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## THANK YOU THERESE

## Kim Ellaway

Therese, Theresa, Tull or Genevieve - she answers to many names, but it's all the same person has been your Tournament Organiser for 12 years and in that time we've doubled the size of the tournament.
When, in 2007, we moved from the ANA Hotel to the Gold Coast Convention Centre because of the growing popularity of the tournament Therese took over as the Tournament Organiser. As you will see from below, referring to her as the Tournament Organiser is something akin to calling Napoleon a Corporal.
We first used the Arena and some of the Central Areas of the Convention Centre noting that this year we are using those areas in addition to Halls 1 and 2.

A small list of Therese's triumphs:

- Coloured tablecloths for each section


## - Fabulous Signage

- Successful Bridge Widows where even the bridge players sometimes attend
- Celebrity Speakers - all major events have followed suit
- Guest Overseas Directors
- The best Bulletin at a Congress
- The best Scoring at a Congress
- Rookie Events
- 0-50 events
- Hospitality
- Trivia night
- Theme Day - into which she puts $150 \%$ effort always decked out in the theme of choice for the entire event.

And so much more that I can't even think of right now.
We have used most of the Tully brothers, sisters-in-laws, nieces, nephews, cousins and great friends and we thank them all. You may all now enjoy restful Februaries.
I first met Therese while representing Queensland as a youth player - Therese was the other half of the team. Who knew that together we would be running what I consider to be the best Congress in the world and there are few people who would argue with this.

Therese won't disappear; she will continue to be a big part of the Congress but only as a player
The staff will miss you swanning around the venue dressed in whatever the theme is for the year. Ray will certainly miss the drinking sessions when you tried to solve the world's problems with him.
From all the staff over the past 12 years, thank you, thank you thank you.

From me personally, a BIG thank you, especially for the last couple of years which have been extremely rough on me. Your address to all the bridge players the day after my mum died was extremely special to me and I will never forget it. Kim

|  | Pairs |  |  |  |  | Teams |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Open | Seniors | Intermediate Restricted \& Novice | Swiss | Total | Open | Seniors | Intermediate Restricted \& Novice | Total |
| 2006 | 324 | 98 | 156 |  | 578 | 244 | 56 | 86 | 386 |
| 2007 | 297 | 91 | 180 |  | 568 | 243 | 45 | 103 | 391 |
| 2008 | 332 | 104 | 162 |  | 598 | 274 | 48 | 96 | 418 |
| 2009 | 284 | 106 | 180 |  | 570 | 246 | 46 | 96 | 388 |
| 2010 | 314 | 102 | 204 |  | 620 | 214 | 44 | 138 | 396 |
| 2011 | 304 | 98 | 292 |  | 694 | 240 | 46 | 150 | 436 |
| 2012 | 264 | 94 | 282 |  | 640 | 220 | 46 | 138 | 404 |
| 2013 | 194 | 88 | 308 | 242 | 832 | 200 | 52 | 174 | 426 |
| 2014 | 198 | 70 | 312 | 296 | 876 | 196 | 46 | 184 | 426 |
| 2015 | 198 | 52 | 328 | 320 | 898 | 208 | 36 | 192 | 436 |
| 2016 | 184 | 52 | 350 | 350 | 936 | 206 | 34 | 216 | 456 |
| 2017 | 186 | 48 | 326 | 470 | 1030 | 214 | 40 | 228 | 482 |
| 2018 | 190 | 56 | 352 | 448 | 1046 | 226 | 36 | 202 | 464 |

## DIVISIONAL WINNERS - PAIRS CHAMPIONSHIP

The following pairs were winners of divisional positions in the Pairs Championships.

## Open

| Best Womens | Marianne Bookallil and Jodi Tutty <br> Best Queensland |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chuan Qin and Charlie Lu |  |
| Sest Queensland <br> Intermediate | Larry Moses and Richard Touton |
| Best Queensland not prize winners | Chris Larter and John Lahey |
| Restricted |  |
| Best Queensland <br> Novice | Mick Fawcett and Lyn Tracey |
| Best Queensland not prize winners | Andrew and Susan Sharp |

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH 1 <br> Barry Rigal

To play with me once might be regarded as a misfortune; to play with me twice looks very much like carelessness --- or something much worse. But David Appleton asked me to augment a team, apparently having forgotten the torments he had experienced a few years ago, and I was not prepared to let him off the hook.

The very first deal saw a really neat piece of technique - but l'm not sure I can justify it on any grounds, other than that it works.

| Dealer: North | ¢ A Q 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - Q 104 |  |
| Brd 1 | - A 7653 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | \& J 5 |  |
| ¢ K 1075 |  | ¢ J 432 |
| - A 3 |  | - K J 72 |
| -KQ J 9 |  | - 82 |
| \& A 93 |  | \& K 42 |
|  | ¢ 86 |  |
|  | -9865 |  |
|  | -104 |  |
|  | \& Q 10876 |  |

At the table our teammates, Jodi Tutty and Marianne Bookallil bid the E/W cards to 3NT from the West seat, which was comfortably the best game. At our table my feeble attempts to put up a smokescreen by responding 1 to 1 as South had the effect of keeping our opponents out of game. They played a spade partscore from the East seat, and after a diamond lead and continuation declarer won in dummy, crossed to a top club and led a spade to the 10 and queen. Now a third round of diamonds promoted the defenders' spade spots to a fourth trick.

So let's look at what declarer might do to prevent this, if in 44. I suppose if South has passed his partner's opening bid, one might win the second diamond in dummy and play North for the spade ace-queen by leading a low trump from dummy. Now that neutralizes the trump promotion. For the record, 57 pairs played $4 \uparrow$ here, half making game and half being defeated.

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet K 32 \\ & \bullet A Q J \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Appleton | East | South Rigal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 2 | -KJ2 |  |  |  | 1\% | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | - A 872 |  | Pass | Dbl. | Pass | 14 |  |
| -108 |  | - Q 65 | Pass | 1NT | Pass | 2NT |  |
| -9763 |  | -K1042 | Pass | 3NT | All pass |  |  |
| -10865 |  | - A Q |  | Makeab | le Contr |  |  |
| \& K 43 |  | \& Q 1065 | - | 3 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - AJ974 |  | - | 4 | - | 3 | - |
|  | - 85 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | -9743 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | \& J 9 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

We reached this deal at the end of our set, by which point we had already missed two games and a slam (yes, par for the course, I know, I know). In an eager attempt not to miss a third game I may have taken a step too far, on the given auction:
Should 2\% be checkback on this auction by South at his third turn? No-trump looked better to protect the tenaces, and indeed after a heart lead Appleton was in decent shape. He cashed the spade king and took the spade finesse then led a diamond to the jack and queen. Had East played back a third spade what line would you recommend? You must run the spades of course but may eventually have to guess whether East began life with 3-4-3-3 shape or her actual 3-4-2-4 pattern. In fact when East won the diamond queen it looks natural to play a club and hope to find partner with the ace or king. True enough: but as the cards lie, that allows declarer to build the ninth trick from clubs rather more easily.


This board proved very hard for the N/S field, including my partnership. It seemed natural for South to open 1 * and for North to produce an inverted raise to $2 \downarrow$. Our partnership methods allowed me to bid 2 to suggest some form of extras, but over $3 \leqslant$ I wasn't sure if we were in a force, so we played an undignified $3>$ for +130 . Still at least we did have three top losers in diamonds, even if 3NT was absolutely cold for at least nine tricks.

About one third of the field did bid the hand to 3NT, but the majority of the field went down in game. That meant that the datum was only +110 for N/S. certainly if North shows a diamond raise with short spades you can understand South wanting to avoid 3NT, can't you?

| Dealer: South Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \text { K J } 10854 \\ & \bullet \text { A } 102 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East | South$1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 7 | - 6 |  | 10 | 14 | Pass | 2 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | \& A K 4 |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | ? |  |
| ¢9 |  | ¢ Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KQ984 |  | - 763 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K873 |  | - J 92 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& 965 |  | \& Q J 1073 | - | 5 |  | 5 | NT |
|  | ¢A 762 |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | ¢ |
|  | - J 5 |  | - | 2 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q 1054 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ¢ 82 |  | - | 1 | - | 2 | 4 |

The next board also proved a problem for $N / S$. if South passes initially it will be very hard to reach slam. But even after a sequence shown above.
Should you play $4 \diamond$ as a splinter in diamonds? And if so should it be a bid that suggests you need a suitable maximum to cooperate? I think so. Maybe it is better to bid 30 and then make a slam try over the sign-off. Now when you make a further slam try South may cooperate, but that third round heart control is critical for slam and South may not appreciate the point.

The datum was 920 - meaning about a third of the field found their way to slam.

| Dealer: East Vul: Both | $\text { - K Q } 9$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 10 | -KJ983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 | \& AK 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 62 |  | - A 8754 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -10854 |  | - AQ 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -107 |  | - A 6 |  | Makea | Con |  |  |
| ¢J532 |  | -108 | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | - 103 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | -K J 76 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\bullet$ |
|  | -Q542 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | \&Q97 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

Another fascinating problem for North; when $1 \uparrow$ by East is passed round to you, would you double or bid $2-$ and if not what else can you do? When you bid 2 you hear $2 \downarrow$ on your left and $3 \diamond$ from your partner. What now? If you bid 3 to reach 3NT you will survive if partner has either the spade jack or ten - but what are the odds of that. If I had to guess, l'd assume it might be right to bid 3NT now and assume that since the opponents had not raised spades you are likely to find partner with a heart stop. Again, the field had real problems here; the datum was +270 , meaning only a quarter of the field bid a making game here.

