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## MARION RICE 1909-2016

Gerald Schaaf


The name Marion Rice is synonymous with amazing. Born in 1909 in the UK, she migrated to Australia at the age of 19 to join a brother already living here. She subsequently married and moved to a property near Bourke in outback NSW. Widowed in 1949, she was left to raise two daughters and manage the property, something she proved most capable of doing.
In later years she took up bridge and never looked back. Eventually she called both Sydney and the Gold Coast home. Starting in 1986, my friendship with Marion spanned 30 years at which time, bridge was 'her life as was mine-we were so compatible.
I was with her on the Gold Coast when she bought her first Motorized scooter at about 87 years young. She took it back to Sydney where her home was on the 42nd floor of a CBD hi-rise. From there she zipped to and from the NSW Bridge Association in Elizabeth Street and out to Bondi Junction by train for her regular bridge games. She was a regular visitor to the Gold Coast to play in the GCC. Notably, in 2010 she played for free because she had reached the milestone of 100 years young. This is when she was featured by NEURA - as a prime example of their "Bridge for Brain Research" cases. Her partner in the Pairs at that event, Lee Lewis, remembers putting her into a slam - and making it. My most poignant memory is her insistence of bidding the negative double. No matter if I had zero points, I must "double" if I had the other major. Sometimes it worked.
My last game with Marion was in Sydney in December 2015. We met at her apartment and I had to run through the Sydney streets to get to the Association to keep up with her turbo-charged scooter. She moved to Brisbane soon thereafter to be closer to her daughter. She passed away on 22 November 2016 in Brisbane at the age of 107. I lost a special friend and the bridge world lost a star.

## TBIB Tony Bemrose Insurance Brokers <br> TBIB INSURANCE

## Come and visit the TBIB stand in the foyer from 9:30am Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday to discuss all your insurance needs and to answer any questions which you may have including renewing your ABF Travel Insurance Policy.

OUR FIRST WINNERS EMERGE AT THIS YEAR'S GOLD COAST CONGRESS
The Winners of the three session Open Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs and the 0-500 Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs were decided on Sunday afternoon.


## OPEN PAIRS QUALIFYING SESSION 2

Barry Rigal
For deals one to three of the second qualifying session I watched Matt Mullamphy and Ron Klinger taken on Nik Moore and Abby Wanigaratne. The first deal out saw Mullamphy steal the hand in 1NT, receive a friendly lead, and then a slight, followed by a serious, misdefense to bring home 1 NT and an $86 \%$ result. His opponents clawed most of that back on the next deal:

| Dealer: East Vul: N-S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ A } 96 \\ & \bullet \text { K Q } 932 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Moore | North Klinger | East Wanigaratne | South Mullamphy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 2 | - 53 |  |  |  | $1 *$ | Pass |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | \& J 76 |  | 14 | Pass | 24 | Pass |  |
| -KQ75 32 |  | - 8 | 2 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| -84 |  | - AJ 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 4 |  | - Q J 1087 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| -1094 |  | -A532 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | - J 104 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | - 765 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\bullet$ |
|  | -K962 |  |  | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | \& K Q 8 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |

Moore correctly declined to invite, Wanigaratne was unhappy to pass but had told her story. On a top heart lead Moore won and returned the suit, then when Klinger won and shifted to a club, he ducked. This wasn't critical today but it might well have been important to cut the defenders' communications for uppercuts if clubs were 4-2. Mullamphy won the $Q$ and can hold declarer to +110 either with a club continuation or a third heart, since the defence can arrange a trump promotion. Instead he underled in diamonds, letting Moore win in dummy and lead a spade to the king and ace. He could regain the lead to play two more rounds of trumps and come to 140 for a $67 \%$ result.
On the third deal of the set Klinger was able to use a piece of delicate modern science:


Wanigaratne was faced with a sequence she had never encountered before - but even if she had bid $3 \boldsymbol{\%}$ Mullamphy would have joined in with and it is not clear anyone else would have done more.
Moore led a heart against $2 \checkmark$ and Mullamphy had no idea that he might have been exposing himself to a ruff when he won the jack and finessed in spades. When the $\mathbb{Q Q}$ held he went after trumps and racked up his +110 for another $85 \%$ score. (Throughout the day it seemed like whoever finished declaring the hand automatically got a good score - perhaps this explains Australian bidding?).

For the next round I watched the GOM of New Zealand bridge, John Wignall who doesn't seem to have aged at all since I first met him 30 years ago (mind you, David Stern suggested that may be a commentary on how 'old' he looked then).

## EDITORS NOTE

A reader made a passing comment that there were too many advertisements in the Daily Bulletin. I can't reasonably disagree with this point of view BUT.....one of the elements of this tournament which makes it one of the best in the world is the sponsors who bring cash, prizes and other benefits to the tournament and in return it is fair and reasonable to recognise their contribution. We trust you will understand and accept this.

On the first board of the set Coli/Free missed a game and an overtrick got away in the play, giving their opponents a fine score. On the second Wignall/Hooykas missed the best partscore with 25 HCP, playing 2NT, not a 4-4 minor fit. They were unlucky to find the cards poorly divided so that even the partscore went down but still scored an average since much of the field played 3NT down 200 or more. This was the third deal:

| Dealer: East | - A Q 5 |  | West | North | East | South Hooykaas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - A63 |  | Coli | Wignall | Free |  |  |
| Brd 6 | -9532 |  |  |  | Pass | Pass |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | +A64 |  | 14 | Double | Pass | 24 |  |
| - J 109 |  | - K 32 | Pass | 2 | Pass | 29 |  |
| - 9 |  | - J 1052 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| - A 1084 |  | - J 76 |  | Makeab | le Con | acts |  |
| \& K Q J 105 |  | -982 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - 8764 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | -KQ874 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - K Q |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | \& 73 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\%$ |

I thought Wignall took a shrewd call despite his decent hand when he shut up shop in 20 facing a passed hand. Hooykaas may have thought he had missed game when dummy came down, but he won the club lead, played a heart to hand, (observing the nine to his right - a card that strongly suggested the defenders would not be able to promote a trump) then finessed in spades. When it lost, the defenders immediately played two more rounds of clubs to tap him.

Again Hooykaas did the right thing by playing $\uparrow A$ and another spade, in case the suit was breaking badly. When it split 3-3 the defenders had to let him score a diamond spade and heart or a diamond and two hearts, for +110 . That was an $81 \%$ result for declarer - the field was in $3 \%$ or $4 \odot$.
I moved on to watch David Morgan and Stephen Fischer play Matthew Brown and Ella Pattison. The first board of the set saw some very happy (and at least one extremely unhappy!) declarer.

| Dealer: South | - J 10 |  | West | North | East | SouthPattison |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - Q 762 |  | Fischer | Brown | Morgan |  |  |
| Brd 7 | - AKQ |  |  |  |  | Pass |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | \& K 1062 |  | Pass | 1NT | Double | 2 |  |
| -98 |  | ¢ AKQ74 32 | Pass | Pass | 3 | Pass |  |
| - K J 3 |  | - A 4 | 4. | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| -9642 |  | -J |  | Make | le Contra | Pas |  |
| \& J 853 |  | \& $\mathrm{A}^{\text {Q }} 7$ | 4 | - | 4 | - | NT |
|  | - 65 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | - |
|  | -10985 |  | - | 1 | - | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | -108753 |  |  | - |  | - | - |
|  | +94 |  | 3 | - |  | - | 4 |

Can you blame Pattison for leading the $\boldsymbol{\$ 9}$ ? I can't. Morgan had the pleasure of covering this with the jack, taking the king with the ace and then running seven rounds of spades to watch the North hand implode in a repeating triple squeeze.


Brown took his best chance when he discarded his $\vee$, but declarer cashed the $\leqslant$ and North was put through the wringer again. That was 710 and a $65 \%$ score for E/W while +680 would have been an average. You remember my saying that most of the time declarer seemed to score well automatically just for getting to play the hand? That was emphatically not the case when South passed a penalty double of 1NT! Chu and Draper achieved the ultimate on defence, running seven spades for the same triple squeeze mentioned before. That was for seven down and a penalty of 2000. 11 pairs suffered various fates along these lines (some getting out for 800) and two pairs bid and made slam on the E/W cards. Wow!

For the next round I watched Tony Ong and Sophie Ashton (Sartaj is home minding the store) take on Pauline Gumby and Warren Lazer.

| Dealer：East | －A Q 32 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul：Both | －AQ 6 |  | Ong | Gumby | Ashton | Lazer |  |
| Brd 10 | －Q 982 |  |  |  | $2 \mathbf{c}^{(8-11)}$ | Pass |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | \＆Q 9 |  | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 |  |
| ¢ 86 |  | ¢ K J 10975 | Pass | 3 | Pass | 4 |  |
| －J 98 |  | $\bullet 7$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| －A 754 |  | －K 3 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \＆A 642 |  | \＆J 1073 | － | 2 | － | 2 | NT |
|  | ¢ 4 |  | 2 | － | 2 | － | ¢ |
|  | －K 105432 |  | － | 3 | － | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | －J 106 |  | － | 3 | － | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ¢K 85 |  | 2 | － | 2 | － | 4 |

Ashton had no reason to lead $\$ \mathrm{~K}$（especially since her partner had not doubled $3 \leqslant$ ）so she kicked off with $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ． When Ong played low－a logical enough defence if partner had $\$ 9$－declarer could win and draw trumps then set up diamonds，with her \＄ 98 a defence against further attack．Yes if Ong flies up with \＆A to shift to diamonds he beats the game，but why would he do that？
Gumby bid a good slam on the next deal for a $61 \%$ result（she needed to hit the jackpot of playing 6NT to get a $99 \%$ result but that was far too hard in the face of opposition pre－emption）．
It was Lazer who had the problem on the next deal：

| Dealer：West | Q53 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul：N－S | 94 |
| Brd 12 | KJ 94 |
| Open Pairs Q2 | $\$$ K 1076 |


| ¢ A 106 | ¢ J 42 |
| :---: | :---: |
| －AK 1075 | $\checkmark 8632$ |
| － 3 | － 8752 |
| \％J942 | ¢ 53 |

