT

Barry Rigal
David Appleton and I played match nine against Glenn Coutts and Johnny Davidson, in a match where there was considerable potential to fall from grace on the E/W cards. Having benefited from our opponents' unsuccessful foray to the five level, we returned the favour on the next deal.

| Dealer: West | -9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - 763 |  |
| Brd 4 | -KQ10862 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 9 | ¢ A 86 |  |
| - AK5432 |  | - 108 |
| -9852 |  | - A 104 |
| -4 |  | - A953 |
| +42 |  | \& K Q J 5 |
|  | ¢QJ 76 |  |
|  | - KQJ |  |
|  | - J 7 |  |
|  | \& 10973 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coutts | Appleton | Davidson | Rigal |
| 2 | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All pass |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | $\$$ |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 |

We defended to 4 after an 8-10 weak 2 opener. Yes a heart lead would kill the contract, but after the lead of $\forall K$ declarer was in with a chance. Coutts won the ace and cashed the ace and king of spades to find the bad news. Coutts led a club to the king, and ruffed a diamond back to hand to lead a second club up. At this point the defenders must win the \&A but have the luxury of shifting either to a heart (which disrupts the entries) or a top diamond to allow South to pitch a club, after which declarer cannot single in his trumps effectively.
We didn't manage that, but it was a flat board when against our teammate Marianne Bookallil North won the first club and tried to cash a diamond. Declarer could ruff, cash off the clubs, ruff a diamond, then lead a heart to the ace to ruff another diamond for the tenth trick.
On my next offering just look at the North cards and the following auction.

| North | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -8762 | Coutts | Appleton | Davidson | Rigal |
| -K54 | 1 NT | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{1}$ | Pass |
| -985 | 3 | Pass | $4 \%$ | Pass |
| \& 865 | 4 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
|  | 5 | Pass | 6\% | Pass |
|  | 6 | Pass | 6NT | Pass |
| ${ }^{1}$ Diamonds or Minors | 7 | Pass | 7NT | Double // |

Time now to select your opening lead.
David correctly decided that dummy had forgotten the system and just had clubs. Since he would have been expected to lead a heart on this auction (he might not have done so today, but his partner didn't know that) he should lead a diamond. That turned out to be an extremely good decision as you will see from the full deal.

| Dealer: West <br> Vul: None <br> Brd 8 <br> Open Tms Qual 9 <br> - AK9 4 <br> - QJ 8 <br> - KQ 62 <br> - 104 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8762 \\ \bullet K 54 \\ \bullet 985 \\ \& 865 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ J 5 3 } \\ & \text { A6 } \\ & \text { \& } 10 \\ & \text { AKQJ732 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Q 10 |  |  | ak | C |  |  |
|  | -109732 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | NT |
|  | - AJ743 |  | 6 | - | 6 |  | - |
|  | ¢ 9 |  | 2 | - | 2 |  | $\bullet$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | - |
|  |  |  | 6 | - | 6 |  | 4 |

7NTx-1 gained 14, but on any other lead 7NT rolls home with the QQ10 obliging, and the heart finesse working.

That made the match score 33-4, and when we added insult to injury by bidding a slam missing an ace and the trump queen after using keycard (persuading the defender on lead to attack trumps!) the match ended with a somewhat deceptive 43-4 victory for us.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 10 - THE OTHER SHOE DROPS Barry Rigal

For match 10, David Appleton and I took on Jan Cormack and Fred Whitaker. We managed to shoot ourselves enough times in the foot to create a create some serious flesh wounds, and our opponents did the right thing far too often for our liking.
We did however score the first blow, when both Souths heard partner open $1 \checkmark$ and a 14 overcall.
Holding: Q $75 \vee 743$ - $9842 \& K 102$
One table raised to $2 \boldsymbol{\bullet}$, one passed. If you raise, your partner will bid $4 \boldsymbol{\bullet}$, one down in top tricks as you can see from the full deal:

| Dealer: West | K |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: E-W | AKQ965 |
| Brd 16 | J 1053 |
| Open Tms Qual | 10 A 8 |


| - J 93 |  | ¢ A 108642 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - J 108 |  | - 2 |
| - A Q |  | -K76 |
| \& Q 9653 |  | \& J 74 |
|  | - Q 75 |  |
|  | - 743 |  |
|  | -9842 |  |
|  | * K 102 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |

If you pass over 14, partner will compete to the three-level. Not that this is sure to work well for you, since might be let through. After a heart lead, will North shift to A in order to get the ruff with his singleton trump?

The next board saw a larger swing in the other direction when Whittaker-Cormack judged well to stop low on all fitting combined 23 HCP , where our teammates were doubled for 300 in game.

The match score had advanced to 16-14 for Simpson, when another delicate game came up.

| Dealer: North | - Q 1072 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - 7 |  | Cormack | Appleton | Whitaker | Rigal |
| Brd 21 | - 76 |  |  | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| Open Tms Qual 10 | \& A Q 6432 |  | 20 | Pass | 4 | All pass |
| ¢9864 |  | ¢ A J 3 |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 8 |  | - AK9642 |  |  |  |  |
| - A 43 |  | - Q 10 |  | Makeab | le Contr | acts |
| \& 875 |  | \& K J | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | ¢ K 5 |  | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | -1053 |  | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -KJ9852 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 |
|  | \& 109 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 ¢ |

After the lead of the club ten to North's ace, the only defence is to shift to diamonds immediately. When North played for a club ruff, declarer won and now needed to play to build a discard for the diamond loser. If he plays a trump to dummy to ruff a club high he sees the club layout, and now knows South is likely to have the spade length. There are two sensible plays, one being to draw trumps ending in dummy and run the spade nine. The second is to cash the spade ace early, which works as the cards lie, but may not cover all the bases.
As the cards lie, if you run the spade nine it will be covered by ten jack and queen. South must return a spade and the strength of the spade spots means that the spade four will be established for the fourth round of the suit.

That was a flat board in $4 \checkmark$ down one at the table though, when neither declarer exploited the spades to best advantage.

This was a tough hand, I thought.

| Dealer: West | - A 86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - AKQ 6 |  |
| Brd 24 | - A 102 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 10 | \& J 75 |  |
| ¢ K Q J 75 |  | ¢ 109 |
| - 8 |  | - J 9743 |
| - J 3 |  | -K Q 87 |
| ¢109642 |  | \& 83 |
|  | ¢ 432 |  |
|  | -1052 |  |
|  | -9654 |  |
|  | \& $A K Q$ |  |

Both tables played 3NT from North, but at one table West had shown a weak two, in the other West had shown a two suiter. Both defences led and continued spades, declarer winning the second. What now? You could certainly make an argument for cashing the heart ace-king, playing for 3-3 hearts or the jack to fall doubleton. The alternative approach is to cross to a club to play a diamond to the ten; this wins if West has one of the top three diamond honours in a two- or three-card suit, but if you are wrong your entries may have been compromised for playing on hearts to best effect. At one table Jo Simpson played on diamonds, while David Appleton went after hearts, and that was 10 IMPs to the bad guys. It really isn't clear to me which line is better but it is certainly clear which line works.

| Dealer: North | ¢ AK 732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 25 | -10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual 10 | \& A J 965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 6 |  | ¢ J 10985 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AK 8765 |  | - J 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - AKQ J 6 |  | - 9743 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& Q |  | \& 74 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ Q 4 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | -942 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\cdots$ |
|  | - 852 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K 10832 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

Jan Cormack did very well here when after $1 \uparrow$ - 1 NT she jumped to $4 \vee$, and bought it there for ten tricks. By contrast after Robert Simpson passed 14 as South David Morgan bid 24....and Jo Simpson found a 3\% call which allowed her side to save in $5 \boldsymbol{\%}$. Even $6 \boldsymbol{\$}$ would have been very cheap against $5 \star$.
The match ended with an 18 IMP victory for Simpson, now just 7 VPs out of a qualifying place.
OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 1 - FLIM FLAM AS SUGGESTED BY TERRY BROWN Barry Rigal

| Dealer: North | - A Q 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - Q 104 |  |
| Brd 1 | - A 7653 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 1 | \& J 5 |  |
| ¢K1075 |  | ¢ J 4 32 |
| - A 3 |  | - K J 72 |
| -KQ J 9 |  | - 82 |
| \& A 93 |  | \& K 42 |
|  | ¢ 86 |  |
|  | -9865 |  |
|  | -104 |  |
|  | \& Q 10876 |  |

When I wrote up this deal originally I commented that to make 4 it would be necessary after a diamond lead and continuation to handle trumps very carefully.
I commented that if South has passed his partner's opening bid, it might be best to win the second diamond in dummy and play North for the spade ace-queen by leading a low trump from dummy. Now that neutralizes the trump promotion.