Klinger/Mullamphy had the tools to solve the problem. At his second turn, Klinger could bid $3 \downarrow$ to ASK for a heart stopper. Mullamphy showed one but bid 3 to get Klinger to declare 3NT the right way up.

| Dealer: West |  |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\odot 75$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 12 | - K J 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual R1 \& K Q 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 10743 |  | $\text { - A Q } 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bullet 9 \quad \bullet \text { QJ } 1082$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q } 9 \\ & \& J 9754 \end{aligned}$ |  | - 3 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \& A 1062 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | ¢ K J 2 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | - AK643 |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 86542 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& --- |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 9 |

Another challenging deal: as South when you hear 1 to your right would you consider taking any action? I think passing seems normal, but after you hear 1 to your left and $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ to your right, should you bid 2 now? In theory assuming you haven't lost your mind, when you come into a live auction having passed at your first turn, this should show diamonds and heart length. That would have worked very well today, but I wimped out and passed, conceding -90 in $2 \boldsymbol{\beta}$ instead of going plus in a diamond partscore.

The final score in the match was 45-2 for our side, since our teammates had a card that matched ours very nicely. But I was then informed that the team had a bench that needed warming and I was the natural candidate for the role.

## INTERMEDIATE TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH 1 - REVERSING COURSE

## Brent Manley

If you have played bridge - especially duplicate - for any length of time, you understand that there are good days and bad days. The best you can do is to adopt the philosophy of Bob Hamman, one of the all-time greats. No matter what happens, Hamman puts it in the past so he can focus on the present.

In the first round of the Intermediate Teams qualifying on Tuesday, the squad captained by Jamal Rayani got a bit of practice in shrugging off the negatives, and they did it well enough that their results in the second set were much improved. They finished the day with a $36-18$ IMP win.
Rayani was playing with his wife, Parveen. Before he retired in 2014, Jamal worked as IT procurement manager for various companies. Parveen is retired from a job as executive secretary at AstraZeneca, the biopharmaceutical company. Their teammates were Fay Morris, and Brenda and Howard Rees. All are from Sydney.
The set started with this deal, played against Paul Corry and Chris Fernando of Melbourne:

| Dealer: East Vul: E-W | $\oplus J$ |  | West Corry | North Jamal | East <br> Fernando | South Parveen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 6 | - AJ 109742 |  |  |  | Pass | 1 |  |
| Int Tms Qual R1 | - A 63 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | 3 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { - K Q } 108 \\ & \bullet \text { J } 8763 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9542 \\ & \bullet \text { A } 1052 \end{aligned}$ | Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |  |
| -8 |  | -6 |  | Makea | le Contra |  |  |
| ¢ 752 |  | \& K Q J 8 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | - A 763 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | ¢ |
|  | $\bullet$ KQ |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - KQ 53 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | -1094 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 |

As you can see, the contract has no play despite the trump length and high-card points. Parveen could take no more than 10 tricks. At the other table, Kathryn and Larry Attwood did very well to stop in $3 \downarrow$, taking the same 10 tricks for plus 130 and a 5-IMP gain.

The next board was a vulnerable slam swing in favour of the opponents, so the Rayani team was quickly 18 IMPs in the hole.
They broke through on the fifth board of the set when there was action at both tables.

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: Both | - K Q 9 |  | West Corry | North Jamal | East <br> Fernando | South Parveen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 10 | -KJ983 |  |  |  | 1- | Pass |  |
| Int Tms Qual R1 | \& AK 64 |  | Pass | Dbl | Pass | 29 |  |
| - J 62 |  | - A 8754 | Pass | 3 | All Pass |  |  |
| -10854 |  | - AQ 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -107 |  | - A 6 |  | Makea | le Contra |  |  |
| ¢J532 |  | -108 | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | - 103 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | -KJ76 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - Q 542 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | \& Q 97 |  | - |  | - | 4 | 4 |

Jamal lost a spade, a heart and a diamond for plus 130, an 8-IMP gain because at the other table the Morris and Brenda Rees ended up doubling the Attwoods in 5 and collecting plus 200.

On this deal, about midway through the set, Corry played well to land a 4 contract.


Jamal started with the $\diamond J$, which went to declarer's ace. At trick two, Corry played a heart to dummy's ace and ran the $\uparrow 6$ to North's king. Corry won with the diamond continuation and played the $\mathbf{\$ 1 0}$, followed by a spade to dummy's 9 , then played a club to his jack and North's queen. Declarer ruffed when Jamal continued with the MK. When Corry played the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and Jamal covered with the ace, Corry paused to consider his play, finally calling for a low diamond from dummy. Jamal won the trick but had no diamond to play, and if he continued with the $\vee Q$, Corry could ruff and use the established $\geqslant J$ for a discard. He finally played a club to declarer's 10. The result was plus 420 for East-West.


Although things weren't going their way, the Rayanis kept their heads in the game, earning a small gain with alert defence on this board.

| Dealer: West Vul: N-S | ¢ 965 $\bullet 75$ |  | West Corry | North Jamal | East <br> Fernando | South Parveen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 12 | -K J 107 |  | Pass | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| Int Tms Qual R1 | \& K Q 83 |  | $1 ¢$ | Pass | 24 | All Pass |
| -10743 |  | - A Q 8 |  |  |  |  |
| -9 |  | - Q J 1082 |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q 9 |  | - 3 | Makea | Con |  |  |
| \&J9754 |  | \& A 1062 | - | 1 | - | 1 NT |
|  | - K J 2 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | - AK643 |  | - | 2 | - | 1 - |
|  | - 86542 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 * |
|  | \& --- |  | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

Parveen started with the $<8$, taken in dummy with the ace. At trick two, Fernando called for the $\boldsymbol{\&} \mathrm{J}$ : queen, ace. He then played a low heart from hand, taken by Parveen with the ace. She continued with a diamond. Declarer ruffed when Jamal covered dummy's $\$ 9$ with the 10 . He then played the $\mathbb{Q Q}$, covered by the king and ruffed. A spade went to his queen and Parveen's king and she played a third round of diamonds: 5 , queen, king, ruff. At that point, Fernando erred by playing the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$. When he followed with the $\geqslant \mathrm{J}$, pitching a spade, Jamal was able to ruff low, cash the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and exit with a spade to his partner's jack. When Parveen played another heart, dummy held the 97 , Jamal the $\$ 8$ and the $\downarrow$. Whatever declarer did, Jamal was certain to make his $\$ 8$ for the setting trick. East-West played 2 4 , making, at the other table for plus 110.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH 3

## Barry Rigal

I sat down to watch what turned out to be a very well-played match between JoAnn and Danny Sprung (E/W) and Kevin Rosenberg and Nabil Edgtton (N/S respectively).

On the first deal both East/West pairs reached a marginal game, needing two of three finesses, all of which worked. Both sides then played a quiet no-trump game, before Edgtton struck in an unusual fashion:

| Dealer: South | ¢ K 4 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AKQ42 |  | Danny | Rosenb'g | JoAnn |  |  |
| Brd 3 | - Q J |  |  |  |  | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Qual R3 | ¢ Q J 62 |  | 1 | 10 | Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ |  |
| ¢ A J 9 |  | ¢ Q 87 | Double | 4 | All pass |  |  |
| - 7 |  | -1096 | ${ }^{1}$ Mixed | Raise |  |  |  |
| - AK 1074 |  | -98652 |  | Makeab | le Contra | acts |  |
| \& K 1084 |  | \& 95 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ 106532 |  | - | 1 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | - J 853 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 3 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A 73 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

Danny's double suggested extras and a desire to compete but Rosenberg was ready to bid game and bought a decent dummy for his choice. JoAnn led the club nine and declarer finessed, eventually needing the spade ace onside to bring home +420 . (Note that if declarer goes up with the club ace at trick one, when trumps do not break, there are not enough entries to dummy to play clubs and spades from the board.)
5 is a good save at unfavourable vulnerability, but in the other room this was the somewhat unusual auction:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{l}\text { West } \\
\text { Thompson }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { North } \\
\text { McCallum }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { East } \\
\text { Smith }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}South <br>
King <br>

2 \boldsymbol{1}^{1}\end{array}\right]\)| 2NT | Double Pass | Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | Double 3 | All Pass |
| ${ }^{1} 5$ spades with $0-8 \mathrm{HCPs}$ |  |  |

You might say that N/S deserved to lose the heart fit after the systemic 2 opening. I couldn't possibly comment...and yes maybe North might have balanced with 30 here.

On the next deal I thought Rosenberg earned his swing after the opening lead had given him a chance.

| Dealer: West | ¢ J 9743 |  | West | North | East | South <br> Edgtton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - K 103 |  | Danny | Rosenb'g | JoAnn |  |  |
| Brd 4 | - A Q J 8 |  | Pass | 14 | 3\% | 4\% |  |
| Open Tms Qual R3 |  |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4¢ |  |
| ¢K1065 |  | - 8 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| - A J 72 |  | - 98 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -75432 |  | -K106 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& --- |  | \& K J 107643 | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | - A Q 2 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | ¢ |
|  | - Q 654 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -9 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A Q 952 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |

JoAnn led her doubleton heart against 4థ. Danny won to return the suit. Rosenberg won in hand and led a trump to dummy, then played a trump back to the six... and seven. He knocked out the trump king, and won the $\forall$ A to draw the last trump. Then he could unblock hearts and give up a trick to the $\forall K$, with the fourth diamond being discarded on the $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }$.
Yes, an easier way to go plus on the N/S cards would have been to pass over 3\&, and let the reopening double go, when after a diamond lead the defenders can collect 1100.

In the other room Smith led the club jack. McCallum put up the ace, and when it was ruffed away, she needed to guess who had the diamond king to make her game. When she played West for that card, she had to lose one trick in each suit.

At this point Edgtton led 23-0 and added three more undertrick IMPs when they played a 4-3 major suit, down on a ruff, while 3NT (with a heart stopper of the bare queen facing J64 and an ace to knock out) was not a success.

Both N/S pairs then did well to bid to slam - worth 12 IMPs on the datum but no IMPs in real life. On the next deal Victor King took an aggressive, and unlucky, position to save in 4 at equal vulnerability. The good news was that his side had a 6-4 solid trump fit; the bad news was that his sides' other assets consisted of a random king queen and jack, none of which represented a seventh trick for his side, so the penalty was 800 , and a further 8 IMPs to Edgtton, now leading 34-0.