© K 987
－Q J
－A Q 106
\＆A Q 8

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ong | Gumby | Ashton | Lazer |
| $1 ゅ$ | Pass | $3 ゅ$ | Double |
| $4 凶$ | Double | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Had Ong passed 30 he would have been likely to go plus against a minor－suit，but when he bid $4 \checkmark$ and Gumby doubled I am not sure if Lazer had the hand to remove on．．．after all if partner wanted to bid spades didn＇t they have a way to do so？Of course it is all a matter of partnership agreement but bidding turned a $93 \%$ result for +300 into a $35 \%$ score．
There are very few times when you can say as a spectator that both you and the player you are watching are considering a psych at the same moment．It turned out that the next deal was one of them．

| Dealer：West <br> Vul．E－W | $\text { - A } 842$ <br> －KQ1074 |  | West Cater | North Adams | East <br> Dunbar | South Peake |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 16 | －A 9 |  | Pass | 19 | Pass | 14 |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | －109 |  | Pass | 24 | Pass | 4\＄ |  |
| ¢ Q 6 |  | ¢ 93 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| －832 |  | －65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| －8652 |  | －QJ1074 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \＆ 8643 |  | \＆AK Q 7 | － | 3 | － | 3 | NT |
|  | ¢ K J 1075 |  | － | 5 | － | 5 | ¢ |
|  | －A J 9 |  | － | 5 | － | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | －K 3 |  | 1 | － | 1 | － | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \＆J 52 |  | － | － | － | － | 9 |

I was sitting behind Peake，and wondered whether he would bid $3<$ over $2-$ since there was virtually no way his partner would ever take the auction out of control．When Jenny Cater put her finger unerringly on a low club，that earned her a $75 \%$ result instead of a $25 \%$ board．At the end of the hand Peake turned to me and said＂I knew I should have bid $3 \not \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime \prime}$＂

| Dealer: East | - J |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - A J 542 |  | Cater | Adams | Dunbar | Peake |  |
| Brd 18 | - 74 |  |  |  | Pass | $1 \checkmark$ |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | \% K Q J 65 |  | Pass | 19 | Pass | 2NT |  |
| - Q 63 |  | ¢ 109742 | Pass | 3\% | Pass | 34 |  |
| -K973 |  | -106 | Pass | 3NT | All Pas |  |  |
| - 62 |  | - K Q 98 |  | Makeab | le Cont | acts |  |
| \& 10972 |  | \& 84 | - | 5 | - | 6 | NT |
|  | ¢ AK 85 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | \$ |
|  | - Q 8 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A J 1053 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A 3 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | 4 |

Adams agonized before signing off, but she was right - in a sense, since slam is nothing to write home about. How would you play 3NT on a top club lead? Peake started off on the right path to make 12 tricks. Win the club in dummy and play a diamond. Let's look at East splitting his honours first. Win the ace, unblock clubs, lead $\triangle Q$ covered all round and lead a second diamond. East must duck (or your diamonds are good) so you win, cross to $\downarrow \mathrm{J}$, and run the clubs. This is the ending as the last club is led.

For those of you who believe 'Vice is nice' this is a remarkable squeeze without the count. East must pitch a spade (if he lets go a diamond declarer pitches a spade then sets up diamonds) declarer discards a diamond, and now West must keep spades, so lets go a heart. A low heart now endplays West to lead a high spade (when declarer's hand is high) or a low spade when dummy is high!


OK let's consider East ducking the first diamond. Declarer wins cheaply in hand unblocks clubs and leads MQ. When West covers it is tempting to duck - but a heart return breaks up the tension of the ending. Instead declarer wins and peels off four but NOT five clubs. (If he cashes the last club he squeezes his own hand). Instead he leads a diamond to hand to force East to split his honours, goes back to $P$ J, and takes the last club to transpose into the identical ending.
(At the table declarer went after diamonds and now might have been held to ten tricks; in the end he finished up with 11 tricks for an average result).

I'm normally not a welcome spectator at Margi Bourke's table - she claims I bring her bad luck - but on this occasion she had nothing to grumble about. After her opponents took a phantom save at unfavourable vulnerability, one of her opponents had to decide what to rebid on the West cards here.

| Dealer: South <br> Vul: Both | - J 102 |  | West | North <br> Kozakos | East | South <br> Bourke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 23 | - J 108 |  | 14 | Pass | 24 | $1 *$ |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | -K 93 |  |  |  |  | Pass |  |
| - Q 8653 |  | - K 4 | ?? |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 5 |  | $\bullet 94$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } 32 \\ & \& \text { Q } 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | - A 74 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  | -A97 \& A 10875 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  |  |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
|  | - AK76 |  | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q 965 |  | 1 | - |  | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | + 42 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | 9 |

If you play $2 \boldsymbol{\$}$ as forcing, do you potentially wrong-side no-trump by bidding $2 N T$, temporize with 2 (which might set up a game-force) or do something else? I'd go for 2NT myself. When West chose $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ he ended in 4 down 200 with 3NT cold his way.

Then George Kozakos was rewarded for a thoughtful play.

| Dealer: West Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \oplus 742 \\ & \bullet K Q 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North <br> Kozakos | East | South <br> Bourke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 24 | - Q J 1075 |  | 2 | Pass | Pass | Double |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | \& 102 |  | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{1}$ | Pass | 3NT |  |
| ¢ Q J 86 |  | -10953 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 8653 |  | -10 | 1 Lebensohl |  |  |  |  |
| -2 |  | - A 9843 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| ¢976 |  | \& A J 5 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | - AK |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | \$ |
|  | $\bullet$ A 974 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - K 6 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K Q 843 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | 9 |

I'm not sure if Bourke knew her customer but East significantly broke tempo over 2v; Bourke drove her hand to game anyway and Kozakos was treated to a low diamond lead which he could win in either hand. Instead of playing on diamonds he made the nice play of overtaking $>6$ with $\diamond 7$ and led a club to the king, the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$, ducked all round, then came to hand with a heart to set up diamonds. He could win the spade, come back to hand in hearts, and run the diamonds, pitching spade losers. Then he could lead a club to dummy for 11 tricks and a 95\% result.

For the last round of the event Danny and Joann Sprung, visitors from Las Vegas, took on Matthew Brown and Ella Pattison. The first board saw East steal some overtricks in a 3NT game (yes you might blame North for a 34 opening on $\$ 1087543$ - when your partner leads from the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ Q and it isn't right, you have to take the blame)!

East had a chance on the next deal to rub it in.

| Dealer: East Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ゅ } 7 \\ & \bullet \text { Q } 98654 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East 2\& | South Pass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 26 | - Q 84 |  | 2 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |  |
| Open Pairs Q2 | \& 743 |  | 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| ¢A953 |  | ¢ K 42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 72 |  | - AK 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -653 |  | - AK 2 |  | Make | le Con | acts |  |
| \% K 98 |  | \& A Q J 10 | 4 | - | 4 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ Q J 1086 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | ¢ |
|  | - 10 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | - J 1097 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 652 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 |

West had done well not to tip his RHO off on lead. After the $\Phi Q$ lead East ducked and South thoughtfully shifted to a diamond. Now 10 tricks were the limit. Best play here is to win the spade at trick one and return the suit, planning to cover an honour and come back to hand to lead up to the $\$ 9$. Or you can win in hand and run four rounds of clubs, planning to duck a spade and read the position later. Because so many of the field had bid slam here making 630 was only a little below average, but +660 would have been a $70 \%$ result.


## OPEN PAIRS FINAL SESSION 1

Barry Rigal

Bobby Richman pairs championships final 1
The movement for the 28 - pair final features the pairs snaking up one table and alternating N/S and E/W till they reach the top table, where they switch compass direction. As an experiment I decided to sit at that top table, which meant I would watch everyone but the stationary pair for two rounds each, consecutively.

On the first deal N/S had a slam, but only one pair bid it. The key was to make all the tricks with as little risk as possible.

| Dealer: North | ¢ J 106 |  | West Vadas | North Lee | East Fleischer | South Whibley |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 1 | -10975 |  |  | 14. | 1 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| Open Pairs F1 | \& AKQ 84 |  | 3 | Pass | Pass | 4 |  |
| - 732 |  | - K 5 | Pass | 4* | All Pass |  |  |
| - 873 |  | -K964 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K643 |  | - A Q J 82 |  | Make | le Contra |  |  |
| ¢1062 |  | ¢ 73 | - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
|  | - A Q 984 |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | - |
|  | - AQJ5 2 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\bullet$ |
|  | ---- |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
|  | \& J 95 |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | 4 |

The defenders led a low diamond to the ace, suggesting West had the $\checkmark$ K. Whibley ruffed the opening lead, crossed to a club, passed the $\quad \mathrm{J}$ successfully, then took a heart finesse, ruffed a heart and drew trumps. He took two hearts, a ruff, and five tricks in each black suit for +510 and a $69 \%$ result. Brown/Pattison took all the MP by bidding slam.
Flushed with success for taking all 13 tricks, Lee and Whibley repeated the feat on the second deal - and this time bid the cards to the maximum.

| Dealer: East | - K 4 |  | West | North | East | South Whibley |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - A 43 |  | Vadas | Lee | Fleischer |  |  |
| Brd 2 | - AKQ962 |  |  |  | $2 \checkmark^{\text {Multi }}$ | Double |  |
| Open Pairs F1 | \& Q 5 |  | 2 | Double | Pass | 3NT |  |
| -J98632 |  | ¢ Q 5 | Pass | 4 | Pass | 5 |  |
| - K 10 |  | - J 9875 | Pass | 5 | Pass | 54 |  |
| -1073 |  | - J 854 | Pass | 7NT | All Pass |  |  |
| ¢93 |  | ¢ 86 |  | Makeab | le Contra | acts |  |
|  | - A 107 |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | NT |
|  | - Q 62 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  | --- |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& AKJ 10742 |  | - | 5 | - | 6 | - |
|  |  |  | - | 7 | - | 7 | 4 |

E/W did their best (though had West jumped to 30 it might have been even harder). Whibley's calculated overbid of 3NT saw Lee bid diamonds and when his partner did not sign off he went for the big prize. Five of the 14 tables bid 7NT.