Let me revisit that statement; it might be necessary - but would it be sufficient? Over to Terry Brown, who writes as follows:
"In round one of the Open Teams you arrive at the table and are greeted by Don and Judy Scown of Forster NSW. On the evidence provided by this deal, Forster BC must be full of hucksters con artists and flim-flam merchants.

After North opened a 12-14 no-trump South bid 24, transfer to clubs, West doubled, showing spades, North bid 3. and West raised his partner's 3 call to game. Don led the diamond ten, and Judy won the ace and returned the suit. Brown now won in dummy and led a low trump; well done!
Not to be outdone, Judy took the ace(!) and returned a third diamond. Yes maybe declarer should have ruffed in with the jack - would you have thought of it? At the table Terry discarded and South scored his $\uparrow 8$ and Judy had the trump queen to come for one down.
So be warned: make sure to keep your wallet well and truly hidden if you go to Forster. There are some horsethieves out there after your hard earned cash".

SENIORS TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 9 - EYES ON THE PRIZE

Brent Manley



In the Senior Teams, as in most of the other teams events at this tournament, everyone was striving to make it to the top two, the only way to keep playing in the event.
After eight qualifying rounds, the Richard Brightling team was in second place with 109.64 victory points, barely behind the Zolly Nagy squad, whose VP total was 109.99.
Four more qualifying rounds were played on Thursday, and in the first set of the day, Brightling faced the fourth-place team: Noel Woodhall, Andrew Janisz, Elli Urbach and Ferenc Budai.

It was a lively match and ended with a strong showing by Brightling and company, winners by the score of 53-13.
This was one of four double-digit swings for Brightling:

| Dealer: West | -9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - 763 |
| Brd 4 | -K Q 108 |
| Sen Tms Qual 9 | \& A 86 |
| - AK5432 |  |
| -9852 |  |
| -4 |  |
| +42 |  |
|  | ¢ Q J 76 |
|  | - $\mathrm{Q}^{\text {d J }}$ |
|  | - J 7 |
|  | +10973 |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Budai | Lavings | Urbach | Krochmalik |
| $2 \oplus$ | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\$$ |

Budai's 2 opener showed a weak hand with a long spade suit, both minors or a strong balanced hand. Lavings' aggressive overcall was just what Krochmalik needed to bid the 19-point game.
Budai led his fourth-best spade, which went to the 9,10 and queen. The $>J$ was ducked, but Urbach won the second round to play a second round of spades, instead of the more natural top club. The $\uparrow 8$ was covered by the jack and king, but when Budai cashed the $\uparrow$ A, the 6 had turned into a winner. Krochmalik won the $\uparrow 6$ and played the $\quad J$ to East's ace. That was the opponents' fourth and last winner as Krochmalik scored up plus 600.

At the other table, Hoffman opened $2 \uparrow$ with the West hand and was allowed to play there, just making for plus 110 and a $12-\mathrm{IMP}$ swing.

The next deal brought another 12-IMP swing to Brightling.


Krochmalik's 3\% showed four-card spade support and 6-9 high-card points.
Urbach started with the $\$ 7$, covered by Lavings with dummy's 10. Budai played the queen and Lavings won the ace. He played the $\$ A$ and a second spade. In with the $\Phi K$, Budai had a chance to defeat the contract by switching to a diamond, but he exited with a low heart. Lavings won the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and played his three club winners, pitching a diamond from dummy. He claimed at that point, with only a heart and a diamond to lose.

At the other table, the opening lead was the $\bullet K$, so declarer had no play.
This board was another big swing for Brightling.

| Dealer: East | ¢ K J 8 |  | West | North | East | South Krochmalik |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AQ 9 |  | Budai | Lavings | Urbach |  |
| Brd 10 | - J 2 |  |  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Sen Tms Qual 9 | \& A 10842 |  | Pass | 1NT | Pass | 34 |
| ¢ 109652 |  | ¢ A Q 3 | Pass | 3NT | All Pas |  |
| -106 |  | - J 854 |  |  |  |  |
| - K 105 |  | - Q 864 |  | Makea | le Cont | acts |
| \& Q 96 |  | \& J 7 | - | 2 | - | 2 NT |
|  | ¢ 74 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 - |
|  | -K732 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 |
|  | - A 973 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 |
|  | \% K 53 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 \% |

Krockmalik's 3\$ was puppet Stayman, asking about five-card majors. 3NT denied a four- or five-card major.
Urbach started with the 25 , which went to the 10 and ace. Lavings played a club to dummy's king and continued with a low club to his 10 . Urbach won the $\% \mathrm{~J}$ and continued with a second low heart. That went to Lavings' 9. He finished with four hearts, four clubs and the $<$ A for plus 600 and a 10-IMP swing. At the other table, Brightling and Hoffman defended 1NT, holding it to two for minus 120.That was another 10 IMPs to Brightling.

The Woodhall team did have some bright spots, one of them occurring on this deal:


The 2NT opened showed at least five spades and at least five cards in hearts or diamonds. Urbach's $3 \%$ asked for more information. When Budai showed that diamonds was his second suit, Urbach bid the game.

Lavings led a low heart, taken in dummy with the queen. Budai then played the $\langle$ Q, ducked by Lavings. He also played low on the $\langle J$, but he won the ace when Budai played a third diamond to his hand. Lavings won
the $\varangle A$ and got out with the $\$ K$, but the defenders could not keep Budai out of his hand. He finished with 10 tricks for plus 430. At the other table, Hoffman played in $3 \star$, scoring plus 110 for a 7 -IMP loss.

There weren't enough swings for Woodhall, however, as Brightling prevailed $53-13$ to move into first place in the overall standings. The margin, however, was only about half a VP with three qualifying rounds to play.

## SENIORS TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 10 - EYES ON THE PRIZE

Brant Manley

After the big win over the Woodhall team, Brightling and friends sat down against the Brian Short team (Alan Goodman, Anne Symons and Sandy Duncan). In a close set with no swing higher than 7 IMPs, Short prevailed 21-20, dropping Brightling to second behind Nagy.
This board was a swing for Brightling/

| Dealer: South | - 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - J 632 |  |
| Brd 19 | - A 852 |  |
| Sen Tms Qual 10 | \& K 852 |  |
| ¢ 6532 |  | © K 4 |
| - 87 |  | - A Q 954 |
| - K Q 63 |  | - J 94 |
| \& 1073 |  | \& J 64 |
|  | ¢ A Q J 1098 |  |
|  | - K 10 |  |
|  | -107 |  |
|  | \& A Q 9 |  |


| West <br> Symons | North <br> Lavings | East <br> Duncan | South <br> Krochmalik <br> 14 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Pass | 1NT | Pass | 24 |  |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 34 |  |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |  |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 |  |

Krochmalik's $2 \$$ was the Gazzilli convention, showing 16 or more high-card points. Laving's 2 indicated 8 or more HCP and was forcing to game. After Krochmalik showed the long, strong spade suit, Lavings bid the game.
Duncan led a low heart, won in dummy by the 10 . Lavings then cashed the $\uparrow A$ and continued with the queen. Duncan won the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and cashed his two high hearts, but Lavings claimed 10 tricks, losing only two hearts and a spade. At the other table, North-South stopped in 34, making six for plus 230 and 5 IMPs to Brightling.