Each side scored an overtrick over the next three deals, leaving the match score at $35-1$ before McCallum started a mini-revival.

| Dealer: West | - J 983 |  | West | North | East | South Edgtton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | -K95 |  | Danny | Rosenb'g | JoAnn |  |  |
| Brd 12 | -K76 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass | 1 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R3 | \& K 64 |  | Double | Rdbl | 34 | 3 |  |
| -1074 |  | - A Q 2 | Pass | 3NT | All pass |  |  |
| - A J 76 |  | - Q 82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 3 |  | -95 |  | Makeab | le Contra | acts |  |
| \& A 853 |  | \& J 10972 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - K 65 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | -1043 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | - A Q 10842 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |

The auction in one room was less than stimulating; in fourth seat King, playing a light opening system, opened one diamond and McCallum ended up in 24, making +110 .
In the other room this was the auction as shown above.
After a club lead there were 7 tricks, available, but no more. That was 7 IMPs to McCallum, and there was more to come.


What would you think would be the relative fates of $4 \checkmark$ and $3 N T$ ? In one room N/S preempted but didn't prevent their opponents from finding their way to the suit game.
Matt Smith played $4 \checkmark$ from the East seat. It looks natural to cash the diamonds to pitch the spade loser, then play on clubs. The defenders can play on trumps, but then declarer arranges to ruff out the clubs. Or they can force declarer to ruff in hand - but he can ruff diamonds low and if necessary a third round of spades high. What you cannot do is play three rounds of trumps early, as the 4-1 club break leaves you short of entries to your hand.


In the other room after a weak $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ Edgtton raised 2 to 3 Danny Sprung guessed to bid 3NT, while Joann had to guess whether to transfer to hearts, and got it wrong. Sprung received a spade lead; he won the second and cashed off the hearts, reaching this ending:
On the last heart Edgtton discarded a diamond and Rosenberg threw a diamond. Now declarer could play three rounds of diamonds to endplay Edgtton to lead clubs into dummy's tenace for the ninth trick.

That 12 IMPs made the match score $35-20$ for Edgtton but the last board opened the gap again.

| Dealer: East | ¢ K 3 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - A J 108 |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |
| Brd 14 | - A 87 |  |  | 24 |  | 2 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R3 | \& A 642 |  |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| ¢ Q J 106 |  | ¢ 52 |  | 4NT |  | 5 |  |
| - 32 |  | - 75 |  | 5NT |  | 7 |  |
| - Q 963 |  | -10542 |  | Make | le Con | acts |  |
| \& J 105 |  | \& K Q 983 | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | ¢A9874 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | ¢ |
|  | - KQ964 |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - K J |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 7 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |

This one was all about the bidding. McCallum/King had a relay screw-up and stopped in the small slam, while Rosenberg/Edgtton had the natural sequence shown above.
Had Edgtton bid $6 \checkmark$ over 5NT Rosenberg would have bid $7 \uparrow$ (and maybe that would avoid playing the grand slam with king-third of spades facing ace-fifth. The 11 IMPs made it a win by 26 IMPs for Edgtton, who were now up to 6th place (but Braun were in the lead with three perfect 20s, and 60VPs in the bag, having racked up 229 IMPs, so far.

# WELCOME TO NEW PLAYERS AT THE GCC 

Brent Manley

Common Errors - How to Avoid Them - Not Understanding Captaincy
A fundamental principle of bidding is the need for one member of the partnership to limit his hand as soon a possible.
If you are uncertain about what that means, consider the most common limit bid you have in your repertoire: an opening bid of 1NT.

In the USA, most play that a 1 NT opener shows 15-17 high-card points in a balanced hand, but some prefer 14-16. There are many different ranges around the world, but most are narrow.

Consider the difference between a 1NT opener and an opening of, say, 1 1 . In most systems, an opening of one of a suit can be made on a good - or maybe even a not-so-good - 11 HCP up to 20 or more, perhaps just short of a strong, forcing opening.

That's a wide range. The sooner opener more clearly defines his hand - perhaps via a 1NT rebid or by rebidding his suit at a minimum level - the better the chances that the partnership will arrive at the correct contract.

Similarly, in the auction, 14-Pass-1『, responder's range can be pretty wide. Responder, in fact, could have a very strong hand with which he prefers to go slowly.
So what is all this leading up to? It is a discussion of a principle known as captaincy.
Basically, captaincy comes into play when one member of a partnership limits his hand. At that point, the other player becomes "captain" of the auction.

It makes sense, doesn't it?
When you open 1NT with, say, a range of 15-17 HCP, who in the partnership knows how high the bidding should go? Not you. You have no idea how strong partner's hand is, especially if partner is an unpassed hand. The captain on this auction is your partner, who can look at his own hand and know whether to

1. Give up on game - perhaps passing 1NT or transferring and then passing.
2. Invite game in some way, perhaps by bidding 2NT or transferring and bidding 2NT or raising the transfer suit.
3. Insist on game in one of a variety of ways.
4. Invite slam, perhaps by bidding 4NT directly (not asking for aces).
5. Insist on slam.

You must answer partner's questions and/or respond to his invitations and, most important, abide by partner's decisions.

You will profit in your results and earn respect as a player by making this your mantra: Once I have described my hand, I will not bid again unless forced to do so by my partner.

## PLAY BRIDGE WITH BARRY

Barry Rigal
Sometimes the idea of false-carding with an honour is sufficiently obscure that the theme is unlikely to come up again. Regardless of that, the following is the approximate layout on a deal that features my favourite of Martin Hoffman's coups.


Consider the defence's chances. After two top spades West shifted to a club and Martin's nine forced the king. Declarer, knowing the heart king was to his left, led low to the ace and played a heart back, intending to duck this to the king. But look what happened when Martin as East followed with the jack on the second round of trumps; can you blame declarer for covering the jack with the queen? Now West took his king and led a third spade, re-promoting the heart nine to the setting trick.

## 2017 INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE PRESS AWARDS

The International Bridge Press Association (IBPA) is a worldwide organization of bridge writers, mostly professional, and whose reports, series and articles appear in newspapers and magazines and other publications around the world, where bridge tournaments and bridge events occur. This group also includes authors, radio hosts and even television hosts. In order to become a part of this prestigious organization, membership is required.

In 2017, the awards were handed out in Lyon and Australian player, Nabil Edgtton won the Richard Freeman Junior Deal of the Year as reported by Liam Milne. Here is Liam's article which led to that award,
Event: 16th World Youth Team Championships, Salsomaggiore

| Dealer: East Vul: E/W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A A } 10 \\ & \bullet A 8753 \end{aligned}$ |  | West North | East <br> $14^{1}$ | South Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 22 | - A 54 |  | $1 \nabla^{2} \quad 2 \varphi$ | 2 | 3 |
|  | \& Q 72 |  | Double ${ }^{3}$ Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| - K 654 |  | @ Q 987 |  |  |  |
| -K62 |  | - 9 | ${ }^{1}$ Natural or an | bal 11 | or 18-19 |
| - Q 987 |  | - K 6 | ${ }^{2}$ 4+ spades |  |  |
| - K 10 |  | \& AJ9543 | ${ }^{3}$ Minimum op | ing with | 4 spades |
|  | - J 32 |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Q J 104 |  |  |  |  |
|  | - J 1032 |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ 86 |  |  |  |  |

Some contracts look pretty good when the dummy comes down. However, four spades, from the 2016 World Youth Teams Bridge Championships, is not one of them. South leads the $\vee$ Q and continues with the $P$ J. How should you, as East, play?

There are a number of issues to consider. To start, there are three unavoidable top losers. You can't afford to lose a second trump trick, so you'll need a doubleton ace somewhere. In addition, your side suit needs a bit of love: you need clubs 3-2, and there is the small matter of locating the club queen. Finally, you are in danger of losing trump control: the opponents have led hearts and they'll get in at least once more to shorten the trumps in East.

With his dubious heart holding, the overcaller is more likely to have the trump ace than his partner. If you ruff at trick two, cross to the king of clubs, lead a spade to the queen, then duck a spade to North's ace, he can continue with the ace of hearts to make you ruff again. Having contributed trumps to tricks two through five, you will be out of trumps at this point, while South still holds one and dummy the king-low. If the club queen started life as a doubleton, you will make it home from here by running clubs through South - a trump substitution play. You'll over-ruff South in the dummy and get back to hand in diamonds.
What if the clubs aren't so generously laid out for you? If a defender holds the queen-third of clubs, drawing trumps straight away is not going to work because of the continuing heart tap. If South holds the protected lady, you can finesse the ten of clubs. Drawing trumps (through East) will work
fine after the clubs are set up. However, if North holds Her Majesty, things are more difficult. North holding the queen-third of clubs is inconvenient because it seems to require too many entries to the dummy. After ruffing at trick two and crossing to the king of clubs, if you lead trumps, you won't be able to take a finesse in clubs through North - there is no convenient way back to the dummy. You could try crossing to the king of clubs and leading the club ten. If you run it and it wins, you are back in the money by leading trumps. Your clubs are good and the trump substitute play works here as well.

However, if North does have a doubleton queen of clubs, you will be in your hand without having drawn any trumps and in the wrong hand to do so. South might have the doubleton spade ace instead of North, which would allow you to succeed by drawing trumps the other way, but this can't be the most likely layout.

At the table, declarer was not content with guessing whether the queen of clubs was doubleton or third and found a different line: after he ruffed the second heart, he played a club to the king, a spade to the queen, the ace of clubs and then another club, ruffing it in the dummy (South discarding a heart). After playing a diamond to his king, declarer was left with:


Declarer could no longer play trumps - North would win and tap out the last trump in the East hand. Then, the hearts would be good after dummy over-ruffed South on the run of the clubs.
Instead, declarer started the trump substitution play by running clubs through South, being careful to discard the same suit from dummy as South discarded, to prevent an eventual promotion of the jack of spades. Declarer lost one spade, one heart and one diamond.

