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## THE ARMY THAT MAKES IT ALL WORK

We all know that Therese Tully and Kim Ellaway are the backbone of the Gold Coast Congress, but the army of devoted followers who work and commit themselves to the success of this great tournament are presented here for your admiration.


Your Directors: Back Row: Dave Parham, Caroline Wiggans, Murray Wiggans, Peter Marley, Diarmuid Reddin, Jan Peach, Julie Jeffries, Peter Busch Front Row: David Anderson, John Mcllrath, Sebastian Yuen, Laurie Kelso, Simon Edler, Trevor Strickland, Chris Snook. Left Inset Ronnie Ng and Alan Gibson


Your Caddies: Back Row: Harry Mulhall, Josh White, Darren Brake, Janine Patterson, Lauren Hogg, Kelvin Chan, Craig Schubert, Jack Eyb, Philip Goulding, Jarryd Gifford, Lewis Cullen Front Row: Niamh McCusker, Jessica White, Kloe Wright, Jessie Jabore, Nur Robertson, Jas Rose, Angela Evans, Drew Neal, Louis Zahnow, Andrew Tydink


Our Floor Managers: Jinny Fuss, Scott Ellaway, Ben Goulding, Kim McCusker, Amber Baumanis


The Scorers: Back Row: Daniel Goulding, Phil Sellars Front Row: Toni Bardon, Matthew McManus, Christy Geromboux


The Great Administrators: Back Row - Saleem Williams, Geoff Goulding, Sarah Jane Reid, Ray Ellaway, Bev Travers Front Row Gerald Schaaf, Kim Ellaway, Roni Pieters, Barbara Hospers, Prue Dick


Your Baristas: Ray Ellaway, Rani Gough, Saleem Williams, Nicola Goulding

## COFFEE AND TEA

As a service to participants the event will be operating a tea and coffee service. Coffee is made from freshly ground coffee beans with fresh milk and will cost $\$ 3.50$ a cup (additional $\$ 0.50$ for an extra shot) and cookies at $\$ 3.00$ each. The service will start around one hour before play and continue until late into the last session of the day. Please understand that the service is run for the benefit of players by volunteers. Correct change is a blessing but not essential.

## ANDY'S WISDOM

Andy Hung
PROBLEM 1: You are West, partner (East) is dealer, and NS are vulnerable and you hold

```
4A10 J 109873*52 & 1084
```

| You | North | Partner | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | 2 | Pass |

??
Your Bid
Playing a natural system, partner opens $1 \uparrow$ and rebids 2 to show a 5-4+ shape. What do you do now PROBLEM 2: You are West, partner (East) is dealer, and everyone is vulnerable: and you hold

```
@Q7432-2*Q&AQJ1085
```

You North Partner South
14 2
??
 raise, 4 as a splinter raise, and 4* as a Fit-Showing jump)
PROBLEM 3: You are West, and no one is vulnerable: and you hold

- AJ 10 Q 8 - 10754 \& AK 98

| You | North | Partner South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | Pass $4 \bullet$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |

South opens 40 in third seat and this gets passed out. What do you lead?
Solutions page 18

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND EIGHT

Barry Rigal
Not content with their good fortune in having survived two matches with me at the table, the Pellegrini table hazarded their chances for a third time. As usual the third time was not a charm.

After a quiet no-trump parts-core (yes 3NT on a combined 22 HCP could be made on most normal lines of play and defence) we missed a chance here.

| Dealer: West | ¢ Q 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - A Q 1094 |  |
| Brd 16 | -97 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 8 | \& J 763 |  |
| ¢ J 54 |  | ¢K9762 |
| -K7 |  | - 83 |
| -K542 |  | - Q J 1063 |
| \& K Q 98 |  | \% 2 |
|  | - A 83 |  |
|  | - J 652 |  |
|  | - A 8 |  |
|  | \& A 1054 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\&$ |

Our bidding took us to 3NT from the South seat after a 1\& opening from West.
When our opponent led a diamond against that, there was no longer any legitimate play for the game. But $4 \checkmark$ by North is quite a challenging spot. Deep Finesse tells us it is cold - but if we duck the first club the defenders take a ruff and shift to diamonds, and down you go. So win the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$, and now if you lead $\geqslant \mathrm{J}$ you can avoid the ruff but the defenders have enough exit cards to set up diamonds and exit in clubs at the right moment. Let's try running $\downarrow J$ at trick two, and West covers, letting you draw trumps in three rounds then lead a low spade from the table to build a spade discard for your diamond loser. Nice try - but no cigar! East wins the spade and a diamond leaves you with no entry to dummy to reach the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$.
The winning line is to play a spade at trick two and guess well. When the ten forces the king East shifts to a diamond. Rise with the ace, draw trumps, unblock spades, back to the $\mathbf{~} 6$, and voila! You have your entry for the discard.

If anyone did that please tell the bulletin!
(For the record half the 70 or so pairs who played $4 \checkmark$ made it - many on a diamond or spade lead -- and after a club lead to the queen, taking the ruff may not have been so easy.)

| Dealer: East | ¢ A Q J 1032 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - J 32 |  |
| Brd 18 | - 72 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 8 | ¢ 42 |  |
| ¢ K 7 |  | ¢ 85 |
| -6 |  | -A98754 |
| - J 96 |  | -KQ43 |
| \& K Q 108653 |  | \& 9 |
|  | ¢964 |  |
|  | - K Q 10 |  |
|  | - A 1085 |  |
|  | \% A J 7 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 3 | - | 4 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | - | - | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | $@$ |

If that was bad, this was worse. As Molesworth would say "Any fule can make 4 here" - assuming you know how to take a finesse. Yes the defenders have a heart ruff to beat you, but unless South overcalls in no-trump it rates to be North declaring 4¢. How can you go down? Answer: have East (playing Multi and two-suited opening bids) open 1 .
After South doubled and West bid $2 \boldsymbol{4}$, North reached 4 and on a club lead diagnosed that since East had the club honours and West did not have $\leqslant \mathrm{K} Q \mathrm{~J}$ she must have $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathrm{K}$ for her opener. At trick two up went the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and down went the contract - a painful result if your teammates have stolen the contract in a club parts-core ( $3 \%$ could only be beaten on a diamond ruff).

Dealer: North
Vul: N-S
Brd 21

Open Tms Qual $8 \& A K 5$

- K Q 2
- 62
-98543
- 1086
- A9765
- AQ8 4
- 7

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } 10843 \\ & \text { J J } \\ & \text { A Q J } 1062 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| ¢ J |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | ¢ |
| - K 10953 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\bullet$ |
| K |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| \& Q 97432 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | 4 |

The field generally found this slam very hard to bid. After 19-1NT only a wild optimist as North would bid 3 ... you could be cold for 6 and down in the wrong game. After 1 1 - $\mathrm{NT}-2 \boldsymbol{l}-4 \mathrm{I}$ I think the North hand is worth a move (partner rates to be short in spades and even facing the $\forall A$ slam might be on the heart finesse). Blackwood lets you punt the slam, and dummy is beyond your wildest dreams - and yes 7 is a cheap save.

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: E-W | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet 8543 \\ & \bullet Q \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Rigal | East | South da Rosa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 22 | -KQJ1064 |  |  |  | 10 | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Qual 8 | \& A 9 |  | $3{ }^{\text {Bergen }}$ | 4* | $4{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| - Q J |  | -962 | 5 | Pass | Pass | Double |  |
| - J 865 |  | - AK 1092 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| - 2 |  | - A 985 |  | Makea | le Con | cts |  |
| \%K107542 |  | -3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | - AK 107 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | $\bullet 743$ |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 73 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | - |
|  | \& Q J 86 |  | 1 | - | 1 |  | 4 |

I thought my partner Rodrigo da Rosa did exceptionally well here with the South cards. After hearing $1 \checkmark$ to his right, $3 \diamond$ (Bergen constructive raise) to his left, I bid $4 \diamond$ and $4 \checkmark$ came to his right. He bid 5 - and might have been surprised to hear that when LHO bid $5{ }^{\circ}$ (doubled and down one) they were saving. Not that I would have made 5 - but I could have done. Our teammates bought the hand in $4 \checkmark$ so that was 13 IMPs to the good guys.

| Dealer: South | -1074 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - J 3 |  |
| Brd 23 | -K4 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 8 | \& K Q J 1096 |  |
| -93 |  | - Q 2 |
| -10952 |  | - A |
| - Q J 10532 |  | - A 876 |
| - 3 |  | \& A 87542 |
|  | - AKJ 865 |  |
|  | -KQ8764 |  |
|  | -9 |  |
|  | \& --- |  |


| West Zetts | North Rigal | East <br> Mott | South Da Rosa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |  |
| Pass | 2 | 3\% | 3 |  |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 4\% |  |
| Pass | 4 | All Pa |  |  |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 1 | - | - | NT |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | ¢ |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
| - | 2 |  | 1 | 9 |

About ten percent of the field recorded numbers as N/S here (ranging from 2300 against $5 \$ x$ and a handful of slams making, doubled or otherwise). More than twice that number went down in slams. This was an easy auction to stay low on when our opponents did not bid diamonds and put us under pressure, but alas, our teammates' one inferior result of the set was to lead a club against $6 \boldsymbol{4}$ and that cost 13 IMPs instead of gaining that number.
On to my personal mea culpa.