On the next deal, as if two slams weren't enough Vadar/Fleischer bid their slam and got a $65 \%$ score. There was an 1100 save available; the key is whether having pre-empted at favourable vulnerability you'd expect partner to have a trick on defence for:
( $1 \uparrow$ ) $-3-(4 \mathrm{NT})-5 \star$, as opposed to ( $1 \uparrow$ )-3-(4NT)-6
Lee didn't save as pre-empter with a cashing ace, but in an unfamiliar partnership one can hardly blame him especially as from Whibley's perspective slam might not have been making and $6 \mathbf{x}$ might well have cost more than the slam. (Whibley had $\downarrow \mathrm{Qx} x$ and the $\% \mathrm{~K}$ in a 3-2-4-4 shape).
For round two I got to watch Michael Courtney and his own special brand of matchpoint madness. On the first deal he pre-empted at favourable vulnerability with what to a jaundiced eye looked more like a one-level opener, down 500 against a game missed by virtually the whole field.

As if to teach him a sharp lesson, his partner Sue Ingham then opened 2 on a hand where the field was bidding $3 \checkmark$. Result? Her opponents stopped in $3 \bullet$ and made only ten tricks for a top, since the rest of the room was in game, often making 11 tricks. That'll teach you, Michael.

Next up were the pair who would be leading at session-end. Danny and Joann Sprung.
After Danny stole an overtrick in a 3NT contract for virtually all the matchpoints, it was Joann's turn to play a hand for all the tricks available.

| Dealer: South Vul: None | +954 $\bullet 52$ |  | West <br> Danny | North L Watt | East <br> Joann | South <br> R Watt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 11 | -764 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |
| Open Pairs F1 | ¢98765 |  | Pass | Pass | Double | Pass |  |
| -KQ73 |  | ¢ 8 | 2NT | Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |
| - 987 |  | -KQJ643 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 103 |  | -K952 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& A J 3 |  | \& K 4 | 3 | - | 4 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ A J 1062 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | ¢ |
|  | - A 10 |  | 3 | - | 4 | - | - |
|  | - A Q 8 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ¢ Q 102 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | 8 |

Joann might have jumped to 3 over 1s -- an intermediate jump in balancing seat, but got to the same point on a different route. Russell led A and another spade, letting Joann win (pitching a diamond) and lose a heart to the ace. Back came a heart and she ran the trumps, forcing South to come down on the penultimate trump to two spades and A Q, thus only two clubs. That meant she didn't have to guess which queen if either to play North for, since the $\&$ Q popped up on the second round of the suit. +420 meant a $69 \%$ score.
My favourite board of the event saw the Sprungs again fighting for overtricks.


Joann won the diamond lead in dummy to lead a club to the king and then to pass the club nine. Bilski exited with a low heart to the king, and Joann ducked. She won the diamond return and ran her clubs, forcing a total of three discards from Bilski. When he discarded a heart and two spades, Joann cashed $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$, reducing dummy and West down to three hearts. she led a heart to the nine and Bilski's ten, but he was endplayed to lead hearts into the tenace for +630 . Nicely done.

Two rounds later, Bill Powell and Marjorie Askew defended a game nicely. But l'll put you in the hot seat as South - see if you can do better.

| Dealer: South Vul: E-W | ¢ K Q J 7643 $\bullet 8$ |  | West <br> Askew | North | East <br> Powell | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 19 | -103 |  |  |  |  | 1NT |  |
| Open Pairs F1 | \% 1094 |  | Double | $4{ }^{\text {* }}$ 's | Double | 4¢ |  |
| ¢ 9 |  | ¢ A 105 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| -QJ97542 |  | - K 3 | 1 One s | ter or mi | r+ major |  |  |
| - 54 |  | -KJ862 |  | Make | le Contr | acts |  |
| \& J 86 |  | \& K 72 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | ¢ 82 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | ¢ |
|  | - A 106 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - AQ 97 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A Q 53 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | \$ |

Put yourself in South's seat on the lead of the diamond five. How would you play it?


Our to-be-unnamed declarer played low from dummy, took the $\checkmark$ with his $\$ Q$ and played a trump, ducked by Powell, then another trump on which West discouraged hearts. now after a club shift declarer was afraid to finesse, so he rose ace, played A and ruffed a heart and ran the trumps. Can you see declarer's fatal mistake? Had he unblocked the $\$ 10$ at trick one he has 12 tricks. This is the ending:
On the last trump South simply watches East's discard and lets go the other suit, then finesses diamonds and claims the rest. As it was though, he took just 10 tricks.
Last year's winners Howard Melbourne and Barbara Travis came to my table with two rounds to go and produced some devilish bridge.
On the first deal Melbourne bid on his own to the four level, persuading his opponents with four 'apparently' cashing winners to double him. Alas, Melbourne had been lurking with 6-6 pattern and wrapped up +710 .

Then Travis did well on opening lead.

| Dealer: South | - Q J 742 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AK9 7 |  |
| Brd 23 | -92 |  |
| Open Pairs F1 | $\pm 75$ |  |
| - K 10 |  | - A 653 |
| - J 108432 |  | $\bullet 6$ |
| - 7 |  | -KQ54 |
| ¢KQ 84 |  | +10962 |
|  | -98 |  |
|  | - Q 5 |  |
|  | - A J 10863 |  |
|  | + A J 3 |  |

Travis tracked the $\$ 10$, and declarer could not avoid six top losers. On a heart lead declarer will win in hand and set up diamonds. The defenders can do no better than one down after that start and might struggle even to do that.

## RESTRICTED PAIRS QUALIFYING SESSION 2 - ACTION AT THE KIWI TABLE Brent Manley

Jenny Carr and Kees De Vocht of Christchurch made it to the A Final of the Intermediate Pairs, overcoming a couple of tough boards. This deal was particularly frustrating for the pair.

| Dealer: South | ¢ A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - AK 9752 |  |
| Brd 27 | -9 |  |
| Rest Prs Qual 2 | \& A J 1074 |  |
| ¢ 1097532 |  | ¢ Q J 864 |
| - J 43 |  | - 6 |
| - K 105 |  | - A J 43 |
| $\bigcirc 2$ |  | \& K 98 |
|  | ¢ K |  |
|  | - Q 108 |  |
|  | - Q 8762 |  |
|  | \&Q653 |  |

De Vocht, North, opened $1 \bullet$ in third seat. East overcalled $1 \uparrow$ and Carr raised to $2 \boldsymbol{*}$. West then jumped to $4 \uparrow$ with her six-card support, after which De Vocht pushed on with a bid of $5 \bullet$ and East kept going with a bid of 54. That went around to de Vocht, who had one last chance to go plus by passing or doubling. He gave his next call some thought before pushing on to $6 \boldsymbol{V}$. It was difficult to tell whether his side had more than a couple of tricks against 54.

The opponents didn't even have to double to collect nearly all the matchpoints. Plus 50 was good enough with East winning the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and $\$ \mathrm{~K}$.

In fairness to Carr-de Vocht, doubling 5 would have produced just +100 for a poor score, so no matter what they did, the score was going to be disappointing. At some tables, East managed to go two down in 5 doubled, but that was still only $35 \%$ for North-South.

## SENIORS PAIRS FINAL SESSION 1

Brent Manley


Dealer: East

- A Q 1096

Vul: E-W

- 862

Brd 6

- 1053
\& A 9
- K 832
- 975
-K
\& K Q 543

In the second half of the first session of the Senior Pairs Final A, Paul Lavings (pictured left) and Robert Krochmalik were moving from one table to another. The two had just posted a couple of good results, prompting Lavings to comment, "We're clawing our way back to average."
Well, not exactly. Although it apparently didn't seem so to Lavings, they were already above average and on their way to a $55.70 \%$ game, good for fourth overall with two final sessions left to play. It's not unusual for players of the stature of Lavings and Krochmalik to set high standards for themselves, and they maintained the standard over 27 boards in the session. On 10 of those boards, the pair scored 69\% or better.

On this board, accurate defence and a slip by declarer gave them a near top. Lavings was West, Krochmalik East.


Lavings led the $\$$. Krochmalik thought for a bit before overtaking with the $\star$ A and giving his partner a ruff. Lavings continued with the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, taken by declarer with dummy's ace. South could have easily made his contract by exiting dummy with a club. He would then be able to ruff a club in dummy for his 10th trick. Instead, declarer pulled trumps and could not recover. He had to lose two clubs plus the $\backslash A$ and the diamond ruff. Plus 50 was worth $92 \%$ for Lavings and Krochmalik.
On this deal, Lavings scooped up some overtricks to earn his side another fine score.


Lavings always has 11 tricks, even with a spade lead. On the actual club lead, he won in hand and played the $\checkmark$ Q at trick two. North covered, and Lavings played low from dummy. He won the club continuation, cashed the $\quad J$, entered dummy with a diamond to the ace. When the $\$ 10$ came down, Lavings could claim 12 tricks for plus 690 and $85 \%$ of the matchpoints.
On this deal, Lavings and Krochmalik didn't earn a great score, but their bidding agreements helped them avoid a disaster. Lavings was West, Krochmalik East

| Dealer: South | ¢ A |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - J 73 |  |  |  |  | Pass |
| Brd 27 | - Q 8532 |  | 14 | Pass | 10* | Pass |
|  | \&9864 |  | 14 | Pass | 2** | Pass |
| - 642 |  | ¢ K J 10873 | 2NT* | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| - A 85 |  | - KQ42 | 4** | Pass | 4\$ | All Pass |
| -K 9 |  | - A |  | Make | le Con | acts |
| \& A J 1073 |  | \& Q 5 | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | - Q 95 |  | 5 | - | 5 | ¢ |
|  | - 1096 |  | 5 | - | 5 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - J 10764 |  | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K 2 |  | 5 | - | 5 | 9 |

Krochmalik's 1 was a transfer to spades. His $2 \downarrow$ was an artificial, game-forcing checkback. Lavings' 2NT denied a high honor in spades. His $4 \boldsymbol{V}$ showed controls in clubs, diamonds and hearts.
North led a diamond to dummy's singleton ace. At trick two, Lavings played dummy's $\& Q$, covered by the king and ace. When Lavings played a trump from hand, North rose with the ace and continued with a club to Lavings. When Lavings played a second spade from hand and North discarded, Lavings claimed plus 450 for a $54 \%$ score. Had the final contract been 64, Lavings and Krochmalik's score for minus 50 would have been 4\%.