Could the defence have prevailed? Yes. The defence had two chances. East could have risen with the ace of spades and continued the force; that would have made life too difficult for declarer, but was not an obvious play. The real chance was missed at trick six, when declarer ruffed his third club; South discarded a heart, but had he thrown a diamond instead, he would have been ahead in the trump promotion race. Eventually, he'd have made a trick with the jack of spades (after following to the king of diamonds with his second and discarding a third diamond on the fourth round of clubs as North ruffed with his ace of spades). The ace of diamonds and another diamond from North would then have allowed South to make his jack of spades.
Declarer's line avoided taking a club finesse, so that as well as retaining the chance of the club queen doubleton in either hand, he had the very real chance of coming home after the club queen turned out to be protected. Had South held the queen-third of clubs, he would have succeeded and, with North holding the queen-third, he needed a not-so-obvious defensive error.

Well done to Nabil Edgtton who gently guided this deal home for 12 IMPs to Australia in the World Juniors Nabil used a bit of imagination to find the trumps lying well, a bit of intuition to decide to ruff out the clubs, then a touch of technique at the end to watch the discards and find the right counter.

Ron Klinger

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { North } \\ & \text { Q Q J } 74 \end{aligned}$ | Playing Teams Dealer: East | West | North | East Pass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & 2 \boldsymbol{s}^{1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AQ 9 | Vul: All | Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |
| - AK |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& 7643 | East <br> - A 2 <br> -K 1043 <br> - 1052 <br> \& K 1082 | ${ }^{1}$ Weak two bid 6-10 HCPs with 6 spades |  |  |  |

West leads the \&Q. What card should East play?


Solution: Contract: 4 by South. Lead: \&Q.
Accurate signalling can be a delicate matter. There is a natural temptation for East to encourage clubs, but this is short-sighted. What East wants is a heart, and so East should discourage clubs.

If declarer ducks trick one and West shifts to the $\mathbf{~ 2}$, declarer should insert the 19 , East must play the $\mathrm{V}^{10}$, since the $\geqslant 2$ indicated an honour in hearts, i.e., the jack. The $\boldsymbol{\nabla 1 0}$ wins and East will come to a second heart trick later. If declarer plays the $\bullet$ Q, East wins with the 9 K and returns the $\downarrow 3$ to the jack and ace. East later collects the \& K and the $\geqslant 10$.

If East encouraged clubs, South might duck and a second club might be fatal. South wins and leads a trump. South can now easily draw trumps, eliminate diamonds and ruff dummy's clubs. A heart to the $\mathbf{~} 9$ will then endplay East.

## RonKlingerBridge.com



World Champion, Kate McCallum, Imparting Some Of Her Wisdom To A Very Eager Audience

## TRAVELLERS

The team that probably travelled the farthest to compete in the Commonwealth Nations Bridge Championship was Scotland White. Their travels were rewarded when they reached the semi-finals which they lost to the Australia Gold who went on to win the Silver Medal in the final. They have asked me to thank the organisers of both events for the hospitality that they have been shown during their visit.


Scotland White (LtoR): Victor Silverstone, John Murdoch, Derek Diamond, Barnet Shenkin, Gerald Haase, lain Sime
ANONYMOUS REPORT
This one should probably be anonymous since I haven't asked teammates if it's ok to report it.
But a certain well-seasoned pair had a spectacular "oops" revolving around whether an opening 4\& bid showed hearts or clubs.
North opened $4 \&$ which South thought was solid hearts and jumped immediately to $6 \vee!!$
Just eight down for -400!
Apparently they play $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ is hearts in some seat positions and natural with clubs in others.
As their teammate I can only hope that they have now worked out which is which!!


Holidaying on the Gold Coast, these two Swedish tourists heard about the Gold Coast Congress and wandered in deciding to play in the Walk-In Duplicate

## AUSTRALIAN BRIDGE

You can purchase an annual subscription to Australian Bridge at Paul Lavings' book stall here at the GCC Email: mail@australianbridge.com Phone: 0412-335-840. \$59 for one year (6 issues) or \$112 two years Separate online edition of the magazine just for novice players - only $\$ 25$ per year for 6 issues.
Brad Coles, owner of Australian Bridge has generously donated four Subscriptions to Australian Bridge and four Subscriptions to the Novice Edition which will be used as prizes at the GCC.


The following article by Mike Lawrence appeared in the July 2017 issue of Australian Bridge Magazine.
Your left-hand opponent opens 1 NT, showing 15-17 points. This is passed to you. Their side is vulnerable, yours is not. What should you bid with the following four hands? What you do depends on your methods, so my answers will be generic.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1NT | pass | pass | ? |

Hand One

- Q 107 -KJ83 AK2 \& 43

Hand Two

- 73 •K9764 KQ6 \&A62

Hand Three
-KQ732 ヤ2 - QJ1042 \&87

Hand Four

- 32 QU87 AK 76 \& 863


## Answers

1. Pass. Even if you play a double as penalty, doubling with this hand is bad. LHO has most of the missing points, and if dummy has half of the rest, your values will be compromised. Some players like to double with 10 points, thinking that partner has to have a good hand. Some of the time he does, and you set 1NT doubled. Some of the time he doesn't, and they make a redoubled overtrick. Keep this in mind. It is also much easier for declarer, who knows what he has to work with in the play. The defenders often don't learn what is going on until it is too late.
2. Pass. This hand falls into the category of the previous hand. It is balanced, with fewer high cards. When you have a balanced hand with a poor suit, do not bother bidding. If your partner has enough for you to make $2 \downarrow$, he probably has enough to beat 1NT.
3. Bid whatever your system calls for. If using Cappelletti/Hamilton, bid $2 \uparrow$, showing spades and a minor. If using DONT, bid $2 \downarrow$, showing diamonds and one of the majors. When you have shape and can offer two suits to play in, your chances of finding a fit are far better than when you have just one suit. This hand has only 8 HCP, but it is much safer than the 15-point hand shown in Problem One.
4. Bid 2 if using DONT. Do not bid if you are using Hamilton/Cappelletti. DONT gives you a fair chance of playing in 2 or $2 \boldsymbol{*}$. You do not have a lot of shape but you are showing two suits, and all you require is partner to have a few good values and four cards in one of your suits. This is a dangerous bid, but when you think of the pleasures of defending 1 NT with partner's lead of the 4 , you can see that bidding has its merits. For the record, you should balance on almost any hand that has a singleton.

Here are two examples of the extremes you might go to balance:
Hand Five

- J10764 『K9843 4 493

Hand Six

- 2 -AJ104 984 \& QJ863

Both of these examples require good fortune. But why shouldn't you get it? West has an average of 16 HCP. On Hand Five, you have 4 HCP , but the bidding tells you your partner has a lot. If he has a fit (and why shouldn't he?), you can seriously hope to find a making contract. On Hand Six, you have 8 HCP. This leaves

16 HCP unaccounted for. East's silence usually hints at six or fewer, leaving ten or more for your partner. Take a chance and bid. Also, bid like you really mean it. Do not show by your tone that you are not happy with bidding. Let them discover that later.
One reminder for both of these hands: if you pass, you will end up defending, and on both of these hands you know partner will lead a suit that you do not like.
Here's my advice in a nutshell: if 1NT is passed to you and you have a singleton, try really hard to bid something.


Our Bridge Widows readying for a visit to Mount Tamborine


# inherSHOES 

local \& imported shoes • bags • accessories
All Bridge Players Are Invited To A Champagne Breakfast Commencing 8:15am on Friday 23rd February
At this function you will receive a 10\% discount excluding Willow \& Zac leather goods, all jewellery and all sale items.
During the Congress Players identifying themselves as participants will also receive the same discount
"In her SHOES" on the ground floor at the Oasis Shopping Centre.
Head down towards the beach end and it is on the right-hand side past the chocolate shop opposite Bright Eyes Sunglasses.
Bridge Players Visiting "In her SHOES can enter a free draw to win a pair of shoes to be drawn at the champagne breakfast

See you there!


Our youngest competitor this year Jasmine playing with her mother Therese Brockhurst

## ZEPHYR 2018 RAFFLE

We ask players to support this exceptionally worthy charity either through a donation or the purchase of raffle tickets - 1 ticket $\$ 5$ or 10 tickets $\$ 10$.
The first prize will be one entry (two players) to the 2019 GCC Pairs Championship. Second prize is another Leonie Spence Scrumble. The next nine tickets drawn will be to "win a club game with an expert". At the discretion of the winning ticket holder, another prize as listed at the ticket sales desk may be substituted for the club game.
The raffle will be drawn during the 10.30am Teams session on Thursday 22 February and winners will be announced at 3.00 pm Teams session immediately before the commencement of play.
In relation to the game with an expert: There will be 9 winners drawn, with each winner, (in order of being drawn), being given the option of choosing from the remaining pool of experts to play one club session of bridge with the expert at a mutually agreed time and place. Entry fees for both player and expert to be paid by the winning ticket holder.

# ALL FUNDS RAISED THROUGH DONATONS OR RAFFLE TICKEIS SALES GO TO ZAPHYR EDUCATION INC HELPING CHILDREN AFFECTED BY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE GET BACK TO SCHOOL YOUTH FOOD AND BRIDGE FUN NIGHT 

Come to the 3rd Annual Youth Bridge Fun Night at the Gold Coast Congress<br>Wednesday Evening Food 7:00pm (Congress Play Ends 6:30pm)<br>Bridge 7:30pm until 9:30pm

GOLD COAST BRIDGE CLUB ( 1.2 km , or 15 minutes' walk, north of the GC Convention Centre)


## FREE entry

FREE food
CASH prizes
GREAT youth director (Laura Ginnan)
Format: Some standard bridge, some "crazy" bridge
Age Limit: Youth \& youth-ish players (up to 35 years) are welcome.
If you are interested or have any enquiries please contact Jessica Brake
0414-134-888 or jessicabrake@hotmail.com

## VISITOR FROM CHINA PAUL LAVINGS BRIDGEGEAR


www.bridgegear.com (02) 42950870 paul@bridgegear.com

Here all week from China are Flemming Kaalund and his wife Wu Xiaoli. Flemming is one of the largest manufacturers of bridge supplies and giftware in the world and supplies Paul Lavings with most of his goods.
When Paul started out in club supplies the early 1990s dealing with China was a nightmare because so few Chinese spoke English. In 2008 Paul played in the Far East in Macao and Flemming had a stall there.
Flemming speaks excellent English and fluent Chinese and since then they have worked tirelessly on improving the quality of their products, mostly their main line, playing cards. The best-selling card nowadays is their Sunshine $100 \%$ plastic, with $20 \%$ larger pips. Another excellent product is the Super BridgeBox, a bidding box with a myriad of improvements over older models.
Flemming will be giving a 10-minute display of his products at Paul's stall from 9.30 to $10: 15$ on Thursday morning. Clubs considering switching to bidding boxes are especially welcome.


