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## WELCOME ALL TO THE $57^{\text {TH }}$ GOLD COAST CONGRESS



## OPEN PAIRS QUALIFYING ROUND ONE

Barry Rigal

For the first session I sat down to watch Michael Ware and GeO Tislevoll as N/S take on Tom Jacob and Kornell Lazar, E/W.

The first two boards saw E/W register slightly average+ results on hands where nobody did anything silly. The third deal saw N/S a little unlucky, I thought.

| Dealer: South | - 5 |  | West | North | East <br> Lazar | South Ware |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - K 109 |  | Jacob | Tislevoll |  |  |  |
| Brd 3 | - A 1052 |  |  |  |  | $1 *$ |  |
| Open Prs Qual 1 | \& KQ976 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |  |
| - Q J 74 |  | - K 1063 | Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 4 |  |
| - Q653 |  | - J72 | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4 |  |
| $\text { \& } 1032$ |  | -873 | Pass | 5 | All Pa |  |  |
|  |  | \& A 54 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
|  | - A 982 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | - A 84 | ${ }^{1}$ Shortage | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\dagger$ |
|  | - Q J 64 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& J 8 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | - |
|  |  |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | 4 |



Dealer: North
Vul: N-S
Brd 5
Open Prs Qual 1

- Q 932
$-2$
-Q 1097
\& A Q J 3

Pictured, Ware (Left) and Tislevoll sitting N/S, in a sense had settled in the ideal spot, since on a spade lead 3NT makes nine tricks if the diamond finesse works and goes down if it loses, while 5 is heavy favourite to make 12 tricks if the finesse works and 11, if it does not, in the absence of very bad breaks.
Ware won the heart lead and took a diamond finesse to wrap up 420 but that was only $62 \%$.

Remarkably, after a spade lead no fewer than 17 E/W pairs conceded 430. And no, I don't want to know how.

- 106
- AKJ6 4
-K J 54
- K 10
- A 7

Q \& +8652

- KJ854
- Q 109
- A 2
\& 974

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\oplus$ |
| - | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

David Appleton (pictured) playing with Peter Reynolds had a complex artificial auction to 40 with only one major misunderstanding/system 'forget' in it, thereby l'm told improving on their normal average by about two, but it gave Rosalie Broughton a chance to double an artificial 4\& call. When Ken Wilks led a club the defenders took the ace and continued the suit. Appleton now guessed spades and could crossruff for 11 tricks and $90 \%$ board. After a trump lead declarer would be somewhat less likely to come to 11 tricks, though it can be done. In reality only one declarer did so.


I am guessing that everybody knows that the Commonwealth Games will be held on the Gold Coast from $4^{\text {th }}$ to the $15^{\text {th }}$ April. This left Julie Farmer in the unenviable position of having to choose play at the Congress or attend the Swimming Finals at $7: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ on April $6^{\text {th }}$. She made the obvious choice of playing bridge and has two Category A tickets for sale at the cost price of $\$ 200$ per ticket. If interested contact her on 0407-532-221.

| Dealer: East | ¢ Q 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - Q 864 |  |
| Brd 6 | - A Q 94 |  |
| Open Prs Qual 1 | \% A 98 |  |
| - K J 10 |  | ¢A9653 |
| - J 3 |  | - K 97 |
| - J 1052 |  | - 7 |
| \& 10653 |  | \& Q 742 |
|  | -842 |  |
|  | - A 1052 |  |
|  | -K863 |  |
|  | \& K J |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\vdots$ |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | - | 1 | - | $\$$ |

Despite the favourable lie of the clubs and trumps, $4 \checkmark$ can be defeated by force. Lead a diamond and win the $\checkmark$ K to underlead the $\boldsymbol{\Delta A}$ or play ace and another spade, to get the diamond ruff. In practice the diamond opening lead was not found at every table, and half the field missed the ruff. Note that if you play suit preference in trumps West can afford to drop the heart jack under the ace, to emphasize the message for the higher suit, even if he has raised spades, or doubled a spade cuebid already.

| Dealer: South | - A Q 985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -943 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 7 | - J 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Prs Qual 1 | ¢ 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 1076 |  | ¢ 432 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1072 |  | - Q J |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K10976 |  | - A Q 3 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& A 4 |  | \& K 10872 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ K J |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
|  | - AK 865 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - 52 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q J 93 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |

A textbook deal for everyone who leads as badly as I do. Say as West you guess to lead a major suit against 20. Declarer wins and cashes two trumps then runs the spades, pitching diamonds from hand.

Where I was watching, the auction had gone $10-1 \varphi-29-20$ so West knew that declarer had shaken both their diamonds by the time the fourth spade is led. West must refrain from ruffing either the fourth or fifth spade. Now she threatens to get in with \&A and draw the last trump, preventing the club ruff. To counter that declarer must use their last entry to dummy after cashing the fifth spade to play a club to the nine, thus getting back to +170 . About a third of a top swung on the second overtrick.

| Dealer: South | - J 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AQ 873 |  |
| Brd 19 | - AK J 9 |  |
| Open Prs Qual 1 | \& J 4 |  |
| ¢K 982 |  | ¢Q6543 |
| -9642 |  | - K J |
| -10863 |  | - Q |
| ¢ 3 |  | ¢ 108765 |
|  | - A 10 |  |
|  | -105 |  |
|  | - 7542 |  |
|  | \& AKQ 92 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\$$ |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | $\$$ |

For the want of a nail...the horseshoe was lost.
It is fortunately very rare that small inaccuracies are as heavily punished as was the case on this deal. If we all got our just desserts, life at the bridge table would be no fun at all.

Where Nick Jacob and Nye Griffiths were E/W respectively, Jacob overcalled in spades on that powerful fivecarder to get his partner off to the best lead against 3NT (though he led the spade two to block the suit). Declarer took the first spade and missed the technically best play (as might we all?) of cashing $\downarrow \mathrm{A}$ at trick two.

Instead she ran clubs to get the bad news as West pitched two low spades and then a heart. Now declarer finessed the $\diamond J$ and ran into about the only lie of that suit which would hold her to eight tricks. Of course if she cashes one early top diamond she takes four tricks in the suit instead of two (which represents about half a top difference in results).

| Dealer: North | -98 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - Q 109876 |  |
| Brd 21 | - 8 |  |
| Open Prs Qual 1 | +10986 |  |
| - AK 10653 |  | ¢ Q J 72 |
| - A J |  | - 5 |
| - K 32 |  | - AJ976 |
| - A Q |  | \& J 43 |

¢ 4
$\bullet$ K 432
Q 1054
$\& K 752$

Nye Griffiths did extremely well here, I thought. He played 64 after Jacob had passed the East hand then driven to 4 (splinter) over Griffiths' 14 opener. Griffiths covered the $>8$ lead and won the ten with the king, drew trumps, then led a club to his queen, knowing he could pitch his diamond on the clubs if the finesse lost. When it held, he ruffed a heart and ran all his trumps, pitching diamonds from dummy. He reduced to the bare -A and \& J 4, with two diamonds and the club ace in hand. What was South to do? She had actually let go a diamond early so Griffiths knew to play on whichever suit she discarded at trick 10. The only defence to give her side a chance would have been to bare the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ early and pitch a diamond at trick ten, when declarer would probably play the opening leader for a doubleton not singleton diamond.

| Dealer: East | ¢ J 982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - J 6 |  |
| Brd 26 | - A J 7 |  |
| Open Prs Qual 1 | \& AK J 5 |  |
| ¢Q1054 |  | ¢ A 73 |
| -KQ542 |  | $\bullet 973$ |
| -652 |  | - Q10 83 |
| \& Q |  | \& 962 |
|  | ¢ K 6 |  |
|  | - A 108 |  |
|  | - K 94 |  |
|  | \& 108743 |  |

A straightforward strong NT auction saw Kevin Rosenberg as North play 3NT and East (failing to follow Paul Hackett's theories on opening leads) led a diamond. Rosenberg won cheaply to cash the club ace then once the queen fell he took four clubs ending in hand to lead a spade to the king. When it held he had 10 tricks, but still not satisfied he played a spade to the nine and ace. East shifted to hearts to the queen, and now when West exited in diamonds rather than cashing the $\uparrow Q$ that let Rosenberg win the $\checkmark K$, the fifth club, and cross to hand on the diamond ace to squeeze West in the majors for 660 and a $93 \%$ board.

## INTERMEDIATE PAIRS QUALIFYING ROUND ONE GOOD FRIENDS MEET AGAIN FOR BRIDGE



Brent Manley

When Ros Stevens started bridge in 2004, she had no idea how much pleasure she would get out of the game, not to mention the friendships she had made over the years. The Yamba (NSW) resident said that before she started, bridge was "something to do." She saw a sign in a butcher shop window advertising lessons, and she gave it a try. It was during the lessons that she met Penny Johnson, who moved from South Africa to Australia with her husband when his employers transferred him. All these years later, Stevens and Johnson are still meeting once a year to play together at the Gold Coast Congress. Johnson is a retired radiographer and, she hastens to add, "a grandmother." She lives in Yeppoon. The Queensland town is, according to Johnson, home of the "world's best bridge club."

On Saturday, Stevens (left) and Johnson (right) played in the Intermediate Pairs. In
the first qualifying session, their game wasn't what they had hoped for - bad luck was a factor - but they had their moments.
The two started off with a bang. This was their first board, played against Carole Roache of Gold Coast and Kel Rothery of Brisbane.

| Dealer: West | ¢ Q J 9872 |  | West | North | East | SouthStevens |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -9 |  | Rothery | Johnson | Roache |  |  |
| Brd 16 | - 76 |  | 1 | Pass | 10 | Pass |  |
| Int Prs Qual 1 | \& J 1094 |  | 1NT | 24 | 3 | Pass |  |
| - A 63 |  | ¢ --- | 4 | Pass | Pass | Dbl |  |
| -743 |  | - A Q J 82 | Pass | 4¢ | 5 | Dbl | // |
| - A Q 32 |  | -1098 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& A 63 |  | \& K Q 872 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ K 1054 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | -K1065 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | -K J 54 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 5 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | 9 |

Johnson did well to pull the double: $4 \checkmark$ was making. Stevens led a spade, taken in dummy with the ace. Declarer played a heart to the jack, taken by Stevens with the king. She switched to the 45 : 3, 9, queen. Declarer cashed the $\vee A$ and $\vee Q$, then played a diamond to the queen, and cashed the $\forall A$. When she called for the \&A, Stevens ruffed and continued with a spade, ruffed by declarer. There was no way to avoid a club loser at that point, so declarer was down one for minus 200. That score was good for a $76 \%$ score for Stevens and Johnson.

Two boards later, again on defence, they earned another fine score.

| Dealer: East | - 8 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - J 1075432 |  | Rothery | Johnson | Roache | Stevens |  |
| Brd 18 | -42 |  |  |  | 1 * | $1 \stackrel{1}{ }$ |  |
| Int Prs Qual 1 | + A 98 |  | 1NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| - J 1074 |  | - A 92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K Q 86 |  | - A 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -63 |  | - AQJ985 |  | Makeab | le Cont | acts |  |
| \& K Q 5 |  | -42 | 3 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | - K Q 653 |  | 1 | - | 2 | - | ¢ |
|  | $\bullet$--- |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | -K107 |  | 2 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  | ¢ J 10763 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

Johnson started with the $\mathbf{~ 5}$, and declarer erred by letting the 9 hold the trick and blocking the suit. At trick two, declarer played a club to the king and Johnson's ace. Johnson exited with her spade, ducked by declarer. Stevens won the $₫ Q$ and got out with a low club. Declarer played the $\uparrow Q$ and took the losing diamond finesse, after which Stevens cashed her good clubs to hold declarer to seven tricks for minus 90 : a $66 \%$ score.

Another good board came their way two deals later.

| Dealer: West Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ A J } \\ & \bullet \text { K } 98 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Johnson | East | South <br> Stevens |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 20 | -KJ953 |  | Pass | 1 | 10 | Dbl |  |
| Int Prs Qual 1 | \& J 94 |  | 2 | Pass | Pass | 3 |  |
| ¢K 8753 |  | ¢ Q 6 | All Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - A J 10 |  | -76532 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 107 |  | -2 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| -1053 |  | ¢ A K Q 82 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢ 10942 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | * |
|  | - Q 4 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q 864 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ¢ 76 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | 9 |

East started with the $\boldsymbol{\&} A$ and $\boldsymbol{\$ K}$, switching to the $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{7}$ at trick three. West won the ace (it would not have helped for him to play the jack or 10) and returned the $\geqslant$ J, which went to dummy's queen. Johnson pulled two
rounds of trumps, ruffed her remaining club and claimed after playing a spade to her jack and East's queen. Plus 110 was worth $72 \%$ of the matchpoints.