On this board from the first half of the session, a Krochmalik overtrick was good for another excellent score. Krochmalik was South, Lavings North.

| Dealer: West | - AK 108 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - A 10984 |  |
| Brd 20 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{K} 8 \\ \bullet \mathrm{~J} 7 \end{array}$ |  |
| - 53 |  | - Q 42 |
| -K7652 |  | - Q J 3 |
| - J 6 |  | - AQ 73 |
| ¢K862 |  | -1053 |
|  | - J 976 |  |
|  | - --- |  |
|  | -109542 |  |
|  | \& A Q 94 |  |

West started with the $>$ J. Krochmalik covered with the king and East won the A, continuing with a low diamond at trick two. Krochmalik won that trick with dummy's $\leqslant 8$. He cashed the trump ace then ran the do to West's king. A club return went to East's 10 and declarer's ace. Krochmalik ruffed a low diamond in dummy, then trumped a low heart in hand, played a spade to dummy's king and ruffed another heart. Krochmalik then cashed the $\& \mathrm{Q}$ and $₫ 9$, pitching hearts from dummy. East ruffed the $\$ 9$ with the master trump and exited with a heart, but Krochmalik could claim on a crossruff at that point for plus 170 and a $69 \%$ score.

Krochmalik and Lavings finished the session with $55.7 \%$ placed in fourth position after the completion of one third of the finals.



## THE KLINGER QUIZ

Ron Klinger
$\left.\begin{array}{|llll|}\hline \text { DIr W } & & \text { Q Q J 2 } & \\ \text { All Vul } & & \text { 1054 } & \\ & & \text { A J 10 } & \\ & & \text { \& J 3 2 }\end{array}\right]$.

What would you do as North?
Solution: From a national team selection:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q J } 2 \\ & 1054 \\ & \text { A J } 10 \\ & \& \text { J } 832 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ K 83 |  | ¢ 974 |
| - 86 |  | -KJ73 |
| -8732 |  | -964 |
| \& A Q 94 |  | ¢ K 65 |

-A 1065

- AQ 92
- KQ 5
\& 107
At both tables South opened a 15-17 1NT after three passes. What should North do?

At one table North passed and declarer scored +150 . At the other North raised to 3NT at once, where some might merely invite game. The idea was that the partnership would have at least 24 HCP and that is enough to bid a vulnerable game at IMPs. Also, with both opponents passed hands, South should have a better chance of judging the location of the missing high cards. In addition, with two tens, North can justify an upgrade to 10 points.
Note that if North invites with 2NT, South has only 15 HCP and figures to pass.
West led a diamond, won cheaply in dummy. The 4 went to the 9 and when that held, South led a low spade from hand. West took the $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathrm{K}$ and switched to a low club. East took the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and returned a club to West, who reverted to diamonds. When a heart to the queen held, declarer had nine tricks for +600 and +10 Imps.

Playing on hearts first looks best since, if declarer takes the spade finesse first, West might well work out to duck. Now if declarer repeats the spade finesse West will win and be able to safely exit in diamonds.

## BRIDGE WITH BARRY

## Barry Rigal

Today's deal is an example of how Deep Finesse can tell you what is supposed to happen with best play by both sides...but how rarely we actually see that! It isn't just a question of dropping singleton honours offside, there is also declarer's advantage in that he can see all the assets for his side. The defence cannot.

| Dir South All N/S | - J 2 <br> -KQJ5 <br> - Q 1092 <br> \& A 102 |  | West Nilssen 14 | North | East Leong <br> Pass | South <br> Pass 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔A Q } 1064 \\ & \bullet \text { A } 94 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \oplus 975 \\ & \bullet 10873 \end{aligned}$ | Pass | Pass | 2 | All Pass |
| $\begin{aligned} & \quad \text { K J } 7 \\ & +75 \end{aligned}$ |  | - A 63 <br> - K 63 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔K83 } \\ & * 62 \\ & \& 854 \\ & \& \text { QJ } 983 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Deep Finesse suggests that West can make nine tricks, but he had better guess the play well. Let's see what happened at the table.
Ulf Nilsson, playing with Eric Leong, declared 2 on the $\geqslant$ K lead, South encouraging with the 96 . Nilsson tried the effect of dropping the $\mathbf{~} 9$ - and it worked!

North innocently continued with the $\mathbf{~} 5$, letting Nilsson win the $\boldsymbol{\nabla 1 0}$ and finesse the $₫ \mathbf{Q}$, then draw a second trump with the ace. Even better for Nilsson, North won the ace when declarer played on clubs (ducking would have broken up the squeeze) and returned the suit.
Nilsson won the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and played the third spade. South won and shifted to a diamond, but Nilsson won the king in hand, cashed the A and played his last two trumps. North could discard one diamond on the first trump but was squeezed in the red suits on the second for plus 170 to East-West.

## BE GUIDED BY BRENT

What you need to know Part 3 - Brent Manley
At an afternoon duplicate game, a new round was called. A woman named Trudy moved to a table where North was in deep thought. Trudy was surprised to see the player at the club because she knew the woman worked days. As Trudy sat down, she said, "Are you playing hooky today?" The woman stammered, "What? Oh, no - we play Schenken."

Schenken is a bidding system probably not known to many new players. That's not surprising because it does not have nearly as many adherents as it once did. In case you're interested, it was devised by the late Howard Schenken, generally considered the best player of his generation.
Not the best bidder, mind you - the best player. There's a difference. Fancy bidding systems can be great if handled properly, but they don't take tricks, which is your ultimate goal.
Does it matter what you play? Perhaps, but what matters more is how well you and your partner know your bidding system, and that brings me to the point of this essay.

The easiest way for you and your partner to know your system back to front is to keep your system simple. The fewer the moving parts, the easier it is to manage. Here are a few tips for you to get on the same page with your partner.

1. Decide on a "style" for your opening bids. It won't work if one of you is opening flimsy 11-pointers and the other is sticking to a philosophy of "sound" openers - that is, at least a good 12 high-card points with a couple of quick tricks. In this context, "good" means your high cards are mostly aces and kings in your long suit(s).
2. If you are tempted to use the Rule of 20 as the guideline for your openers, consider putting that idea on the shelf - or at least adjusting it to the Rule of 22: You can open if your high-card points and the number of cards in your two long suits equals 20 and you have two quick tricks (aces and kings).
3. Decide on a short list of conventions to play and discuss them thoroughly. Good starters are Stayman, Blackwood, major-suit transfers over 1NT and 2NT openers. Discuss follow-ups.

| West | North | East | South <br> You |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 N T$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | $? ?$ |

Is $2 \boldsymbol{t o}$ play or is it forcing?

| West | North | East | South <br> You |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 N T$ |
| Pass | $2 ゅ$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $? ?$ |

Is 3 to play or is it forcing?
What would a direct $3 \leqslant$ over 1NT mean?


These two merely scratch the surface. Whichever way you decide to go, discussion is vital. Hint: If you don't know what a bid means, consider it to be forcing.
4. If you don't play negative doubles over partner's one-level openers, give it serious thought. It's not terribly complicated, and using double strictly for penalty when someone overcalls will put you in more awkward positions than you can imagine.
5. Don't add a convention until you and your partner are confident you understand how it works, including follow-ups. This includes any system you decide to play in defence of a 1NT opener. If you do adopt some conventional system, resist the temptation to use it just because it's on your card. A disciplined partner is a partner to treasure. Try to be one.

2017 VICTOR CHAMPION CUP
FESTIVAL of BRIDGE

Thursday $8^{\text {th }}$ June - Monday $12^{\text {th }}$ June 2016 Bayview Eden Hotel: 6 Queens Road, Melbourne Victoria

Swiss Pairs Events - Thursday $8^{\text {th }}$ June and Friday $9^{\text {th }}$ June 10.30am start
Womens, Seniors, Open, Restricted, and <200 MP
Swiss Teams - 10am start Saturday $10^{\text {th }}$ June to Monday $12^{\text {th }}$ June (Open, Mixed, Women, Senior, Restricted, Life Master)

Come and join us. Stay where you play Presentations and Hospitality on Friday and Monday
For more details visit the website at www.abfevents.com.aulevents/vcc/2017


Meet \& Greet $\leqslant$ General Discussion $\downarrow$ Q \& A
All Directors \& Players are Welcome Upstairs Room 10, 11 or 12

### 8.30 am-10.00 am Wednesday $22^{\text {nd }}$ February <br> Enquiries: Jan Peach 0487-466-109 Email: janpeach8@bigpond.com



## DIRECTOR'S TIP <br> Concession By Defender

- When a concession is made by a Defender, their partner may dispute it. The director must be called, but the concession can be withdrawn and play can continue.
- Any comments made by the player in making the concession, or any cards exposed in this process, remain as Unauthorised Information to their partner
- The Director should be called if this happens.
- You cannot concede a trick that you cannot lose.


## Monday $1^{\text {ST }}$ TO Sunday $7^{\text {TH }}$ MAY 2017

Bridge for Brain Research Challenge: During the first week of May, host a bridge session and donate the proceeds to Alzheimer's research at NeuRA. We are asking that all bridge clubs and players throughout Australia raise funds and/or make a donation in support of Alzheimer's research.
There is no entry fee - we are relying on the support and generosity of bridge clubs and players to use this event to raise funds and make donations. More Information: https://www.neura.edu.au/bridge

## NEUROSCIENCE RESEARCH AUSTRALIA

NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia) is dedicated to reducing the burden that disorders of the brain and nervous system place on our community.

With this focus, we aim to advance health and wellbeing for our families and our community. We truly believe that, for those affected by devastating diseases, medical research offers the only hope.

## COLD COAST CONCRESS 2017 RAFFLE

We will be collecting throughout the tournament, and ask players to support this exceptionally worth charity including two raffles- 1 ticket $\$ 5$ or 10 tickets $\$ 10$.

The first prize will be one entry (two players) to the 2018 GCC Pairs Championship. The next ten tickets drawn will be to "win a club game with an expert". At the discretion of the winning ticket holder, another prize as listed at the ticket sales desk may be substituted for the club game.