## A TIDBIT OF INFORMATION

Some people have asked so here is the answer - the most number of tables in play at any one time in this year's Gold Coast Congress was 530 on Wednesday Afternoon

| Dealer: West | ¢ Q 10976 |  | West | North | East | South <br> Da Rosa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - J 73 |  | Zetts | Rigal | Mott |  |  |
| Brd 24 | - Q 32 |  |  |  | 1NT | Pass |  |
| Open Tms Qual 8 | \& 74 |  | 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| ¢ 842 |  | ¢ A J |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 10 |  | - A 984 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K J 1054 |  | - A 76 |  | Make | le Con | acts |  |
| \& Q J 6 |  | \& A 983 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ K 53 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | -K652 |  | 3 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -98 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K 1052 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | 8 |

Rodrigo led the $\boldsymbol{\imath}$ to dummy's queen as I encouraged. Declarer led a diamond to the ace and a diamond back to the ten. Rodrigo followed with the eight then nine, in a position where we had agreed that an echo would ask for a shift. So I blindly continued diamonds and that made declarer's task easy. Had I stopped to think I would have inferred that partner has four hearts and two diamonds, so declarer's shape must be 2-4-34 or partner would surely have led a club from a five-card suit? So a spade shift is almost certain to be right. The hand might be harder if declarer had misguessed hearts at trick one - now the temptation to continue spades might be overwhelming. About a tenth of the field beat 3NT after a heart lead.
One final thought; maybe running $>J$ at trick two would make the spade play harder if it lost?

| Dealer: North | ¢ A 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AKQ 7 |  |
| Brd 25 | - A 9 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 8 | \& K J 1086 |  |
| ¢ 954 |  | ¢ K J 7 |
| -65 |  | - J 9843 |
| - Q J 852 |  | -K74 |
| \& A 93 |  | \& 72 |
|  | ¢ Q 8632 |  |
|  | -102 |  |
|  | -1063 |  |
|  | ¢Q 54 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

Last chance, last dance.
The world bid this $2 \mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{NT}$ and on a heart lead there are nine tricks. All well and good - but imagine partner has the typical 5-2-3-3 with $\uparrow$ K and A Q. Now 6 is a spread, and give him the $\oplus J$ and you rate to make seven. Wrongly or rightly, this looked like a reverse to me, so after 19-1 $-2 \boldsymbol{m}-3$ we were never going to make 3NT. Mind you 4 wasn't hopeless. After a diamond lead you can win and try to cash three hearts to pitch a diamond then play $\uparrow A$ and another and rely on the spades to behave. Not today, though.

## DEEP FINESSE CALLING! <br> Barry Rigal

Mikhal Krasnoselskiy pointed me towards an intriguing variant on a deal from round six.

| Dealer: South | - Q 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - Q J 53 |  |
| Brd 19 | -KQ10 3 |  |
| Open Tms Qual 6 | \& K 62 |  |
| ¢AJ765432 |  | - K 8 |
| -107 |  | - AK642 |
| - A J 7 |  | -92 |
| \& --- |  | \& Q 1074 |
|  | - 10 |  |
|  | -98 |  |
|  | -8654 |  |
|  | \& A J 985 |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 4 | - | NT |
| 6 | - | 6 | - | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| 3 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

At most tables the contract was four spades making five or six. Some airs sacrificed more or less successfully in clubs, a handful went down in slam, an even smaller bunch made slam. On any lead but a trump, 12 tricks are easy in spades. You simply ruff a diamond in dummy. Krasnoselskiy led a trump against Andrew Peake who tried to save time by showing his hand and asking his opponents 'Is there a squeeze'. They said no, so he claimed 11 tricks. But let's revisit. Declarer crosses to 『A, ruffs a club, then ducks a diamond at trick four. North must win and return a trump, declarer wins the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, ruffs a club, then runs the trumps. On the left is the ending to this point:
West leads the penultimate trump and North
pitches a club, East a heart, South a club. The
last trump exercises a simple squeze on North,
and the hand is over. But what if South has the
diamond queen as well as his other assets? On
the right is the position as the last trump is led.

In essence this squeeze works unless South has both the king-queen of diamonds and North the ten. Even then if clubs were 7-2 you might be able to isolate the club menace.
West leads the trump, North pitches a diamond, East the heart South a heart. Now a heart to the ace catches South in a minor-suit squeeze.
In essence this squeeze works unless South has both the king-queen of diamonds and North the ten. Even then if clubs were 7-2 you might be able to isolate the club menace.

## NOVICE TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 9

Brent Manley



The late American singer Johnny Cash had a hit song called "A Boy Named Sue." If good old Johnny came back to life and found himself at Table 39 in the Novice Teams on Thursday, he might have been inspired to write another song:
"Two Girls Named Sue."
That would be Sue Hunt and Sue Skarupsky of the Kerikeri Bridge Club north of Auckland in New Zealand.

The two Sues are part of a 10-player contingent from the Kerikeri club, which boasts 60 members.

After eight rounds in their event, the Hunt team - the two Sues plus Australian teammates Kate Garrett and Carol Thomson - were in 11th place and looking to move up in the standings.

Hunt and company lost the match, but it was a lively round and the two Kiwis acquitted themselves well against the Juliet Dunworth team.
Hunt started well, earning a small swing on this board.

| Dealer: West | ¢ --- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - AQ 10854 |  |
| Brd 12 | - 63 |  |
| Novice Tms Qual 9 | ¢K J 1052 |  |
| - AKQ 107 |  | ¢ J 853 |
| -K976 |  | - J 3 |
| - J 2 |  | - AQ9875 |
| \& 87 |  | \% Q |
|  | ¢9642 |  |
|  | - 2 |  |
|  | -K104 |  |
|  | \& A 9643 |  |


| West | North <br> Hunt | East | South <br> Skarupsky |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | 3 | Pass |
| $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | $\dagger$ |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | 6 | - | - | 4 |

Hunt's $2 \Phi$ showed hearts and a minor. East's 3 was described as a spade raise.
Hunt led a low club to her partner's ace. Skarupsky returned her singleton heart, Hunt winning the queen when West played low. After cashing the $\vee$ A, Hunt continued with her low diamond. Declarer went up with the ace, played a spade to her 10 then another to dummy's jack, then another back to her hand. She finished drawing trumps with $₫ Q$, then exited with the $>J$. Skarupsky won the $\langle K$ and played a club, taken by Hunt with the jack. The defenders had two clubs, two hearts and a diamond with another heart still to come. That was three down for plus 150 to the Hunt team.
At the other table, Garrett and Thomson reached the same contract, but declarer managed nine tricks for minus 50. That was 3 IMPs to Hunt.

The next board didn't work out as well for Hunt and Skarupsky.

| Dealer: North <br> Vul: Both | - --- 72 |  | West | North Hunt | East | South Skarupsky |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 13 | - K 109 |  |  | 3\% | Pass | 4 |
| Novice Tms Qual 9 | \& Q J 109852 |  | Pass | 54 | All Pa |  |
| -K93 |  | - 85 |  |  |  |  |
| -109854 |  | - Q J 3 |  |  |  |  |
| -62 |  | -J8753 |  | Makea | Con |  |
| * 643 |  | * AK 7 | - | 4 | - | 4 NT |
|  | -AQJ 107642 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 - |
|  | - K 6 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 - |
|  | - A Q 4 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 * |
|  | \& --- |  | - | 5 | - | 5 \% |

Over Hunt's $3 \$$ opener, Skarupsky suggested a better spot by bidding $4 \mathbf{4}$. With a void in that suit, Hunt worried that her hand would not be worth much in a spade contract. As it happened, her $\vee A$ and $\leqslant$ were great cards for declarer. The play in spades, of course, is trivial: Declarer wins any opening lead and bangs down the $\boldsymbol{\phi A}$, followed by the queen. South will soon be claiming 12 tricks, not needing any club tricks from dummy.

Hunt finished one down, losing the two high clubs plus an ove-ruff for minus 100. At the other table, the contract was 4థ, making 12 tricks for plus 680. That was a 13-IMP swing to Dunworth.

This deal put 8 IMPs in the plus column for Hunt.

| Dealer: West | - AK 97 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - KQ 76 |  |
| Brd 8 | - 103 |  |
|  | \& 872 |  |
| ¢ J 865 |  | ¢ Q 1032 |
| -1095 |  | - A 83 |
| -87654 |  | - K 9 |
| 46 |  | \& K Q 53 |
|  | \$ 4 |  |
|  | - J 42 |  |
|  | - A Q J 2 |  |
|  | \& A J 1094 |  |


| West | North <br> Hunt | East | South <br> Skarupsky |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Pass | 10 | Double | $2 \&$ |  |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 3NT |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |

West led the $\$ 5$ to East's queen. Back came the $\& \mathrm{~K}$. Skarupsky won the \&A and played a low club from her hand to dummy's 8 and East's queen. On the return of the $\$ 9$, Skarupsky played low from hand, winning with the 10. When declarer called for a low diamond from dummy, up popped the king. Skarupsky all of a sudden had lots of minor-suit tricks, which she cashed, pitching hearts and spades from dummy. In the end, dummy held the top two spades and the $\smile$ K Q 7. Skarupsky played the $\vee J$ from hand and claimed, noting that dummy was good. That was plus 430 to the Hunt team.