On this board, Stevens took advantage of some confusion by the defenders to make a deceptive play that earned a 70\% score.

| Dealer: South Vul: Both | $\text { - A Q } 985$ |  | West | North Johnson | East | South Stevens |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 7 | - J 84 |  |  |  |  | $1{ }^{10}$ |  |
| Int Prs Qual 1 | +65 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 1NT |  |
| ¢ 1076 |  | -432 | Pass | 2 | All Pass |  |  |
| -1072 |  | - Q J |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K10976 |  | - A Q 3 |  | Makeab | e Contra |  |  |
| \& A 4 |  | \& K 10872 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | - K J |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | - AK 865 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 52 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
|  | \& Q J 93 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\%$ |

West led the $\&$ and continued the suit when East signalled encouragement. East exited with the $\uparrow Q$, taken by Stevens with the ace. She cashed the $\because$ K and followed with the \&Q. West ruffed with the master trump, and Stevens discarded a diamond from dummy. West got out with the $\uparrow 9$, which apparently looked like top of nothing to East, because after Stevens called for the $>$ from dummy, East won the ace and instead of returning a diamond, he played another club. Stevens discarded dummy's last diamond and claimed nine tricks for plus 140 and a $70 \%$ score.

The best board of the session was the result of good judgment by Johnson on this board.

| Dealer: West <br> Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } 106 \\ & \bullet A K Q 64 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Johnson | East | South Stevens |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 4 | -K8 |  | Pass | 14 | 24 | $2{ }^{*}$ |  |
| Int Prs Qual 1 | \& Q J 7 |  | Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |  |
| -K87 |  | - A 43 <br> - J 10 <br> - A Q 105 <br> \&K653 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -J97632 |  |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| +4 |  |  | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | - J952 |  | - | 3 | - | 2 | ¢ |
|  | -985 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -4 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  | \& A 10982 |  | - | 4 | - |  | 4 |

Many North players in the Intermediate Pairs opened the bidding with 1NT, and most of them languished in that contract when South properly passed. The 17-point hand with such a robust heart suit is too good for a 1 NT opener. Johnson knew what to do when Stevens managed a raise to $2 \boldsymbol{v}$.East started with the $\vee 10$, taken by Johnson with the queen. She pulled trumps in two more rounds and then ran the $\& \mathrm{~J}$. With no good club spots, East could not prevent Johnson from taking five hearts and five clubs for plus 620 and $87 \%$ of the matchpoints.

## RonKlingerBridge.com



## OPEN PAIRS QUALIFYING ROUND TWO

Barry Rigal

Board five of the second set offered an unusual matchpoint problem:

Dealer: North
Vul: N-S $\quad$ A 42
Brd 5 K962
Open Prs Qual 2 \& A 98

- Q 108532
- Q 103
- 4
\& K 103
-K 96

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
| - | 6 | - | 6 |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 |  |
| - | - | - | - | 4 |

At the table I was watching, Valentine/Mortess were playing the Sprungs. After a 14-16 no-trump opener Joanne Sprung preempted to $3 \$$ and her opponents settled in $5 \leqslant$, misguessing trumps but racking up 600 nonetheless when the 13th heart took care of the spade loser. But consider the contract of 3NT on a club lead from East. A low club to the king scores; how should West continue? If he plays the $\$ 10$ declarer ducks and wins the third club, then can safety play diamonds to keep East off lead, believing clubs to be 6-3. If West at trick two leads a low club, won't declarer assume clubs are 5-4? Now there is clearly no reason to finesse in diamonds - if anyone has diamond length it might rate to be West. For the record, six pairs made 11 tricks in 3NT after a club lead, eight went down.

| Dealer: North | - K Q 106 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AK6 |  |
| Brd 9 | - A 109 |  |
| Open Prs Qual 2 | \& Q 84 |  |
| - J 32 |  | - A 987 |
| - J 109 |  | - 32 |
| -632 |  | - Q J 54 |
| ¢A532 |  | \& J 97 |

```
@ 54
\bulletQ 8 754
* K8 }
& K 106
```

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 5 | - | 4 | NT |
| - | 4 | - | 3 | $\mathbf{~}$ |
| - | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |

When I watched Peter Gill and Liz Sylvester defend against 3NT here (Eileen Li and Diaxiong Li bid 14-10-2NT-3NT Gill guessed well to lead a spade rather than a diamond - you could have pried a spade rather than a diamond out of my cold dead hand...) Mrs Li won to run three hearts then lead a spade to the ten. What was Gill to do?

We can see that ducking and waiting for partner to get in with the club ace would have worked - but what if declarer had a 4-3-2-4 pattern with good clubs and no diamond ace? Gill covered his bases ingeniously by winning the spade ace and shifting to the diamond jack, covering this precise layout of the diamonds. While declarer should have won in dummy to lead a club to the queen, she actually won in dummy to cash hearts, then lead a diamond to the ten.

Gill had a diamond trick and his partner could collect the club ace at the end. The second overtrick in 3NT would have been 89, while 430 was only 28 for N/S. Everyone in $4 \checkmark$ had no real choice but to make 11 tricks with the spades behaving and the J onside.

## (Last Year 695)

Note: this year we held a Friday Setup Pairs

| Dealer: South | ¢ Q 7542 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - AKQ 9 |  |
| Brd 11 | - K 32 |  |
| Open Prs Qual 2 | \& 10 |  |
| - K 9 |  | ¢ 1063 |
| -1086 |  | - J 532 |
| - Q J 6 |  | - A 5 |
| \&KJ954 |  | \& A Q 76 |
|  | ¢ A J 8 |  |
|  | - 74 |  |
|  | -109874 |  |
|  | \& 832 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |

Those of you who like low comedy might enjoy board 11. I watched Renee Cooper declare 24 on the unopposed auction: 19-1NT-2•-2s. Nick Hughes led the $\langle$ A and Nicoletta Giura produced what in my years at University was sometimes referred to as a Harrison Signal when she showed her diamond holding by dropping the $\leqslant$ Q. Hughes continued diamonds. Cooper took the trick and finessed in spades, allowing Giura to give her partner the diamond ruff to hold $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ to 140 . Curiously, the only easy way to get a ruff in $2 \Phi$ is to lead trumps! West wins the $\Phi K$ and shifts to diamonds, and if necessary East can underlead in clubs to arrange the diamond ruff to hold spades to +110 .

Dealer: South
Vul: None
Brd 27
Open Prs Qual 2
© K 108

- 84
-K 1054
\& Q J 65

```
@Q 5
*KQ97632
*--
& 10943
476432
-A 10
*AQ J6 3
&2
```

-AJ 9

- J 5
- 9872
\& AK 87

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| 3 | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |

An unusual example of a Morton's Fork coup came up here. Viv Wood declared $4>$ here after Kim Morrison opened $1 \diamond$, which had the effect of persuading Johnny Davidson not to sacrifice in 44. Davison led his singleton club; Wood won the ace and ran the $P J$ round to Davidson, who shifted to a spade. What was Susan Humphries to do? If she won her king and played a club for Davidson to ruff, then declarer would pitch her club loser on the spades. So she put in the ten, and now Wood could win and draw trumps. At this point had declarer played for a black-suit squeeze she could have emerged with +450 . When she simply took the spade finesse she was back to +420 , but still a $69 \%$ score.

## PLAY BRIDGE WITH BARRY

Barry Rigal
Dealer: South \& A 65
Vul: All $\quad$ K J 10

- A 2
\& J 1043
© K 93
- 864
- 10765
\& K 72
© Q 10872
- A 73
- Q 983
\& 6

```
@ J 4
`Q92
* K J 4
& AQ 9 8 5
```

Here you reach $5 \$$ - well done to avoid three no-trumps! West gives you a respite by leading a diamond. Plan the play.

If you run the opening lead to your hand you set up an irrelevant discard for a spade from dummy. It is better to win the $\checkmark$ A and take the club finesse; unless East works out to discourage at trick one (and why should he?)

West will continue diamonds, hoping for the spade switch from East, and he will be sorely disappointed. You now have time to draw trumps and set up hearts to provide the discard that really matters, the spade from hand.

## WELCOME TO NEW PLAYERS AT THE GCC

Brent Manley

## Common Errors - How to Avoid Them - Playing too many conventions

Don't let your convention card steal your brain power.
It's exciting to learn how the language of bridge works, and it's natural to admire the established pairs who seem to have something in their bidding systems to cope with every "problem" that arises.
You look at the convention card of an expert pair, where seemingly every space is taken up with some notation about a convention in use, and you think that the more gadgets you can "acquire" the better off you will be.

## Don't believe it.

In your early development, you will be far better off concentrating on your card play - learning how to take tricks - than you will be by adding every known convention to your repertoire. Here are some reasons for going slowly in the convention department:

1. It takes time to grasp all the nuances of any new convention. Whenever you and your regular partner decide to add a new agreement, you should discuss the tool thoroughly. What if the opponents interfere? At what level is it "off?" What does it mean if you don't use the convention?
2. When you are starting out, you already have a lot to think about at the table - as declarer and when you are defending, trying to learn to count the high-card points and the opponents' hands. How will you manage all this if you also have to try to remember a couple of dozen conventions you don't fully understand?
3. Some gadgets are brilliant in conception but not very useful because opportunities to use them occur so rarely. Trying to keep everything straight in your mind uses energy better spent on more mundane - but highly important - tasks such as deciding on an opening lead or figuring out a way to make a tough contract. Other conventions use bids that sound so natural that a "forget" is not unusual.
Many years ago, my partner and I added Smolen to our system: Partner opens 1NT, you bid 2\& (Stayman) and partner responds $2 \diamond$ (no major). If you have five of one major and four of the other and enough for game, you jump in your four-card suit so partner can still be declarer if you have an eight-card fit. The first four times my partner used it, the bid sounded so natural that I just passed. Oops!

## AUSTRALIAN BRIDGE

Josh Donn, Las Vegas USA - Forget the Signals, Trust the Cards
You can purchase an annual subscription to Australian Bridge at Paul Lavings' book stall here at the GCC
Email: mail@australianbridge.com Phone: 0412-335-840. \$59 for one year (6 issues) or \$112 two years Separate online edition of the magazine just for novice players - only $\$ 25$ per year for 6 issues.
Brad Coles, owner of Australian Bridge has generously donated four Subscriptions to Australian Bridge and four Subscriptions to the Novice Edition which will be used as prizes at the GCC.

At the summer North American Bridge Championship in Chicago, one of the events I entered was the Mixed Board-A-Match teams. The event is board-a-match, a form of scoring where you win or lose or tie each board, regardless of the size of your score. I played on a team I affectionately call "Team BBO", partnering Sheri Winestock, with teammates Uday Ivatury and Christal Henner at the other table. We finished a respectable 12th in the event.

Board-a-match scoring puts a special premium on overtricks in partscore contracts, which can be as valuable as making your contract. I played one board where I was able to read an opponent's discard in order to help place the cards and gain a crucial overtrick.
Third to speak with only our side vulnerable against strong opposition,
I picked up

- 82 •AKJ 109852 \&KJ5.