## THE ELVIS COUP

## Joan Butts

I was amused to recently read an article in a recent ACBL magazine, about a variety of coups, and my favourite was called "The Elvis Coup" and unfortunately it happened to me here at the Gold Coast two days ago.

My partner and I had bid and raised spades, and I was on lead with $\uparrow$ A J x x. I didn't have any other attractive lead, so l led the $₫ A$, and another, with partner contributing the $₫ Q$ to declarer's $₫ K$.

This is the Elvis Coup - THE KING LIVES!

CALENDAR OF SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES GOLD COAST

|  | Venue | Tuesday 20th February | Wednesday 21st February | Thursday 22nd February | Friday <br> 23rd <br> February |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOVICE AND ROOKIE ACTIVIT\|ES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rookies Help Available | In the Playing Area Rookies Section |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09:30am } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { 10:30am } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Under 50MPs Help Available | In the Playing Area Under 50s Section | $\begin{gathered} \hline 09: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ \text { to } \\ 10: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09:30am } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { 10:30am } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Novices <br> Help Available | In the Playing Area Novices Section | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10: 00 \mathrm{am} \\ \text { to } \\ 10: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 09: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 10:00am } \end{gathered}$ |
| SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collection for Zephyr Education Inc. The GCC Official Charity Helping Children affected by Domestic Violence Getting Back to School | Foyer GCCEC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { 10:30am } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Zephyr Raffle Draw Prizes to be Collected At the End of Play | Foyer GCCEC | 10:30am <br> Announced 3:00pm |  |  |  |
| TBIB Lucky Draw <br> You Must be Present to Win | Foyer GCCEC | 10:15am |  |  |  |
| Directors Get Together Hosted by Jan Peach | Upstairs Room 5 | 8:30am |  |  |  |
| Parade Entrants Aussie, Aussie Aussie Australiana Theme | Foyer GCCEC | 2:30pm |  |  |  |
| Champagne Breakfast For Shoe Shoppers In Her Shoes | In Her Shoes Ground Floor Oasis Shopping Centre | inherSHOES ${ }_{\text {:.smm }}$ |  |  |  |
| BRIDGE 'MIDOW/S' ACTIVIT\|ES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unhosted Excursion Currumbin Bird Sanctuary Cost $\$ 10$ p.p. for return bus | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depart } \\ & \text { 12:30pm } \\ & \text { Pickup } \\ & \text { 4:45pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Hosted Bridge Widows Expedition Tweed Regional Gallery Margaret Olley and/or Tropical Fruit World Cost $\$ 20.00$ p.p. for return bus | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach | TWE GALL $\triangle$ MARGAR | EGIONAL <br> Y ART CENTRE \| MURWILUMBA | Depart 10:45am Return Appr 5:00pm |  |
| All Golf Enquiries to <br> Geoff Nice 0407-620-373 • gnice200@gmail.com |  | Tuesday 20th February | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wednesday } \\ & \text { 21st } \\ & \text { February } \end{aligned}$ | Thursday 22nd February | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Friday } \\ & \text { 23rd } \\ & \text { February } \end{aligned}$ |

> TABLE COUNT
> TO THE END OF PLAY TUESDAY NIGHT 4094
(Last Year 4032)
Note: this year we held a Friday Setup Pairs

SESSION 4 HOLIDAY PAIRS 1 - LEADING SCORES

| Place | North-South | $\%$ | Place |  | East West |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Peter Karol - Joanne Crockford | 61.11 | 1 | Penny Johnson - Rosalyn Stevens | 62.57 |
| 2 | Dianne Mullin - Eddie Mullin | 60.98 | 2 | Joyce Donovan - Lynn Harris | 58.48 |
| 3 | Lesley Martin - Roger Cael | 60.71 | 3 | Merit Morgan - Gill Ross | 55.65 |
| 4 | Anne Lamport - Linden Raymond | 57.14 | 4 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 55.03 |
| 5 | Judy Leiba - Robyn Lichter | 56.22 | 5 | Lile Williams - Sue Cheney | 54.10 |
| 6 | Betty Lee - Mike Robson | 55.29 | 6 | Kay Dixon - Max Pike | 52.08 |
| 7 | Justine Beaumont - Jenny Mendick | 53.70 | 7 | Michael Rosen - John-Claud Farrugia | 50.93 |
| 8 | Linda Norman - Judy Hildebrand | 50.79 | 8 | Ethel Lavin - Pam Schiller | 50.00 |
| 9 | Elaine Crommelin - Barbara White | 50.00 | 9 | Paul Thiem - Margaret Liversage | 49.70 |

## TUESDAY 0-50MP PAIRS - LEADING SCORES

| Place | North-South | $\%$ | Place |  | East West |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Kym Batt - Suzie Bucknell | 60.26 | 1 | Keith Cohen - John Kelly | 63.61 |
| 2 | Georgina Blum - Paulette Bourke | 60.21 | 2 | Karen Sweep - Rhonda Henry | 61.16 |
| 3 | Lynette Miles - Devi Thillainathan | 59.16 | 3 | Fenna Cooper - Sandra Aring | 60.36 |
| 4 | Carol Parker - Linda Smith | 58.45 | 4 | Robert Olander - Rick Webster | 60.21 |
| 5 | John Simmonds - Sue Evershed | 58.26 | 5 | Karen Thompson - Jennifer Matheson | 59.06 |
| 6 | Maxim Wilson - Bruce Stephens | 57.36 | 6 | Lesley Sutherland - Bob Still | 58.81 |
| 7 | Desley Nixon - Di McCready | 56.71 | 7 | Jenny Burchmore - Barbara Richardson | 58.16 |
| 8 | Lin McLaren - Annette Cameron | 56.36 | 8 | Bronwyn Taylor - Fran Golding | 57.91 |
| 9 | Cecelia Hains - Mimma Fazio | 55.74 | 9 | Vesna Markovic - Voyko Markovic | 56.36 |
| 10 | Gary Petterson - George Blacklock | 55.41 | 10 | Kirsten Mallam - Frankie Craven | 56.16 |
| 11 | Di Court - Peter Uldrich | 54.65 | 11 | Upasana Shanti - Lynda Laffan | 55.56 |
| 12 | Di Agostino - Christine Egan | 54.34 | 12 | Paul Nitschmann - Stanley Law | 55.51 |
| 13 | Michael Hewett - Liz Milner | 54.10 | 13 | Arjen Draaisma - Margot Harris | 54.10 |
| 14 | Annette Hendry - Paul Hendry | 53.85 | 14 | Barbara Huddy - Cheryl Millar | 54.05 |
| 15 | Merrilyn Hooper - Wayne Hooper | 52.59 | 15 | Robert Hogarth - Gillian Hogarth | 53.60 |
| 16 | Heather Douglas - Judy Wallace | 52.50 | 16 | Fiona Ferwerda - Penny Robertson | 52.40 |
| 17 | Phillip Douglas - Deborah Nilsson | 51.95 | 17 | Kathryn Kelly - Jan Ford | 52.15 |
| 18 | Carla Ferro - Cathy Mathieson | 51.69 | 18 | Robyn Green - Ann Smith | 52.00 |
| 19 | Fred Cole - Robert Mischlewski | 51.50 | 19 | Jan Flanigan - Bevley D'Aquino | 51.20 |
| 20 | Frances Hammond - lan Hammond | 51.45 | 20 | Diane Enahoro - Pamela Bedwell | 51.15 |
| 21 | Brian Stringer - Robert Berry | 50.30 | 21 | Anna Jadach - Katherine Collins | 50.75 |
| 22 | Carolyn Waters - Anne Sandeman | 49.95 | 22 | Janet Franklin - Deanna Cruickshank | 50.20 |


| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 8 | J Haffer - P Markey - M Henbest - D Wiltshire |  |  |  |  | 71.79 |
| 2 | 15 | I Sime - J Murdoch - G Haase - B Shenkin - D Diamond - V Silverstone |  |  |  |  | 70.14 |
| 3 | 2 | L Milne - N Griffiths - A Hung - K Lazar - M Whibley - R Lee |  |  |  |  | 66.77 |
| 4 | 16 | P Buchen - I Thomson - M Yuen - N Stock |  |  |  |  | 65.95 |
| 5 | 1 | M Ware - G Tislevoll - P Hollands - M Brown - J Mill - T Nunn |  |  |  |  | 65.77 |
| 6 | 110 | N Domichi - S Gupta - A Braithwaite - S Braithwaite |  |  |  |  | 65.65 |
| 7 | 28 | R Simpson - J Simpson - J Cormack - G Jarvis - F Whitaker |  |  |  |  | 63.56 |
| 8 | 7 | P Hackett - R O'Shea - D Patterson - J Hackett - J Hackett - J Sansom |  |  |  |  | 62.49 |
| 9 | 100 | A Bailey - J Bailey - T Strong - E Strong |  |  |  |  | 61.93 |
| 10 | 44 | H Dawson - R Douglas - Y Li - J Scotford |  |  |  |  | 61.77 |
| 11 | 3 | M Cornell - A Bach - T Leibowitz - E Erichsen |  |  |  |  | 61.76 |
| 12 | 12 | G Kozakos - G Smolanko - S Hinge - B Haughie |  |  |  |  | 61.17 |
| 13 | 5 | J Carruthers - J Silver - J Gartaganis - N Gartaganis - M Mullamphy - R Klinger |  |  |  |  | 60.40 |
| 14 | 22 | S Jacob - T Jacob - N Jacob - E Jacob - A Henry - S Henry |  |  |  |  | 59.97 |
| 15 | 78 | D Badley - P Boughey - A Stuck - A Tarbutt |  |  |  |  | 59.73 |
| 16 | 46 | Q Yao - H Yuan - S Zeng - X Liu |  |  |  |  | 59.49 |
| 17 | 6 | N Edgtton - M Smith - J Thompson - P Gosney - K Rosenberg |  |  |  |  | 58.66 |
| 18 | 29 | A Mill - H Grosvenor - B Nash - J Wallis |  |  |  |  | 58.39 |
| 19 | 42 | E Sophonpanich - S Baisamut - V Svangsopakul - A Riamsri - P Sitthicharoensawat - K Promsak |  |  |  |  | 58.23 |
| 20 | 10 | M Van Der Vlugt - M Lewis - P Dalley - P Gill |  |  |  |  | 57.89 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 21 | 18 | Thompson | 56.77 | 124 | 197 | Barda | 38.99 |
| 22 | 17 | Cheval | 56.54 | 125 | 162 | Kovacs | 38.98 |
| 23 | 11 | McCallum | 56.50 | 126 | 105 | Allanson | 38.97 |
| 24 | 32 | Francis | 55.39 | 127 | 96 | D Coats | 38.75 |
| 25 | 45 | J Reynolds | 54.28 | 128 | 122 | Weerasinghe | 38.64 |


| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 26 | 60 | Lynn | 54.27 | 129 | 135 | Harrison | 38.57 |
| 27 | 13 | Hirst | 54.19 | 130 | 20 | Callaghan | 38.00 |
| 28 | 90 | Phillips | 53.94 | 131 | 158 | Shugg | 37.87 |
| 29 | 21 | Wylie | 53.91 | 132 | 93 | Thorp | 37.62 |
| 30 | 41 | Cartner | 53.81 | 133 | 125 | T Allen | 37.36 |
| 31 | 24 | Mayo | 53.44 | 134 | 69 | Weathered | 37.14 |
| 32 | 75 | Mott | 52.65 | 135 | 160 | Leach | 37.09 |
| 33 | 148 | McFall | 52.33 | 136 | 188 | Lee | 36.67 |
| 34 | 33 | Davies | 52.24 | 137 | 208 | Geare | 36.63 |
| 35 | 54 | Driscoll | 52.20 | 138 | 31 | Watts | 36.50 |
| 36 | 47 | Chen | 51.96 | 139 | 73 | Mottram | 36.12 |
| 36 | 72 | Dibley | 51.96 | 140 | 56 | Berger | 36.11 |
| 38 | 43 | McLeish | 51.83 | 141 | 130 | Morrison | 36.04 |
| 39 | 4 | Kanetkar | 51.61 | 142 | 53 | Wallis | 36.01 |
| 40 | 14 | Harper | 51.59 | 143 | 129 | Moore | 35.74 |
| 41 | 25 | Crichton | 51.58 | 144 | 207 | Spilsbury | 35.33 |
| 42 | 34 | Brown | 51.33 | 145 | 220 | Scott | 35.27 |
| 43 | 19 | Burke | 51.06 | 146 | 166 | Blinco | 35.13 |
| 44 | 26 | Otvosi | 50.31 | 147 | 114 | Clarke | 35.06 |
| 45 | 40 | Bouton | 49.53 | 148 | 128 | McAlister | 34.63 |
| 46 | 126 | Glasson | 49.49 | 149 | 143 | Keenan | 34.62 |
| 47 | 224 | Woodhead | 49.46 | 150 | 218 | Martelletti | 34.51 |
| 48 | 175 | Schoutrop | 48.86 | 151 | 63 | Faranda | 34.47 |
| 49 | 113 | Medhat | 48.77 | 152 | 168 | Scrivens | 34.37 |
| 50 | 106 | Kruiniger | 48.12 | 153 | 172 | Holloway | 34.00 |
| 51 | 30 | Jones | 48.11 | 154 | 87 | Gaspar | 33.71 |
| 52 | 35 | Fischer | 48.08 | 155 | 66 | Steinwedel | 33.62 |
| 53 | 170 | Warnock | 48.02 | 156 | 184 | Bach | 33.58 |
| 54 | 58 | Dennison | 47.90 | 157 | 181 | Trigg | 33.47 |
| 55 | 52 | Collins | 47.76 | 158 | 89 | G Allen | 33.43 |
| 56 | 91 | Zeller | 47.47 | 159 | 77 | Kefford | 33.30 |
| 57 | 136 | Fletcher | 47.35 | 160 | 219 | Spencer | 33.14 |
| 58 | 173 | Morris | 47.25 | 161 | 163 | Delcourt | 33.11 |
| 59 | 9 | Hinden | 47.09 | 162 | 171 | Watson | 32.93 |
| 60 | 50 | Chadwick | 47.02 | 163 | 159 | Kingham | 32.53 |
| 61 | 101 | Lewis | 46.81 | 164 | 213 | Julie Rose | 31.70 |
| 62 | 62 | Mundell | 46.79 | 165 | 139 | Nichols | 31.63 |
| 63 | 27 | Giura | 46.75 | 166 | 107 | Tredrea | 31.50 |
| 64 | 37 | Bolt | 46.64 | 167 | 59 | Mellings | 31.44 |
| 65 | 174 | Nilsson | 46.50 | 168 | 97 | Soutter | 31.40 |
| 66 | 57 | Kaplan | 46.46 | 169 | 165 | Fernon | 31.37 |
| 67 | 71 | Gidley-Baird | 46.44 | 170 | 177 | Birss | 31.15 |
| 68 | 198 | Bayliss | 46.43 | 171 | 142 | Nicholson | 31.08 |
| 69 | 190 | I Bailey | 46.40 | 172 | 74 | Arnold | 31.00 |
| 70 | 38 | Ingham | 46.33 | 173 | 127 | Berzins | 30.92 |
| 71 | 104 | Belonogoff | 45.80 | 174 | 194 | Dormer | 30.55 |
| 72 | 23 | Lockwood | 45.51 | 175 | 202 | McCarthy | 30.53 |
| 73 | 178 | Rudzyn | 45.43 | 176 | 195 | Hanson | 30.21 |
| 74 | 55 | De Luca | 45.25 | 177 | 152 | Bourke | 29.73 |
| 75 | 70 | Macleod | 44.89 | 178 | 222 | Knight | 29.53 |
| 76 | 140 | Stewart | 44.80 | 179 | 200 | Andrews | 29.37 |
| 77 | 132 | Shennan | 44.75 | 180 | 212 | Page | 29.20 |
| 78 | 65 | Brockwell | 44.55 | 181 | 123 | Mitchell | 28.99 |
| 79 | 134 | Small | 44.49 | 182 | 118 | Maguire | 28.79 |
| 80 | 103 | Murray-White | 44.21 | 183 | 193 | Mountjoy | 28.39 |
| 81 | 68 | Wilks | 44.09 | 184 | 216 | Ham | 28.20 |
| 82 | 108 | Bugler | 43.91 | 185 | 206 | Jay | 28.18 |
| 83 | 116 | Gunner | 43.86 | 186 | 115 | Valentine | 27.74 |
| 84 | 187 | John Rose | 43.84 | 187 | 180 | Hewson | 27.71 |
| 85 | 137 | Wanz | 43.82 | 188 | 210 | Goodwin | 27.67 |
| 86 | 81 | Bodycote | 43.77 | 189 | 191 | Barfoot | 27.62 |
| 87 | 82 | Mangos | 43.75 | 190 | 203 | Read | 27.33 |
| 88 | 205 | Inglis | 43.37 | 191 | 226 | Gue | 27.12 |


| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 89 | 79 | Abdelhamid | 43.32 | 192 | 109 | Andrew | 27.09 |
| 90 | 150 | Buckley | 43.24 | 193 | 146 | De Nett | 26.83 |
| 91 | 133 | Toon | 43.10 | 194 | 88 | Gehrke | 26.77 |
| 92 | 153 | Stanton | 42.91 | 195 | 141 | Biddick | 26.66 |
| 93 | 61 | Jeffery | 42.72 | 196 | 131 | Goodall | 26.50 |
| 94 | 161 | Noonan | 42.69 | 197 | 186 | Cordingley | 26.34 |
| 95 | 85 | French | 42.55 | 198 | 76 | Gray | 26.33 |
| 96 | 117 | Doust | 42.34 | 199 | 95 | Perrin | 26.20 |
| 97 | 154 | Stoneman | 42.28 | 200 | 64 | Boulton | 26.14 |
| 98 | 156 | Yuill | 42.02 | 200 | 176 | Parkin | 26.14 |
| 99 | 138 | Webber | 41.93 | 202 | 179 | Cooke | 25.73 |
| 100 | 112 | Berry | 41.90 | 203 | 167 | Seal | 24.93 |
| 101 | 102 | Bugeia | 41.82 | 204 | 225 | Orsborn | 24.72 |
| 102 | 36 | Pemberton | 41.79 | 205 | 183 | Baker | 24.53 |
| 103 | 39 | Gower | 41.51 | 206 | 169 | Krosch | 24.46 |
| 104 | 199 | Campbell | 41.42 | 207 | 182 | Hoole | 24.40 |
| 105 | 157 | Doddridge | 41.39 | 208 | 151 | Carroll | 24.19 |
| 106 | 67 | Finikiotis | 41.12 | 209 | 221 | Matskows | 23.42 |
| 107 | 98 | Martin | 41.09 | 210 | 147 | Gibbs | 23.36 |
| 108 | 83 | McDonald | 41.07 | 211 | 92 | Kahn | 23.00 |
| 109 | 120 | Pisko | 40.99 | 212 | 99 | Evans | 21.88 |
| 110 | 51 | Moritz | 40.97 | 213 | 155 | Quigley | 21.84 |
| 111 | 144 | Fox | 40.88 | 214 | 192 | Baguley | 21.29 |
| 112 | 121 | S Reynolds | 40.64 | 215 | 214 | Neels | 20.88 |
| 113 | 211 | Ajzner | 40.46 | 216 | 209 | E Coats | 20.67 |
| 114 | 84 | Abrams | 40.04 | 217 | 201 | Hazell | 20.46 |
| 115 | 48 | Ward | 40.03 | 218 | 217 | Fardoulys | 20.02 |
| 116 | 119 | Fleischer | 39.93 | 219 | 111 | Grant | 19.69 |
| 117 | 86 | Glover | 39.88 | 220 | 124 | Gilfoyle | 19.55 |
| 118 | 149 | Howes | 39.79 | 221 | 215 | Grieve | 19.47 |
| 119 | 164 | Plummer | 39.76 | 222 | 80 | Abbenbroek | 16.96 |
| 120 | 49 | Adler | 39.71 | 223 | 196 | King | 15.59 |
| 121 | 145 | Tuxworth | 39.40 | 224 | 204 | Van Wyck | 14.25 |
| 122 | 94 | Lorraway | 39.01 | 225 | 223 | Hancox | 8.95 |
| 122 | 189 | Dalziell | 39.01 | 226 | 185 | Redhead | 7.43 |
| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 10 | B Waters - S Mendick - T Marinos - P Grant |  |  |  |  | 64.81 |
| 2 | 3 | B Short - A Goodman - A Symons - S Duncan |  |  |  |  | 61.91 |
| 3 | 11 | L Guy - S Gray - R Freeman-Greene - D Lacey |  |  |  |  | 56.72 |
| 4 | 5 | D Zines - T Moss - D Stern - R Grynberg - M Bloom - N Rosendorff |  |  |  |  | 56.38 |
| 5 | 17 | I McKinnon - V Dressler - K Johnman - P Johnman |  |  |  |  | 55.43 |
| 6 | 8 | J Butts - M Doran - L Carter - M Carter - T Hutton |  |  |  |  | 54.93 |
| 7 | 16 | B Palmer - N Stuckey - G Palmer - C Wilson |  |  |  |  | 53.14 |
| 8 | 13 | N Strasser - P Strasser - E Shand - L Varadi - G Bilski |  |  |  |  | 49.95 |
| 9 | 1 | R Brightling - D Hoffman - R Krochmalik - P Lavings |  |  |  |  | 49.38 |
| 10 | 7 | P Jeffery - L Grewcock - A Johannsson - S Bock |  |  |  |  | 47.63 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 14 | Woodhall | 46.92 | 24 | 19 | Luck | 33.45 |
| 12 | 36 | Perera | 46.82 | 25 | 21 | Davis | 32.54 |
| 13 | 9 | Klofa | 44.84 | 26 | 28 | Macaulay | 31.93 |
| 14 | 6 | Chan | 43.91 | 27 | 15 | Smith | 30.65 |
| 15 | 12 | Kahler | 42.51 | 28 | 24 | Jackson | 30.28 |
| 16 | 2 | Nagy | 42.31 | 29 | 22 | Lane | 30.09 |
| 17 | 33 | Oyston | 41.01 | 30 | 27 | Rooney | 29.23 |
| 18 | 4 | Walsh | 40.74 | 31 | 30 | Lawrie | 27.71 |
| 19 | 18 | Gough | 38.91 | 32 | 20 | Harman | 27.62 |
| 20 | 31 | Carmichael | 36.14 | 33 | 29 | Stephenson | 27.55 |
| 21 | 35 | Brown | 35.64 | 34 | 25 | Rusher | 25.03 |
| 22 | 23 | Dudley | 34.72 | 35 | 32 | Lee | 19.59 |
| 23 | 34 | Carr | 34.57 | 36 | 26 | H Jeffery | 15.01 |


| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 81 | K Hajmasi - A Michl - J Whale - B Whale |  |  |  |  | 67.27 |
| 2 | 73 | K Barber - C Mitchell - J Stewart - D Owen |  |  |  |  | 66.95 |
| 3 | 17 | C Roxburgh - H Crisp - M McAuliffe - W Roberts |  |  |  |  | 64.18 |
| 4 | 21 | G Gosney - M Plunkett - A Gosney - C Larter |  |  |  |  | 62.08 |
| 5 | 86 | B Humphrey - P Carson - A Chappell - M Kennedy |  |  |  |  | 60.24 |
| 6 | 80 | A Deaker - M Copland - E Tie - L Mould |  |  |  |  | 60.12 |
| 7 | 42 | M Packer - V Seward - R Moore - L Coli |  |  |  |  | 55.35 |
| 8 | 10 | A Bell - A Nothling - J Routley - C Elliott |  |  |  |  | 54.93 |
| 9 | 6 | M Rogers - J Rogers - S Moffitt - K Moffitt |  |  |  |  | 54.78 |
| 10 | 1 | J Swanson - K Homik - K Dean - B Hunt |  |  |  |  | 53.42 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 18 | Bailey | 53.12 | 49 | 49 | Power | 38.64 |
| 12 | 8 | Lohmann | 52.69 | 50 | 59 | Davis | 38.22 |
| 13 | 19 | Sadigh | 52.60 | 51 | 7 | Keating | 36.88 |
| 14 | 14 | Tucker | 52.53 | 52 | 52 | Stuart | 36.40 |
| 15 | 13 | Kite | 52.42 | 53 | 40 | Steinhardt | 36.27 |
| 16 | 35 | Hurst | 51.97 | 54 | 30 | Winter | 35.84 |
| 17 | 84 | Zink | 49.63 | 55 | 57 | Coppin | 35.80 |
| 17 | 16 | Mander | 49.63 | 56 | 46 | Cockbill | 35.40 |
| 19 | 74 | Zulfiqar | 49.40 | 57 | 67 | Ramsund | 35.23 |
| 20 | 5 | Tomlinson | 48.43 | 58 | 24 | Giles | 34.59 |
| 21 | 61 | Armstrong | 48.16 | 59 | 44 | Dean | 34.58 |
| 22 | 3 | Donovan | 47.85 | 60 | 22 | Sear | 34.34 |
| 23 | 39 | Ward | 46.50 | 61 | 43 | Burgess | 33.93 |
| 24 | 85 | Rolls | 46.22 | 62 | 77 | Gunner | 32.54 |
| 25 | 33 | Weaver | 46.06 | 63 | 62 | Cook | 32.44 |
| 26 | 36 | McNaughton | 45.25 | 64 | 66 | McMaster | 32.32 |
| 27 | 75 | Jenny Swanson | 44.26 | 65 | 28 | Munro | 32.03 |
| 28 | 11 | Corry | 43.85 | 65 | 38 | Houlton | 32.03 |
| 29 | 12 | Sheldrake | 43.75 | 67 | 60 | Gooley | 31.25 |
| 30 | 29 | M Williamson | 43.38 | 68 | 9 | Lowe | 31.03 |
| 31 | 32 | Delaney | 42.74 | 69 | 26 | Innes | 30.40 |
| 32 | 45 | J Williamson | 42.71 | 70 | 54 | Rayani | 29.39 |
| 33 | 82 | Snelling | 42.33 | 71 | 20 | Carr | 29.09 |
| 34 | 34 | Clift | 42.32 | 72 | 68 | B Rossiter-Nuttall | 29.01 |
| 35 | 37 | J Rossiter-Nuttall | 42.30 | 73 | 31 | Hyland | 28.96 |
| 36 | 25 | McLay | 42.16 | 74 | 64 | Haley | 28.87 |
| 37 | 83 | Haslett | 41.98 | 75 | 65 | Lynch | 27.03 |
| 38 | 23 | Richards | 41.93 | 76 | 79 | Rohald | 26.91 |
| 39 | 15 | Van Bakel | 41.08 | 77 | 50 | Fenwicke | 26.89 |
| 40 | 2 | Wylie | 40.94 | 78 | 71 | Hoschke | 26.23 |
| 41 | 41 | Holewa | 40.89 | 79 | 78 | Jacobs | 24.68 |
| 42 | 70 | Nikolic | 40.59 | 80 | 4 | Sleat | 24.53 |
| 43 | 55 | Rosengren | 40.47 | 81 | 48 | Cotton | 22.98 |
| 44 | 47 | Schmalkuche | 39.93 | 82 | 51 | Codognotto | 22.74 |
| 45 | 63 | Stick | 39.39 | 83 | 58 | Routley | 20.91 |
| 46 | 69 | Knox | 39.23 | 84 | 72 | Tomalak | 20.88 |
| 47 | 56 | Wippell | 39.12 | 85 | 76 | Logan | 16.67 |
| 48 | 27 | Anderson | 38.84 | 86 | 53 | Sutherland | 13.97 |
| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Restricted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 82 | N Bowers - J Watkinson - D Garret - R Stuart |  |  |  |  | 66.72 |
| 2 | 43 | A Simon - M Fanning - A Ramsden - M Thompson |  |  |  |  | 59.09 |
| 3 | 3 | J Price - R Spelman - M Fawcett - L Tracey |  |  |  |  | 58.62 |
| 4 | 20 | C Chaffey - A Goodchild - R Ward - P Halloran |  |  |  |  | 58.28 |
| 5 | 61 | I Bannister - F Martin - C Hagen - J O'Brien |  |  |  |  | 58.22 |
| 6 | 42 | L Sylvester - R Feyder - M Brassil - L Brassil |  |  |  |  | 57.25 |
| 7 | 59 | S Miller - J Silberberg - L Fitzhenry - K Wright |  |  |  |  | 57.11 |
| 8 | 1 | H Van Weeren - P Clarke - J Conroy - J Blenkey |  |  |  |  | 56.57 |
| 9 | 4 | J Coventry - M Glover - M Butcher - F Ding |  |  |  |  | 54.40 |
| 10 | 5 | B Rydon - J Howe - J Simmons - K Wilman |  |  |  |  | 54.36 |