At the same time we will be running a separate raffle to win an exquisite Scrumble Throw Rug which has been handmade and generously donated by bridge player/artist, Leonie Spence. There is 1000 hours work in this throw which can only be fully appreciated by a viewing of it at Faye Wyer's table.

At the discretion of the winning ticket holder, another prize from a list on display, may be substituted for the game with an expert - among these prizes is a $\$ 500$ discount voucher donated by Andy Hung for his forthcoming Noosa Bridge Holiday $7^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ May 2017.

In relation to the game with an expert: There will be 10 winners drawn, with each winner, (in order of being drawn), being given the option of choosing from the remaining pool of experts to play one club session of bridge with the expert at a mutually agreed time and place. Entry fees for both player and expert to be paid by the winning ticket holder. Raffle will be drawn following the 10:30 Teams session on Thursday 23 February.

## ALL PROCEEDS TO ZEPHYR EDUCATION INC. HELPING KIDS AFFECTED BY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE GET BACK TO SCHOOL



We encourage all players to dress in keeping with the Gold Coast Annual Theme for Wednesday Teams Sessions. The theme is "Stars and Stripes" - make of it what you will! Parade and photographs 2:30pm to $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ Wednesday $22^{\text {nd }}$ February. Prizes will be available for some combination of groups, pairs or individuals.


PROBLEM 3: Work this one out when you know where all the cards are! East is on lead and defending no-trumps. How can East West defend so that they can win three of the four remaining tricks?

Find the solution on page 17.

NEED TRANSPORT HOME FROM THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS? OR DO YOU WANT TO SHARE A TAXI TO THE AIRPORT
If you are looking for a "ride" home after the tournament you really should visit the travel desk outside the Administration Office where players can exchange offers of a ride with people needing one.


## Red Steakhouse \& Burger Bar

Gold Coast's best steakhouse \& burger bar offering premium casual dining in a relaxed atmosphere. Every bite will be a memorable one at RED. Our Chef's use traditional and unique cooking preparations, rarely seen these days, to guarantee a moist and tender steak every time. Prepared with Chef's secret basting recipe, RED's steaks are cooked to perfection in a custom-made wood fired charcoal grill, bringing a smoky and delicious flavour to the meat.

Open 7 Days Lunch \& Dinner, until late. 18-20 Victoria Avenue, Broadbeach


## SOLUTION - TIPS FROM BRIDGE VID YOUR ONLINE BRIDGE COACH

Peter Hollands and Laura Ginnan
PROBLEM 3: Work this one out when you know where all the cards are! East is on lead and defending no trumps. How can East West defend so that they can win three of the four remaining tricks?
SOLUTION: This one was tricky to spot! East must play the $\quad$ A and West must discard (Jettison) their $\boldsymbol{\$ A}$ ! If they do this the spade suit is unblocked and East can with the $\oplus K$ and $\uparrow Q$. This would be quite tricky as defenders but do you think you would have spotted it if you were the declarer and dummy?

## Open Pairs - Leading Scores After 2 of 3 Sessions

| Leading Scores Open Final A |  |  | Leading Scores Open Final B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Matthew Mullamphy - Ron Klinger | 59.12 | 1 | Brad Coles - Fraser Rew | 60.33 |
| 2 | David Lilley - Zolly Nagy | 57.55 | 2 | Watson Zhou - Shaolin Sun | 60.19 |
| 3 | Joann Sprung - Danny Sprung | 56.48 | 3 | Glen Coutts - Kornel Lazar | 56.06 |
| 4 | Barbara Travis - Howard Melbourne | 56.32 | 4 | Nick Jacob - Michael Ware | 55.06 |
| 5 | Justin Howard - Tony Nunn | 56.21 | 5 | Zhuqiang Tian - Charlie Lu | 53.92 |
| 6 | Tao Zhou - Hua Chen | 55.60 | 6 | Wallace Ashton - Michael Wilkinson | 53.63 |
| 7 | Peter Gill - Kathy Boardman | 54.22 | 7 | Vanessa Brown - Leigh Gold | 53.42 |
| Leading Scores Open Final C |  |  | Leading Scores Open Final D |  |  |
| 1 | Julian Foster - David Weston | 59.70 | 1 | Tony Hutton - Bill Hirst | 62.69 |
| 2 | Eileen Li - Yumin Li | 56.40 | 2 | Valerie Gardiner - Rodrigo Garcia Da Rosa | 56.42 |
| 3 | Viv Wood - Dave Debbage | 56.37 | 3 | Liam Milne - Nye Griffiths | 54.50 |
| 4 | Wayne Burrows - Peter Hall | 55.36 | 4 | Jamie Thompson - Johnno Newman | 54.18 |
| 5 | Shizue Futaesaku - Wendy Driscoll | 54.30 | 5 | Grant Cowen - Paul McGrath | 52.95 |
| 6 | Pauline Gumby - Warren Lazer | 53.95 | 6 | Giselle Mundell - Rena Kaplan | 52.92 |
| 7 | Cindy Harris - Joe Harris | 53.80 | 7 | Herve Cheval - Gilles Josnin | 52.20 |
| Leading Scores Open Final E |  |  | Leading Scores Open Final F |  |  |
| 1 | Eva Berger - Tony Berger | 408.50 | 1 | Michael Pemberton - Graham Wakefield | 387.50 |
| 2 | Ken Berry - Normand Maclaurin | 407.50 | 2 | Chris Watson - Shirley Watson | 378.00 |
| 3 | Maureen Jakes - Alison Dawson | 396.00 | 3 | Lori Smith - Tim O'Loughlin | 377.00 |
| 4 | Jarrad Dunbar - Jenny Cater | 385.50 | 4 | Betty Mill - Tania Gariepy | 376.00 |
| 5 | Jane Skipper - John Skipper | 374.00 | 5 | Sam Arber - Richard Greenfield | 366.50 |
| 6 | Nicoleta Giura - Nick Hughes | 372.50 | 6 | Elliott Kaplan - Bernard Edelstein | 366.00 |
| 7 | Paul Brayshaw - Chris Mulley | 357.50 | 7 | Jan Davis - Hayden Seal | 358.50 |
| Leading Scores Open Final G |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Abigail Wanigaratne - Nikolas Moore | 228.50 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Lorraine Stachurski - Alister Stuck | 209.50 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Les Ajzner - Paul Kron | 200.00 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Gillian Alexander - Cheryl Winsor | 191.50 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Beverley Stacey - Michael Phillips | 187.00 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Hugh Gilberg - Richard Massey | 186.50 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Ken Wilks - Rosalie Broughton | 185.00 |  |  |  |

## Seniors Pairs - Leading Scores After 2 of 3 Sessions

| Leading Scores Seniors Final A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Paul Lewis - Linda Lewis | 59.28 | 1 | Clin |
| 2 | Robert Krochmalik - Paul Lavings | 59.18 | 2 | M |
| 3 | Theo Antoff - Michael McAuliffe | 55.82 | 3 | Lan |
| 4 | Richard Brightling - David Hoffman | 4 | Vin |  |
| 5 | Maureen Dennison - John Balson | 53.23 | 5 | He |
| 6 | Ivy Luck - John Luck | 52.70 | 6 | Mal |
| 7 | Lester Kalmin - Lynn Kalmin | 52.40 | 7 |  |

Leading Scores Seniors Final B

| Chris Ingham - Val Biltoft | 57.38 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Maggie Callander - Kerrin Daws | 56.31 |
| Larry Moses - John Gough | 55.50 |
| Vince Cariola - Glenys Fitzpatrick | 54.74 |
| Helen Jeffery - Trish Harrison | 54.34 |
| Malcolm Allan - Cristel Philp | 52.58 |
| Judith Roose-Driver - Johan Roose | 52.26 |

## Intermediate Pairs - Leading Scores After 2 of 3 Sessions

| Leading Scores Intermediate Final A |  |  | Leading Scores Intermediate Final B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Jennifer Bandy - Christine Jenkins | 370.50 | 1 | Vivien Eldridge - Alan Davies | 385.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | John Donovan - Alexander Hewat | 363.50 | 2 | Diana Ellis - Chris Hannan | 378.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Jenifer Codognotto - Annette Rose | 362.50 | 3 | Jean Barbour - Greg Nicholson | 372.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Paul Roberts - Bruce Carroll | 360.50 | 4 | Susie Stevens - Peter Gordon | 363.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Gregory Gosney - Margaret Plunkett | 360.00 | 4 | Sidney Reynolds - Antoinette Rees | 363.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Eric Baker - Chris Stead | 351.50 | 6 | Horst Utzen - Barry Wilson | 356.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | David Yarwood - Derek Richards | 350.00 | 7 | Anne Sim - Leo Sim | 354.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Scores Intermediate Final C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leading Scores Intermediate Final D |  |  |  |
| 1 | Margaret Pisko - Trish Anagnostou | 387.00 | 1 | George Campbell - Sandor Varga | 346.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Marina Darling - Sue Read | 366.00 | 2 | Norma Browne - Allison Simon | 329.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Paul Brake - Jessica Brake | 365.00 | 3 | William Howard - Monty Dale | 318.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Hope Tomlinson - Barry Foster | 364.50 | 4 | Annette Hyland - Nigel Cleminson | 314.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Ashok Chotai - Veena Chotai | 362.50 | 5 | Joy Trigg - Joan Campbell | 308.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Wayne Carroll - Fran Carroll | 361.00 | 6 | Frank Gooley - Dianne Nicholls | 303.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Robin Erskine - Paul Nelson | 360.50 | 7 | Herold Rienstra - Bert Luchjenbroers | 297.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Restricted Pairs - Leading Scores After 2 of 3 Sessions