At the other table, North-South played in 3\&, just making, for plus 110.
If you play bridge long enough, you will take your lumps. What you do with the experience will determine your progression as a player. This deal from the Hunt-Dunworth match is a case in point.

| Dealer: East Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ A K Q } 10763 \\ & \bullet \text { K } 74 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Hunt | East | South <br> Skarupsky |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 14 | -2 |  |  |  | 1 | Pass |
|  | ¢ 32 |  | $3 \%$ | 34 | 4 | 4¢ |
| ¢ --- |  | ¢ J | 5 | 54 | Pass | Pass |
| -1096 |  | - Q 853 | 6 | All Pa |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1074 \\ & \& A K \text { Q J } 1094 \end{aligned}$ |  | - AKQ9865 |  | Make | le Con | acts |
|  |  | ¢ 5 | - | - | - | NT |
|  | ¢98542 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 - |
|  | - A J 2 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | - J 3 |  | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 876 |  | 4 | - | 4 |  |

This contract is booked for one down - but only with the right lead, and there's only one (a heart). Skarupsky learned to her chagrin that leading partner's suit, although admirable in principle, doesn't always do the job. On the spade lead, declarer ruffed, pulled trumps in two rounds and ran what must have seemed to NorthSouth to be an avalanche of clubs. East took all the tricks for plus 940 and a 13-IMP gain (Hunt's teammates were plus 100 for beating 5 two tricks). Skarupsky did not have to ruminate at length to decide that a better lead would have been the $『$ A. If that held the trick, partner might be able to encourage another heart play or at least help with the defence.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 10

Barry Rigal

I watched Ziggy against Hung, two of the teams at the very top. Hung could afford to drop a few VPs, Konig needed not to lose to stay in the qualifying zone.
Wallis-Konig play their own brand of havoc: canape with a potentially strong club (either 16+ or a 12+ one suiter with a good suit). Lee/Whibley play natural with potentially short club.

| Dealer: South | ¢ 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - Q 10865 |
| Brd 15 | -KJ1086 |
| Open Tms Qual 10 | \& K 6 |
| ¢ 1087 |  |
| -KJ943 |  |
| - 52 |  |
| \& A 97 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \oplus \text { A K Q J } 2 \\ & \bullet 72 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | - Q 4 |
|  | \& 8543 |

Wallis invited game with his nine-count, Konig accepted with 12; life in the fast lane. After a top club lead and continuation declarer led a diamond to the queen, ran the spades, and could have escaped for one down had he retained diamonds, but he did not. +200 was only worth 3 IMPs for Hung when in the other room Griffiths/Milne bid unopposed after a 1 opener to $2 \boldsymbol{*}$. Not a bad spot but the foul trump break meant the defenders emerged with two minor-suit aces and four trump tricks.

| Dealer: West | ¢Q1074 |  | West | North | East | South Konig |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - 9 |  | Lee | Wallis | Whibley |  |  |
| Brd 16 | -109853 |  | Pass | $29^{1}$ | 2NT | 3\% |  |
| Open Tms Qual 10 | \& K Q 9 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| ¢ J 965 |  | ¢ K 83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 1043 |  | -K7 | 17-11 3 Suiter Short Major |  |  |  |  |
| - 2 |  | -K Q J 64 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& J 872 |  | \& A 63 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - A 2 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | \$ |
|  | - A Q 8652 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A 7 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 1054 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

If that auction was unusual, you ain't seen nothing yet...

The three-suiter could have been 4441 or 5431 . I would have thought Konig might well have doubled 2NT where are the opponents getting tricks from? received the lead of and a top diamond shift. Wallis scrambled one diamond and two tricks in each of the other suits but that was it. Since, in the other room, Griffiths had shown one major over a strong no-trump and Milne had taken eight tricks there, this was 5 IMPs to Hung.

Konig got 5 IMPs back when Nunn guessed a part-score much better than Lee (this one had featured a 10 overcall - hearts OR hearts and spades - from Wallis). It was $8-5$ now, as both N/S pairs bid a slam on making finesse (winning 11 on the datum scoring) but pushing the board at the table.


Both Norths opened 1s in third seat, both Easts overcalled then acted again over the raise to 2 to get to 3 . Whibley received a spade lead to the queen and a shift to the club six to the jack. Konig (correctly given his opening bid style) was prepared to play partner for a four-card major. He returned the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and got in with the UK to play....the $\quad \mathbf{J}$. Whibley ducked in dummy and Wallis did not work out that he had to overtake, so 3 came home. Had Konig returned the low spade there would have been no problem of course.
In the other room the defence began: spade to the queen, club to the jack, spade jack covered all round and ruffed. Declarer crossed to the $\forall A$ to finesse in hearts. Griffiths won and played back a heart. Naively perhaps, declarer repeated the club finesse, and that was one down for 6 IMPs to Hung. Timeo Nye Griffiths et dona ferentes.

| Dealer: West | - K Q 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AQJ 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 20 | - A 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Qual 10 | ¢ Q J 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -86 | ¢ A J 1042 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -954 |  | $\bullet 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } 108765 \\ & +82 \end{aligned}$ |  | -932 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \& AK74 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | -973 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | -K1086 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\bullet$ |
|  | -K J |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | ¢ 9653 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

You'd certainly want to play 3NT here, even though East can beat you by leading diamonds. the odds are that he won't. Both tables played $4 \boldsymbol{4}$, as I suspect we all might unless on very good form. $20 \%$ of the field let through $4 \bullet$ here. Could it be that they couldn't work out to take a club ruff here? How embarrassing. Konig gained 3 IMPs for an extra undertrick, to trail 14-8.


This was a chance for gain for Ziggy, since Griffiths/Milne had attempted 3NT unsuccessfully after a $3<$ preempt by South, down on the heart lead. Meanwhile Lee played $2 \boldsymbol{0}$ on a top diamond lead, to which South followed with an unreadable upside-down diamond eight, and a trump shift. Lee drew three rounds of trump and played the $\diamond$. when Wallis took this his winning play was to lead $₫ K$, which he did, ducked by declarer. But Konig failed to follow with the jack, and now Wallis played \& rather than a second spade or even the diamond, which leaves declarer too much to do. Lee took the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and played a trump and now could shake his last spade loser on the diamonds. No swing.
Ziggy clawed back 5 IMPs when an exuberant pre-empt to $4 \checkmark$ rather than $3 \checkmark$ by Milne on

- 9 AKJ10985 76 \& J5 3
led to -50 instead of +140 .
But Ziggy still trailed by 7 IMPs going into the last deal.

| Dealer: West | - Q 52 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - A 743 |  | Lee | Wallis | Whibley | Konig |
| Brd 28 | -106 |  | 18 | Pass | 2 | 2NT (!) |
| Open Tms Qual 10 | -9652 |  | Pass | 34 | 3 | 4¢(!!) |
| - AK7 |  | -1094 | Pass | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| -KQJ106 |  | - 52 | $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass | 5 | All Pass |
| -98 |  | -AKQJ7432 |  | Makea | le Cont | Acts |
| -1083 |  | \& --- | - | - | - | NT |
|  | - J 863 |  | - | - | - | ¢ |
|  | - 98 |  | 2 | - | 2 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - 5 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - |
|  | \& AKQJ 74 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 \% |

It looks to me as if Whibley simply did too little by not bidding $5 \boldsymbol{\$}$ over $4 \boldsymbol{4}$. As against that, would a $5 \boldsymbol{\$}$ cue bid
 suggest the ace in a bid suit, wouldn't it?

In the other room Nunn/Howard got to slam after a less competitive auction when Howard heard his partner open 1 and show spade values, and simply drove to slam. He bought a very good dummy for his aggression. The slam was not bid often here - indeed the datum score was just +390 here. We could tell you just how many pairs played 3NT here but you wouldn't believe us.

## IMPROVE YOUR DEFENSIVE PLAY - PROBLEM 5

Ron Klinger
During Sunday's Celebrity Lecture series, Ron Klinger presented a number of problems. We present them here, together with the solutions for those who may not have been able to attend.

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: All | North | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - A 64 |  |  | 2 | 3 |
|  | -K1095 | 34 | Double | Pass | 4 |
|  | - K Q 10 | Pass | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| West | -942 | Double | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| - J 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \&A107653 |  |  |  |  |  |


| West Leads | If you would like a copy of Ron's Notes |
| :---: | :---: |
| South plays $\$ K- $3-$ - $2-\downarrow 5$ | Please leave your email address at the |
| South continues $\uparrow$ 10-४7- $\mathrm{J}-$ - 9 | Admin Office |

Then comes the $\quad \mathrm{J}$ from South. What should West do?

## SOME HUMOUR

## BUYING THE FARM

This is synonymous with dying. During WW1 soldiers were given life insurance policies worth $\$ 5,000$. This was about the price of an average farm so if you died you "bought the farm" for your survivors.

## IRON CLAD CONTRACT

This came about from the ironclad ships of the Civil War. It meant something so strong it could not be broken.

## ZEPHYR EDUCATION INC RAFFLE

We are pleased to report that the raffle raised almost $\$ 11,500$ for the Education Inc., a charity that sees ensures that children affected by domestic violence continue a fulfilling education.
Winner of the Pairs entry to the 2018 Gold Coast Congress was Elaine Kelly. Other winners of a hamper and sessions with a professional: Rosemary Green, Marg Lewis, Peter Strasser, Alison Dawson, Norma Cameron, Sue Eix, Joy Watkinson, Graham Rusher, Rosemary Page and L Collins. The winner of the Scrumble Throw Rug was May Jones. Below is a photo of our drawing and audit team making sure it's all above board.