This swing was in favour of Short.

| Dealer: North | ¢ AK 732 |  | West | North | East | South Krochmalik |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - Q 3 |  | Symons | Lavings | Duncan |  |
| Brd 25 | -10 |  |  | 14 | Pass | Pass |
| Sen Tms Qual 10 | \& A J 965 |  | 24 | 34 | Pass | Pass |
| ¢ 6 |  | ¢ J 10985 | 4 | Pass | Pass | 54 |
| -AK 8765 |  | - J 10 | 5 | All Pass |  |  |
| - AKQ J 6 |  | -9743 |  | Makeab | le Cont | acts |
| \& Q |  | \& 74 | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ Q 4 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 - |
|  | -942 |  | 4 | - | 4 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - 852 |  | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K 10832 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 \% |

Duncan didn't have much, but he did have four trumps and only a couple of hearts - just what Symons needed. Lavings led the $\boldsymbol{\$} K$ and continued with the \&A. He played another club, but Symons ruffed, cashed the $A$, then followed with the top hearts and a heart ruff in dummy. When Krochmalik followed to the third round of hearts, Symon could claim for a 7 -IMP gain. At the other table, Brightling and Hoffman got only plus 300 from 5 doubled.

## A LETTER FROM MICHAEL COURTNEY

At the Gold Coast Congress we take all suggestions, criticisms and of course compliments very seriously. For that reason we publish this letter received from Michael Courtney together wish responses thereto.

## Towards Equity

Let me first observe firstly that these protests are absolute not relative. I am very far from suggesting that there is a better organised tournament anywhere or indeed an equal. However in terms of equity there are some obvious things that could be easily fixed.

## A Matter of Direction

It is of course considerably more tiring to play EW than NS. Moving between rounds, waiting for your seat, finding your table when you have left the playing area, are all more tiring than simply keeping your seat.

It is evident that it is somehow superior to keep the two fields separate and that is no problem. In invoking that idea, matters of equity must be considered. How can we arrange it so that both fields are NS half the time?
Assume you have 400 pairs and a two session final.

| Session one: | Pairs | $1-200$ | N/S Pairs | $201-400$ | E/W |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Session two: | Pairs | $1-200$ | E/W Pairs | $201-400$ | N/S |

## Matters of timing

| - J 863 <br> - Q 107 <br> - J 97 <br> \& J 52 | - A 92 <br> - KJ95 <br> - A 10 <br> \& AK 74 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - K 107 |
|  |  | - A642 |
|  |  | - Q 542 |
|  |  | +108 |
|  | - Q 54 |  |
|  | $\bullet 83$ |  |
|  | -K863 |  |
|  | \& Q 963 |  |

the mis-boarding or subsequent events.
This was the deal that led to Sue Ingham (my partner) and I missing the surfers final by $0.32 \%$. We held North's 3 NT to 430 and thought little more of the deal.

O'Farrell, visiting with Maureen Dennison from the UK told me the tragic tale of how the 14th qualifiers shot 3NT for +100 on the EW cards for $100 \%$ of the matchpoints.
It was of course mis-boarded, The South and West hands having been swapped.
O'Farrell-Dennison did not look at their score sheet till the next morning so the mis-boarding was not reported till 10:40. The director ruled that the protest was too late and the entered score stood. He did not inform either pair 14 or pair 15 (Sue and I) of

I think such an entry should be routinely investigated by the directing staff. Indeed, a deal such as this, with an apparent four trick discrepancy should be brought to the scorers attention by the scoring software. Something like "Possible Fouled board Alert".

## Response from Matthew McManus - Chief Scorer

The scoring program highlights potential anomalies, which are then referred to the Directors for checking. It identifies contracts which are played in the same denomination in both directions, contracts making fewer than four tricks and significant differences from the average score on a board. If it is no longer possible to check with the players and there is a score which appears manifestly incorrect, the scorers will make a judgement as to whether it should be altered - for example, NS entered as making 11 tricks in hearts, when everyone else is playing hearts EW. If there is no alternative contract which could result in NS making 11 tricks, the result is likely to be changed.

On the board in question, the contract was played in no-trump by N/S at 94 of the 98 tables, so the program would not have highlighted this result as an anomaly. Checking all boards where there is a large discrepancy in the number of tricks between tables would not be practical with between 400 and 500 tables in play. In just this particular section, there were discrepancies of four or more tricks on about a third of the boards, with two boards having six trick differences!

## Response from Laurie Kelso - Chief Tournament Director

The official end of the Score Correction Period for the Open Pairs Qualifying occurred at $7: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ on the previous evening. In exceptional circumstances the new Laws do allow for the correction of a score after the expiration of the Correction Period. The nature of the actual error, coupled with the fact that the Finals were due to commence at 11:00am, meant that none of the necessary criteria were fulfilled.
Almost all competitive endeavours have some sort of 'statute of limitations' and bridge is no different.

## Response from Therese Tully, Tim Runting and Kim Ellaway - Tournament Organisers \& Administrator

We all desperately strive to do everything possible to ensure accurate results, relying as we do on the professionalism of the Chief Scorer and Chief Tournament Director.

We are very receptive to constructive criticism and we intend to publish the scoring correction periods and the correct people to contact (phone numbers etc.) in the scoring books in future. We apologise if this has not been as clear as it could have been in the past.

## WELCOME TO NEW PLAYERS AT THE GCC

Brent Manley

## Common Errors - How to Avoid Them - No Plan at Trick One

Someone said more contracts are lost at trick one that at any other time in the play. It's true that there are probably no hard and fast statistics to back up that assertion, but it was most likely spoken by a veteran who has been there, done that.
If you watch true experts play, you may notice that after the opening lead is made, few of them call a card from the dummy right away. Most top players give even the most routine looking contracts a study before playing. The expert might be thinking that the contract looks too easy and is trying to figure what might go wrong - and, if so, whether he can do anything about it.
You should develop the same habit. Check out the opening lead: does it look like fourth best? Did the opening leader overcall but decline to lead his suit? What does that say about his holding in that suit? Are you in the right contract?
The following is a classic example of the demise of a contract from an error at trick one.

| - A 432 | West North East South |
| :---: | :---: |
| -K6 | 1\% Pass 1* |
| - 87 | Pass 14 Pass 3NT |
| \& AK 42 | Pass Pass Pass |
| ¢ J 5 |  |
| - A J 5 |  |
| -K Q J 109 |  |
| \& 653 |  |

West starts with the $\boldsymbol{\bullet}$. How will you play? If you consider only the fact that you have a free finesse in hearts, you will probably go down. Say you play low from dummy and East produces the queen. You win the ace and start on the diamond suit. Unless the opponent who holds the $A$ is your brother or a kindly uncle, he will surely duck the first round of the suit, winning the second. Come to think of it, even your mother would probably duck the first round of diamonds. Now your hand is stone dead and you have gone down in a cold contract.

What if, at trick one, you make the counter-intuitive play of the PK , possibly giving up the extra trick in hearts? Now you're in good shape. You go after diamonds, playing the suit until someone takes the ace - and you still have the $『 A$ to get to those good diamonds. You take at least four diamonds, two hearts, two clubs and a spade - more if West has the $\downarrow$ A and continues with a heart when he gets in.
If you thought things over at trick one and decided to play the king, congratulations. You are on your way to becoming a formidable opponent.

## PLAY BRIDGE WITH BARRY

Barry Rigal
Not all our unusual second hand plays have to be ducks. For example, avoiding the practice finesse is also important.

| Dealer: South Vul: Nil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K J } 103 \\ & \bullet \text { Q J } 86 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East | South 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - A Q 3 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 24 |
|  | \& A 9 |  | Pass | 4NT | Pass | $5{ }^{1}$ |
| ¢ Q 964 |  | - 87 | Pass | 6 | All Pass |  |
| -10 |  | -972 |  |  |  |  |
| -10952 |  | -KJ 84 | ${ }^{1}$ Two |  |  |  |
| \& K J 53 |  | - 10842 |  |  |  |  |

- A 52
- AK543
- 76
\& Q 76
Consider the play in six hearts from South on a diamond lead. The key is to take the diamond ace at trick one, draw trumps, and finesse in spades by leading to the ten. Then cross to hand with the spade ace and repeat the spade finesse. Now you can discard your diamond loser on the spade king, concede a club trick, and ruff your last club in dummy.
If you take the diamond finesse at trick one, then whether it succeeds or fails you will still have a club loser, and need the spade finesse to make your slam. You can discard one, but not two, clubs on spades.