After two passes I opened $1 \downarrow$, my partner responded 14, and I rebid 1NT which became the final contract. I received a fourth best $\$ 3$ lead, and contemplated the following dummy:

```
@ A J 9 4
-432
* K J
&9762
$82
- AK J
* 109852
& K J 5
```

I played low from dummy as RHO won the ace, and returned the eight.
I think it's pretty easy to put in the jack here. I doubt RHO has the queen but maybe it's possible, and the queen certainly won't be falling from my left under the king if I play it. If the suit is $3-3$ I will have the last card established. And lastly, if the suit is $4-2$ (as it appears to be), then LHO will play a third club to set up her long card, which will force RHO to make a discard. So I did play the jack, which lost to the queen, and LHO played a low club, on which RHO made what looked like a painless $\uparrow 3$ discard. They play upside down count and attitude, so in theory the $\$ 3$ was encouraging, but I would not trust them to signal honestly in this situation.
Here is where I stood:


It looked right to play on diamonds now. The normal play in the suit is low to the jack, which picks up the doubleton queen onside, whereas low to the king only gains against the unlikely singleton queen offside (against all other holdings I will always have to lose two diamond tricks). But the spade discard from RHO on the third club struck me, not because of the signal it was supposed to represent, but because it came from the spade suit at all. It seemed so strange to discard from a holding with no honours, looking at © AJ94 in dummy and with the strong possibility I would play that suit next. That is especially true considering that a heart or diamond discard from most holdings would be unlikely to cost. Throwing a spade from three or four cards to one honour was possible, but even that didn't seem likely, given that RHO had no problems at all making that play. If he had something like $\$ 75$ 3, wouldn't he be blowing the suit if his partner had $Q 10$ ? It just seemed so unnatural.
Suddenly I had it! RHO must have started with $\uparrow K Q x x$. Nothing else made sense. So, what did that tell me (other than, don't play spades myself)? RHO had already shown up with the \&A, and was a passed hand, so the $\downarrow$ A was going to be on my left. That knowledge opened the door for a deceptive play that I am somewhat familiar with. I led a diamond to the king, which held, and continued with the $>$ J. RHO played low, as did I, and LHO then started to think deeply. I could see what was happening. She had started with $\downarrow \mathrm{A} x \times$ and thought I had Q $98 \times x$ or similar, and was deciding if it could help her to duck again. I thought it could, as I might have opened a hand like

```
$xx\veeAJx}QQ98xx &KJ
```

in third seat, so I kept my fingers crossed. And fortunately she thought the same, as she ducked!
I came to my hand with the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, and exited with the 10 , as the ace and queen came crashing down together. That brought me up to eight tricks, which I was confident would be enough to win the board. The opponents accidentally let me score an extra heart trick in the wash despite the queen being offside, so we brought back +150 to our teammates, winning against their score of -100 in 1NT their direction. The full deal:

| Teams | - A J 94 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dealer: East | - 432 |  |
| Vul: All | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet K J \\ & +9762 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| - 1076 |  | - KQ 53 |
| - Q 107 |  | -9865 |
| - A 76 |  | - Q 43 |
| ¢Q 1043 |  | +A8 |
|  | - 82 |  |
|  | - AK J |  |
|  | -109852 |  |
|  | \% K J 5 |  |

## DAMNED TECHNOLOGY

Queensland Bridge Association
Many will be aware that the QBA suffered major problems with our web site, and this impacted on many players attempting to review the Congress events and make on-line entries.

The problems began late last year when our web hosts, who we have been with for many years, moved our site to a different server, with the promise of a transparent changeover. Nothing could be further from the truth. Once transferred, the site lost about 10 days of data (including GCC entries) and many pages failed to work. Our webmasters Andrew Hooper and Peter Busch, and Technology Officer Ray Ellaway, worked for many hours trying to determine what needed to be done and making it happen without much help from the host's support centre, and after about a week were were back online. We resolved then to change hosts but didn't want to attempt it before the Gold Coast Congress for obvious reasons.
Problems re-appeared last week when "out of resource" errors started appearing once the web site activity picked up, making the site unreachable for much of the time. Not only did this impact on players but also
impeded our staff from processing the many last minute entries and edits. Our hosts told us that it was not possible to increase our capacity. Fearing a re-occurrence when activity spiked again during the congress, we resolved to bite the bullet and move the Gold Coast Congress parts of the web site to a different hosting company immediately and this happened in the week leading up to the Congress This again took lot of work from our web masters.

One side effect of this change was that we lost the ability to take on-line credit card payments as that part of our site needs to be reconfigured to accommodate the new domain name. Fortunately there is not much online credit card activity now that the earlybird discount period has finished, so this won't have much effect on players. Full credit card facilities will of course be available at the Administration Desk at the venue.
It looks like things have now returned to normal with the new company hosting the Gold Coast Congress part of the web site, but our fingers will remain crossed throughout the event. Our results display is housed on an entirely different web server so this won't be affected by any problems the QBA web site encounters.

## COMMONWEALTH NATIONS BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIP

Quarter Finals

| Commonwealth Nations Quarter Final |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Results after 2 of 2 stanzas |  |  |  |  |
| Team (click here to show names) | C/Fwd | Stanza 1 | Stanza 2 | Total |
| AUSTRALIA GOLD (1) | 0 | 52 | 34 | 86 |
| TASMANIA (2) | 0 | 43 | 12 | 55 |
| SCOTLAND WHITE (3) | 0 | 47 | 44 | 91 |
| ENGLAND A (4) | 0 | 47 | 34 | 81 |
| INDIA A (5) | 0 | 79 | 33 | 112 |
| LEIBOWITZ (6) | 0 | 34 | 41 | 75 |
| PRESIDENT'S TEAM (7) | 0 | 52 | 57 | 109 |
| AUSTRALIA SENIORS (8) | 0 | 53 | 6 | 59 |

Semi-Finals

| Commonwealth Nations Semi Final |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Results after 2 of 3 stanzas |  |  |  |
| Team (click here to show names) | C/Fwd | Stanza 1 | Stanza 2 |
| AUSTRALIA GOLD (1) | 0 | 40 | 14 |
| SCOTLAND WHITE (2) | 0 | 11 | 36 |
| INDIA A (3) | 0 | 63 | 54 |
| PRESIDENT'S TEAM (4) | 0 | 2 | 31 |

## The final will take place today (Sunday) between

## AUSTRALIA GOLD:

Phil Markey - David Wiltshire - Joachim Haffer - Max Henbest - Pauline Gumby - Warren Lazer; and INDIA A:

Kiran Nadar - Jaggy Shivdasani - Keyzad Anklesaria - Rajeshwar Tiwari - Sunit Chokshi - Bachiraju
Satyanarayana
A NEW ONLINE BRIDGE MAGAZINE AND IT'S FREE


Newbridge is a new completely FREE magazine brought to you by editor Mark Horton and his team including: Ron Tacchi in charge of layout along with contributors, David Bird, Alan Mould, Larry Cohen, Alex Adamson \& Harry Smith, Sally Brock, Julian Pottage, Liz McGowan and Kit Woolsey. We hope to have many new articles by other well-known bridge personalities in the very near future, including features from some well-known bridge entities. If you go to www.newbridgemag.com and register you will then get a notification every time this new (free!) magazine is posted towards the beginning of every month. Please pass on this message to everyone you know and ask them to register.
Here is an article from the first edition.
The brilliant American player, Larry Cohen, writer and teacher
presents the first in a series of articles aimed at intermediate players
I am a staunch advocate of "less is more." My observation is that at every level of the game, players are using too many conventions. Too often I see players (from beginner to world champion) misusing or forgetting their methods. Everyone would benefit if they would just KISS. (Keep It Simple, Stupid).

If I had to choose just 4 conventions, this would be my list:

1. NEGATIVE DOUBLES: The first important double to discuss with partner is the Negative Double. Many years ago, after we opened the bidding and the opponents overcalled, a double was for penalty. This ineffective method was overturned when Al Roth invented what was first called Sputnik, named after the Russian satellite in the late 1950's. Now, when the opponents overcall, our responder's double is not for penalty. It is "takeout," or "negative" with the focus on the other major.
There are two crucial issues to discuss and two smaller ones. First the big stuff:

## Through what level?

Many players mark their convention card: "through $2 \Phi$ " or "through 34. ." This is not a good agreement. If you are writing "through 34 ," do you mean to tell me that when the opponents overcall, say, 4\&, that you magically expect to have a trump stack and want to double 4\& for penalties? Pretty silly. I recommend negative doubles "through infinity." In fact, the higher they bid, the less likely you are ever to be dealt a penalty double. Doubles on any level should NOT show length/strength in the opponent's suit. They should all be takeout/cards. So, don't limit yourselves by marking through 2 or 34.

## What suits does the negative double show?

In general, the other major(s) is promised. If responder can't stand a suit that opener selects, he needs to have a backup plan (often tolerance for opener's first suit). If the opening is 1 -of-a-major and they overcall the other major, a negative double typically shows both minors.

## Special Sequences

The "smaller" issues are the following two specific auctions, which unfortunately require memorization:
1-(1*)-X
In this exact auction, the double promises both majors (at least 4-4). With only one 4 card major, the responder simply bids it (promising at least 4).
14/-(1『) - X
On this specific auction, the double promises exactly 4 spades. With 5 or more, the responder bids 14 .
These 2 auctions cause confusion among inexperienced players. There is no substitute or shortcut for these 2 "special" rules. They simply need to be studied, studied again, then practiced and memorized.

## Other

When playing negative doubles, what if the responder happens to have a penalty double? The way to "get them" is to pass (in tempo is a good idea) and hope the opening bidder can reopen with his own takeout double, to be converted for penalties.

## Wrap-Up:

There is plenty more on this topic (such as follow-ups by opener and responder) - but none of it needs noting on the convention card. I couldn't live without negative doubles. Everyone should play them, and they should be played on all levels (not just the 2 - and 3-level). In general, the negative double shows the unbid suits, with the focus on the majors.
2. BLACKWOOD: First, let it be said that Blackwood is probably the most abused convention in bridge. Really, checking for aces should only serve the purpose of making sure you don't reach 6 off 2 aces (or 7 off 1 ace). However, too many people launch into Blackwood prematurely, just because they sense there might be a slam. Blackwood should not be used until you are sure there is no suit where your side is off 2 fast cashing tricks (this often involves control-bidding first). If you use Blackwood and the answer doesn't tell you where you belong, then you probably shouldn't have used it.
In regular Blackwood, the responses show 0, 1, 2 or 3 aces in order ( $5 \boldsymbol{\&}, 5 \downarrow, 5 \boldsymbol{4}$ ). With 4 aces, the response is also 5e, thus 5 shows 0 or 4.
Experienced players use Keycard Blackwood. This means there are 5 keycards - not 4. The king of trumps counts as the 5th "ace". The trump king is presumed to be either a suit that was agreed, or if no agreement was made, then it is the last-bid suit. The answers (as commonly played) are taken from the "Roman" system, thus it is called RKC (Roman Keycard). These are the responses:
$5 \%=1$ or 4 of the 5 keycards
$5=0$ or 3 of the 5 keycards
$59=2$ or 5 of the 5 keycards but no trump queen
$54=2$ or 5 of the 5 keycards plus the trump queen
Notice that this schedule is called "1430" because the $5 \% / \downarrow$ responses show 1-4 and 3-0 respectively. (This is also the score for bidding and making a vulnerable major-suit slam.) When the convention was first devised, the $5 \%$ and 5 responses were played the opposite of what is shown here.
Many players still use the "old" way, but "1430" is slightly superior because the cheaper 5 response comes up more frequently. After the $5 \boldsymbol{\&}$ or 5 response, the Blackwooder can bid the next step to ask for the trump queen (after which the most common system is to play 5 of the trump suit denies the trump queen).

Players using RKC need to discuss what a follow-up bid of 5NT means. Is it for specific kings or number of kings? If the latter, what is the schedule of responses? There is no universal way of playing 5NT; be sure to discuss it with your partner.

There is also a place to mark your responses if the opponents interfere with 4NT. This is so rare, that for most players, I wouldn't recommend bothering with it. If you insist, you can play something like DOP1, (called "DOPI)" which means that Double= 0 or 3 Keycards and Pass $=1$ or 4 keycards. Bids show 2 keycards (one step without and two steps with the trump queen).
How to respond to Blackwood with a void?
Yikes! I can't believe this article ever made it into print. This is a pet peeve topic for me. I always get asked about it. The problem is (and please don't take this the wrong way) that $99 \%$ of the people who ask, should not bother worrying about it.

Why? Because: a) It rarely comes up b) Even if it does come up, it is needed only for reaching 7 (when just getting to 6 will usually be a good enough result) c) When it comes up once every 3 years, who can possibly remember the agreement? d) There are many different ways to show the void e) It can get quite complicated - and could depend on what is trump (much easier with spades; near impossible with clubs). f) You would need to have a regular partner who studies and agrees on the same methods. This is not for casual partnerships.
All of those reasons make this topic completely flunk my: "Is it a convention you should worry about" test. Only if you are a professional or long-term experienced partnership, is this worth delving into.