TEN FROM KERIKERI NZ - A LONG TRIP BUT WORTH THE EFFORT Brent Manley
A group of players from the Kerikeri Bridge Club are attending the Gold Coast Congress for the first time and already planning their return for the 2018 tournament.
Sue Hunt, the leader of the group, had high praise for the tournament organization. "We are having a wonderful time, " Hunt said. "We are so impressed with everything and particularly love the Daily Bulletin. It's a great way to catch up with what is going on when you are new and a novice player.
"I wanted to share that there are 10 of us from the Kerikeri Bridge Club playing in the teams event. We are a small club of around 60 members and to have 10 here is pretty amazing! We are enjoying it so much we hope to encourage an even bigger group next year. Thanks for all you are doing."


Our Kerikeri Visitors: Lloyd Purdie, Arlene Purdie, Sue Brown, Bob Brown, Mary Driesbock, Vivienne Sexton, Marilyn Kennedy, Sue Hunt, Sue Skarupsky and Anna Chappell.


## THE KLINGER QUIZ

Ron Klinger

| DIr E N/S Vul |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East $15^{+}$ | South Pass |
| 20 | Double | 3\% | 3 |
| Pass | 44 | All Pass |  |

3e was a $3+$ suit game try.

Solution: This deal arose in the Australia vs Netherlands match at the 1968 World Teams Olympiad:

|  | Denis Howard <br> -A9432 <br> $-5$ <br> - AJ9 <br> -KQJ 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hans Kreyns <br> - Q 875 <br> $\bullet 742$ <br> -K743 <br> \& 63 |  | Bob Slavenbuṛ <br> - 6 <br> - A Q J 83 <br> - 652 <br> -A542 |
|  | Tim Seres <br> - K J 10 <br> -K 1096 <br> - Q 108 <br> - 1087 |  |

 play. North-South had 24 HCP and so East-West had started with 16 HCP. East had opened the bidding. West's play in clubs looked like a doubleton and so West had two clubs to East's four and three hearts to East's five and. That was five cards for West vs nine for East.
That made the $\$ Q$ more likely to be with West and so Tim, sitting South, played the $\$ K$, followed by the $₫ J$ and $\$ 10$. Next came the $\downarrow$, king, ace and South had eleven tricks for +650 .

At the other table the bidding began $1 \boldsymbol{1}:$ Pass:1ヶ and so North-South were not about to find $4 \uparrow$. East-West finished in $2 \vee$ doubled for -300 .

## BRIDGE WITH BARRY

## Barry Rigal

You'd expect most North-South pairs to reach $2 \downarrow$ on this deal from the first qualifying session of the Silodor Open Pairs.

| DIr East <br> Vul All | ¢ 653 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -108 |  |
|  | $\text { A } 53$ |  |
| ¢ K J 109 |  | ¢ A Q 742 |
| - J 43 |  | -652 |
| - J 1087 |  | - 94 |
| \& Q 5 |  | ¢K 104 |
|  | ¢ 8 |  |
|  | - AKQ97 |  |
|  | -KQ62 |  |
|  | 4832 |  |

The issue is whether declarer brings home +170 or +140 . At our table, the $\boldsymbol{J}$ to the ace saw East shift to a diamond, and declarer had an easy plus +170 for a $71 \%$ score.
What if the defence tries repeated spade leads instead? Maybe declarer can ruff the fourth diamond in dummy, but that means he can't draw trump.

So let's imagine South ruffs the second spade to lead a club. You'd imagine East will win the club 10 and play a third spade, and declarer ruffs again and plays ace and another club. He can regain the lead, draw trumps, and claim the rest. Not so fast! West pitches his fourth spade on the third club. Now the fourth spade promotes West's heart jack to the defenders' fourth trick.
Does declarer have a counter? Yes he does: at double-dummy play, when West plays low on the first club declarer can put in dummy's jack! East wins the king and plays a third spade. Declarer ruffs and leads another club and ducks West's queen. Goodbye trump promotion.
Do the defence have a counter; indeed they do. West plays the club queen on the first round of the suit. Now whether declarer wins or ducks the trick, West will be in position to pitch his fourth spade at the critical moment, and the defence have regenerated the trump promotion.

Incidentally, minus 140 would have earned the defenders only $58 \%$ but -170 was a near top for N/S.

## BE GUIDED BY BRENT

What you need to know Part 7 - Brent Manley
How many passes can there be in succession on a deal? Based on the Laws of Duplicate Bridge, there can be a least seven. The following auction actually took place at a tournament in Hawaii.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | 2 |
| Pass | Pass | 3 | 3 |
| Pass |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |

East's pass on the third round of bidding was out of turn, and South's pass was de facto acceptance of the pass out of turn. West also passed. The laws, however, say that the player skipped in the bidding cannot be prevented from having his call, so the tournament director told North he could take a call. North passed, as did East and South - seven in a row!

That anecdote deals with the Laws of Duplicate Bridge. Today, we will deal with some "rules" that you won't find in the law book. These come from the section on Rules and "Laws" of Bridge in the 7th edition of the Official Encyclopaedia of Bridge.
RULE OF ONE: When you are declarer and there is only one trump out, if it is the master trump it is usually best to ignore it, especially if you have winners to run or need your own trumps for ruffing. Remember, getting that big trump "off the street" will often take two of your trumps. The exception occurs when you have a long, running suit that could be cut off by the player with the master trump. In that case, the holder of the master trump will wait to ruff in until you are exhausted of the running suit.

RULE OF TWO, THREE AND FOUR: Guides to pre-emptive opening bids. The number indicates how many tricks you can be set for a favourable sacrifice against a game. Modern expert practice is:

- Rule of Two (adverse vulnerability - you are, they are not).
- Rule of Three (equal vulnerability - both vulnerable or non-vulnerable).
- Rule of Four (favourable vulnerability - they are, you are not).

Most experts will bid more freely when an opponent has opened with a strong $2 \boldsymbol{q}$ or forcing $1 \&$, strong and artificial.

RULE OF EIGHT: (Colchamiro). Proposed by Mel Colchamiro in a Bridge Bulletin article as a formula for deciding whether to compete against a strong 1NT opening.
Colchamiro advised that when considering whether to show a two-suited hand, a player should determine the number of losers in his hand, counting a loser for every missing ace, king and queen in a suit of three cards or more. A void is counted as no losers, a singleton as one and a doubleton two. A suit of three or more low cards is counted as three losers.

Once the number of losers has been determined, subtract that sum from the number of cards in the two longest suits. If the total is two or higher, the player should enter the auction. If the total is one or zero, the player should pass.

Using two as the minimum benchmark for competing after the aforementioned calculation, the rule achieves the status of eight with the stipulation that the hand in question have at least 6 high-card points.

## AIR CONDITIONING AND PLAYERS COMFORT



Remember that there are approximately two thousand players at the venue, ensuring the comfort of ALL players is a challenge.
Over time the organisers have noticed that there are areas where it is particularly cold due to air pockets coming from the air conditioner.

The room sometimes leaks from condensation due to the amount of air conditioning we are using.
What you CAN do to improve your personal comfort level:

- as advertised in all communication, please bring a jumper;
- we have a supply of pashminas and wraps so please just ask a caddy who will bring it to you - we do ask that you return these to the caddy at end of the session; and
- if it is obviously too cold for you and those around you please ask the caddy to report the table number and section to Kim Ellaway who will bring her trusted thermometer to see if it can be fixed or perhaps we can move the table.

Things you can do that will NOT improve your comfort level

- discuss the issue with a Director or the Scoring Staff
- discuss it with the Recorder proceeds to Alzheimer's research at NeuRA. We are asking that all bridge clubs and players throughout Australia raise funds and/or make a donation in support of Alzheimer's research.
There is no entry fee - we are relying on the support and generosity of bridge clubs and players to use this event to raise funds and make donations. More Information: https://www.neura.edu.au/bridge


## NEUROSCIENCE RESEARCH AUSTRALIA

NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia) is dedicated to reducing the burden that disorders of the brain and nervous system place on our community.
With this focus, we aim to advance health and wellbeing for our families and our community. We truly believe that, for those affected by devastating diseases, medical research offers the only hope.

## DIRECTOR'S TIP Recording Results

- When you're on opening lead, your first priority after the auction is to make the opening lead. This should be done before entering the contract into the Bridgemate or writing on your personal scorer.
- The same applies to dummy - after the opening lead is faced, spread your hand before doing anything else.


## SERVICES

If you are in need of a doctor or medical assistance you can contact Kim Ellaway through the Administration Desk or alternatively contact the Broadbeach Medical Centre on 07-5531-6344, Suite GO1, 2681 Gold Coast Highway Broadbeach. Please note that they do not bulk bill. Their after-Hours is handled by National Home Doctors Service on 137425 who do bulk bill.


GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2017 - CALENDAR OF CELEBRITY SPEAKERS


Peter Hollands and Laura Ginnan are Melbourne based professional bridge players. Pete is on the 2017 Australian Open team and will represent Australia in the Bermuda Bowl in Lyon. They are revolutionising the way bridge is taught through online video teaching from their website Bridge Vid. bridgevid.com/
MINIMUM $\$ 5$ Contribution to the ABF Friends of Youth Bridge Fund -
GREATER Contributions Greatly Appreciated By Our Youth Players



Shop 12 Victoria Square 15 Victoria Ave, Broadbeach
A modern quirky dining room and bar offering the very best in sharing dish culinary creations Why not try our hearty 5 hour slow roasted pork belly which is served with a pear and cabbage slaw and complimented by the finest of jus or the 1 kg perfectly cooked yearling rump.