However, if the spade finesse succeeds, you can discard your diamond loser, and if it fails, the diamond finesse won't save your contract. [Note that drawing all the trumps and leading the spade ace and a spade to the jack locks you in dummy; you have to pay off to the singleton spade queen offside to pick up queen fourth onside].

## AN AMUSING FLASHBACK TO 1950 - CALLING ALL SLAM BIDDERS

Len Dixon is a long time bridge journalist, resident in Canberra. He sent me the following article, first published in 1950 in the Contract Bridge Journal, the official publication of the English Bridge Union. Hope you like it.

Having read in the May issue, the Ed's review of "Hester's Winning Count " and the anonymous description of the "Nightingall System", I feel that this is the moment to give the world a preview of my own brainchild: "The Hammond Losing Count" which I threaten to publish shortly.
It must be clearly understood that, my system, as is apparently the case in those of Messrs. Hester and Nightingall, is based on the premise (or promise) that the opponents will not be so unsporting as to contest the bidding in any way.

The opening bid does not relate to strength or specific suit holdings but merely shows the shape. Hence 10 denotes 4-3-3-3, 1* 4-4-3-2, 1-4-4-1-1 and so on. The responses are similarly stepped. When each partner hold 13 cards of a suit, the auction is apt to be a little crowded, the first two bids being 6 11 , but this is not likely to be frequent occurrence and this slight disadvantage may be ignored.

The second round of bidding, assuming of course, that there is room for a second round, shows the point count, the rebid being stepped once for every two points held over 13. It follows that if the opener holds less than 13 , he signs off by passing.
It is possible that in some cases, there may be time for further rounds of bidding. On these occasions, the true scientific beauty of the system become apparent, for the opener now proceeds to call his worst suit and the responder is immediately aware of one contract for which partner will show no enthusiasm. So, by the process of elimination, the partnership, through an exquisite sequence of negative influences, arrives at the best trump fit, even if the contract is a trifle high for comfort.

As no new system can be justified without the inclusion of a couple of illustrative hands, the following will be of special interest

| ¢ AKxx | ¢ Q J $10 \times \mathrm{x}$ x | West |  | East |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - KQxx | - A | 10 | 4-4-4-1 | 3 | 6-3-3-1 Take my word for it |
| -Kxxx | - $A^{\text {Q }}$ | 34 | 15 points | 4\% | 17 points |
| \% $x$ | \& $A x x$ | 5\% | Worst Suit | 5 | Worst Suit |
|  |  | 6 | Next Worst Suit | 7\% | Next Worst Suit |
|  |  | 7 | This suit not so hot either | 7 | Brilliant Deduction |

The second example I have reserved for the cover of my masterpiece. Proudly, I must point out that by no other system could one arrive at this unbreakable grand slam contract.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } 765432 \\ & \bullet 8765432 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East | South $4{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - - |  | Pass ${ }^{2}$ | $7{ }^{3}$ | Pass ${ }^{4}$ | Pass ${ }^{5}$ |
|  | \%- |  | Double ${ }^{6}$ | Pass | Pass | Redouble ${ }^{7}$ |
| - K |  | - Q | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| - AKQ |  | - J 109 | 5-4-4-0 |  |  |  |
| - AKQJ |  | -109876 | ${ }^{2}$ Sitting | on the | ence |  |
| \& AKQJ 10 |  | ¢9876 | 7-6-0-0 |  |  |  |
|  | - A J 1098 |  | ${ }^{4}$ Would | dn't you |  |  |
|  | $\bullet$ - |  | ${ }^{5}$ Less th | than 13 | oints |  |
|  | -5432 |  | ${ }^{6}$ Falling | off th | ence |  |
|  | -5432 |  | ${ }^{7}$ Confid | dence in | the sys |  |

For those of you who are interested (and who wouldn't be) I propose to offer this great work for two shillings and six pence per copy, or, if I can persuade Terrence Reese to write the forward, at one guinea per vol. It is my intention to include 2,000 explanatory hands in the form off an appendix, which, if not required, may be painlessly removed without extra cost under the National Health Scheme.

Ron Klinger

| - 1053 | Playing Teams | West | North | East | South 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - J 10 | Dealer: South |  |  | Pass |  |
| -KQJ542 | Vul: Nil | 24 | 3 | Pass | 30 |
| \& A 7 |  | Pass $4 \checkmark$ All Pass <br> ${ }^{1}$ Weak two bid $6-10$ HCPs with 6 spades |  |  |  |
|  | - J 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Q 9 | ${ }^{1}$ Weak two bid 6-10 HCPs with 6 spades |  |  |  |
|  | - A 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢986542 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

West leads the $\uparrow \mathbf{A}, \uparrow$, winning, and the $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$. Dummy discards the $\uparrow$. What should East play?

| Dealer: South ¢ 1053 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Nil | - J 10 |  |
|  | -KQJ542 |  |
|  | \& A 7 |  |
| - AKQ 874 |  | - J 2 |
| - 842 |  | - Q 9 |
| - 83 |  | - A 96 |
| -103 |  | -986542 |
|  | -96 |  |
|  | - AK7653 |  |
|  | -107 |  |
|  | \& K Q J |  |

Solution: $4 \bullet$ by South. Lead: A .
West has shown up with AKQ, 9 HCP. East cannot expect anything more of substance from West, given the weak jump-overcall. East has one trick with the $\downarrow$ A, but where will the defence find a fourth trick?

East should ruff West's $\mathbb{Q} \mathbf{Q}$ with the 9 . That cannot hurt. The $\vee Q$ was doomed anyway.

If East discards on the third spade, South ruffs, crosses to
 ten, South returns to hand with a club, draws West's last trump and concedes a diamond.
When East ruffs the $₫ Q$ with the $\uparrow 9$, South over-ruffs with
 become the top trump and the contract is one down.

## REPORTED BY BEN THOMPSON

We finish scoring for match 10 , with some.....interesting.....swings in each direction.
Team mates always discuss the hands very calmly, and their post mortem on this match starts and continues in exactly that way
"What happens if you win the queen?"
"When?"
"The second time we defended 1 NTxx ".
I smoothly headed out the door.
For the curious, we gained one net IMP on the two 1NTxx hands. The perpetrators were of course the inimitable Phil Markey and Joe Haffer.

## CHOCOLATE FROG AWARDS

A swag of awards has arrived for the attention of the Editor:

Caddy Jessie
Stephen Singer
Chris Williams
Peter Allingham
Ronnie Ng
Caddy Finn
Caddy Tricia

John Mcllrath
Caddy Zoe
Chris Snook

Wonderfully efficient - boards appeared like magic and problems dealt with efficiently
Showed wonderful sportsmanship
Such a tolerant partner
Kept his cool for four days in a row
Sorted out a board mixup before it arrived and has been helpful throughout
Got hit by a bus while on his way to the play - brave taking on a bus
Her service and enthusiasm as a caddy has been outstanding, above and beyond - although all caddies have been great
As a director he has a great sense of humour and fun
Very efficient combined with a lovely manner - ther perfect caddy
A great director


For more information contact Kim Ellaway
manager@qldbridge.com • +6173351 8602 • +61412064903
qldbridge.com/gcc