I finally came up with a good reason to put this on my website. From now on, when faced with the question, I can just say: "Look it up on my website." I refuse to dictate to a student lines and lines of code to memorize. I can't think of anything more impractical. But, if you asked me, and I said, "see the site" here you are.

So, now, if you wish to waste hours of study and thousands of braincells on an unimportant topic, I refer you to 3 good sources as below. All of these have merit, and are basically similar in approach. These are methods you can rely on (as opposed to some incoherent mumbo-jumbo you might find elsewhere on the internet). With luck, at least some of these links will work when you click them.

1) Methods from bridgebum site
2) Methods from pattayabridge site
3) Martel-Stansby method

Or, you can make me happy and not click any of these links and worry about other bridge topics/methods which are infinitely more important.
Other: 5NT (not in a Blackwood auction) -This used to be used as the Grand Slam Force, but a much better and more common use now called Pick-a-Slam. It tells partner, "I want to be in six, but I'm not sure where. You choose."

Gerber: This is one of my pet peeves. During the 2009 Regional at Sea I gave my "anti-Gerber" lecture. Director Harry Falk loved it and told me that next to Gerber on the convention card he used to write: NITLFY. What does it mean? Not In The Last Forty Years!

## THE KLINGER QUIZ

Ron Klinger

| -10743 | Playing Teams |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A 10962 | Dealer: East |  |  |  |
| - A 2 | Vul: All |  |  |  |
| \& K 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | West | North | East | South |
| ¢ K J 95 |  |  | 1 - | $1 \checkmark$ |
| - Q J 854 | $3{ }^{1}$ | 4 | All Pass |  |
| - 84 |  |  |  |  |
| \& A J | ${ }^{1}$ Weak 0-6 HCPs, 4+ diamonds |  |  |  |

West leads the $\checkmark$ Q. Plan the play.

| Playing Teams Dealer: East Vul: All | ¢ 10743 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - A 10962 |  |
|  | - A 2 |  |
|  | \& K 4 |  |
| $\bullet$ Q2$\bullet 73$$\bullet$ QJ 109+107532 |  | ¢ A 86 |
|  |  | -K |
|  |  | -K7653 |
|  |  | \& Q 986 |
|  | ¢ K J 95 |  |
|  | - Q J 854 |  |
|  | - 84 |  |
|  | ¢ A J |  |

Solution: Contract: $4 \checkmark$ by South. Lead: $\downarrow$ Q.
A diamond loser is inevitable and you have finesses in both majors. Which do you take?

The percentage play in hearts is to finesse. It works against $\bullet$ K 7, ๒ K 3 and $\smile$ K 73 with West and costs only when East has the 0 K singleton. However, crossing to the \&A and taking the heart finesse is not your best move here.
West is marked with $\langle Q, \triangleleft J$ and, from the bidding, has at most 6 points. If West does have the $\downarrow K$, East will have the $4 A$ and \&Q. If you lose a heart to West, you will lose only one spade trick. Therefore, you do not need the heart finesse.

You should take the $\forall A$ and play the $\vee A$. If the king has not dropped, run the $\$ 10$. If the $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{K}$ does drop, cash the $\boldsymbol{\$ 1 0}$ and
then run the $\mathbf{\$} 10$.
Note that if you take the heart finesse and the layout is as above, you would go down in 4V. If the spades are as in the diagram and East has the doubleton heart king, $4 \checkmark$ was always doomed.


## Australian National Bridge Championships

## Tattersall's Park Function Centre, HOBART

Photo Courtesyof Sophie Fazackerly
ENJOY A UNIQUE TASMANIAN EXPERIENCE 28th July to 9th August 2018

TBIB ony Bemrose Insurance Brokers PO Box 300 Fortitude Valley, QLD 4006 Ph: 0732525254 Fax: 0732529076 www.tbib.com.au GREAT VENUE, HEAPS OF PRIZES

- ANC Teams Round Robin - New format with finals
- New - Interstate Pairs
- Restricted Butler (one stage)
- Open Butler Stages 1 \& 2
- Women's Senior Butler Stages 1 \&2
- New - Youth Butler (one stage)
- Lots of Congress Events - restricted, Swiss, eclectic, walk-ins and more.


Tournament Organiser:
Dallas Cooper
Ph: 0427724266
email: dalbaby48@gmail.com www.tasbridge.com.au

| No-Frils Friday Night Pairs = Leading Scores Field 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | North-South Field 1 | \% | Place | East West Field 1 | \% |
| 1 | Speros Pappas - Andrea Pappas | 62.78 | 1 | Alexandra Morris - Nye Griffiths | 64.3 |
| 2 | Helen Little - Lydia Hockings | 59.80 | 2 | Jeanette Weaver - Loraine Neville | 59.7 |
| 3 | Ken Cupples - Malcolm Allan | 56.89 | 3 | Kathy Hamilton - John Hamilton | 57.1 |
| 4 | Joanne Bakas - Tassi Georgiadis | 56.68 | 4 | Winny Chan - Aijun Yang | 56.1 |
| 5 | Liz Shonk - Anita Delorenzo | 56.02 | 5 | June Glenn - Alan Hamilton | 55.7 |
| 6 | Phil Power - Kathy Power | 55.79 | 6 | Robyn Freeman-Greene - Dale Lacey | 55.7 |
| 7 | Helen Rollond - Helen Kite | 55.15 | 7 | Helen Snashall - John Evans | 53.5 |
| No-Frils Friday Night Pairs - Leading Scores Field 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Dusk Care - Ellen Williams | 52.43 | 8 | Max Holewa - Diane Holewa | 53.4 |
| 9 | Kathryn Kerr - David Kerr | 51.67 | 9 | Janelle Conroy - Eric Leivesley | 52.6 |
| 10 | Carmella Rauchberger - Jan Rothlisberger | 51.01 | 10 | Dorothy Gehrke - Errol Miller | 48.7 |
| No-Frils Friday Night Pairs - Leading Scores Field 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | North-South Field 2 | \% | Place | East West Field 2 | \% |
| 1 | Margie Knox - Carmel Wikman | 58.80 | 1 | John Stuart - Deanna Stuart | 64.5 |
| 2 | Tim Legge - Neville Cook | 58.33 | 2 | Richard Metcalfe - Glenda Vincent | 63.4 |


| 3 | Kevin Tant - John Brockwell | 55.56 | 3 | Ann McKay - Brian Hardy | 55.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Jeanette Chatterton - Dawn Simpson | 50.00 | 4 | Simon Van Kruistum - Klarika Tortely | 51.8 |

## No-Frills Friday Night Pairs - Leading Scores Field 3

| 5 | Bruce Frost - Marcia O'Gorman | 47.69 | 5 | Cobie Van Kruistum - Jane Gryg | 51.8 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Liemeng Hong - Marvin Lai | 58.49 | 1 | Kevin Rosenberg - Michael Rosenberg | 63.4 |
| 2 | Max Robb - Ann Woodhead | 58.40 | 2 | Stephen Gray - Lindsey Guy | 59.6 |
| 3 | Maoliosa Hawkes - Marianna Xerri | 57.75 | 3 | Anne Small - Nu Beasley | 55.6 |
| 4 | Gary Snow - Judy Maccioni | 56.90 | 4 | Gayle Bagg - Louis Cukierman | 55.5 |
| 5 | Monica Ginsberg - Sybil Hurwitz | 54.70 | 5 | Laura Ginnan - Robyn Hewson | 54.3 |
| 6 | Alan Blackie - Ann Blackie | 51.22 | 6 | Les Ajzner - Paul Kron | 54.1 |
| 7 | Eva Shand - Les Varadi | 50.80 | 7 | Greta Chai - Nancy Chan | 52.5 |
| 8 | Joy Trigg - Joan Campbell | 50.72 | 8 | Jenny Crawt - William Van Bakel | 51.8 |
| 9 | Dorothy Beil - Gail Walsh | 50.51 | 9 | Mick McAuliffe - Wing Roberts | 51.2 |
| 10 | Pauline Mulligan - Sharon Stretton | 50.50 | 10 | Kinga Hajmasi - Andrew Michl | 50.6 |

Holiday Pairs 1 - Session 1 Saturday AM

| 1 | John Scotford - Yumin Li | 63.24 | 1 | Pamela Lidl - Rudi Lidl | 68.5 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | Romesh Bandaranaike - Anthea De Alwis | 62.87 | 2 | Bill Humphrey - Paul Carson | 60.2 |
| 3 | Max Robb - Ann Woodhead | 59.81 | 3 | Jan Smith - Leigh Owens | 59.3 |
| 4 | Beverley Welch - Jeanne Adams | 59.54 | 4 | Maureen Baker - Judith Woodward | 58.8 |
| 5 | Reno Cox - Geoffrey Toon | 59.17 | 5 | Deborah Cooper - Alan Geare | 57.5 |
| 6 | Ruth Mackinlay - Jeanne Anderson | 58.33 | 6 | John Large - Tadhg O'Mahony | 57.0 |
| 7 | Gwyneira Brahma - Vicki Taylor | 58.06 | 7 | Bernard Nightingale - Paula Pettersson | 56.6 |
| 7 | Diane Wenham - Margaret Keating | 58.06 | 8 | Gaylene Brown - Bill Redhead | 55.7 |
| 9 | Pat Leighton - Ray Muld | 55.46 | 9 | Anita Thirtle - Lynn Hall | 53.9 |
| 10 | Anne Lamport - Linden Raymond | 53.89 | 10 | Val Logan - Rob Anderson | 53.2 |
| 11 | Peter Fredericks - Lorraine Fredericks | 51.76 | 11 | Denise Richards - Norma Cameron | 50.6 |
| 12 | Andrew Elliot - Stephen Baggs | 50.37 | 12 | Bob Thomas - Christine Thomas | 50.5 |

## OPEN PAIRS SCORES AFTER QUALIFYING - Leading Scores

|  | N/S 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Renee Cooper - Kieran Dyke | 66.1 |
| 2 | George Kozakos - George Smolanko | 60.5 |
| 3 | Michael Ware - Geo Tislevoll | 61.9 |
| 4 | Roger Lee - Michael Whibley | 58.4 |
| 5 | Kim Frazer - Jamie Ebery | 56.9 |
| 6 | Brian Cleaver - Debbie Mcleod | 65 |
| 7 | Joan Butts - Martin Doran | 62.4 |
| 8 | Ella Pattison - Glen Coutts | 62.5 |
| 9 | Margaret Walters - Phil Gue | 53.3 |
| 10 | Kim Morrison - Viv Wood | 6 |
| 11 | Ross Harper - Michael Rosenberg | 57.7 |
| 12 | Chris Dibley - Michael Seldon | 54.8 |
| 13 | Alan Bailey - Jette Bailey | 51.7 |
| 14 | Marianne Bookallil - Jodi Tutty | 59.2 |
| 15 | Yuzhong Chen - Gary Foidl | 52.4 |
| 16 | Will Adler - John Luoni | 56.0 |
| 17 | Tomer Libman - Andrew Spooner | 47.8 |
| 18 | Tony Treloar - Peter Evans | 53.3 |
| 19 | Jenny Delany - Susan Laurenson | 60.9 |
| 20 | Dee Harley - Anna St Clair | 57.5 |
| 21 | Sophie Ashton - Kevin Rosenberg | 56.6 |
| 22 | Michael Johnson - Michael Simes | 55.0 |
| 23 | Tom Kiss - Alasdair Beck | 54.1 |
| 54 |  |  |



| E/W 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |  | Avge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Will Jenner-O'Shea - Mike Doecke | 55.38 | 67.93 | 61.66 |  |
| Judy Mott - Avril Zets | 57.19 | 65.98 | 61.59 |  |
| Vicki Bouton - Stephen Williams | 61.17 | 60.22 | 60.70 |  |
| Karen Martelletti - Julie Sheridan | 59.56 | 58.65 | 59.11 |  |
| Hugh Mcalister - Diana Mcalister | 55.88 | 61.96 | 58.92 |  |
| Matthew Brown - Johnno Newman | 61.45 | 55.60 | 58.53 |  |
| Baard Aasan - Trond Rogne | 55.76 | 61.08 | 58.42 |  |
| Kornel Lazar - Tom Jacob | 56.41 | 60.27 | 58.34 |  |
| Maurits Van Der Vlugt - Marshall Lewis | 50.47 | 66.14 | 58.31 |  |
| Anthony Burke - Avon Wilsmore | 51.02 | 65.14 | 58.08 |  |
| Liz Sylvester - Peter Gill | 56.79 | 58.74 | 57.76 |  |
| Barry Jones - Jenny Millington | 57.18 | 56.87 | 57.03 |  |
| David Beauchamp - Rick Roeder | 56.63 | 56.50 | 56.57 |  |
| Chuan Qin - Charlie Lu | 52.95 | 59.41 | 56.18 |  |
| Sue Ingham - Michael Courtney | 54.80 | 56.92 | 55.86 |  |
| Alister Stuck - Andrew Tarbutt | 55.21 | 56.34 | 55.78 |  |
| Ursula Harper - Debbie Rosenberg | 58.96 | 52.23 | 55.60 |  |
| Asdang Riamsree - Kridsada Promsak | 48.31 | 62.25 | 55.28 |  |
| Fred Whitaker - Jan Cormack | 60.49 | 48.81 | 54.65 |  |
| Bill Nash - James Wallis | 58.14 | 51.00 | 54.57 |  |
| Nicoleta Giura - Nick Hughes | 54.24 | 54.87 | 54.56 |  |
| Pim Birss - Alan Cransberg | 45.52 | 63.44 | 54.49 |  |
| Marjorie Askew - William Powell | 46.00 | 62.59 | 54.30 |  |
|  |  |  | Pa |  |