Dinner Seven Nights from 5:00pm Lunches Friday - Sunday from Midday till 3pm

## CALENDAR OF SOCIAL AND OTHER

|  | Friday |
| :---: | :---: |
| Venue | 24th |
| February |  |

## NOVICE AND ROOKIE ACTIVITIES

Novices
Help Available

In the Playing Area Novices Section

09:30am

Friday 24th February

| GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2017 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPEN EVENTS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Q/F Teams | S/F Teams | Finals Teams |  |
| Open Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Open Butler Swiss Pairs | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 00 \mathrm{am} 2 \times 12 \\ & \text { Brds } \\ & \text { 10:00am 1/3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2: 00 \mathrm{pm} 4 \times 10 \\ \text { Brds } \\ \text { 2:00pm 2/3 } \end{gathered}$ | 9:00am <br> Start 4x12 <br> Brds Final <br> 10:00am 3/3 | Dinner Dance 7:30pm |
| SENIORS EVENTS |  |  |  | for |
| Seniors Teams |  |  |  | Drinks |
| INTERMEDIATE EVENTS (Under 750MPs) |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Intermediate Butler Swiss Pairs | $10: 00$ $4 \times 12$ $10: 00 \mathrm{am} \mathrm{1/3}$ | Start <br> Final 2:00pm 2/3 | 10:00am 3/3 | 8:00pm |
| RESTRICTED EVENTS (Under 300MPs) |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted Teams <br> Ivy Dahler Restricted Butler Swiss Pairs | $10: 00$ $4 \times 12$ $10: 00 \mathrm{am} 1 / 3$ | Start <br> Final 2:00pm 2/3 | 10:00am 3/3 | B00kings |
| NOVICE EVENTS (Under 100MPs) |  |  |  |  |
| Novice Teams <br> Friday Novice Pairs | $10: 00$ $4 \times 12$ $10: 00 \mathrm{am} 1 / 2$ | Start <br> Final <br> 2:00pm 2/2 |  | From $\$ 10$ Depending |
| MATCHPOINT SWISS PAIRS |  |  |  |  |
| Seres/McMahon Matchpoint Swiss Pairs | 10:00am 1/2 | 2:00pm 2/2 |  | Sessions |
| WALK-IN PAIRS - BEST 3 SCORES COUNT |  |  |  |  |
| Holiday Walk-In Pairs 2 - Play from 1 to 5 Sess | 10:00am S3 | 2:00pm S4 | 10:00am S5 |  |
|  | Friday |  | Saturday |  |

## IMPROVE YOUR DEFENSIVE PLAY - SOLUTION 5 <br> Ron Klinger



## ANDY'S WISDOM - SOLUTIONS

Andy Hung
SOLUTION PROBLEM 1: You are West, partner (East) is dealer, and NS are vulnerable and you hold

```
- A 10 - J 109873 - 52 \& 1084
```

| You | North | Partner | South |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1NT | Pass | 2 | Pass |  |
| ?? |  |  |  | Pass |$\quad$ Your Bid

Playing a natural system, partner opens $1 \uparrow$ and rebids 2 to show a $5-4+$ shape. What do you do now?
Whilst $2 \Phi$ may be the 'normal' action (giving preference back to partner's spades), it is better to rebid $2 \boldsymbol{*}$. You may not have many big hearts, but the suit is very solid. If partner's shape is $5=2=4=2$, then you'd have found your fit, but even if partner is $5=1=4=3$, then that singleton may be a heart honour. If it's not, then your hand will provide four tricks with hearts and trumps, and hopefully partner can provide some more!
Today, partner had a great hand for you. Partner had K 8432 K A K $83 \& 97$ 5, and the board makes nine tricks in hearts whilst 2 is down one. Here is the complete hand.

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \text { QJ } 75 \\ & \bullet A 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \text { Q J } 1074 \\ & \& \text { Q } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 10 \\ & \checkmark \text { J } 109873 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ K } 8432 \\ & \vee K \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 52 |  | - AK 83 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - K 106 |  | ¢975 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | -96 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | - Q 542 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | -96 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | \& AJ 842 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 |

SOLUTION PROBLEM 2: You are West, partner (East) is dealer, and everyone is vulnerable: and you hold:

## - Q 7 4 3 2 - 2 Q \& A Q J 1085

You North | Partner South |
| :--- |
| $1 \uparrow$ |

??
Partner opens 1ヵ, and your RHO (South) overcalls $2 \downarrow$. What do you do? (You have 3 available as a cue raise, 4 as a splinter raise, and 4e as a Fit-Showing jump)
Although any bid could work, my preference is to take the practical approach of 4NT Roman Key Card Blackwood. With such a big spade fit, the opponents will very likely have a big diamond fit (and possibly a heart fit as well), so it feels like if you bid $3 \downarrow$, $4 \boldsymbol{*}$, or $4 \downarrow$, this would allow your LHO to bid $3 \boldsymbol{*}$, or $4 \downarrow$, or 5 which would endplay you into bidding 5 anyway.

Bidding 4NT will prevent a $4 \checkmark$ bid, but even if LHO bids $5 \downarrow$, hopefully you and your partner have agreed on how to respond to keycards when they interfere! (i.e. DOP1 = Double is zero and pass is 1, or DOPE = Double is odd, pass is even.) Worst case scenario is that slam is on a club finesse! This was Board 10:

| Dealer: East | - J 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - A J 1085 |  |
| Brd 10 | -10962 |  |
| Tms Qual Rnd 5 | + 73 |  |
| - Q 7432 |  | ¢ AK 1098 |
| - 2 |  | - 764 |
| - Q |  | - J 3 |
| \& A Q J 1085 |  | +K62 |
|  | - 5 |  |
|  | - KQ9 3 |  |
|  | - AK8754 |  |
|  | +94 |  |

PROBLEM 3: You are West, and no one is vulnerable: and you hold:

## - AJ 10 Q 8 - $10754 \& A K 98$

| You | North | Partner South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | Pass 4 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |

South opens $4 \checkmark$ in third seat and this gets passed out. What do you lead?
It is quite common for pairs to have a leading agreement of "Ace for Attitude, King for Count". This is certainly an easy agreement to follow, but I personally think it's not a good treatment. The reason is because quite often you don't actually know which signal you would want. Sometimes you want a count signal but when dummy comes down, you might actually prefer an attitude signal.
However, there are two situations where this treatment works quite well. The first one is when you are defending a 5-level contract or higher - for the obvious reason, is that you will need to cash out your tricks in the right order (and also that you will often be leading unsupported aces in these situations).
The second situation is when one opponent has shown a seven-card suit or longer (such as the hand above where South opened $4 \boldsymbol{V}$ ). When one opponent has a long suit, you will again want to cash out your tricks in the right order. This was the full deal:

| Dealer: West | -953 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - J 74 |  |
| Brd 8 | -K983 |  |
| Tms Qual Rnd 5 | ¢Q 72 |  |
| © A J 10 |  | - K Q 642 |
| - Q 8 |  | -6 |
| -10754 |  | - J 62 |
| \& AK98 |  | +10654 |
|  | - 87 |  |
|  | - AK 109532 |  |
|  | - A Q |  |
|  | ¢ J 3 |  |

Leading the K , your partner will give you an even count. When you next cash the your partner can now give you a suit preference signal in spades. Although it is still possible for North to hold a doubleton club (as South cannot be sure whether the even number is two or four), it is probably more likely for North to hold four clubs since East has long clubs. Thus, South can now find the spade switch.
You might think this is easy to do on paper, but looking at the Open Field results, 85 (out of 214) tables made $4 \checkmark$ - bridge can be difficult!

ABF HONOURARY TEACHING FELLOWSHIPS


LtoR: Phil Gue, Nigel Rosendorf, David Lusk, Bruce Neill President of the ABF, Ron Klinger William Jenner-O'Shea and Matt Mullamphy

The ABF Awarded six honorary Teaching Fellowships to Australia's leading Bridge Teachers thereby recognising the very significant contribution that they make to the advancement of the game.

## YOUTH BRIDGE GOLD COAST STYLE

## David Stern

Anybody who knows me, knows that I am committed to youth bridge being the future of the game. So congratulations firstly go to The GCC Organisers for renting an apartment to provide heavily subsidised accommodation for Youth Players in addition to reduced entry fees.