## THURSDAY ROOKIE PAIRS LEADING SCORES

| Place | North-South | $\%$ | Place |  | East West |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Yvonne Kergan - Maura Reilly | 64.28 | 1 | Vesna Markovic - Voyko Markovic | 61.82 |
| 2 | Robyn Hawkins - Julie Lowe | 62.07 | 2 | Jenny Sanders - Keith Sanders | 60.67 |
| 3 | Nick Bricknell - Bernice Cooper | 60.06 | 3 | Andrew Gordon - Andrew Arthur | 59.98 |
| 4 | Heather Douglas - Gloria Newton | 59.56 | 4 | Peter Leggo - Daphne Leggo | 57.02 |
| 5 | Donna Gillies - Mark Loewenthal | 58.50 | 5 | Peter Green - Sue Green | 54.66 |
| 6 | Tom Ferris - Lee Chenoweth | 55.88 | 6 | Pam Schiller - Judith Zillman | 53.82 |
| 7 | lan Nicholson - Jenny Nicholson | 50.90 | 7 | Graeme Seaton - Therese Knauer | 53.42 |
| 8 | Selby Downing - Marie Downing | 49.55 | 8 | Jane Gordon - Marjory Harms | 53.11 |
| 9 | Megan Du Plessis - Margy Roskam | 49.27 | 9 | Phillip Douglas - Deborah Nilsson | 52.86 |
| 10 | Bernadette Dwyer - Charles Rees | 48.56 | 10 | Edna Brown - Cathy Legh | 51.99 |



Winners N/S of the Thursday Rookies Yvonne Kergan and Maura Reilly


Winners E/W of the Thursday Rookies Vesna Markovic - Voyko Markovic

FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - OPEN

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9 | F Hinden - G Osborne - R Cooper - K Dyke |  |  |  |  | 168.81 |
| 2 | 5 | J Carruthers - J Silver - J Gartaganis - N Gartaganis - M Mullamphy - R Klinger |  |  |  |  | 167.65 |
| 3 | 13 | B Hirst - P Gue - S Eginton - G Hyett - D Weston - J Foster |  |  |  |  | 161.03 |
| 4 | 40 | V Bouton - S Williams - F Rew - J Brake - B Johnston |  |  |  |  | 160.61 |
| 5 | 7 | P Hackett - R O'Shea - D Patterson - J Hackett - J Hackett - J Sansom |  |  |  |  | 157.52 |
| 6 | 16 | P Buchen - I Thomson - M Yuen - N Stock |  |  |  |  | 157.50 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 7 | 15 | Sime | 157.27 | 117 | 128 | McAlister | 119.13 |
| 8 | 55 | De Luca | 156.04 | 118 | 59 | Mellings | 118.90 |
| 9 | 26 | Otvosi | 156.03 | 119 | 188 | Lee | 118.50 |
| 10 | 18 | Thompson | 155.56 | 120 | 50 | Chadwick | 118.47 |
| 11 | 1 | Ware | 155.33 | 121 | 132 | Shennan | 118.42 |
| 12 | 33 | Davies | 154.22 | 122 | 156 | Yuill | 118.01 |
| 13 | 35 | Fischer | 153.86 | 123 | 191 | Barfoot | 117.05 |
| 14 | 11 | McCallum | 153.31 | 124 | 172 | Holloway | 116.41 |
| 15 | 8 | Haffer | 153.09 | 125 | 196 | King | 116.15 |
| 16 | 44 | Dawson | 152.81 | 126 | 202 | McCarthy | 116.04 |
| 17 | 22 | Jacob | 151.95 | 127 | 92 | Kahn | 115.97 |
| 18 | 39 | Gower | 151.49 | 128 | 100 | A Bailey | 115.72 |
| 19 | 78 | Badley | 149.30 | 129 | 164 | Plummer | 115.67 |
| 20 | 29 | Mill | 148.81 | 130 | 103 | Murray-White | 115.66 |
| 21 | 14 | Harper | 147.62 | 131 | 43 | McLeish | 115.56 |
| 22 | 19 | Burke | 146.45 | 132 | 66 | Steinwedel | 114.94 |
| 23 | 83 | McDonald | 146.20 | 133 | 95 | Perrin | 114.51 |
| 24 | 10 | Van Der Vlugt | 145.88 | 134 | 111 | Grant | 114.45 |
| 25 | 107 | Tredrea | 144.34 | 135 | 146 | De Nett | 114.17 |
| 26 | 12 | Kozakos | 143.28 | 136 | 137 | Wanz | 114.08 |

FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - OPEN

| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 34 | Brown | 143.14 | 137 | 135 | Harrison | 114.03 |
| 28 | 41 | Cartner | 143.09 | 138 | 153 | Stanton | 113.91 |
| 29 | 28 | Simpson | 141.53 | 139 | 215 | Grieve | 113.63 |
| 30 | 49 | Adler | 141.45 | 140 | 143 | Keenan | 113.47 |
| 31 | 47 | Chen | 141.37 | 141 | 101 | Lewis | 113.40 |
| 32 | 2 | Braun | 141.25 | 142 | 56 | Berger | 113.23 |
| 33 | 86 | Glover | 141.22 | 143 | 152 | Bourke | 113.22 |
| 34 | 102 | Bugeia | 140.68 | 144 | 149 | Howes | 113.10 |
| 35 | 58 | Dennison | 140.01 | 145 | 166 | Blinco | 113.02 |
| 36 | 3 | Cornell | 139.88 | 146 | 113 | Medhat | 112.98 |
| 37 | 4 | Kanetkar | 139.54 | 147 | 73 | Mottram | 112.67 |
| 38 | 159 | Kingham | 139.47 | 148 | 189 | Dalziell | 112.62 |
| 39 | 32 | Francis | 139.40 | 149 | 169 | Krosch | 112.58 |
| 40 | 62 | Mundell | 138.28 | 150 | 186 | Cordingley | 112.57 |
| 41 | 112 | Berry | 138.24 | 151 | 125 | T Allen | 112.52 |
| 42 | 27 | Giura | 137.71 | 152 | 85 | French | 112.28 |
| 43 | 70 | Macleod | 137.53 | 153 | 192 | Baguley | 112.03 |
| 44 | 106 | Kruiniger | 136.95 | 154 | 157 | Doddridge | 111.68 |
| 45 | 42 | Sophonpanich | 136.83 | 155 | 218 | Martelletti | 111.54 |
| 46 | 75 | Mott | 136.82 | 156 | 64 | Boulton | 111.51 |
| 47 | 38 | Ingham | 136.75 | 157 | 139 | Nichols | 111.50 |
| 48 | 53 | Wallis | 136.73 | 158 | 150 | Buckley | 111.42 |
| 49 | 21 | Wylie | 136.66 | 159 | 178 | Rudzyn | 111.05 |
| 50 | 25 | Crichton | 136.27 | 160 | 87 | Gaspar | 111.04 |
| 51 | 6 | Edgtton | 136.20 | 161 | 168 | Scrivens | 110.54 |
| 52 | 98 | Martin | 136.15 | 162 | 120 | Pisko | 110.30 |
| 53 | 69 | Weathered | 135.01 | 163 | 93 | Thorp | 109.14 |
| 54 | 24 | Mayo | 134.57 | 164 | 129 | Moore | 108.71 |
| 55 | 110 | Domichi | 134.49 | 165 | 194 | Dormer | 108.43 |
| 56 | 104 | Belonogoff | 133.66 | 166 | 74 | Arnold | 108.29 |
| 57 | 52 | Collins | 132.89 | 167 | 155 | Quigley | 107.89 |
| 58 | 31 | Watts | 132.73 | 168 | 51 | Moritz | 107.65 |
| 59 | 221 | Matskows | 132.17 | 169 | 158 | Shugg | 106.95 |
| 60 | 71 | Gidley-Baird | 132.12 | 170 | 183 | Baker | 106.55 |
| 61 | 48 | Ward | 132.10 | 171 | 122 | Weerasinghe | 106.14 |
| 62 | 30 | Jones | 131.88 | 172 | 207 | Spilsbury | 106.06 |
| 63 | 79 | Abdelhamid | 131.76 | 173 | 117 | Doust | 106.02 |
| 64 | 126 | Glasson | 130.65 | 174 | 105 | Allanson | 105.14 |
| 65 | 46 | Yao | 130.58 | 175 | 94 | Lorraway | 104.89 |
| 66 | 36 | Pemberton | 130.00 | 176 | 97 | Soutter | 104.78 |
| 67 | 162 | Kovacs | 129.90 | 177 | 130 | Morrison | 103.75 |
| 68 | 119 | Fleischer | 129.40 | 178 | 187 | John Rose | 103.58 |
| 69 | 224 | Woodhead | 128.80 | 179 | 123 | Mitchell | 103.39 |
| 70 | 45 | J Reynolds | 128.26 | 180 | 180 | Hewson | 103.16 |
| 71 | 198 | Bayliss | 128.11 | 181 | 220 | Scott | 102.88 |
| 72 | 67 | Finikiotis | 127.49 | 182 | 138 | Webber | 102.62 |
| 73 | 60 | Lynn | 127.45 | 183 | 99 | Evans | 102.50 |
| 74 | 68 | Wilks | 127.43 | 184 | 199 | Campbell | 102.36 |
| 75 | 84 | Abrams | 126.85 | 185 | 170 | Warnock | 101.97 |
| 76 | 127 | Berzins | 126.76 | 185 | 140 | Stewart | 101.97 |
| 77 | 57 | Kaplan | 126.67 | 187 | 89 | G Allen | 101.55 |
| 78 | 177 | Birss | 126.43 | 188 | 163 | Delcourt | 101.43 |
| 79 | 136 | Fletcher | 126.35 | 189 | 181 | Trigg | 100.45 |
| 80 | 116 | Gunner | 126.24 | 190 | 182 | Hoole | 100.39 |
| 81 | 76 | Gray | 126.01 | 191 | 206 | Jay | 100.21 |
| 82 | 77 | Kefford | 125.96 | 191 | 213 | Julie Rose | 100.21 |
| 83 | 144 | Fox | 125.55 | 193 | 193 | Mountjoy | 100.14 |
| 84 | 114 | Clarke | 125.33 | 194 | 179 | Cooke | 99.96 |
| 85 | 63 | Faranda | 125.22 | 195 | 222 | Knight | 99.01 |
| 86 | 115 | Valentine | 125.19 | 196 | 161 | Noonan | 98.22 |
| 87 | 90 | Phillips | 124.87 | 197 | 225 | Orsborn | 97.79 |
| 88 | 20 | Callaghan | 124.76 | 198 | 219 | Spencer | 97.12 |
| 88 | 91 | Zeller | 124.76 | 199 | 165 | Fernon | 97.06 |

FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - OPEN

| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 54 | Driscoll | 124.72 | 200 | 141 | Biddick | 97.03 |
| 91 | 82 | Mangos | 124.68 | 201 | 216 | Ham | 96.97 |
| 92 | 174 | Nilsson | 124.49 | 202 | 208 | Geare | 96.59 |
| 93 | 108 | Bugler | 124.35 | 203 | 201 | Hazell | 96.44 |
| 94 | 72 | Dibley | 124.13 | 204 | 190 | I Bailey | 96.00 |
| 95 | 203 | Read | 123.57 | 205 | 167 | Seal | 95.87 |
| 96 | 171 | Watson | 123.24 | 206 | 142 | Nicholson | 95.25 |
| 97 | 17 | Cheval | 123.09 | 207 | 223 | Hancox | 94.49 |
| 98 | 81 | Bodycote | 122.95 | 208 | 131 | Goodall | 93.85 |
| 99 | 23 | Lockwood | 122.75 | 209 | 88 | Gehrke | 93.59 |
| 100 | 197 | Barda | 122.71 | 210 | 151 | Carroll | 92.80 |
| 101 | 134 | Small | 122.68 | 211 | 109 | Andrew | 92.57 |
| 102 | 133 | Toon | 122.40 | 212 | 210 | Goodwin | 89.52 |
| 103 | 65 | Brockwell | 121.93 | 213 | 200 | Andrews | 89.31 |
| 104 | 145 | Tuxworth | 121.47 | 214 | 226 | Gue | 88.60 |
| 105 | 96 | D Coats | 120.97 | 215 | 214 | Neels | 88.49 |
| 106 | 173 | Morris | 120.91 | 216 | 217 | Fardoulys | 87.88 |
| 107 | 118 | Maguire | 120.80 | 217 | 160 | Leach | 86.78 |
| 108 | 154 | Stoneman | 120.32 | 218 | 212 | Page | 86.49 |
| 109 | 211 | Ajzner | 120.28 | 219 | 147 | Gibbs | 85.94 |
| 110 | 37 | Bolt | 119.75 | 220 | 204 | Van Wyck | 85.48 |
| 111 | 124 | Gilfoyle | 119.64 | 221 | 184 | Bach | 83.81 |
| 112 | 148 | McFall | 119.54 | 222 | 80 | Abbenbroek | 83.62 |
| 113 | 175 | Schoutrop | 119.38 | 223 | 195 | Hanson | 82.70 |
| 114 | 205 | Inglis | 119.31 | 224 | 176 | Parkin | 82.59 |
| 115 | 61 | Jeffery | 119.24 | 225 | 209 | E Coats | 82.01 |
| 116 | 121 | S Reynolds | 119.18 | 226 | 185 | Redhead | 41.89 |
| FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - SENIORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 1 | R Brightling - D Hoffman - R Krochmalik - P Lavings |  |  |  |  | 172.78 |
| 2 | 2 | Z Nagy - D Middleton - N Ewart - D Smith |  |  |  |  | 166.13 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 3 | 10 | Waters | 159.50 | 20 | 7 | P Jeffery | 122.06 |
| 4 | 3 | Short | 155.69 | 21 | 36 | Perera | 121.99 |
| 5 | 17 | McKinnon | 138.15 | 22 | 14 | Woodhall | 120.07 |
| 6 | 11 | Guy | 137.93 | 23 | 19 | Luck | 118.62 |
| 7 | 8 | Butts | 135.72 | 24 | 22 | Lane | 114.20 |
| 8 | 16 | Palmer | 135.45 | 25 | 34 | Carr | 112.85 |
| 9 | 9 | Klofa | 132.28 | 26 | 25 | Rusher | 111.66 |
| 10 | 6 | Chan | 129.84 | 27 | 30 | Lawrie | 107.07 |
| 11 | 5 | Zines | 126.81 | 28 | 20 | Harman | 106.84 |
| 12 | 13 | Strasser | 125.65 | 29 | 28 | Macaulay | 97.82 |
| 13 | 12 | Kahler | 124.55 | 30 | 35 | Brown | 97.08 |
| 14 | 24 | Jackson | 124.19 | 31 | 29 | Stephenson | 93.41 |
| 15 | 4 | Walsh | 123.67 | 32 | 23 | Dudley | 92.39 |
| 16 | 21 | Davis | 123.27 | 33 | 31 | Carmichael | 88.59 |
| 17 | 18 | Gough | 122.90 | 34 | 32 | Lee | 86.42 |
| 18 | 33 | Oyston | 122.53 | 35 | 27 | Rooney | 82.03 |
| 19 | 15 | Smith | 122.38 | 36 | 26 | H Jeffery | 68.78 |
| FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - INTERMEDIATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 81 | K Hajmasi - A Michl - J Whale - B Whale |  |  |  |  | 165.66 |
| 2 | 8 | A Lohmann - D Cameron - J Randall - P Randall |  |  |  |  | 161.97 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 3 | 17 | Roxburgh | 161.54 | 45 | 45 | J Williamson | 118.69 |
| 4 | 42 | Packer | 154.62 | 46 | 66 | McMaster | 118.18 |
| 5 | 18 | Bailey | 152.99 | 47 | 41 | Holewa | 118.03 |
| 6 | 86 | Humphrey | 151.45 | 48 | 36 | McNaughton | 117.19 |
| 7 | 19 | Sadigh | 148.99 | 49 | 47 | Schmalkuche | 116.53 |
| 8 | 11 | Corry | 144.81 | 50 | 9 | Lowe | 116.46 |
| 9 | 3 | Donovan | 142.56 | 51 | 85 | Rolls | 115.39 |

FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - INTERMEDIATE

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 61 | Armstrong | 142.21 | 52 | 32 | Delaney | 115.03 |
| 11 | 39 | Ward | 141.62 | 53 | 76 | Logan | 114.99 |
| 12 | 6 | Rogers | 141.38 | 54 | 60 | Gooley | 114.83 |
| 13 | 1 | Jane Swanson | 139.00 | 55 | 13 | Kite | 113.79 |
| 14 | 21 | Gosney | 138.72 | 56 | 20 | Carr | 111.85 |
| 15 | 14 | Tucker | 138.55 | 57 | 56 | Wippell | 111.29 |
| 16 | 16 | Mander | 137.22 | 58 | 7 | Keating | 111.23 |
| 17 | 82 | Snelling | 137.20 | 59 | 52 | Stuart | 110.49 |
| 18 | 80 | Deaker | 137.15 | 60 | 79 | Rohald | 109.49 |
| 19 | 23 | Richards | 136.83 | 61 | 54 | Rayani | 109.29 |
| 20 | 24 | Giles | 134.24 | 62 | 33 | Weaver | 109.20 |
| 21 | 37 | J Rossiter-Nuttall | 132.55 | 63 | 40 | Steinhardt | 107.52 |
| 22 | 74 | Zulfiqar | 131.73 | 64 | 62 | Cook | 107.32 |
| 23 | 34 | Clift | 131.58 | 65 | 51 | Codognotto | 106.73 |
| 24 | 83 | Haslett | 131.43 | 66 | 46 | Cockbill | 106.51 |
| 25 | 31 | Hyland | 130.30 | 67 | 22 | Sear | 105.81 |
| 26 | 35 | Hurst | 128.88 | 68 | 27 | Anderson | 105.37 |
| 27 | 57 | Coppin | 128.76 | 69 | 59 | Davis | 104.60 |
| 28 | 10 | Bell | 128.51 | 70 | 71 | Hoschke | 104.29 |
| 29 | 64 | Haley | 127.86 | 71 | 69 | Knox | 104.25 |
| 30 | 70 | Nikolic | 127.13 | 72 | 26 | Innes | 102.09 |
| 31 | 67 | Ramsund | 126.70 | 73 | 28 | Munro | 102.01 |
| 32 | 2 | Wylie | 125.58 | 74 | 65 | Lynch | 101.80 |
| 33 | 12 | Sheldrake | 125.32 | 75 | 4 | Sleat | 101.35 |
| 34 | 5 | Tomlinson | 124.70 | 76 | 72 | Tomalak | 101.28 |
| 35 | 73 | Barber | 124.47 | 77 | 68 | B Rossiter-Nuttall | 98.02 |
| 36 | 84 | Zink | 124.26 | 78 | 77 | Gunner | 97.65 |
| 37 | 25 | McLay | 123.44 | 79 | 48 | Cotton | 95.85 |
| 38 | 29 | M Williamson | 123.10 | 80 | 78 | Jacobs | 95.14 |
| 39 | 75 | Jenny Swanson | 121.61 | 81 | 58 | Routley | 92.02 |
| 40 | 63 | Stick | 120.64 | 82 | 53 | Sutherland | 86.79 |
| 41 | 15 | Van Bakel | 119.67 | 83 | 43 | Burgess | 86.15 |
| 42 | 44 | Dean | 119.54 | 84 | 55 | Rosengren | 82.30 |
| 43 | 49 | Power | 119.18 | 85 | 50 | Fenwicke | 78.38 |
| 44 | 38 | Houlton | 118.86 | 86 | 30 | Winter | 77.13 |

FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - RESTRICTED

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 49 | N Walsh - D Poulton - L Yoffa - J Thomas | 166.61 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | H Van Weeren - P Clarke - J Conroy - J Blenkey | 163.52 |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. |  | Team Members |  | Score |  |  |
| 3 | 88 | Kalma | 153.05 | 46 | 71 | Lane | 118.54 |
| 4 | 4 | Coventry | 152.98 | 47 | 45 | Wlodarczyk | 118.34 |
| 5 | 82 | Bowers | 151.56 | 48 | 12 | Frost | 118.24 |
| 6 | 42 | Sylvester | 148.44 | 49 | 9 | Sommerton | 118.21 |
| 7 | 13 | R Smith | 147.49 | 50 | 15 | Allen | 117.47 |
| 8 | 61 | Bannister | 145.25 | 51 | 2 | Dunlop | 114.92 |
| 9 | 20 | Chaffey | 144.50 | 52 | 41 | C Webb | 114.70 |
| 10 | 81 | Chatterton | 143.94 | 53 | 79 | Quilty | 114.68 |
| 11 | 18 | Bakas | 143.35 | 54 | 67 | Clarke | 114.51 |
| 12 | 3 | Price | 143.19 | 55 | 54 | Rosetta | 114.22 |
| 13 | 21 | Duncan | 140.65 | 56 | 46 | Brink | 114.02 |
| 14 | 59 | Miller | 140.18 | 57 | 25 | Cahill | 113.60 |
| 15 | 11 | Riley | 138.76 | 58 | 58 | Bellis | 113.17 |
| 16 | 23 | Morahan | 138.64 | 59 | 85 | Skarupsky | 112.95 |
| 17 | 84 | K Smith | 137.76 | 60 | 32 | Knight | 112.79 |
| 18 | 62 | Kommeren | 137.28 | 61 | 14 | Parmenter | 110.89 |
| 19 | 60 | Rooke | 136.32 | 62 | 78 | Mabin | 110.48 |
| 20 | 34 | Bunworth | 135.85 | 63 | 56 | Gibney | 110.31 |
| 21 | 5 | Rydon | 135.58 | 64 | 33 | P Webb | 109.65 |
| 22 | 28 | Wright | 132.43 | 65 | 53 | Bustany | 108.92 |
| 23 | 26 | Koster | 130.89 | 66 | 29 | Trengove | 108.48 |
| 24 | 10 | Treloar | 130.24 | 67 | 35 | Hall | 106.87 |

FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - RESTRICTED

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 72 | Hartley | 129.70 | 68 | 48 | Beyer | 106.66 |
| 26 | 17 | Thillainathan | 128.95 | 69 | 83 | Kerr | 104.85 |
| 27 | 7 | Opray | 128.92 | 70 | 16 | Paterson | 104.00 |
| 28 | 77 | Woodbury | 128.85 | 71 | 39 | Hooper | 103.86 |
| 29 | 52 | Morgan | 128.30 | 72 | 68 | Michelsson | 103.75 |
| 30 | 66 | Dey | 127.30 | 73 | 36 | Chatfield | 103.04 |
| 31 | 69 | Russell | 127.20 | 74 | 31 | Hapeta | 103.00 |
| 32 | 76 | Macdonald | 126.38 | 75 | 19 | Crooke | 101.81 |
| 33 | 70 | Singer | 125.34 | 76 | 74 | Delgado | 101.63 |
| 34 | 47 | Egan | 124.93 | 77 | 87 | Cliffin | 101.54 |
| 35 | 6 | Heck | 124.36 | 78 | 37 | Musgrave | 98.48 |
| 35 | 50 | Bowen-Thomas | 124.36 | 79 | 51 | G Smith | 96.89 |
| 37 | 57 | Macintosh | 122.80 | 80 | 8 | Sivewright | 96.13 |
| 38 | 22 | Hart | 122.69 | 81 | 64 | Nilsson | 94.31 |
| 39 | 55 | Ledger | 121.78 | 82 | 75 | Reid | 94.24 |
| 40 | 43 | Simon | 121.58 | 83 | 73 | Chappell | 92.45 |
| 41 | 44 | Nugent | 120.25 | 84 | 24 | Junge | 89.39 |
| 42 | 40 | Mathieson | 120.06 | 85 | 27 | Caddy | 87.59 |
| 43 | 30 | Merrin | 120.02 | 86 | 63 | Gilder | 85.79 |
| 44 | 80 | Lenton | 119.62 | 87 | 65 | Vary | 75.48 |
| 45 | 38 | Little | 118.98 | 88 | 86 | Haslett | 67.99 |
| FINAL QUALIFYING SCORES AFTER 12 ROUNDS - NOVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 24 | A Delorenzo - L Shonk - E Voveris - J Thomas |  |  |  |  | 165.47 |
| 2 | 11 | D McAuliffe - P Barnett - M Coote - J Harvey |  |  |  |  | 155.80 |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 3 | 13 | Bain | 150.18 | 16 | 21 | Sheldrake | 115.78 |
| 4 | 1 | Hurst | 141.16 | 17 | 3 | Northey | 115.74 |
| 5 | 20 | Innes | 141.08 | 18 | 18 | Eather | 114.84 |
| 6 | 7 | Mathieson | 139.13 | 19 | 8 | Sharp | 114.63 |
| 7 | 5 | Reilly | 133.48 | 20 | 14 | N Anderson | 110.04 |
| 8 | 23 | Carter | 130.60 | 21 | 16 | Davey | 108.59 |
| 9 | 19 | A Anderson | 128.16 | 22 | 27 | Bailey | 104.67 |
| 10 | 26 | Dunworth | 125.95 | 23 | 6 | Metcalfe | 103.90 |
| 11 | 10 | Burke | 125.53 | 24 | 28 | Treloar | 103.31 |
| 12 | 15 | Howitt | 121.33 | 25 | 22 | Kendall | 98.90 |
| 13 | 12 | Bunting | 120.37 | 26 | 17 | Muller | 90.81 |
| 14 | 4 | Devrell | 118.44 | 27 | 9 | Clark | 89.41 |
| 15 | 2 | Gibbards | 116.94 | 28 | 25 | Waters | 75.76 |