## OPEN PAIRS SCORES AFTER QUALIFYING - Leading Scores

| 25 | David Mcleish - Paula Mcleish | 54.77 | 52.61 | 53.70 | 25 | Kate Terry - Marion Kelly | 56.28 | 52.08 | 54.18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | Noriko Domichi - Subhash Gupta | 54.01 | 52.68 | 53.35 | 26 | Joann Sprung - Danny Sprung | 48.65 | 59.64 | 54.15 |
| 27 | Wendy Ashton - Paul Gosney | 62.35 | 44.02 | 53.19 | 27 | Robert Simpson - Jo Simpson | 57.47 | 49.31 | 53.39 |
| 28 | Aidan Dorrell - Jacob Tarszisz | 57.31 | 48.84 | 53.08 | 28 | Nye Griffiths - Nick Jacob | 58.47 | 47.83 | 53.15 |

## SENIORS PAIRS SCORES AFTER QUALIFYING - Leading Scores

|  | N/S 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  | Avge |  |  | E/W 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Avge } \\ & \hline 59.60 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Eva Shand - Les Varadi | 61.40 | 57.79 | 59.60 | 1 | Peter Jeffery - Les Grewcock | 61.40 | 57.79 |  |
| 2 | Deirdre Franklin - Kevin Hume | 63.11 | 47.41 | 55.26 | 2 | Tony Marinos - Peter Grant | 63.11 | 47.41 | 55.26 |
| 3 | Jeanette Reitzer - Glen Campbell | 55.98 | 54.13 | 55.06 | 3 | Monica Ginsberg - Sybil Hurwitz | 55.98 | 54.13 | 55.06 |
| 4 | Margaret Bourke - Sue Lusk | 44.63 | 64.93 | 54.78 | 4 | Robert Krochmalik - Paul Lavings | 44.63 | 64.93 | 54.78 |
| 5 | Peter Kahler - Jeannette Collins | 55.29 | 54.20 | 54.75 | 5 | Janet Kahler - Peter Quach | 55.29 | 54.20 | 54.75 |
| 6 | Joe Barda - Devorah Lees | 50.43 | 57.88 | 54.15 | 6 | Janine Abadie - Gabriel Abadie | 50.43 | 57.88 | 54.16 |
| 7 | Richard Brightling - David Hoffman | 57.62 | 49.47 | 53.55 | 7 | Stephen Mendick - Bernard Waters | 57.62 | 49.47 | 53.55 |
| 8 | Esther Sophonpanich - Somchai Bai | 57.61 | 49.16 | 53.39 | 8 | Nigel Marlow - Terry O'Dempsey | 57.61 | 49.16 | 53.39 |
| 9 | Richard Wallis - Lyn Carter | 48.43 | 58.16 | 53.30 | 9 | Eva Berger - Tony Berger | 48.43 | 58.16 | 53.30 |
| 10 | Marilyn Chadwick - Toni Sharp | 56.89 | 49.23 | 53.06 | 10 | Tim Davis - Emlyn Williams | 56.89 | 49.23 | 53.06 |
| 11 | Judith Roose-Driver - Johan Roose | 51.50 | 53.56 | 52.53 | 11 | Pat Oyston - Martin Oyston | 51.50 | 53.56 | 52.53 |
| 12 | Glenis Palmer - Christine Wilson | 52.85 | 50.72 | 51.79 | 12 | Anthony Tuxworth - Janice Pearson | 52.85 | 50.72 | 51.79 |
| 13 | Liz Quittner - Joe Quittner | 52.78 | 50.75 | 51.77 | 13 | Barry Palmer - Neil Stuckey | 52.78 | 50.75 | 51.77 |
| 14 | Steven Bock - Axel Johannsson | 50.36 | 52.69 | 51.53 | 14 | Larry Moses - Richard Touton | 50.36 | 52.69 | 51.53 |
| 15 | Peter Chan - Bob Sebesfi | 55.41 | 43.72 | 49.57 | 15 | Paul Mcgrath - Wayne Smith | 55.41 | 43.72 | 49.57 |
| 16 | Elizabeth Havas - Ron Cooper | 47.84 | 50.94 | 49.39 | 16 | Anne Clarke - Sandy Greenwood | 47.84 | 50.94 | 49.39 |
| 17 | Bill Lockwood - David Lusk | 53.63 | 44.84 | 49.24 | 17 | Kees De Vocht - Pam Tibble | 53.63 | 44.84 | 49.24 |
| 18 | Robyn Freeman-Greene - Dale Lace | 44.16 | 53.12 | 48.64 | 18 | Meg Sharp - Kathy Palmer | 44.16 | 53.12 | 48.64 |
| 19 | Jenny Carr - Kelvin Tibble | 41.03 | 55.53 | 48.28 | 19 | Rex Perera - Vadivelu Vasandakumar | 41.03 | 55.53 | 48.28 |
| 20 | George Gaspar - Judith Joss | 49.93 | 44.09 | 47.01 | 20 | Martin Bloom - Nigel Rosendorff | 49.93 | 44.09 | 47.01 |
| 21 | Michael Phillips - Beverley Stacey | 46.58 | 46.79 | 46.69 | 21 | Ken Cupples - Malcolm Allan | 46.58 | 46.79 | 46.69 |
| 22 | Ken Carmichael - Graham Froggett | 44.94 | 48.02 | 46.49 | 22 | Lesleigh Rooney - Helen Clayton | 44.94 | 48.02 | 46.48 |
| 23 | Susie Stevens - Jim Stevens | 42.63 | 50.06 | 46.35 | 23 | Jonathan Goodman - Les Copeland | 42.63 | 50.06 | 46.35 |
| 24 | Tony Marker - Jan Davis | 49.00 | 42.04 | 45.52 | 24 | Margaret Gibbs - Pat Beattie | 49.00 | 42.04 | 45.52 |
| 25 | Carmen Jackson - Madge Myburgh | 44.23 | 41.47 | 42.85 | 25 | Gordon Fallon - Alison Fallon | 44.23 | 41.47 | 42.85 |
| 26 | Wendy Harman - Joan Valentine | 40.22 | 45.43 | 42.83 | 26 | Ian Bailey - David Dale | 40.22 | 45.43 | 42.83 |
| 27 | Mary Day - Robin Macaulay | 41.38 | 42.99 | 42.19 | 27 | Janet Johnson - Ingrid Wilkins | 41.38 | 42.99 | 42.19 |
| 28 | Jennifer Lee - Patricia Frost | 37.58 | 41.74 | 39.66 |  |  |  |  |  |

## INTERMEDIATE PAIRS SCORES AFTER QUALIFYING - Leading Scores

|  | N/S 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |  | Avge |  |  | E/W 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |  | A |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Donna Upchurch - Nebojsa Djorovic | 59.40 | 65.40 | 62.41 | 1 | Mimi Packer - Virginia Seward | 60.58 | 57.87 | 59.23 |  |
| 2 | Julia Zhu - Tony Jiang | 57.41 | 64.81 | 61.11 | 2 | Julia Barnett - Peter Heazlewood | 59.96 | 58.23 | 59.10 |  |
| 3 | Rob Hurst - Rowan Corbett | 66.83 | 55.28 | 61.06 | 3 | Elizabeth Kemp - Raymond Kemp | 57.92 | 59.78 | 58.85 |  |
| 4 | lan Bailey - Graham Markey | 65.28 | 53.46 | 59.37 | 4 | David Johnson - Mandy Johnson | 54.21 | 59.92 | 57.06 |  |
| 5 | Max Gilbert - Kathy Gilbert | 59.92 | 56.01 | 57.97 | 5 | Jane Stearns - Di Emms | 57.51 | 55.88 | 56.70 |  |
| 6 | Jan Randall - Peter Randall | 52.27 | 61.42 | 56.85 | 6 | Larry Attwood - Kathryn Attwood | 52.67 | 59.29 | 55.98 |  |
| 7 | Darrell Williams - Jackie Williams | 51.88 | 61.36 | 56.62 | 7 | Chris Larter - John Lahey | 59.59 | 52.10 | 55.85 |  |
| 8 | Helen Kite - Helen Rollond | 53.02 | 57.90 | 55.46 | 8 | Adrian Lohmann - Don Cameron | 56.78 | 52.86 | 54.83 |  |
| 9 | Kiyoshi Himeno - Sumiko Himeno | 49.54 | 60.61 | 55.08 | 9 | Penny Styles - Suzanne Atwell | 57.15 | 52.37 | 54.76 |  |
| 10 | Evelyne Mander - Joan Jenkins | 54.58 | 54.15 | 54.37 | 10 | Julia Watson - Peter Watson | 57.27 | 52.09 | 54.69 |  |
| 11 | Ros Warnock - Helen Arendts | 50.27 | 57.56 | 53.92 | 11 | Marianna Xerri - Maoliosa Hawkes | 53.95 | 54.12 | 54.04 |  |
| 12 | Jenifer Codognotto - Annette Rose | 57.58 | 50.03 | 53.81 | 12 | Frank Campbell - Heather Grant | 49.19 | 58.19 | 53.69 |  |
| 13 | Annette Hyland - Nigel Cleminson | 47.27 | 60.20 | 53.74 | 13 | Barbara Kent - Ross Murtagh | 51.52 | 55.38 | 53.45 |  |

## INTERMEDIATE PAIRS SCORES AFTER QUALIFYING - Leading Scores

| 15 | Gregory Gosney - Margaret Plunkett | 57.02 | 49.95 | 53.49 | 15 | Dale Wells - Ruth Young | 46.37 | 59.66 | 53.02 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | Diana Ellis - Chris Hannan | 50.30 | 56.28 | 53.30 | 16 | David Snow - Martin Johnson | 48.26 | 57.19 | 52.73 |
| 17 | Mary Tough - Chris Tough | 52.81 | 53.11 | 52.96 | 17 | Wayne Carroll - Fran Carroll | 51.25 | 54.14 | 52.70 |
| 18 | Trish Arnold - Gwendolyn Gray Jami | 54.44 | 51.22 | 52.83 | 18 | Yolande Coroneo - Jacqui Fardoulys | 54.53 | 50.81 | 52.67 |
| 19 | Sharon Stretton - Pauline Mulligan | 55.51 | 49.90 | 52.71 | 19 | Jack Rohde - Lex Ranke | 54.48 | 50.45 | 52.47 |
| 20 | Jill Hutson - Gill Phillippo | 48.90 | 56.46 | 52.68 | 20 | Anthony Cohen - David Cohen | 48.29 | 56.19 | 52.24 |
| 21 | Rhondda Sweetman - Jill Church | 53.04 | 51.69 | 52.37 | 21 | Kelly Barber - Cassandra Mitchell | 52.55 | 51.67 | 52.11 |
| 22 | Lea Woolf - Sue Small | 48.56 | 55.87 | 52.22 | 22 | Mark Doust - Susanne Gammon | 45.66 | 58.37 | 52.02 |
| 23 | Derek Snelling - Sally-Ann Murphy | 51.79 | 51.97 | 51.88 | 23 | Michael Ward - Chris Nettle | 58.20 | 45.82 | 52.01 |
| 24 | George Campbell - Sandor Varga | 56.72 | 46.31 | 51.52 | 24 | Trevor Haley - Peter George | 46.66 | 57.24 | 51.95 |
| 25 | Rick Gryg - Michael Byrne | 50.44 | 51.94 | 51.19 | 25 | Tim Fenwicke - Jane Fenwicke | 48.79 | 54.83 | 51.81 |
| 26 | Stephen Hughes - Andrew Dunlop | 41.58 | 60.68 | 51.13 | 26 | Bob Hunt - Kevin Dean | 51.24 | 52.16 | 51.70 |
| 27 | Kay Leeton - Jenny Hoff | 46.19 | 55.25 | 50.72 | 27 | Hope Tomlinson - Barry Foster | 49.14 | 53.95 | 51.55 |
| 28 | Angeline Christie - Jenny Williams | 56.63 | 44.65 | 50.64 | 28 | Lou Tillotson - Sue Robinson | 56.86 | 45.83 | 51.35 |