Then, as you will see from the photos below, congratulations are due to the The Gold Coast Bridge Club who again held a fun AND FREE bridge evening for youth players including:

## Pizzas, fruit and ice-cream.

Normal bridge. Cash prizes.
Special fun bridge (with some drinks and nibbles provided). For example:

- Speedball where you must bid, play and score up hands in 2 minutes
- Crazy Pairs after the auction, open an envelope to find special rules for that hand, e.g. 2 s beat aces

www.abf.com.au


## Canberra

## Festival of Bridge

## January 9-21, 2018

## New Venue

Canberra Rex Hotel, 150 Northbourne Avenue, BRADDON, ACT Telephone: 0262485311

CHECK OUT THE EXCELLENT OFFER AT THE REX
valid until 31st October 2017
Tournament Organiser: Roy Nixon 0423043220 roy.nixon55@gmail.com


Champagne
and

## Shoes

Brings out our Lady Bridge Players

Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Open

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | A Hung - N Griffiths - L Milne - K Lazar - M Whibley - R Lee |  |  |  |  | 191.46 |
| 2 | 12 | S Konig - J Wallis - T Nunn - J Howard |  |  |  |  | 170.08 |
| 3 | 6 | V Vainikonis - W Olanski - M Krasnoselskiy - E Vainikonis - J Rotomskyte |  |  |  |  | 164.34 |
| 4 | 3 | A Kanetkar - B Neill - P Gumby - W Lazer |  |  |  |  | 161.36 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 1 | Brown | 159.98 | 110 | 159 | Wagstaff | 118.94 |
| 6 | 8 | Haughie | 159.31 | 111 | 59 | Wilson | 118.54 |
| 7 | 4 | Sprung | 157.16 | 112 | 213 | Webb | 118.4 |
| 8 | 9 | Brown | 156.02 | 113 | 68 | Barda | 117.91 |
| 9 | 7 | Zhou | 155.74 | 114 | 178 | Athea | 117.76 |
| 10 | 5 | Travis | 153.85 | 115 | 97 | Stacey | 117.74 |
| 11 | 33 | Van Der Vlugt | 153.14 | 116 | 60 | Hurley | 117.53 |
| 12 | 16 | Otvosi | 151.48 | 117 | 151 | Wood | 117.37 |


| Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 13 | 17 | Wyer | 151.07 | 118 | 197 | Lazar | 117.18 |
| 14 | 30 | Watts | 151.01 | 119 | 51 | Lockwood | 117.11 |
| 15 | 71 | Boughey | 149.92 | 120 | 89 | Mangos | 117.07 |
| 16 | 24 | Coles | 149.34 | 121 | 184 | Whiddon | 116.89 |
| 17 | 21 | Morrison | 149.09 | 122 | 112 | Barfoot | 116.76 |
| 18 | 15 | Harrison | 148.05 | 123 | 80 | Chu | 116.69 |
| 19 | 29 | Hirst | 147.91 | 124 | 114 | Stephens | 115.95 |
| 20 | 39 | Whitaker | 147.51 | 125 | 201 | Green | 115.82 |
| 21 | 26 | Morgan | 146.56 | 126 | 100 | Davis | 115.76 |
| 22 | 34 | Berrington | 146.15 | 127 | 98 | Kahn | 115.57 |
| 23 | 13 | Giura | 145.78 | 128 | 105 | Bugeia | 115.46 |
| 24 | 10 | Adams | 144.7 | 129 | 92 | Andersson | 115.44 |
| 25 | 70 | Potts | 143.79 | 130 | 103 | Lorraway | 115.08 |
| 26 | 45 | Mundell | 143.5 | 131 | 195 | Ajzner | 114.97 |
| 27 | 37 | Patterson | 142.51 | 132 | 93 | Morgan-King | 114.63 |
| 28 | 19 | Ashton | 142.39 | 133 | 212 | Davis | 114.6 |
| 29 | 11 | Zhang | 141.9 | 134 | 88 | Mellings | 114.36 |
| 30 | 22 | Wylie | 140.77 | 135 | 110 | Munro | 114.29 |
| 31 | 53 | Krolikowski | 140.04 | 136 | 115 | Fleischer | 113.87 |
| 32 | 14 | Cheong | 138.67 | 137 | 206 | Spencer | 113.71 |
| 33 | 57 | Adler | 138.26 | 138 | 190 | Anderson | 113.46 |
| 34 | 31 | Carter | 137.95 | 139 | 95 | Fleiszig | 113.3 |
| 35 | 18 | Lilley | 137.67 | 140 | 156 | Codognotto | 113 |
| 36 | 145 | Treloar | 137.66 | 141 | 177 | Sher | 112.01 |
| 37 | 75 | Bolt | 137.17 | 142 | 204 | Roose-Driver | 111.89 |
| 38 | 23 | Callaghan | 137.01 | 143 | 61 | St Clair | 111.68 |
| 39 | 111 | Birss | 136.75 | 144 | 96 | Grigg | 111.57 |
| 40 | 25 | Parker | 136.56 | 145 | 136 | Holbrook | 111.17 |
| 41 | 36 | Hpn+ | 136.46 | 146 | 140 | Hollands | 111.16 |
| 41 | 35 | Richardson | 136.46 | 147 | 150 | Grant | 111.08 |
| 43 | 47 | Ward | 136.36 | 148 | 99 | Miller | 110.64 |
| 44 | 28 | Daly | 136.16 | 149 | 120 | Rothwell | 110.62 |
| 45 | 119 | Moore | 136.08 | 150 | 154 | Vearing | 110.48 |
| 46 | 141 | Evans | 135.48 | 151 | 117 | Valentine | 110.41 |
| 47 | 56 | Grosvenor | 135.17 | 152 | 207 | May | 110.4 |
| 48 | 69 | Smee | 135.14 | 153 | 76 | Tucker | 110.2 |
| 49 | 62 | Smith | 134.07 | 154 | 203 | Doddridge | 109.94 |
| 50 | 73 | Mottram | 134.03 | 155 | 131 | Glasson | 109.45 |
| 51 | 82 | Slater | 133.99 | 156 | 176 | Bach | 109.31 |
| 52 | 135 | Morris | 133.88 | 157 | 94 | Vaughan | 109.24 |
| 53 | 20 | Cheval | 133.28 | 158 | 109 | Bloom | 109.09 |
| 54 | 85 | Ridley | 132.99 | 159 | 142 | Kiraly | 109.07 |
| 55 | 43 | Bilski | 132.04 | 160 | 161 | Mills | 108.11 |
| 56 | 50 | Malinas | 131.67 | 161 | 102 | Frazier | 107.16 |
| 57 | 27 | Ingham | 131.61 | 162 | 126 | Welch | 106.32 |
| 58 | 163 | McAlister | 131.35 | 163 | 116 | Tredrea | 106.16 |
| 59 | 65 | Li | 131.03 | 164 | 157 | Manser | 105.99 |
| 60 | 72 | Bodycote | 130.88 | 165 | 185 | Thatcher | 104.84 |
| 61 | 32 | Hoffman | 130.38 | 166 | 174 | Drwecka | 104.63 |
| 62 | 40 | Kaplan | 130.18 | 167 | 86 | Kefford | 104.57 |
| 63 | 44 | Pellegrini | 130.06 | 168 | 192 | Kennedy | 104.51 |
| 64 | 77 | Milward | 129.82 | 169 | 198 | Labuschagne | 104.15 |
| 65 | 148 | Coats | 129.62 | 170 | 181 | Cooper | 103.95 |
| 66 | 167 | Bennett | 129.03 | 171 | 121 | Power | 103.77 |
| 67 | 101 | Tyms | 128.73 | 172 | 180 | Kenny | 103.24 |
| 68 | 52 | Bouton | 128.68 | 173 | 107 | Linton | 102.94 |
| 69 | 130 | Watt | 128.33 | 174 | 133 | Pike | 102.71 |
| 70 | 67 | Mayo | 128.23 | 175 | 123 | Morrison | 102.22 |
| 71 | 158 | Stewart | 128.02 | 176 | 113 | O'Connor | 102.06 |
| 72 | 208 | De Luca | 127.81 | 177 | 164 | Watson | 101.19 |
| 73 | 146 | Lisle | 127.75 | 178 | 87 | Abbenbroek | 100.38 |
| 74 | 83 | Wilks | 127.52 | 179 | 137 | Gassmann | 100.22 |
| 75 | 122 | Gunner | 127.46 | 180 | 118 | Dyer | 100.17 |


| Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 76 | 205 | Pradhan | 127.06 | 181 | 160 | Kemp | 99.8 |
| 77 | 78 | Finikiotis | 127.04 | 182 | 211 | Woodbury | 99.45 |
| 78 | 54 | Mott | 126.25 | 183 | 132 | Fry | 98.32 |
| 78 | 147 | Wanigaratne | 126.25 | 184 | 194 | Abdelhamid | 97.75 |
| 80 | 64 | De Luca | 126.22 | 185 | 191 | Oyston | 97.73 |
| 81 | 74 | Terry | 126.2 | 186 | 106 | Norris | 97.54 |
| 82 | 90 | Maltz | 125.51 | 187 | 171 | Procel | 97.52 |
| 83 | 84 | Strong | 125.33 | 188 | 169 | Brahma | 97.39 |
| 84 | 214 | Pisko | 125.22 | 189 | 183 | Campbell | 97.28 |
| 85 | 58 | Meyer | 125.13 | 190 | 179 | Fookes | 97.25 |
| 86 | 55 | Sharp | 125.06 | 191 | 170 | Chesser | 97.04 |
| 87 | 125 | Rusher | 125.04 | 192 | 193 | Jackson | 97.02 |
| 88 | 63 | Jeffery | 124.57 | 193 | 175 | Stuart | 95.67 |
| 89 | 49 | Chen | 124.22 | 194 | 138 | Kable | 95.57 |
| 89 | 143 | Tuxworth | 124.22 | 195 | 155 | Bonnick | 95.18 |
| 91 | 128 | Rose | 123.93 | 196 | 172 | Pepper | 94.75 |
| 92 | 81 | Steinwedel | 123.92 | 197 | 182 | Van Wyck | 94.57 |
| 93 | 46 | Powell | 123.81 | 198 | 144 | Darke | 94.41 |
| 94 | 38 | Lewis | 123.48 | 199 | 189 | Hutton | 92.74 |
| 95 | 48 | A'Beckett | 122.85 | 200 | 153 | McDonald | 92.28 |
| 96 | 202 | Matskows | 122.7 | 201 | 166 | Alexander | 89.27 |
| 97 | 168 | Edelstein | 122.65 | 202 | 134 | Purkiss | 88.73 |
| 98 | 162 | Leighton | 122.44 | 203 | 210 | Gray | 87.72 |
| 99 | 41 | Tant | 122.31 | 204 | 173 | Rose | 87.5 |
| 100 | 108 | Crockett | 122.01 | 205 | 152 | Leach | 87.33 |
| 101 | 124 | Free | 121.98 | 206 | 165 | Roughley | 86.91 |
| 102 | 186 | Cooper | 121.69 | 207 | 188 | Evans | 85.89 |
| 103 | 104 | Schoen | 121.57 | 208 | 199 | Jagelman | 85.46 |
| 104 | 66 | Weathered | 121.24 | 209 | 200 | Hoare | 85.31 |
| 105 | 129 | Gilfoyle | 121.2 | 210 | 187 | Coats | 81.52 |
| 106 | 91 | O'Dempsey | 120.56 | 211 | 139 | Bourke | 73.5 |
| 107 | 42 | Webber | 119.84 | 212 | 149 | Correy | 71.84 |
| 108 | 127 | Demarco | 119.55 | 213 | 196 | Carroll | 69.79 |
| 109 | 79 | Alexander | 118.95 | 214 | 209 | Gue | 45.74 |
| Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 6 | P Chan - R Januszke - R Sebesfi - J Zollo |  |  |  |  | 167.78 |
| 2 | 5 | A Walsh - B McDonald - A De Livera - E Havas |  |  |  |  | 164.13 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 3 | 1 | Brightling | 157.28 | 22 | 15 | Ingham | 119.34 |
| 4 | 2 | Stern | 145.14 | 23 | 34 | Rogers | 118.55 |
| 5 | 3 | Bloom | 141.82 | 24 | 11 | Arber | 118.1 |
| 6 | 7 | Klofa | 139.29 | 25 | 29 | Cariola | 117.35 |
| 7 | 14 | Johannsson | 136.89 | 26 | 40 | Tibble | 115.77 |
| 8 | 18 | Palmer | 135.47 | 27 | 30 | Rooney | 112.5 |
| 9 | 9 | Mendick | 135.14 | 28 | 26 | Dudley | 110.54 |
| 10 | 8 | Robbins | 134.01 | 29 | 39 | Brown | 109.68 |
| 11 | 19 | Beck | 131.09 | 30 | 38 | Lawrence | 109.56 |
| 12 | 37 | Waldvogel | 129.87 | 31 | 31 | Hoole | 109.42 |
| 13 | 17 | Van Vucht | 128.84 | 32 | 27 | Allan | 106.21 |
| 14 | 10 | Antoff | 128.23 | 33 | 13 | Budai | 102.94 |
| 15 | 20 | Moses | 127.92 | 34 | 36 | Frost | 101.93 |
| 16 | 12 | McKinnon | 127.42 | 35 | 24 | Wilkinson | 100.56 |
| 17 | 16 | Boulton | 126.66 | 36 | 28 | Sykes | 89.07 |
| 18 | 4 | Robb | 125.11 | 37 | 32 | Jeffery | 85.07 |
| 19 | 22 | Lane | 123.04 | 38 | 35 | Davidson | 79.97 |
| 20 | 23 | Havas | 122.93 | 39 | 33 | Moffat | 79.55 |
| 21 | 21 | Luck | 119.8 | 40 | 25 | Berzins | 69.55 |

Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Intermediate

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15 | M Rogers - J Rogers - S Moffitt - K Moffitt |  |  |  | 162.26 |
| 2 | 3 | D Johnson - M Johnson - I Doland - J Watson |  |  |  | 156.86 |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| 3 | 65 | Erskine | 49 | 30 | Attwood | 118.01 |
| 4 | 11 | Hughes | 50 | 26 | Ward | 117.94 |
| 5 | 7 | Ranke | 51 | 64 | Holewa | 117.44 |
| 6 | 6 | Dean | 52 | 12 | Begg | 117.42 |
| 7 | 86 | Greenwich | 53 | 69 | Beech | 116.48 |
| 8 | 20 | Blinco | 54 | 31 | Berry | 116.42 |
| 9 | 8 | Lohmann | 55 | 54 | Skeate | 116.07 |
| 10 | 72 | Stacey | 56 | 38 | Gavel | 115.7 |
| 11 | 46 | Giles | 57 | 82 | Beaton | 115.03 |
| 12 | 44 | Bailey | 58 | 75 | McGaffin | 114.48 |
| 13 | 2 | Reynolds | 59 | 60 | Cooke | 113.71 |
| 14 | 92 | Sim | 60 | 10 | Steinhardt | 113.58 |
| 15 | 53 | Read | 61 | 5 | Wylie | 113.44 |
| 16 | 9 | Sutherland | 62 | 35 | Murray | 113.19 |
| 17 | 1 | Roberts | 63 | 49 | Boyce | 112.29 |
| 18 | 77 | Stick | 64 | 29 | Webber | 110.92 |
| 19 | 62 | Delaney | 65 | 89 | Edwards | 110.07 |
| 20 | 18 | Nilsson | 66 | 50 | Stephenson | 109.04 |
| 21 | 13 | Keating | 67 | 36 | Wells | 108.98 |
| 22 | 27 | Kite | 68 | 55 | Oxley | 108.85 |
| 23 | 93 | Barnett | 69 | 78 | Page | 107.18 |
| 24 | 57 | Hartwig | 70 | 24 | Morgan | 106.82 |
| 25 | 22 | Tomlinson | 71 | 32 | Johnstone | 106.65 |
| 26 | 42 | Clifford | 72 | 79 | Irving | 104.93 |
| 27 | 73 | Anderson | 73 | 88 | Kaplan | 104.88 |
| 28 | 41 | Boyce | 74 | 85 | McBain | 104.79 |
| 29 | 37 | Sherlock | 75 | 39 | Carson | 103.3 |
| 30 | 84 | McMaster | 76 | 63 | Cowley | 103.25 |
| 31 | 76 | Binsted | 77 | 48 | Kirton | 102.76 |
| 32 | 45 | Schmalkuche | 78 | 28 | Corney | 101.83 |
| 33 | 21 | Breakwell | 79 | 68 | Wippell | 101.11 |
| 34 | 14 | Bandy | 80 | 74 | Ward | 98.95 |
| 35 | 61 | Weber | 81 | 17 | Styles | 98.62 |
| 36 | 4 | Wilson | 82 | 51 | Yarwood | 97.28 |
| 37 | 47 | Fleet | 83 | 34 | Leckie | 96.15 |
| 38 | 43 | Cooper | 84 | 91 | Peak | 96.03 |
| 39 | 25 | Sheldrake | 85 | 67 | Fenwicke | 94.26 |
| 40 | 90 | Black | 86 | 81 | Hart | 93.99 |
| 41 | 40 | Jonsberg | 87 | 66 | Savage | 93.14 |
| 42 | 33 | Holmes | 88 | 71 | Bristow | 91.75 |
| 43 | 70 | Howard | 89 | 94 | Driesbock | 91.53 |
| 44 | 56 | Clift | 90 | 80 | Bish | 89.43 |
| 45 | 16 | Ferguson | 91 | 87 | Lynch | 88.09 |
| 46 | 59 | Bell | 92 | 23 | Trigg | 84.82 |
| 47 | 83 | Davis | 93 | 58 | Robertson | 80.82 |
| 48 | 19 | Symons | 94 | 52 | Staley | 80.19 |

Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Restricted

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 80 | K Hajmasi - A Michl - B Whale - J Whale | 168.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 64 | J Chew - R Karim - Y Zheng - Z Xiao |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. |  | Team Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160.83 |
| 3 | 83 | Tippett | 158.31 | 47 | 9 | Earnshaw | Score |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | Cousins | 156.31 | 48 | 34 | Barry | 119.09 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 22 | Carradine | 152 | 49 | 60 | Smith | 117.14 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 89 | Whittle | 151.92 | 50 | 54 | Hodges | 117.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 78 | Adamson | 146.62 | 51 | 12 | Treloar | 116.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 29 | Gosney | 146.61 | 52 | 62 | Scott | 115.76 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 36 | Williams | 145.32 | 53 | 3 | Cullen | 115.16 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 30 | Morahan | 144.43 | 54 | 70 | Renton | 114.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 25 | Fisher | 143.28 | 55 | 32 | Look | 113.78 |  |  |  |  |


| Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Restricted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 12 | 17 | Ham | 141.23 | 56 | 81 | Cukierman | 113.14 |
| 13 | 87 | Haslett | 140.84 | 57 | 65 | Beattie | 112.96 |
| 14 | 61 | Zulfiqar | 138.68 | 58 | 37 | Munro | 112.52 |
| 15 | 5 | Elich | 138.35 | 59 | 74 | Franks | 112.42 |
| 16 | 20 | Robertson | 135.17 | 60 | 10 | Wills | 112.15 |
| 17 | 38 | Trengove | 135.15 | 61 | 82 | Gordon | 112.06 |
| 18 | 11 | Rydon | 134.15 | 62 | 40 | Willcocks | 111.93 |
| 19 | 59 | Thillainathan | 134.03 | 63 | 48 | Sullivan | 111.88 |
| 20 | 6 | Boocock | 133.79 | 64 | 76 | Linden | 111.34 |
| 21 | 33 | Van Weeren | 132.92 | 65 | 24 | Wippell | 111.28 |
| 22 | 1 | Britton | 132.41 | 66 | 31 | Balkin | 110.59 |
| 23 | 79 | Hartley | 131.91 | 67 | 18 | Smith | 110.01 |
| 24 | 42 | Pilcher | 131.29 | 68 | 8 | Heck | 109.27 |
| 25 | 14 | Parmenter | 131.17 | 69 | 58 | Cox | 108.69 |
| 26 | 23 | Sharwood | 130.3 | 70 | 53 | Egan | 108.68 |
| 27 | 28 | Jeppesen | 130.26 | 71 | 7 | Prentice | 106.99 |
| 28 | 46 | Chaffey | 128.99 | 72 | 19 | Butcher | 105.19 |
| 29 | 63 | Meakin | 128.65 | 73 | 86 | Quilty | 105.1 |
| 30 | 84 | Tattersfield | 128.45 | 74 | 44 | Merrin | 104.06 |
| 31 | 55 | Barwick | 128.14 | 75 | 35 | Robins | 103.89 |
| 32 | 51 | Gibney | 127.69 | 76 | 75 | Short | 102.56 |
| 33 | 77 | Singer | 127.42 | 77 | 52 | Wilson | 101.61 |
| 34 | 4 | Rossiter-Nuttall | 125.26 | 78 | 68 | Hooper | 101.49 |
| 35 | 45 | Greenway | 125.12 | 79 | 26 | Burke | 101.1 |
| 36 | 88 | Stuart | 124.94 | 80 | 67 | Stratford | 100.53 |
| 37 | 85 | Shannahan | 124.79 | 81 | 72 | Turner | 97.91 |
| 38 | 57 | Wlodarczyk | 124.51 | 82 | 66 | Macintosh | 96.35 |
| 39 | 41 | Hertelendy | 123.97 | 83 | 43 | Love | 95.21 |
| 40 | 50 | Rosetta | 122.81 | 84 | 27 | Kirkpatrick | 94.37 |
| 41 | 16 | Wood | 122.61 | 85 | 69 | Gilder | 93.56 |
| 42 | 15 | Brodie | 122.23 | 86 | 73 | Cook | 90.22 |
| 43 | 21 | Pratten | 121.5 | 87 | 49 | Gault | 82.45 |
| 44 | 56 | Hall | 120.6 | 88 | 47 | Opray | 80.32 |
| 45 | 71 | Jacobs | 119.7 | 89 | 13 | Allen | 78.61 |
| 46 | 39 | Stewart | 119.14 | 90 | 90 | Haslett | 58.53 |
| Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Novice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 14 | A Byrnes - S Parkes - U Suliman - P Taylor |  |  |  |  | 170.47 |
| 2 | 17 | B Koster - K Mukai - L Lovett - P Heard |  |  |  |  | 167.79 |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 3 | 36 | Russell | 164.68 | 24 | 7 | Large | 117.81 |
| 4 | 8 | Skeen | 159.09 | 25 | 28 | Reilly | 115.38 |
| 5 | 23 | Brink | 145.47 | 26 | 37 | Carter | 113.74 |
| 6 | 3 | Spence | 140.4 | 27 | 29 | Ackman | 112.53 |
| 7 | 25 | Nugent | 136.43 | 28 | 12 | Northey | 111.87 |
| 8 | 38 | Stevens | 133.08 | 29 | 24 | Sheldrake | 111.71 |
| 9 | 40 | King | 133.01 | 30 | 4 | Hale | 111.43 |
| 10 | 18 | Coloper | 132.03 | 31 | 19 | Martin | 111.07 |
| 11 | 27 | Cameron | 130.39 | 32 | 5 | Rydon | 110.78 |
| 12 | 2 | Bowen-Thomas | 130.08 | 33 | 39 | Hunt | 108.82 |
| 13 | 26 | Bunting | 130.03 | 34 | 11 | Gibbens | 108 |
| 14 | 13 | Nilsson | 129.75 | 35 | 20 | Little | 106.18 |
| 15 | 42 | Dunworth | 129.13 | 36 | 34 | Anderson | 105.51 |
| 16 | 21 | Officer | 128.54 | 37 | 9 | Lingard | 105.46 |
| 17 | 22 | Bellis | 127.61 | 38 | 10 | Minchin | 104.61 |
| 18 | 15 | Clark | 126.35 | 39 | 32 | Ryan | 100.14 |
| 19 | 1 | Marsland | 123.87 | 40 | 33 | Allingham | 99.92 |
| 20 | 31 | Heap | 121.68 | 41 | 43 | Versteege | 99.09 |
| 21 | 16 | Mayne | 121.15 | 42 | 30 | Lawson | 94.15 |
| 22 | 6 | Tortely | 119.79 | 43 | 44 | Sykes | 63.61 |
| 23 | 41 | Bailey | 119.67 | 44 | 35 | Lloyd | 57.15 |



Rookies Winners N/S Devi Thillainathan - Ian Sobey
Rookies Winners E/W Arvind BHASIN - Geeta BHASIN

| Holiday Pairs Tournament 2 Session $2-$ Leading Scores |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-S |  |  | E-W |  |  |
| 1 | Sarah Strickland - Howard Jeffery | 61.21 | 1 | Neil Strutton - Margaret Liversage | 63.16 |
| 2 | Dianne Brinkworth - Christine Young | 60.58 | 2 | Brenda Butcher - Birgitt Bingham | 60.57 |
| 3 | Kelela Allen - Susan Capp | 58.02 | 3 | Bernadette Campbell - Glenda Barter | 59.45 |
| 4 | Sally Moore - Sue Chapman | 57.78 | 4 | Steve Murray - Ann Murray | 57.70 |
| 5 | Janice Coventry - Margaret Glover | 57.50 | 5 | Graham Dickens - Sue Dickens | 56.99 |
| 6 | Joanne Crockford - Peter Karol | 54.44 | 6 | Parveen Rayani - Jamal Rayani | 56.82 |
| 7 | Robyn Lichter - Judy Leiba | 53.88 | 7 | Ann Shotter - Robina Cooper | 56.48 |
| 8 | Lorraine Carr - Janine Budgeon | 52.61 | 8 | Shijun Tian - Keith Walker | 53.14 |
| 9 | Yolande Coroneo - Jacqui Fardoulys | 49.18 | 9 | Pauline Caust - Alan Bustany | 52.62 |
| 10 | Allan Johnson - Pamela Roberts | 48.88 | 10 | Ross Templeton - Fiona Templeton | 52.09 |
| 11 | Peter Fredericks - Lorraine Fredericks | 48.40 | 11 | Christine Leivers - Tom Lyons | 49.81 |
| 12 | Eddie Mullin - Dianne Mullin | 48.15 | 12 | Robynne Owen - Janice Gladders | 49.07 |
| 13 | Ethne Huddleston - Mary Ditton | 46.30 | 13 | Trish Waters - Gerri Hodgson | 48.05 |
| 13 | Thea Catsoulis - Ann Slade | 46.30 | 14 | Brenda Rees - Howard Rees | 46.32 |

## PUZZLE

The Most Intelligent Prince: A king wants his daughter to marry the smartest of 3 extremely intelligent young princes, and so the king's wise men devised an intelligence test.
The princes are gathered into a room and seated, facing one another, and are shown 2 black hats and 3 white hats. They are blindfolded, and 1 hat is placed on each of their heads, with the remaining hats hidden in a different room.
The king tells them that the first prince to deduce the colour of his hat without removing it or looking at it will marry his daughter. A wrong guess will mean death. The blindfolds are then removed.
You are one of the princes. You see 2 white hats on the other prince's heads. After some time you realize that the other prince's are unable to deduce the colour of their hat, or are unwilling to guess. What colour is your hat?
Note: You know that your competitors are very intelligent and want nothing more than to marry the princess. You also know that the king is a man of his word, and he has said that the test is a fair test of intelligence and bravery.

## YESTERDAY'S PUZZLE

The Warden: The team nominates a leader. The group agrees upon the following rules:
The leader is the only person who will announce that everyone has visited the switch room. All the prisoners (except for the leader) will flip the first switch up at their very first opportunity, and again on the second opportunity. If the first switch is already up, or they have already flipped the first switch up two times, they will then flip the second switch. Only the leader may flip the first switch down, if the first switch is already down, then the leader will flip the second switch. The leader remembers how many times he has flipped the first switch down. Once the leader has flipped the first switch down 44 times, he announces that all have visited the room.

It does not matter how many times a prisoner has visited the room, in which order the prisoners were sent or even if the first switch was initially up. Once the leader has flipped the switch down 44 times then the leader knows everyone has visited the room. If the switch was initially down, then all 22 prisoners will flip the switch up twice. If the switch was initially up, then there will be one prisoner who only flips the switch up once and the rest will flip it up twice.

The prisoners cannot be certain that all have visited the room after the leader flips the switch down 23 times, as the first 12 prisoners plus the leader might be taken to the room 24 times before anyone else is allowed into the room. Because the initial state of the switch might be up, the prisoners must flip the first switch up twice. If they decide to flip it up only once, the leader will not know if he should count to 22 or 23 .

In the example of three prisoners, the leader must flip the first switch down three times to be sure all prisoners have visited the room, twice for the two other prisoners and once more in case the switch was initially up.

DIFFICULT SUDOKU

|  |  | 1 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 9 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |
|  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 7 |
|  |  | 8 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 |  |
| 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 9 |  |  |

YESTERDAY'S SOLUTION DIFFICULT SUDOKU

| 6 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 8 |
| 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 1 |
| 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 5 |
| 1 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 4 |

## TABLE COUNT

## (Last Year 7610)

Note: this year there was no Friday Setup Pairs