| Leading Scores Restricted Final A |  |  | Leading Scores Restricted Final B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Jessica Chew - Rez Karim | 61.27 | 1 | Marlise Jones - Kerry Watson | 66.56 |
| 2 | Andrew Gosney - Jack Luke-Paredi | 56.34 | 2 | Donna Upchurch - Nebojsa Djorovic | 64.28 |
| 3 | Yvonne Whittle - Francey Rolls | 55.75 | 3 | Turei Haronga - Christine Haronga | 60.75 |
| 4 | Geoff Saxby - Neil Smith | 55.08 | 4 | Julia Howe - Barbara Rydon | 58.17 |
| 5 | Alex Penklis - Phillip Halloran | 54.84 | 5 | Daria Williams - Jennifer Sawyer | 54.53 |
| 6 | Tilley Thillainathan - Ross Currin | 52.44 | 6 | Allan McIntyre - Terry Nowitzki | 51.99 |
| 7 | Ngaire Crow - Janice Willoughby | 52.26 | 7 | Rebecca Knight - Naureen Gearon | 51.82 |
| Leading Scores Restricted Final C |  |  | Leading Scores Restricted Final D |  |  |
| 1 | Joanne Bakas - Tassi Georgiadis | 59.37 | 1 | Janice Gladders - Robynne Owen | 408.50 |
| 2 | Jillian Griffith - Marie Irving | 57.09 | 2 | Sarah Carradine - Michael Young | 406.50 |
| 3 | Peter Watson - Julia Watson | 56.77 | 3 | Antoinette Dippenaar - Jennette Rosetta | 394.50 |
| 4 | Anne Barry - Karen Elmes | 55.47 | 4 | Jenny Simmons - Kathleen Wilman | 391.00 |
| 5 | Isabella Michie - Jean Pearce | 54.53 | 5 | Marilin Robins - Christine Nice | 390.50 |
| 6 | Sarah Livingston - Honor Middleton | 54.44 | 6 | Kathy Hamilton - John Hamilton | 387.50 |
| 7 | John Evans - John Serry | 53.02 | 6 | Bill Rossiter-Nuttall - Jackie Rossiter-Nuttall | 388.00 |
| Leading Scores Restricted Final E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Brad Tattersfield - Jan Borren | 389.00 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Dianne Hillman - Odette Hall | 373.00 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Karen Smith - Sue Cohen | 369.00 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Helen Cook - Debbie Chappell | 354.50 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Lee Opray - Mieke Van Weel | 348.00 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Alex Hunyor - Roslyn Hunyor | 347.00 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Jim Stewart - David Owen | 339.00 |  |  |  |
| Novice Pairs - Leading Scores After 2 of 3 Sessions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Scores Novice Final A |  |  | Leading Scores Novice Final B |  |  |
| 1 | Derek Poulton - Nick Walsh | 411.00 | 1 | Ryan Stevens - Rachel Langdon | 407.50 |
| 2 | Anita Delorenzo - Robyn Stanhope | 400.50 | 2 | Leanne Nugent - Jenny Iliescu | 392.50 |
| 3 | Gary Kembrey - Andrew Grace | 394.50 | 3 | Barry Koster - Kiku Mukai | 388.50 |
| 4 | Stephen Miller - Jonathan Silberberg | 382.00 | 4 | Mary Poynten - Clare Ainsworth | 383.50 |
| 5 | Simon Van Kruistum - Cobie Van Kruistum | 380.00 | 5 | Wilma Coloper - Jennifer Simpson | 380.50 |
| 6 | Peter Goldman - Michael Main | 378.00 | 6 | Anthony Marsland - Helen Blair | 377.50 |
| 7 | Allan Byrnes - Unis Suliman | 374.00 | 7 | Klarika Tortely - Jim Gilbert | 371.50 |
| Leading Scores Novice Final C |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Jane Ackman - Narelle Jackson | 354.50 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Grace Ireland - Ruth Sargent | 325.50 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Kerry Bartlett - Christine Bartlett | 317.50 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Christine Egan - Di Agostino | 312.50 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Peter Allingham - Dusk Care | 308.50 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Moira Smith - Drew Campi | 308.50 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Deidre Nixon - Anthony Phillips | 307.50 |  |  |  |

## Open Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs

| Rank | Pair | Names | Total | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Peter Buchen - Chris Hughes | 131.13 | 80 | 82 | Anne Bates - Sue Allen | 79.03 |
| 2 | 79 | Ann Baker - Colin Baker | 111.31 | 81 | 108 | Judy Marks - Adam Rutkowski | 79.03 |
| 3 | 84 | Pam Crichton - Ross Crichton | 109.47 | 82 | 52 | Lee Andrews - Susan Fookes | 78.86 |
| 4 | 86 | Tom Moss - Dennis Zines | 109.02 | 83 | 37 | Lynne Geursen - Trevor Robb | 78.32 |
| 5 | 23 | Pam Morgan-King - Leigh Thompson | 108.98 | 84 | 69 | Jocelyn Steele - John Steele | 78.30 |
| 6 | 5 | Elli Urbach - Ferenc Budai | 104.89 | 85 | 145 | Bev Guilford - Sue Spencer | 78.27 |
| 7 | 11 | Richard Ward - Lynette Vincent | 104.77 | 86 | 142 | Leone Moffat - Elainne Leach | 78.20 |
| 8 | 104 | Kerry Wood - Charles Howard | 103.92 | 87 | 40 | Megan Sutherland - Gerald Dawson | 78.18 |
| 9 | 120 | Peter Strasser - Andy Hung | 103.47 | 88 | 93 | Richard Fox - Robyn Clark | 77.30 |
| 10 | 59 | Simon Andrew - Gwen King | 103.03 | 89 | 109 | Sharmini Hoole - Milton Hart | 77.06 |
| 11 | 88 | John Zollo - Judy Zollo | 102.97 | 90 | 30 | Ken Moffitt - Sue Moffitt | 76.87 |
| 12 | 110 | Lisa Csima - Murat Genc | 101.71 | 91 | 135 | Yong White - David Grout | 76.75 |
| 13 | 98 | Jane Rasmussen - Roy Nixon | 101.27 | 92 | 112 | Ann Mellings - Marion Spurrier | 76.59 |
| 14 | 17 | Liz Fanos - Margaret Foster | 99.05 | 93 | 51 | Alan Glasson - Kathie Radcliffe | 76.38 |
| 15 | 21 | Moss Wylie - Brad Johnston | 98.99 | 94 | 91 | Barbara Gassmann - Krystyna Homik | 76.10 |
| 16 | 102 | Charles Page - Paul Gosney | 98.86 | 95 | 95 | Jim Wood - Jenni Buckley | 75.93 |