## RESTRICTED PAIRS SCORES AFTER QUALIFYING - Leading Scores

|  | N/S 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |  |  |  | E/W 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |  | Avge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Freddie Zulfiqar - Roy Bentley | 68.02 | 66.46 | 67.24 | 1 | Gary Snow - Judy Maccioni | 54.99 | 66.51 | 60.75 |
| 2 | Ann Deaker - Marilyn Copland | 65.47 | 60.89 | 63.18 | 2 | Sonja Ramsund - Lynn Viegel | 60.6 | 60.08 | 60.34 |
| 3 | Georges Gassmann - Patrick Starck | 58.16 | 65.69 | 61.93 | 3 | Winny Chan - Aijun Yang | 52.88 | 61.51 | 57.20 |
| 4 | Hans Van Weeren - Peter Clarke | 63.04 | 53.45 | 58.24 | 4 | Mick Fawcett - Lyn Tracey | 51.03 | 61.96 | 56.50 |
| 5 | Bill Rossiter-Nuttall - Sarah Livingsto | 57.39 | 58.94 | 58.17 | 5 | Alex Penklis - Phillip Halloran | 49.85 | 63.11 | 56.48 |
| 6 | Nick Walsh - Derek Poulton | 52.44 | 63.42 | 57.93 | 6 | Sharyn Dilosa - David Alexander | 56.46 | 56.2 | 56.33 |
| 7 | Frances Martin - Barbara Gordon | 56.46 | 57.69 | 57.08 | 7 | Lisa Yoffa - James Thomas | 52.37 | 59.99 | 56.18 |
| 8 | Max Paterson - Colin Dempster | 51.86 | 61.14 | 56.50 | 8 | Jane Caddy - Sue Ormsby | 55.3 | 56.97 | 56.14 |
| 9 | Joanne Bakas - Tassi Georgiadis | 55.62 | 57.02 | 56.32 | 9 | Molly Butcher - Faye Ding | 56.49 | 54.98 | 55.74 |
| 10 | George Gibson - Lynne Layton | 58.89 | 53.03 | 55.96 | 10 | Barry Whale - Jody Whale | 58.16 | 52.31 | 55.24 |
| 11 | Bob Hart - Carolyn Hart | 58.03 | 53.74 | 55.88 | 11 | John Russell - Judith Parsons | 49.44 | 60.3 | 54.87 |
| 12 | Ken Cahill - Vicky Cahill | 55.91 | 55.70 | 55.81 | 12 | Patrick Wallas - Brian Borrell | 56.99 | 52.55 | 54.77 |
| 13 | Virginia Warren - Marsha Woodbury | 53.56 | 56.57 | 55.07 | 13 | Stephen Miller - Jonathan Silberberg | 54.95 | 53.13 | 54.04 |
| 14 | Pat Eather - Jo Neary | 58.51 | 51.60 | 55.06 | 14 | Sue Casey - Meg Waterworth | 59.87 | 48.2 | 54.04 |
| 15 | Nicky Bowers - Joy Watkinson | 51.87 | 57.51 | 54.69 | 15 | Margaret Robertson - Don Robertson | 50.23 | 57.74 | 53.99 |
| 16 | Debbie Chappell - Julie Short | 54.56 | 53.86 | 54.21 | 16 | Brodie Loxton - Nanette Loxton | 53.57 | 53.41 | 53.49 |
| 17 | Barry Koster - Ken Clem | 54.06 | 54.33 | 54.20 | 17 | Daria Williams - Eduardo Besprosvan | 57.37 | 48.92 | 53.15 |
| 18 | David Earnshaw - Daniel Chua | 60.28 | 46.55 | 53.42 | 18 | Kathy Hamilton - John Hamilton | 55.24 | 50.58 | 52.91 |
| 19 | Karen Elmes - Anne Barry | 53.38 | 53.07 | 53.23 | 19 | Suzanne Cole - Delores Graves | 46.66 | 58.88 | 52.77 |
| 20 | Odette Hall - Dianne Hillman | 49.20 | 56.71 | 52.95 | 20 | Jeff Conroy - Jill Blenkey | 50.7 | 54.53 | 52.62 |
| 21 | Helen Andrews - Leah Andrews | 56.55 | 48.45 | 52.50 | 21 | Andrew Slutzkin - Fraser Thorpe | 54.45 | 50.25 | 52.35 |
| 22 | Valerie Robbins - Peter Robbins | 53.84 | 51.07 | 52.46 | 22 | Jane Gray - Teena Mckenzie | 50 | 52.89 | 51.45 |
| 23 | John Stuart - Frances Stuart | 51.73 | 52.89 | 52.31 | 23 | Sam Zillber - Agi Herman | 48.11 | 54.75 | 51.43 |
| 24 | David Freilich - Anne Sacco | 47.87 | 55.82 | 51.85 | 24 | Charles Bowen-Thomas - Lesley Bowen-Tho | 48.75 | 54.06 | 51.41 |
| 25 | Keith Mabin - Barbara Geddes | 52.78 | 50.65 | 51.72 | 25 | Allison Simon - Marg Fanning | 50.02 | 52.67 | 51.35 |
| 26 | Susan Kennard - Max Kershaw | 59.73 | 43.50 | 51.62 | 26 | Janice Coventry - Margaret Glover | 57.73 | 44.81 | 51.27 |
| 27 | Anna Kalma - Rochelle Van Heuven | 50.18 | 53.00 | 51.59 | 27 | Janet Price - Richard Spelman | 48.64 | 53.27 | 50.96 |
| 28 | Suzette Mcilroy - Junette Mcintyre | 50.35 | 52.36 | 51.36 | 28 | Helen Little - Lydia Hockings | 49.09 | 52.12 | 50.61 |

## NOVICE PAIRS SCORES AFTER QUALIFYING - Leading Scores

|  | N/S 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |  |  | E/W 1-14 Final 15-28 Plate |  |  | Avge |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Lou Innes - Shelley Shergold | 59.78 | 71.38 | 65.58 | 1 | Robbie Feyder - Barry Feyder | 58.70 | 59.98 | 59.34 |
| 2 | Elizabeth Voveris - Johanna Thomas | 63.45 | 65.58 | 64.52 | 2 | Andrew Sharp - Susan Sharp | 63.89 | 52.86 | 58.38 |
| 3 | Anita Delorenzo - Liz Shonk | 57.61 | 64.77 | 61.19 | 3 | Martin Brown - Gail Mckenzie | 55.80 | 57.85 | 56.83 |


| 4 | Ray Hurst - Heidi Colenbrander | 52.26 | 68.12 | 60.19 | 4 | Chris Farr - Shelley Farr | 54.63 | 57.85 | 56.24 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## NOVICE PAIRS SCORES AFTER QUALIFYING - Leading Scores

| 5 | John Wilson - Geoff Willson | 58.29 | 58.25 | 58.27 | 5 | Bev Northey - Dianne Thatcher | 54.39 | 58.01 | 56.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | David Kerr - Kathryn Kerr | 52.50 | 62.24 | 57.37 | 6 | Louise Brassil - Michael Brassil | 59.94 | 51.69 | 55.82 |
| 7 | Margie Toogood - John Toogood | 49.48 | 63.73 | 56.60 | 7 | Mardi Hogarth - Lynn Bain | 53.18 | 57.61 | 55.40 |
| 8 | Camilla Gilder - Rose Price | 61.87 | 49.00 | 55.44 | 8 | Sybil O'Keeffe - Pam Sexton | 56.92 | 53.26 | 55.09 |
| 9 | Gary Gibbards - Debbie Gibbards | 59.90 | 48.99 | 54.45 | 9 | James Parker - Warwick Wilkins | 60.91 | 48.95 | 54.93 |
| 10 | Wendy Casey - Barbara Moni | 57.85 | 50.09 | 53.97 | 10 | Sonia Roulston - Anna Swanson | 47.26 | 61.88 | 54.57 |
| 11 | Steve Colling - Mary Colling | 56.76 | 48.46 | 52.61 | 11 | Pamela Hare - Lance Workman | 49.40 | 58.41 | 53.91 |
| 12 | Brian Stringer - Robert Berry | 58.74 | 46.26 | 52.50 | 12 | Bruce Gough - lan Manly | 47.22 | 60.55 | 53.89 |
| 13 | Charles Mitchell - Michele Groves | 49.03 | 55.84 | 52.44 | 13 | Maureen Lovelock - Ann Thomson | 46.26 | 59.98 | 53.12 |
| 14 | Kerry Bartlett - Christine Bartlett | 54.83 | 50.00 | 52.42 | 14 | Jane Gryg - Cobie Van Kruistum | 56.00 | 49.40 | 52.70 |
| 15 | Adam Roberts - Fiona Roberts | 49.03 | 55.11 | 52.08 | 15 | Jennifer Clarebrough - Debbie Kennedy | 57.29 | 46.74 | 52.02 |
| 16 | Lesley Heap - Sue Rohrig | 47.26 | 56.40 | 51.83 | 16 | Diana Mcauliffe - Paul Barnett | 52.05 | 51.61 | 51.83 |
| 17 | Jenny Burchmore - Barbara Richard | 54.89 | 47.46 | 51.18 | 17 | Robyn Stanhope - Pauline Lane | 49.52 | 54.07 | 51.80 |
| 18 | Ian Maclean - Jan Maclean | 52.54 | 49.56 | 51.05 | 18 | Graeme Allan - Mary Allan | 45.65 | 57.57 | 51.61 |
| 19 | Lena Darlington - Dudley Darlington | 54.39 | 47.67 | 51.03 | 19 | Julie Quilty - Kevin Birch | 49.84 | 53.22 | 51.53 |
| 20 | Grahame Devrell - Glenn Davis | 54.87 | 46.90 | 50.89 | 20 | Fred Cole - Robert Mischlewski | 51.81 | 51.05 | 51.43 |
| 21 | Rena Indermaur - Annie Sinclair | 44.52 | 56.44 | 50.48 | 21 | Lesley Martin - Eva Borowski | 52.90 | 49.07 | 50.99 |
| 22 | Annegrete Kolding - Marieta Borthwi | 50.32 | 49.92 | 50.12 | 22 | Michael Main - Peter Goldman | 48.59 | 53.02 | 50.81 |
| 23 | Sue Reilly - Jo Gillis | 47.06 | 52.74 | 49.90 | 23 | Alan Anderson - Greg Kerswell | 46.14 | 54.99 | 50.57 |
| 24 | Nola Anderson - Diane Smith | 48.95 | 50.68 | 49.82 | 24 | Penny Loughran - Ruth Stevenson | 46.18 | 54.03 | 50.11 |
| 25 | Dimity Burke - Geoff Rydon | 50.52 | 48.07 | 49.30 | 25 | Mingshu Yang - Dominique Treloar | 53.14 | 46.94 | 50.04 |
| 26 | Gary Petterson - George Blacklock | 58.98 | 39.21 | 49.10 | 26 | John Youngman - Cheryl Finlayson | 55.23 | 43.40 | 49.32 |
| 27 | Robyn Harrison - Eric Harrison | 54.63 | 43.52 | 49.08 | 27 | Karen Thompson - Jennifer Matheson | 47.30 | 51.13 | 49.22 |
| 28 | Anthony Phillips - Deidre Nixon | 48.19 | 49.84 | 49.02 | 28 | Loretta Lovett - Kath Seefeld | 51.01 | 46.26 | 48.64 |