| Qualifying Scores After 4 Rounds - Restricted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 11 | 6 | Heck | 54.14 | 50 | 80 | Lenton | 38.73 |
| 12 | 13 | R Smith | 53.15 | 51 | 55 | Ledger | 38.42 |
| 13 | 31 | Hapeta | 52.98 | 52 | 39 | Hooper | 37.93 |
| 14 | 88 | Kalma | 52.55 | 53 | 32 | Knight | 37.68 |
| 15 | 49 | Walsh | 52.34 | 54 | 41 | C Webb | 37.25 |
| 16 | 23 | Morahan | 51.85 | 55 | 62 | Kommeren | 37.23 |
| 17 | 14 | Parmenter | 51.63 | 56 | 9 | Sommerton | 36.72 |
| 18 | 81 | Chatterton | 49.47 | 57 | 79 | Quilty | 36.64 |
| 19 | 71 | Lane | 48.89 | 58 | 38 | Little | 36.57 |
| 20 | 34 | Bunworth | 48.87 | 59 | 10 | Treloar | 36.51 |
| 21 | 84 | K Smith | 48.40 | 60 | 50 | Bowen-Thomas | 36.26 |
| 22 | 26 | Koster | 47.78 | 61 | 37 | Musgrave | 35.14 |
| 23 | 77 | Woodbury | 47.02 | 62 | 28 | Wright | 34.73 |
| 24 | 44 | Nugent | 46.89 | 63 | 33 | P Webb | 34.42 |
| 25 | 52 | Morgan | 45.46 | 64 | 30 | Merrin | 34.41 |
| 26 | 18 | Bakas | 45.45 | 65 | 15 | Allen | 33.96 |
| 27 | 66 | Dey | 45.13 | 66 | 22 | Hart | 33.68 |
| 28 | 11 | Riley | 44.27 | 67 | 87 | Cliffin | 31.17 |
| 29 | 64 | Nilsson | 43.95 | 68 | 19 | Crooke | 30.98 |
| 30 | 72 | Hartley | 43.85 | 69 | 54 | Rosetta | 30.96 |
| 31 | 21 | Duncan | 43.26 | 70 | 83 | Kerr | 30.23 |
| 32 | 57 | Macintosh | 43.14 | 71 | 73 | Chappell | 29.30 |
| 33 | 7 | Opray | 42.34 | 72 | 36 | Chatfield | 28.99 |
| 34 | 12 | Frost | 42.29 | 73 | 8 | Sivewright | 28.94 |
| 35 | 70 | Singer | 42.26 | 74 | 69 | Russell | 27.79 |
| 36 | 56 | Gibney | 42.18 | 75 | 68 | Michelsson | 27.58 |
| 37 | 45 | Wlodarczyk | 41.84 | 76 | 16 | Paterson | 26.88 |
| 38 | 2 | Dunlop | 41.43 | 77 | 48 | Beyer | 26.75 |
| 39 | 67 | Clarke | 41.17 | 78 | 47 | Egan | 26.57 |
| 40 | 29 | Trengove | 41.06 | 79 | 53 | Bustany | 26.36 |
| 41 | 46 | Brink | 40.97 | 80 | 24 | Junge | 25.76 |
| 42 | 58 | Bellis | 40.63 | 81 | 65 | Vary | 25.63 |
| 43 | 60 | Rooke | 40.50 | 82 | 75 | Reid | 25.04 |
| 44 | 25 | Cahill | 40.12 | 83 | 63 | Gilder | 23.61 |
| 45 | 74 | Delgado | 40.06 | 84 | 85 | Skarupsky | 22.65 |
| 46 | 35 | Hall | 39.25 | 85 | 51 | G Smith | 22.63 |
| 47 | 78 | Mabin | 38.96 | 86 | 76 | Macdonald | 21.84 |
| 48 | 17 | Thillainathan | 38.90 | 87 | 27 | Caddy | 16.48 |
| 49 | 40 | Mathieson | 38.82 | 88 | 86 | Haslett | 16.38 |
|  |  |  | Scores | 4 Roun | - No |  |  |
| Place | No. |  |  | emb |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 11 | D McAuliffe - P | $J$ Harve |  |  |  | 68.27 |
| 2 | 7 | D Mathieson - | istum - |  |  |  | 59.18 |
| 3 | 4 | G Devrell - G | Bartlett |  |  |  | 55.95 |
| 4 | 10 | D Burke - G R | M Main |  |  |  | 52.51 |
| 5 | 27 | P Bailey - L S | Simmon | Bunn |  |  | 51.33 |
| 6 | 1 | R Hurst-H C | $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{M}$ B |  |  |  | 48.62 |
| 7 | 24 | A Delorenzo - | J Thom |  |  |  | 48.59 |
| 8 | 19 | A Anderson - | s-C Flo |  |  |  | 45.56 |
| 9 | 3 | B Northey - D | D Camp |  |  |  | 45.02 |
| 10 | 5 | S Reilly - J Gill | oogood |  |  |  | 43.91 |
| Place | No. |  |  | Memb |  |  | Score |
| 11 | 13 | Bain | 43.72 | 20 | 23 | Carter | 32.77 |
| 12 | 17 | Muller | 43.40 | 21 | 22 | Kendall | 30.89 |
| 13 | 8 | Sharp | 42.75 | 22 | 6 | Metcalfe | 28.51 |
| 14 | 15 | Howitt | 42.64 | 23 | 2 | Gibbards | 27.93 |
| 15 | 20 | Innes | 41.61 | 24 | 16 | Davey | 26.60 |
| 16 | 14 | N Anderson | 39.62 | 25 | 28 | Treloar | 25.42 |
| 17 | 26 | Dunworth | 38.21 | 26 | 21 | Sheldrake | 23.11 |
| 18 | 12 | Bunting | 37.99 | 27 | 9 | Clark | 21.82 |
| 19 | 18 | Eather | 33.05 | 28 | 25 | Waters | 21.02 |



An elderly husband and wife visit their doctor when they begin forgetting little things. Their doctor tells them that many people find it useful to write themselves little notes.
When they get home, the wife says:
"Dear, will you please go to the kitchen and get me a dish of ice cream? And maybe write that down so you won't forget?"
"Nonsense," says the husband, "I can remember a dish of ice cream."
"Well," says the wife, "I'd also like some strawberries and whipped cream on it."
"My memory's not all that bad," says the husband. "No problem, a dish of ice cream with strawberries and whipped cream. I don't need to write it down."
He then goes into the kitchen, and his wife hears pots and pans banging around. The husband finally emerges and presents her with a plate of bacon and eggs. She looks at the plate and asks:
"Hey, where's the toast I asked for?"
(ED: a little too close to home for me)

## YESTERDAY'S DIFFICULT SUDOKU SOLUTION

| 6 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 6 |
| 4 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| 7 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 8 |
| 5 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| 2 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| 8 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| 9 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 |

## SERVICES

If you are in need of a doctor or medical assistance you can contact Kim Ellaway through the Administration Desk or alternatively contact the Broadbeach Medical Centre on 07-5531-6344, Suite GO1, 2681 Gold Coast Highway Broadbeach. Please note that they do not bulk bill. Their after-Hours is handled by National Home Doctors Service on 137425 who do bulk bill.

## DIARY OF SELECTED MAJOR NATIONAL EVENTS

| Event | Date | Website / Contact / Venue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autumn Nationals (ANOT) | Thursday $3^{\text {rd }}$ May to Monday $7^{\text {th }}$ May 2018 | Entries: ANOT.organiser@gmail.com <br> Jinny Fuss 0474-074-005 <br> Ridley Centre, Adelaide Showground |
| Australian National Championships and Butler Pairs. | Saturday $28^{\text {th }}$ July to Thursday $9^{\text {th }}$ August 2018 | Entries: dalbaby48@gmail.com <br> Dallas Cooper 0427-724-266 <br> Venue: Tattersalls Park Function Centre Hobart |
| Coffs Coast Gold Congress | Tuesday $14^{\text {th }}$ August to Sunday $1{ }^{\text {th }}$ August 2018 | Entries: ian@australiawideconferences.com.au Phil Houlton 0419-486-333 <br> Venue: Opal Cove Resort, Coffs Harbour |
| Territory Gold Bridge Festival Pairs and Teams | Wednesday $29^{\text {th }}$ August to Sunday $2^{\text {nd }}$ September 2018 | Entries: tgbf@abf.com.au Judy Herring 0411-200-140 Venue: DoubleTree by Hilton 116 The Esplanade Darwin |
| Spring Nationals <br> Open Teams, Restricted Teams Dick Cummings Open Pairs Restricted and Novice Pairs Linda Stern Women's Teams Bobby Evans Seniors Teams | Wednesday October $17^{\text {th }}$ <br> to Thursday October $25^{\text {th }}$ <br> 2018 | Entries: sn@abf.com.au Mike Prescott - NSWBA 02-9264-8111 Canterbury Park Racecourse, Sydney |



For more information contact Kim Ellaway manager@qldbridge.com • +61733518602 • +61412064903

## Gold Coast Congress 2018 TBIB

## "5 in 40 Card" Lucky Draw

One lucky person playing in the Gold Coast Congress Teams Championship will have the opportunity of playing the TBIB " 5 in 40 Card" Lucky Draw with a chance to walk away with a prize of \$50,000.

To Qualify - Any person playing in the teams events (Open, Seniors, Intermediate, Restricted and Novice) will automatically qualify for the initial draw. From these qualifiers, 5 people will be drawn, and then a final draw from the 5 qualifiers will select the lucky person to play the TBIB " 5 in 40 " Card Lucky Draw. The person must be present at the draw to qualify.

The Challenge - There are 40 envelopes and in each envelope will be a coloured card. There will be 5 blue cards, 6 red cards, 9 green cards and 20 yellow cards. The lucky person begins by selecting an envelope and the coloured card will be displayed. They keep selecting envelopes and displaying the coloured cards until they have revealed 5 matching coloured cards. Once 5 cards of the same coloured are selected the game is over.

If they find 5 blue cards before they find 5 red, green or yellow cards then they win $\$ 50,000$. If they find 5 yellow cards first then they win a $\$ 250$ consolation prize and so.

The prizes are as follows:-
$\$ 50,000$ Blue cards
$\$ 10,000$ Red cards
\$ 500 Green cards
\$ 250 Yellow cards

Finer Point - Only one lucky person will have the opportunity to play the TBIB " 5 in 40 Card" Lucky Draw. The 4 unlucky people in the final draw will win a consolation prize. The person must be present at the draw otherwise a redraw will be done. The envelopes will be prepared, sealed and provided by an independent insurance loss adjuster.