## SESSION 2 HOLIDAY PAIRS 2 - LEADING SCORES

| Place | North-South | $\%$ | Place | East West | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Johan Roose - Judith Roose-Driver | 61.08 | 1 | Barbara Hackett - Roger O'Shea | 59.68 |
| 2 | Justine Beaumont - Jenny Mendick | 56.94 | 2 | Steve Murray - Ann Murray | 57.52 |
| 3 | Marge Scott - Jane Jordan | 54.17 | 3 | Anne Moase - Penny Talley | 55.59 |
| 4 | Michelle Behrens - Jenny Mawson | 53.19 | 4 | Barbara Kent - Neil Strutton | 53.61 |
| 5 | Margaret Baker - Margaret Munro | 51.96 | 5 | Florence Gibbons - Joy Mantello | 53.37 |
| 6 | Dianne Mullin - Eddie Mullin | 51.71 | 6 | Anne Alexander - Alan Corkhill | 52.89 |
| 7 | Christine Houghton - Wayne Houghton | 51.58 | 7 | Zacharia Davidson - Daina Davidson | 48.39 |
| 8 | Victor Hansom - Dennis Watkinson | 50.33 | 7 | John Martin - Colleen Kelly | 48.39 |
| 9 | Kelly hapman - Renate Pettit | 50.18 | 9 | Vesna Markovic - Voyko Markovic | 47.91 |

TBIB LOTTERY - VALERIE ISLES, THE WINNER OF \$250
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Join over 35,000 members Money back guarantee

Improve your game with hundreds of quality
bridge articles. Access the best bridge resources available. Receive bridge problems emailed to

## you daily. And much more

Unlimited access to the Online Library: a broad range of bridge-related topics with a huge amount of content, including opening leads, declarer play, defence, constructive and competitive bidding, conventions and countermeasures. It's easy to search and find what you need quickly.
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NEED TRANSPORT HOME FROM THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS?
OR DO YOU WANT TO SHARE A TAXI TO THE AIRPORT

If you are looking for a "ride" home after the tournament you really should visit the travel desk outside the Administration Office where players can exchange offers of a ride with people needing one.

## POLOS FOR SALE

Gold Coast Congress polo shirts are available for sale during the congress or until stocks run out. The cost is $\$ 20$ each available from the admin office. Grab yours now

## THAT'S ENTERTAINMENT



TODAY'S DIFFICULT SUDOKU

| 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 4 |
| 8 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 8 |
| 3 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 1 |
| 6 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 9 |
| 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 9 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 7 |


|  |  |  |  | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 6 | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 3 |  | 6 |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | 9 |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | 7 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 6 |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |  |  |  |

## SERVICES

If you are in need of a doctor or medical assistance you can contact Kim Ellaway through the Administration Desk or alternatively contact the Broadbeach Medical Centre on 07-5531-6344, Suite GO1, 2681 Gold Coast Highway Broadbeach. Please note that they do not bulk bill. Their after-Hours is handled by National Home Doctors Service on 137425 who do bulk bill.

| CALENDAR OF SOCIAL AND OTHER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Venue | Friday 23rd February |
| NOVICE AND ROOKIE ACTIVITES |  |  |
| Novices Help Available | In the Playing Area Novices Section | $\begin{gathered} \text { 09:30am } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 10:00am } \end{gathered}$ |
| SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTMVIES |  |  |
| Champagne Breakfast For Shoe Shoppers In Her Shoes | In Her Shoes Ground Floor Oasis Shopping Centre | 8:15am |
| All Golf Enquiries to Geoff Nice 0407-620-373 • gnice200@gmail.com |  | Friday 23rd February |

# TABLE COUNT <br> TO THE END OF PLAY THURSDAY NIGHT 7930 

(Last Year 8005)
Note: this year we held a Friday Setup Pairs

## TBIB

PLAY YOUR CARDS RIGHT

## Claim Files

After John* scraped a knee climbing over a rock, he and wife (and travelling companion) June* didn't figure on a 3 day stay in hospital and Doctor's orders to return home immediately.

With the better part of their adventure tour still to go and unplanned travel expenses due to their medical advice to return home immediately from their remote location, it was looking like a painful and disappointing outcome to an eagerly awaited holiday.

The good news is that John and June were part of the ABF Members; Travel Insurance Policy and once all of the relevant information was at hand their claim was paid well inside our service standard.

Travel emergencies don't always happen within business hours, and when things haven't gone well its nice to have local team looking after you 24/7.

Travel insurance polies vary greatly in what they cover and what they won't. Don't be caught out by a second rate option that could leave you hanging at the worst possible time.

Play your cards right and talk to us about your insurance before you book your next trip. Email us on steveweil@tbib.com.au or phone us on 0732525254 .
*These are real claim examples, however critical details have been redacted to protect the privacy of our members.

## CROATIA/SLOVENIA EXPERIENCE

MAY, 2019 (13 nights)
Beauchamp
with David Beauchamp \& Anita Curtis

F니GHCENTRE Group Tavel


This is a tour for people who enjoy walking, sightseeing \& a little bit of bridge! Non-bridge players are welcome. The tour includes:
$\checkmark 13$ nights' accommodation in 3-4 star hotels ( 3 nights - Split; 1 night - Plitvice; 2 nights - Opatija; 3 nights - Ljubljana; 3 nights - Lake Bled; 1 night - Trieste)
$\checkmark$ All breakfasts
$\checkmark 4$ dinners; welcome and farewell drinks (beer, wine, soft drinks)
$\checkmark$ Private coach transfer between cities \& all transport with the group by coach
$\checkmark$ Slovenia: famous Postojna caves; beautiful Lake Bohinj, Lake Bled \& Vintgar Gorge; Ljubljana
$\checkmark$ Croatia: Day trip by ferry to Hvar Island (part of Dalmatian coast); Diocletian's Palace in the port of Split; stunning Plitvice Lakes; Opatija - the "Nice" of the Adriatic; Trogir - medieval town
$\checkmark$ Italy: Miramare Castle in Trieste
$\checkmark$ Sessions at 2 bridge clubs in Croatia/Slovenia
$\checkmark$ Daily bridge bulletins; Bridge quizzes on coach between cities; evening bridge discussions in the bar!

For a Croatia/Slovenia 2019 itinerary and further information, contact Anita: anita@bridgewithbeauchamp.com.au or phone 0405449767.

You can see past Bridge with Beauchamp holidays on our website:
www.bridgewithbeauchamp.com.au.

## David Beauchamp

David was a member of the Australian Open Team for 2017 and played in the PABF in Seoul and the World Championships in Lyon. He won the 2018 Canberra National Open Teams. He is also one of Australia's leading bridge teachers.

> Anita Curtis
> Anita speaks Japanese, Italian, German \& some French! She was a member of the 2017 NSW Women's Interstate team \& winner of Spring Nationals Womens \& is a bridge teacher at NSBC \& various other clubs in Sydney.