## OPEN WEEKEND SWISS PAIRS AFTER 3 OF 9 ROUNDS

| Rank | Names | Total | Rank | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | John Skipper - Jane Skipper | 53.09 | 89 | Bernard Palmer - Paul De Weerd | 29.94 |
| 2 | Robyn Clayton - Alan Smith | 51.04 | 90 | Yvonne Kilvert - Neven Burica | 29.72 |
| 3 | George Finikiotis - Margaret Klassen | 50.86 | 91 | Noel Athea - Annemarie Athea | 29.67 |
| 4 | Hilton Francis - John Bailey | 49.89 | 92 | Greta Chai - Nancy Chan | 29.48 |
| 5 | Justin Mill - Liam Milne | 49.61 | 93 | Liz Robertson - Patrick Bugler | 29.39 |
| 6 | Dianne Marler - Pam Morgan-King | 49.06 | 94 | Meta Goodman - Wynne Webber | 29.26 |
| 7 | Lyn Martin - Jim Martin | 48.73 | 95 | Lex Bourke - Herschel Baker | 29.23 |
| 8 | Fiske Warren - Kaiping Chen | 47.65 | 95 | Michael Neels - Ella Gray | 29.23 |
| 9 | Avinash Kanetkar - Bruce Neill | 47.53 | 97 | Trish Thatcher - Amber Noonan | 29.22 |
| OPEN WEEKEND SWISS PAIRS AFTER 3 OF 9 ROUNDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Normand Maclaurin - Paul Collins | 47.21 | 98 | John Ruddell - Alison Ruddell | 29.13 |
| 11 | Moss Wylie - Anne Somerville | 46.86 | 99 | David Tucker - Sarah Strickland | 29.08 |
| 12 | Sumit Mukherjee - Kaustabh Nandi | 46.60 | 100 | Abigail Wanigaratne - Nikolas Moore | 28.95 |
| 13 | Jeff Carberry - Kaye Hart | 46.38 | 101 | Trish Crosse - Bev Hewitt | 28.69 |
| 14 | Julia Hoffman - Noelene Law | 45.90 | 102 | Dennis Zines - Tom Moss | 28.64 |
| 15 | Ian Lisle - Vicky Lisle | 44.17 | 103 | Michael Pemberton - Graham Wakefield | 28.37 |
| 16 | Peter Boulton - Angela Boulton | 43.68 | 104 | Keith Blinco - Terrence Sheedy | 28.31 |
| 17 | Craig Gower - Peter Ward | 43.26 | 105 | Theo Mangos - Leigh Foran | 28.30 |
| 18 | Rosemary Matskows - Jane Morris | 43.25 | 106 | Jenni Buckley - Jim Wood | 28.22 |
| 19 | Patricia Lacey - Carmel Bourke | 42.24 | 107 | Maura Rhodes - Rick Rhodes | 28.09 |
| 20 | Val Roland - Michael Stoneman | 41.92 | 108 | Tom Strong - Edda Strong | 27.99 |
| 21 | Di Coats - Lorraine Lindsay | 41.46 | 109 | Tim Healy - Helen Healy | 27.83 |
| 22 | Pauline Erby - Michele Tredinnick | 41.32 | 109 | Sandy Duncan - Finlay Marshall | 27.83 |

## OPEN WEEKEND SWISS PAIRS AFTER 3 OF 9 ROUNDS

| 24 | Pam Crichton - Ross Crichton |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | John Carruthers - Joey Silver |  |
| 26 | Sue Lee - Alison Hanson |  |
| 27 | Peter Buchen - lan Thomson |  |
| 27 | Helena Dawson - Yumin Li |  |
| 29 | Liz Fanos - Julianne Rocks |  |
| 30 | Leigh Owens - Jan Smith |  |
| 30 | Judith Gartaganis - Nick Gartaganis |  |
| 32 | Lorna Ichilcik - Giselle Mundell |  |
| 33 | Sharon Mayo - Greg Mayo |  |
| 34 | Phil Power - Kathy Power |  |
| 35 | Bruce Batchelor - Greg Buzzard |  |
| 36 | Marvin Lai - Menghong Liw |  |
| 37 | Michael Yuen - Nick Stock |  |
| 38 | George Sun - Alice Young |  |
| 39 | Jeremy Fraser-Hoskin - Tracey Lewis |  |
| 40 | Ivy Luck - John Luck |  |
| 40 | Lynn Kalmin - Lester Kalmin |  |
| 42 | Ruth Mackinlay - Jeanne Anderson |  |
| 43 | Peta Balderson - Jenny Gautschi |  |
| 44 | Charles Howard - Kerry Wood |  |
| 45 | Bas Bolt - John Kelly |  |
| 46 | Linda Cartner - Jonathan Westoby |  |
| 47 | Kirstyn Fuller - Zachary Neulinger |  |
| 48 | Phil Pollett - Annette Corkhill |  |
| 49 | Patricia Pepper - Carolyn Seymour |  |
| 50 | Peter Nilsson - Laurie Skeate |  |
| 51 | Mick McAuliffe - Wing Roberts |  |
| 52 | Brian Leach - Carolyn Leach |  |
| 53 | Elizabeth Thompson - Cherry McMillen |  |
| 54 | Ashok Chotai - Veena Chotai |  |
| 55 | Sylvia Foster - Jaan Oitmaa |  |
| 56 | Pat Leighton - Ray Muld |  |
| 57 | Adrienne Kelly - Geoff Olsen |  |
| 58 | Peter Livesey - Roger Thomas |  |
| 59 | Kathy Johnson - Lois Steinwedel |  |
| 60 | John Lanham - Donna Smith |  |
| 61 | lan Barfoot - Val Holbrook |  |
|  |  |  |


| 40.84 | 112 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 40.60 | 113 |
| 40.55 | 114 |
| 40.37 | 115 |
| 40.37 | 116 |
| 40.27 | 117 |
| 38.90 | 118 |
| 38.90 | 119 |
| 38.77 | 120 |
| 38.48 | 121 |
| 38.47 | 122 |
| 38.46 | 123 |
| 38.12 | 124 |
| 37.77 | 125 |
| 37.45 | 126 |
| 37.44 | 127 |
| 37.38 | 128 |
| 37.38 | 129 |
| 37.33 | 130 |
| 36.78 | 131 |
| 36.73 | 132 |
| 36.54 | 133 |
| 36.38 | 134 |
| 36.26 | 135 |
| 36.12 | 135 |
| 36.02 | 137 |
| 35.72 | 138 |
| 35.16 | 139 |
| 35.00 | 140 |
| 34.60 | 141 |
| 34.59 | 142 |
| 34.53 | 143 |
| 34.44 | 144 |
| 34.41 | 145 |
| 34.37 | 146 |
| 34.21 | 147 |
| 34.17 | 148 |
| 34.00 | 149 |
|  |  |


| Sue Spurway - Fiona Law | 27.55 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Barbara Grant - Louis Koolen | 27.45 |
| Hemant Jalan - Ashish Malhotra | 27.28 |
| Bill Redhead - Gaylene Brown | 27.06 |
| David O'Gorman - Julie Jeffries | 26.69 |
| Barry Kempthorne - Agnes Kempthorne | 26.63 |
| Dick Shek - Susan Shek | 26.42 |
| Ferenc Budai - Elli Urbach | 26.39 |
| Patricia Scott - Sharon Jackson | 26.22 |
| Jeanette Roughley - Susan Schierning | 26.07 |
| Helen McLauchlan - Richard McLauchlan | 26.00 |
| Joan McCarthy - Kay Austad | 25.91 |
| Gary Barwick - Helen Eaton | 25.42 |
| Paul Lamford - Stefanie Rohan | 25.18 |
| Jenny Michael - Nikki Riszko | 24.98 |
| Michael Kefford - Margaret Kefford | 24.33 |
| Jane Rasmussen - Larry Norden | 24.22 |
| Sharmini Hoole - Moira Smith | 23.91 |
| Jan Tunks - Lou McKenna | 23.67 |
| Maha Hoenig - Greer Tucker | 23.66 |
| Wendy Webster - Emily Coats | 23.58 |
| David Law - Jane Davies | 23.45 |
| Dorothy Stewart - Barbara Strachan | 23.09 |
| Margaret Kyburz - Roy Nixon | 22.77 |
| Carol De Luca - Bev Henton | 22.77 |
| Bruce Inglis - Peter Hensman | 22.68 |
| Len Meyer - Phyllis Moritz | 22.66 |
| Colin Shugg - Chris Scott | 22.46 |
| Sandra Berns - Dov Berns | 22.06 |
| Steve Baggs - Andy Elliott | 22.04 |
| Jennifer Rothwell - Phaik Yao | 21.99 |
| Sue O'Brien - Bronwyn Macleod | 21.85 |
| Sue Cooper - Kathleen Davies | 21.59 |
| Margaret Draper - Michael Fernon | 21.52 |
| Tony Leibowitz - Espen Erichsen | 21.45 |
| Peter Holloway - Peter Gill | 20.58 |
| Chris Bayliss - Catherine Chown | 10 |
| Marilyn Whigham - Judy Wulff |  |

## OPEN WEEKEND SWISS PAIRS AFTER 3 OF 9 ROUNDS

| 62 | Ross Steinwedel - Erin Waterhouse | 33.91 | 150 | Julie Boyce - Christine Thomas | 19.08 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 63 | Michael Aikin - Coral Aikin | 33.69 | 151 | Barry Coe - Richard Misior | 18.97 |
| 64 | John Large - Tadhg O'Mahoney | 33.47 | 152 | Vesna Markovic - Voyko Markovic | 18.25 |
| 65 | Noel Woodhall - Andrew Janisz | 33.33 | 153 | Jacqui Morton - Jenny Date | 18.13 |
| 66 | Bruce Fraser - Graham Rusher | 33.29 | 154 | Kath Poole - Monica Darley | 18.00 |
| 67 | Hugh Grosvenor - Ann Paton | 32.93 | 155 | Alan Geare - Deborah Cooper | 17.80 |
| 68 | Di Smart - Di Rosslee | 32.77 | 156 | John Tredrea - Robyn Nolan | 17.28 |
| 69 | Andrew Richman - Sandra Richman | 32.70 | 157 | Roger Weathered - Birgitt Bingham | 16.62 |
| 70 | Errol Miller - Dorothy Gehrke | 32.63 | 158 | Lorraine Inglis - Judy Plimmer | 16.48 |
| 71 | Rosemary Mooney - Roberta Tait | 32.55 | 159 | Paul Smith - Winston Guymer | 16.38 |
| 72 | Jeanette Abrams - Derek Maltz | 32.31 | 160 | Priscilla Bloy - Naomi Hannah-Brown | 16.02 |

## OPEN WEEKEND SWISS PAIRS AFTER 3 OF 9 ROUNDS

| 73 | Brian Thorp - Andrew Struik | 32.06 | 161 | Fay Stanton - Anne Young | 15.97 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 74 | Romesh Bandaranaike - Anthea De Alwis | 31.97 | 162 | Ken Moffitt - Sue Moffitt | 15.51 |
| 75 | Lynne Gray - Ralph Parker | 31.81 | 163 | Brian Ashwell - Wayne Gyde | 15.24 |
| 76 | Jan Clyne - Denise Keenan | 31.76 | 164 | Alan Brown - Frances Brown | 15.22 |
| 77 | Margaret Foster - Linda Aubusson | 31.74 | 165 | Gail Panton - Tessa Townend | 14.54 |
| 78 | Ann Baker - Colin Baker | 31.29 | 166 | Patrick Hansen - Valerie Taylor | 14.43 |
| 79 | John Zollo - Roger Januszke | 31.26 | 167 | Chris Birch - Marion Bucens | 12.81 |
| 80 | Max Robb - Ann Woodhead | 31.03 | 168 | Derek Richards - David Yarwood | 12.51 |
| 81 | John Rolph - Sue Rolph | 31.00 | 169 | Gayle Bagg - Louis Cukierman | 11.98 |
| 82 | Sue Spencer - Bev Guilford | 30.75 | 170 | Harold Orsborn - Margaret Orsborn | 11.02 |
| 83 | Jay Faranda - Pauline Evans | 30.39 | 171 | Evol Cresswell - Margaret Darke | 10.46 |
| 84 | Eric Hurley - Janet Brown | 30.18 | 172 | Linden Raymond - Anne Lamport | 9.77 |
| 85 | Christine Houghton - Wayne Houghton | 30.09 | 173 | Sue Brown - Robert Brown | 8.46 |
| 86 | Anita Thirtle - Lynn Hall | 30.07 | 174 | Kerry Milliner - Judy Herring | 6.15 |
| 87 | Ken Dawson - Andrew Slater | 30.04 | 175 | Lalita Singh - Margaret Reid | 5.17 |
| 88 | Geoffrey Toon - Stephen Cox | 29.97 | 176 | Magda Kiraly - Pauly Griffin | 4.41 |