## Open Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs

| Rank | Pair | Names | Total | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 9 | Sharon Mayo - Greg Mayo | 98.55 | 96 | 89 | Frank Vearing - Jo-Anne Heywood | 75.74 |
| 18 | 63 | Judy Bills - Ann Paton | 97.76 | 97 | 6 | Helen Kemp - Helene Kolozs | 75.65 |
| 19 | 92 | Linda Cartner - Jonathan Westoby | 97.76 | 98 | 140 | Andrew Struik - Nikki Riszko | 75.41 |
| 20 | 10 | Yuzhong Chen - Gary Foidl | 97.71 | 99 | 116 | Jan Hackett - Tom Hackett | 75.20 |
| 21 | 128 | Terry Bodycote - Paul Weaver | 97.63 | 100 | 12 | Kaye Donaldson - Janice Palm | 74.98 |
| 22 | 130 | Cecily Critchley - Lynley Jenkins | 97.31 | 101 | 3 | Julia Hoffman - Noelene Law | 74.76 |
| 23 | 24 | Bruce Crossman - Bev Crossman | 96.33 | 102 | 119 | Biljana Novakovic - Lee Weldon | 74.60 |
| 24 | 65 | Janet Clarke - Di Coats | 96.32 | 103 | 105 | Carolyn Dowling - Leslie Treasure | 74.08 |
| 25 | 27 | Peter Tootell - Helen Tootell | 96.31 | 104 | 55 | Kaye Hart - Jeff Carberry | 73.90 |
| 26 | 31 | John Kelly - Rosa Lachman | 95.84 | 105 | 121 | Pat Beattie - Allayne Kennedy | 73.79 |
| 27 | 13 | Agnes Kempthorne - Barry Kempthorne | 95.70 | 106 | 129 | Julie Rose - Harry Procel | 73.27 |
| 28 | 90 | Andrew Richman - Sandra Richman | 95.32 | 107 | 26 | Fifine Hutton - Wendy Hutton | 73.05 |
| 29 | 15 | Ian Afflick - Paul Collins | 94.87 | 108 | 22 | Noel Grigg - Dianna Middleton | 73.01 |
| 30 | 25 | Theo Mangos - Leigh Foran | 94.84 | 109 | 33 | Mike Ferguson - Pat Ferguson | 72.84 |
| 31 | 35 | Brian Thorp - Jenny Michael | 93.58 | 110 | 133 | Jamie McFall - Frances Gaunt | 72.81 |
| 32 | 96 | George Finikiotis - Margaret Klassen | 92.84 | 111 | 124 | Tom Strong - Edda Strong | 71.97 |
| 33 | 113 | Chris Bayliss - Catherine Chown | 92.74 | 112 | 81 | Val Churchill - Helga Corbett | 71.91 |
| 34 | 148 | Tony Treloar - Peter Evans | 91.76 | 113 | 125 | Keith Blinco - Terrence Sheedy | 71.82 |
| 35 | 49 | Errol Miller - Dorothy Gehrke | 91.27 | 114 | 45 | Val Holbrook - Neven Burica | 71.70 |
| 36 | 126 | Dagmar Neumann - Carola Hoogervorst | 91.12 | 115 | 156 | Patsy Walters - Virginia Hall | 71.59 |
| 37 | 43 | Wynne Webber - Meta Goodman | 91.09 | 116 | 153 | Tracey Lewis - Jeremy Fraser-Hoskin | 71.19 |
| 38 | 150 | Neil Stuckey - Barry Palmer | 90.83 | 117 | 50 | Ian Bailey - Graham Markey | 71.09 |
| 39 | 122 | Margaret Dyer - Michele Tredinnick | 90.31 | 118 | 101 | Simon Begg - Geoffrey Schaller | 70.26 |
| 40 | 138 | Helen Healy - Tim Healy | 90.02 | 119 | 64 | Pat Oyston - Martin Oyston | 69.95 |
| 41 | 132 | Glenis Palmer - Christine Wilson | 89.66 | 120 | 67 | Phil Power - Kathy Power | 69.86 |
| 42 | 58 | Joan McCarthy - Joan Waldvogel | 89.52 | 121 | 155 | Colin Webb - Gayle Webb | 69.63 |
| 43 | 80 | Linda Fleet - Mary Homer | 89.05 | 122 | 100 | Greer Tucker - Liz Sylvester | 69.48 |
| 44 | 29 | Helen McLauchlan - Richard McLauchlan | 89.01 | 123 | 73 | Lex Bourke - Pepe Schwegler | 69.15 |
| 45 | 36 | Brian Jacobson - Bill Webster | 88.18 | 124 | 146 | Anthony Tuxworth - Janice Pearson | 69.05 |
| 46 | 66 | Pam Moore - Ian Moore | 87.89 | 125 | 34 | Robyn Hewson - Laura Ginnan | 68.95 |
| 47 | 141 | Rosemary Matskows - Wayne Gyde | 87.85 | 126 | 18 | Judy Wulff - Marilyn Whigham | 68.86 |
| 48 | 103 | Philip Thompson - Bill Morgan | 87.61 | 127 | 87 | Maha Hoenig - Anne Lamport | 68.16 |
| 49 | 7 | Eric Hurley - Gary Lynn | 87.59 | 128 | 70 | Sue Brown - Robert Brown | 67.91 |
| 50 | 97 | Raji Muir - Ian Muir | 87.19 | 129 | 71 | Gizella Mickevics - Mary Waterhouse | 67.88 |
| 51 | 149 | Deborah Cooper - Alan Geare | 86.99 | 130 | 115 | Lynne Veenstra - Louis Veenstra | 67.53 |
| 52 | 62 | Lyn Muller - Sarah Green | 86.74 | 131 | 143 | Pat Kennedy - Geoffrey Norris | 67.23 |
| 53 | 136 | Rita Kahn - Merle Bogatie | 86.70 | 132 | 4 | Les Bonnick - Audrey Bonnick | 66.94 |
| 54 | 20 | Jenny Date - Jacqui Morton | 86.51 | 133 | 19 | Alison Farthing - Dorothy Jesner | 66.46 |
| 55 | 134 | Ian Lisle - Vicky Lisle | 86.35 | 134 | 158 | Carole McDonald - Sonia Young | 66.42 |
| 56 | 8 | John Tredrea - Bill Redhead | 86.33 | 135 | 54 | Noel Athea - Annemarie Athea | 65.94 |
| 57 | 78 | John Ruddell - Alison Ruddell | 86.15 | 136 | 107 | Jan Rothlisberger - Maggie Kelly | 65.78 |
| 58 | 16 | Sylvia Foster - Jaan Oitmaa | 85.83 | 137 | 137 | Donald Cartwright - Liz Cartwright | 63.75 |
| 59 | 74 | Mairi Fitzsimons - Brian Fitzsimons | 85.82 | 138 | 68 | Dianne Benvie - Christina Mander | 62.67 |
| 60 | 99 | Colin Shugg - Chris Scott | 85.40 | 139 | 28 | Fay Stanton - Anne Young | 62.11 |
| 61 | 57 | Ken Colbert - Perelle Scales | 85.32 | 140 | 144 | Janette Bates - Carmel Bourke | 61.00 |
| 62 | 60 | Rob Ward - Giorgia Botta | 85.15 | 141 | 111 | Phil Pollett - Annette Corkhill | 60.51 |
| 63 | 151 | Eileen Gray - Patricia Knight | 84.89 | 142 | 117 | Stephanie Mathews - Gary Heyting | 60.15 |
| 64 | 106 | Len Meyer - Phyllis Moritz | 84.87 | 143 | 154 | Christine Houghton - Wayne Houghton | 59.20 |
| 65 | 147 | Carol De Luca - Bev Henton | 84.86 | 144 | 38 | Susan McMahon - Sheela Sahasrabuddhe | 57.99 |
| 66 | 76 | George Sun - Alice Young | 84.55 | 145 | 42 | Linda Wild - Beatrice McCarthy | 57.22 |
| 67 | 39 | Andrew Slater - Geoffrey Hart | 84.19 | 146 | 32 | Margaret Chesser - Trevor Dwerryhouse | 56.29 |
| 68 | 72 | Arthur Bennett - Gillian Bennett | 83.80 | 147 | 75 | Michael Stoneman - Val Roland | 55.87 |
| 69 | 94 | Dianne Marler - Linda Alexander | 83.40 | 148 | 127 | Lorraine Carr - Janine Budgeon | 55.25 |
| 70 | 131 | Amanda Harburg - Caroline Collie | 83.00 | 149 | 157 | Becky Vidler - Hanna Majewski | 55.03 |
| 71 | 85 | Lyn Smith - Catherine Whiddon | 82.69 | 150 | 48 | Molly O'Donohue - Gill Ross | 54.94 |
| 72 | 152 | Patricia Larsen - Elizabeth Zeller | 82.66 | 151 | 2 | Barbara Grant - Louis Koolen | 54.85 |
| 73 | 77 | John Rolph - Sue Rolph | 82.47 | 152 | 14 | Penny Styles - Marion Bucens | 54.74 |
| 74 | 118 | Kathy Johnson - Lois Steinwedel | 82.46 | 153 | 41 | Ross Steinwedel - Erin Waterhouse | 53.36 |
| 75 | 139 | Kirstyn Fuller - Zachary Neulinger | 82.08 | 154 | 123 | Peter Teubner - Neil Welch | 50.81 |
| 76 | 114 | Michael Johnson - Michael Simes | 81.85 | 155 | 56 | Lita Singh - Margaret Reid | 48.42 |
| 77 | 47 | Sue O'Brien - Bronwyn Macleod | 81.16 | 156 | 46 | Desley Mundell - Rosemary Kelley | 48.07 |
| 78 | 53 | Magda Kiraly - Pauly Griffin | 80.67 | 157 | 44 | Emily Coats - Wendy Webster | 42.05 |
| 79 | 83 | Herschel Baker - Patrick Bugler | 79.73 | 158 | 61 | Claire Green - Lynne Gray | 28.98 |