## UNDER 500MP WEEKEND SWISS PAIRS AFTER 3 OF 9 ROUNDS

| 1 | Kevin Balkin - Pauline Balkin | 55.88 | 31 | Faye Bell - Heather Scott | 30.77 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Robert Stick - Colin Payne | 51.35 | 32 | Karen Smith - Sue Cohen | 28.99 |
| 3 | Parveen Rayani - Jamal Rayani | 50.98 | 33 | Miriam Weisz - Harry Schwarz | 28.81 |
| 4 | Eric Baker - Brian Glover | 48.52 | 34 | Eric Leivesley - Janelle Conroy | 28.78 |
| 5 | Gwyneira Brahma - Vicki Taylor | 43.84 | 35 | John Ham - Janet Ham | 28.41 |
| 6 | Loraine King - Helen Standfast | 43.25 | 36 | Robyn Clark - Sheila Wills | 27.61 |
| 7 | John Glennie - Raj Limaye | 41.28 | 37 | Genichiro Taniguchi - Yoko Taniguchi | 26.65 |
| 8 | Jane Kavanagh - Joan Campbell | 40.02 | 38 | Audrey Stokes - Arianna Yusof | 26.32 |
| 9 | John Stuart - Deanna Stuart | 39.57 | 39 | Judy Hapeta - Barbara Love | 26.22 |
| 10 | Deborah Mclay - lan Michelson | 39.56 | 40 | Judy Zollo - Rodney Macey | 24.82 |
| 11 | Jim Stewart - David Owen | 39.31 | 41 | Stephanie Mathews - Shan Lawson | 24.62 |
| 12 | Pamela Lidl - Rudi Lidl | 38.24 | 42 | lan Williams - Rob Ward | 24.16 |
| 13 | Bianca Gold - Tere Wotherspoon | 37.70 | 43 | Lalita Kanetkar - Sheryl Gardner | 24.10 |
| 14 | Renate Pettit - Kelly Chapman | 36.81 | 44 | Adam Hertelendy - Peter Coppin | 23.59 |
| 15 | Megan Sutherland - Heather Broatch | 36.66 | 45 | Speros Pappas - Andrea Pappas | 23.32 |
| 16 | Anna Chappell - Marilyn Kennedy | 36.42 | 46 | Chris Cullen - Sally Cullen | 21.96 |
| 17 | Bruce Johnman - Michael Clibbon | 36.30 | 47 | Peter Karol - Joanne Crockford | 21.44 |
| 18 | Max Holewa - Diane Holewa | 36.06 | 48 | Anne Ashmore - Joan Young | 20.87 |
| 19 | Eddie Mullin - Dianne Mullin | 35.61 | 49 | Joan Leckie - Margaret Williamson | 20.44 |
| 20 | Barbara Imlach - Annette Martin | 35.36 | 50 | Greta Davis - Helen Sharwood | 19.43 |
| 21 | Kay Baguley - Bruce Baguley | 34.26 | 51 | Teruyoshi Kohtake - Kazuko Kohtake | 18.97 |
| 22 | Linda Norman - Wendy Gibson | 34.13 | 52 | Cherry Trengove - Margaret Rex | 18.86 |
| 23 | Jim Taylor - Cora Taylor | 33.06 | 53 | Kathy Males - Warren Males | 18.59 |
| 24 | Anne Mcnaughton - Margot Moylan | 32.97 | 54 | Sue Kleeman - Misako James | 17.61 |
| 25 | Sandra Mulcahy - Jennifer Vickers | 32.87 | 55 | Godfrey Baillon-Bending - Kay Dixon | 17.58 |
| 26 | Bill Bishop - Gerald Schaaf | 32.34 | 56 | Carla Ferro - Cathy Mathieson | 15.28 |
| 27 | Kinga Hajmasi - Andrew Michl | 32.29 | 57 | Maureen Gibney - Susan Lipton | 13.87 |
| 28 | Rod Binsted - Judy Scholfield | 31.89 | 58 | Val Logan - Rob Anderson | 13.23 |
| 29 | Annie Pilcher - Deborah Guthrie | 31.62 | 59 | Neil Raward - Dot Piddington | 12.99 |
| 30 | Bill Humphrey - Paul Carson | 31.48 | 60 | Wendy Rissler - Jenny Coyle | 2.97 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |

## ZEPHYR 2018 RAFFLE

We ask players to support this exceptionally worthy charity either through a donation or the purchase of raffle tickets - 1 ticket $\$ 5$ or 10 tickets $\$ 10$.

The first prize will be one entry (two players) to the 2019 GCC Pairs Championship. Second prize is another Leonie Spence Scrumble. The next nine tickets drawn will be to "win a club game with an expert". At the discretion of the winning ticket holder, another prize as listed at the ticket sales desk may be substituted for the club game.

The raffle will be drawn during the 10.30am Teams session on Thursday 22 February and winners will be announced at 3.00 pm Teams session immediately before the commencement of play.

In relation to the game with an expert: There will be 9 winners drawn, with each winner, (in order of being drawn), being given the option of choosing from the remaining pool of experts to play one club session of bridge with the expert at a mutually agreed time and place. Entry fees for both player and expert to be paid by the winning ticket holder.

## ALL FUNDS RAISED THROUGH DONATIONS <br> OR RAFFLE TICKETS SALES <br> GO TO ZAPHYR EDUCATION INC HELPING CHILDREN AFFEGTED BY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE GET BACK TO SCHOOL



## Pianola Plus will help improve your bridge

Pianola analyses your bidding and card play and lets you replay hands with card-by-card guidance.

## It's available free for all players at the GCC!

## If you don't already have access to Pianola, please contact support@pianola.net

For more information about Pianola visit www.pianola.net or call 0872001352


ONE MINUTE WITH A SPONSOR
CHARLES PAGE
DIRECTOR AT POWERS FINANCIAL GROUP

Lives
I live in Brisbane and find time for bridge before most other things.

## Family

They spend the same amount of time as I do playing bridge, going to the theatre and using social media. Our two dogs just expect to be fed.

## Why Sponsor?

It is time to put some back into bridge that will benefit the game. Powers wants to talk to you about achieving your goals. Powers now sponsors both the Barrier Reef, the Gold Coast Congress and a number of local bridge clubs.

## Bridge Achievements

Among other achievements, I arived late three times at management Committee meetings and each time was appointed to convene a major bridge tournament in my absence.

## Bridge Playing Ability

You will have to ask my partners- words often fail them.

## Highlights

Being invited to play as a guest in a turopean Bridge lournament and being
chauffeured to the tournament by Zia.

## Fufure of Brïdge

To do things differently in the future and to learn from failings of the past. Bridge is a game for all not just the few. We have to be a partnership.


HELPING YOU TAKE CONTROL OF YOUR FUTURE.

Contact Charles Page at Powers today to take control of your retirement.
charles.page@powers.net.au www.powers.net.au

0739062827
0449758046




NOVICE AND ROOKIE ACTIVITIES

| Rookies <br> Help Available |
| :--- |
| Under 50MPs <br> Help Available |
| Novices <br> Help Available |


| In the Playing Area <br> Rookies Section |
| :---: |
| In the Playing Area <br> Under 50s Section |
| In the Playing Area <br> Novices Section |

10:00am

SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES



## THAT'S ENTERTAINMENT

YESTERDAY'S DIFFICULT SUDOKU SOLUTION

| 9 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| 5 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 6 |
| 7 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| 2 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 2 |
| 1 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
| 8 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 9 |

ADVENTURES IN NUMBERLAND
bY PROFESSOR ONESTONE
Solution to Problem 1

TODAY'S DIFFICULT SUDOKU

|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 8 | 9 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 8 |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | 9 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 7 | 6 | 9 |  |
|  |  | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |

ADVENTURES IN NUMBERLAND
by PROFESSOR ONESTONE
Problem 2
(i) You may be surprised to learn that you need only 5 The number of arrangements of a standard deck of HCPts to make a suit grand slam in the most cards is given by the product:
favourable circumstances. For example, you might hold $\uparrow$ AJxxxx, $\mathbf{~ x x x x x x x}$ - - and partner holds \$10xxxx, -, xxxx, \&xxxx. Your combined count is only five points (Ace and Jack of Spades) and on favourable breaks in Spades and Hearts you can take 13 tricks in Spades.
(ii) To make 7NT you need at least 11 HCPs. For example, You hold 4 AQJxxxxxxxxx, $Q$ - - your partner holds an assorted Yarborough (zero HCPs.) and your left hand opponent, who is on lead, holds ↔K, MKQJxxxxxxxxx - \&-. You make 7NT is cold on any lead.

That is not say that the next time you and your partner pick up a combined 11 count, you should go looking for a grand slam. But these examples do demonstrate that when the distribution is extreme, "pointsschmoints"!

## SERVICES

If you are in need of a doctor or medical assistance you can contact Kim Ellaway through the Administration Desk or alternatively contact the Broadbeach Medical Centre on 07-5531-6344, Suite GO1, 2681 Gold Coast Highway Broadbeach. Please note that they do not bulk bill. Their after-Hours is handled by National Home Doctors Service on 137425 who do bulk bill.

## WE NEED YOUR HELP

Writing about bridge hands is the bread and butter of your three editors. However we need your help to hear about YOUR great bids, YOUR great plays, any funny situations that arise at the table or any compliments or constructive advice that you may have about the Gold Coast Congress. To this end there is a Bulletin Submission Box on a table outside the playing area. Alternatively send an email or make a call to the address or phone number that appears on the header of the Bulletin. Thanks in anticipation.


## MONDAY NIGHT 19TH FEBRUARY

A BIG WELGOME TO OUR NEW HOSTS, DOMINIQUE AND TONY TRELOAR WHO BRING A FRESH NEW EXPERIENGE TO OUR TRIVIA EVENING

## THIS YEAR THE TRIVIA NIGHT WILL BE HELD AT

## BEACHSIDE PAVILIOW BROADBEACH

BEACH END THE OASIS SHOPPING CENTRE, VICTORIA AVE BROADBEACH FOOD COMMENCING 6:00PM FOR A START OF TRIVIA AT 6.30PM

Expected finishing time between 8:45pm and 9:15pm

## A BRIDGE MENU WILL BE AVAILABLE AND WE HOPE ALL PLAYERS WILL AVAIL THEMSELVES OF THESE SPECIALS

Players are invited to arrive at 6:00pm to order meals \& drinks ready for a 6:30pm start There will be a fee of $\$ 10.00$ per player with proceeds going to Friends of Youth Bridge Fund to be used to facilitate youth attendance at the Gold Coast Congress by providing apartments for the week where youth players can stay for free, or a minimal +/-\$10 per night

You can enter as teams of 6 to 8 people and may include friends who may not be bridge players. Numbers are limited so please register AND PAY at the Bridge Administration desk at the GCCC before $2: 30$ pm Monday or earlier if sold out. If you do not have a full table there is no problem, simply write down your names and we will "marry" players to make up teams.
Players will be asked to deposit their electronic devices in receptacles located at each table
The members of the winning team will receive prizes with other random prizes on offer

## \$20 TRIVIA MENU SELECTION WILL BE AVAILABLE

Pavilion will be offering burger, fish \& chips (their speciality), pasta and salad meals