## Weekend Matchpoint Swiss Pairs - 0-500

| Rank | Pair | Names | Total | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 73 | Linda Norman - Wendy Gibson | 116.90 | 45 | 42 | Sue Skarupsky - Sue Hunt | 81.15 |
| 2 | 71 | Brian Glover - Eric Baker | 116.14 | 46 | 25 | Margot Moylan - Anne McNaughton | 80.31 |
| 3 | 21 | Helen Chamberlin - Winny Chan | 112.08 | 47 | 66 | David Freilich - Anne Sacco | 78.81 |
| 4 | 32 | Nicky Franks - Nina Moss | 108.11 | 48 | 30 | Tiffany Mair - Raelene Kell | 78.76 |
| 5 | 47 | Eddie Mullin - Dianne Mullin | 107.78 | 49 | 13 | Jenny Johnstone - Dot Peacock | 77.61 |
| 6 | 6 | Robert Stick - Colin Payne | 107.08 | 50 | 15 | Jane Kavanagh - Joan Campbell | 77.58 |
| 7 | 46 | Peter Karol - Joanne Crockford | 105.15 | 51 | 17 | Henry Hirschhorn - Jill Theakstone | 77.11 |
| 8 | 10 | Margaret Stevens - Sue Luby | 105.09 | 52 | 86 | Anna Chappell - Marilyn Kennedy | 76.13 |
| 9 | 9 | Rod Binsted - Judy Scholfield | 103.30 | 53 | 52 | Heather Smith - Sally Foster | 75.95 |
| 10 | 3 | George Powis - Patricia Powis | 99.53 | 54 | 8 | Libby Tonkin - Liz Jacka | 75.64 |
| 11 | 79 | Sherrell Cardinal - Neville Clatworthy | 99.31 | 55 | 39 | Bob Hart - Carolyn Hart | 75.14 |
| 12 | 5 | Mike Edwards - Robin Devries | 97.22 | 56 | 54 | James Williamson - Janelle Williamson | 74.99 |
| 13 | 34 | Naomi Hannah-Brown - Priscilla Bloy | 96.41 | 57 | 36 | Juliet Dunworth - Maria Page | 73.77 |
| 14 | 69 | Gwyneira Brahma - Vicki Taylor | 96.07 | 58 | 20 | Maureen Gibney - Susan Lipton | 73.65 |
| 15 | 44 | Sally Cullen - Chris Cullen | 95.93 | 59 | 12 | Raymond Powley - Susan Powley | 72.77 |
| 16 | 35 | Lalita Kanetkar - Sheryl Gardner | 95.31 | 60 | 28 | Alan Bustany - Pauline Caust | 72.62 |
| 17 | 51 | Evelyne Mander - Sheila Wills | 94.24 | 61 | 7 | Arne Jonsberg - John Lahey | 72.18 |
| 18 | 81 | Jill Dunlop - John Dunlop | 94.13 | 62 | 31 | Deborah McLay - Sylvia Cryer | 71.74 |
| 19 | 19 | Hamid Sadigh - Siamak Parsanejad | 94.01 | 63 | 50 | Helen Gault - Rob Gault | 71.12 |
| 20 | 27 | Gabrielle Elich - Denise Hartwig | 93.46 | 64 | 61 | Joan Leckie - Margaret Williamson | 70.91 |
| 21 | 67 | Graham Carson - Ian Pick | 93.09 | 65 | 70 | Carol Thomson - Kate Jarrett | 70.90 |
| 22 | 41 | Jenny Swanson - Andrew Swanson | 91.72 | 66 | 82 | Haley Fenwick - Godfrey Baillon-Bending | 70.10 |
| 23 | 83 | Patrick Redlich - Tom Graham | 91.48 | 67 | 24 | Rob Ziffer - Margaret Ziffer | 69.22 |
| 24 | 60 | Leif Michelsson - Maria Michelsson | 90.81 | 68 | 75 | Dot Piddington - Royala Rooney | 68.09 |
| 25 | 85 | Helen Sharwood - John Moen | 89.92 | 69 | 65 | Chris Duggin - Faye Carnovale | 66.77 |
| 26 | 76 | Pamela Glyn - Julian Glyn | 89.67 | 70 | 49 | Genevieve Page - Sally Luke | 66.52 |
| 27 | 59 | Eric Leivesley - Janelle Conroy | 89.36 | 71 | 88 | Narelle McIver - Audrey Gross | 65.61 |
| 28 | 26 | Suzi Ledger - Barbara Simpson | 89.08 | 72 | 80 | Eric Harrison - Robyn Harrison | 63.87 |
| 29 | 48 | Loraine Neville - Murray Wiggins | 88.35 | 73 | 43 | Anne Rosengren - Lyn Mayer | 62.61 |
| 30 | 57 | Faye Bell - Heather Scott | 87.87 | 74 | 78 | Carla Ferro - Cathy Mathieson | 62.45 |
| 31 | 56 | Misako James - Sue Kleeman | 87.14 | 75 | 14 | Mary Ditton - Jennifer Kozman | 62.45 |
| 32 | 23 | Loraine King - Helen Standfast | 86.16 | 76 | 68 | Leonie Spence - John Laker | 62.23 |
| 33 | 4 | Greta Davis - Archie Fraser | 85.89 | 77 | 2 | Pat Leavy - Judith Wilson | 61.04 |
| 34 | 74 | Gayle Bagg - Louis Cukierman | 85.33 | 78 | 18 | Anita Moen - David Pearce | 60.83 |
| 35 | 45 | Ruth Goerg - Pam Brewer | 85.10 | 79 | 22 | Jo Neary - Bill Hanlon | 60.55 |
| 36 | 64 | Dov Berns - Sandra Berns | 85.06 | 80 | 38 | Bob Jones - Sue Jones | 59.97 |
| 37 | 55 | Jim Taylor - Cora Taylor | 84.82 | 81 | 58 | Lesleigh Egan - Lynne Henley | 59.30 |
| 38 | 40 | Mary Driesbock - Vivienne Sexton | 84.52 | 82 | 37 | Richard Levy - Gayle Keenan | 59.19 |
| 39 | 11 | Sandy Long - Rozanne Thomas | 82.69 | 83 | 63 | Philip Houlton - Bambi Houlton | 55.46 |
| 40 | 77 | John Ham - Janet Ham | 81.92 | 84 | 72 | Darryl Lock - Glenda Lock | 52.89 |
| 41 | 29 | Susan O'Neill - Carole Robinson | 81.84 | 85 | 53 | Jennifer Hollingworth - Peter Coppin | 50.53 |
| 42 | 33 | Diane Connors - Sally Graham | 81.33 | 86 | 62 | Steven Verity - Carolann Verity | 46.19 |
| 43 | 16 | Richard Spelman - Janet Price | 81.30 | 87 | 87 | Janet McKeough - Jim Whalen | 31.22 |
| 44 | 1 | Dorothy Read - Geoff Read | 81.29 | 88 | 84 | Vivienne Polak - Frances Burns | 31.07 |
| Sunday Rookie Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North-South |  |  |  | East-West |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  |  | \% |
| 1 | Arvind Bhasin - Geeta Bhasin |  | 61.27 | 1 | John Kelly - Keith Cohen |  | 61.07 |
| 2 | Tania Papalia - Jane Papalia |  | 56.91 | 2 | Brian Thomson - Jane Whelan |  | 60.33 |
| 3 | June McNamara - Fran Golding |  | 53.36 | 3 | Bron | y Shimmin-Clarke - Ludwik Tomalak | 58.63 |
| 4 | Clare Gleeson - Gillie Kinsella |  | 53.09 | 4 | Grae | e Seaton - Judy Seaton | 55.00 |
| 5 | Bill Larcombe - Di Larcombe |  | 52.24 | 5 | Kim | cElhinney - Kym Batt | 52.44 |
| 6 | June Hagar - Janne Powell |  | 50.30 | 6 | Kevin | Riordan - Gwen Riordan | 52.17 |
| 7 | Liz Milner - Ivy Monteiro |  | 50.00 | 7 | Jenny | Nicholson - Ian Nicholson | 51.99 |
| 8 | Devi Thillainathan - Jann Simmonds |  | 49.43 | 8 | Elino | McCloskey - Anne Sandeman | 48.35 |
| 9 | Keith Sanders - Jenny Sanders |  | 49.31 | 9 | Sharo | Hart - Wanda Little | 44.91 |
| 10 | Judy Cooper - Glenn Cooper |  | 48.37 | 10 | Richa | d Tarnawski - Barry Steele | 43.94 |
| 11 | Yasmine Brockhurst - Therese Brockhurst |  | 47.36 | 11 | Berna | dette Dwyer - Carolyn North | 41.62 |
| 12 | Jenny Burchmore - Barbara Richardson |  | 39.21 | 12 | Chris | ne Egan - Margaret Hetherington | 39.87 |
| 13 | Maxim Wilson - Bruce Stephens |  | 39.17 | 13 | Caroly | Waters - Nora Joyce | 39.67 |


| Holiday Pairs Event 1 - Session 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North-South |  |  | East-West |  |  |
|  |  | \% |  |  | \% |
| 1 | Paul Smith - Carol Hocking | 64.09 | 1 | John Manton - Mary Lynch | 61.32 |
| 2 | Sue Ziegenfuss - Jill Dunning | 57.10 | 2 | Brenda Butcher - Birgitt Bingham | 58.95 |
| 3 | Patricia Pepper - Carolyn Seymour | 56.07 | 3 | Helena Dawson - Richard Douglas | 58.13 |
| 4 | Betty Lee - Mike Robson | 55.56 | 4 | Fred Whitaker - Anna Monks | 57.92 |
| 5 | Margaret Keating - Rita Groom | 54.53 | 5 | Joan Anderson - Bruce Gough | 57.30 |
| 6 | Allan Johnson - Pamela Roberts | 54.32 | 6 | Norma Cameron - Denise Richards | 55.45 |
| 7 | Lesley Fraser - Rebecca Delaney | 53.09 | 7 | Ginny Warren - Marsha Woodbury | 54.94 |
| 8 | Pam Ruppin - Diane Eliott | 51.95 | 8 | Kay Baguley - Bruce Baguley | 54.22 |
| 9 | Vicki Foots - Dewi Eastman | 51.34 | 9 | Philip Hocking - Cathy Hocking | 51.85 |
| 10 | Dianne Brinkworth - Christine Young | 50.72 | 10 | Richard Riedel - Renate Riedel | 50.82 |
| 11 | Marleen Smith - Garry Smith | 50.41 | 11 | Margie Knox - Carmel Wikman | 49.28 |
| 12 | Bernard Yates - Nick Hullah | 50.00 | 12 | Anne Alexander - Alan Corkhill | 48.56 |
| 13 | Beverley Welch - Jeanne Adams | 49.28 | 13 | Jane Lawson - Lyn Vary | 45.88 |
| 14 | Jane Morris - Mary Penington | 49.07 | 13 | Heather Peattie - Margaret Liversage | 45.88 |
| 15 | Robert Berry - Brian Stringer | 47.33 | 15 | Terri Seward - Debra Prendiville | 44.86 |
| 16 | Bruce Williams - Geoff Court | 40.84 | 16 | Andrea Pappas - Speros Pappas | 44.24 |
| 17 | Thea Catsoulis - Ann Slade | 40.43 | 17 | Merlyn Morris - Sharon Versteege | 40.12 |
| 18 | Jann Newman - Betty Sharp | 37.55 | 18 | Mary Doneley - Jennie Tucker | 39.61 |
| 19 | Sharon Ivany - Nadine Levin | 36.32 | 19 | Francine Ben-David - Ruth Katz | 30.66 |

# TABLE COUNT <br> TO THE END OF PLAY SUNDAY NIGHT 1529 

(Last Year 1521)<br>Note however this year there was no Friday Setup Pairs

IMPROVE YOUR DEFENSIVE PLAY - PROBLEM 1
Ron Klinger
During Sunday's Celebrity Lecture series, Ron Klinger presented a number of problems. We present them here, together with the solutions for those who may not have been able to attend.

| North | Dealer: East | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 105 | Vul: Nil |  |  | Pass | 1NT |
| H 5 15-17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 52 |  | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| J 63 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

West leads the $9 J-92-97-9 \mathrm{~K}$.

Plan the defence for East. Solution Page 24.


If you would like a copy of Ron's Notes Please leave your email address at the Admin Office

East (You)

- Q J 98
$\bullet 76$
- 1052
* A Q 32


| CALENDAR OF SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES GOLD COAST CONGRES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday 22nd | Thursday 23 rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Friday } \\ & \text { 24ath } \end{aligned}$ |
| venu | February | February | February | February | bebuary |


| Rookies <br> Help Available | In the Playing Area <br> Rookies Section | 09:30am <br> to <br> 10:30am |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under 50MPs <br> Help Available | In the Playing Area <br> Under 50s Section | 09:30am <br> to <br> $10: 30 a m$ | 09:30am <br> to <br> 10:30am |
| Novices <br> Help Available | In the Playing Area <br> Novices Section | $10: 00 \mathrm{am}$ <br> to <br> $10: 30 a m$ | 09:30am <br> to <br> to |

SOCIAL AND OTHER ACITVITIES



MINIMUM \$5 Contribution to the ABF Friends of Youth Bridge Fund -
GREATER Contributions Greatly Appreciated By Our Youth Players
IMPROVE YOUR DEFENSIVE PLAY - SOLUTION 1
Ron Klinger

| Dealer: East Vul: Nil | ¢ 105 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - A 52 |  |
|  | - J 63 |  |
|  | \& K 10984 |  |
| ¢ 632 |  | ¢ Q J 98 |
| - J 10984 |  | $\bullet 76$ |
| - K Q 8 |  | -1052 |
| \% 76 |  | \& A Q 32 |
|  | ¢ AK 74 |  |
|  | - K Q 3 |  |
|  | - A 974 |  |
|  | \& J 5 |  |



PUZZLE
The Fake Coin: You have twelve coins. You know that one is fake. The only thing that distinguishes the fake coin from the real coins is that its weight is imperceptibly different. You have a perfectly balanced scale. The scale only tells you which side weighs more than the other side.

What is the smallest number of times you must use the scale in order to always find the fake coin?

Use only the twelve coins themselves and no others, no other weights, no cutting coins, no pencil marks on the scale. etc.
These are modern coins, so the fake coin is not necessarily lighter.
Presume the worst case scenario, and don't hope that you will pick the right coin on the first attempt.

## YESTERDAY'S PUZZLE

The Stark Raving Mad King: You can save about $50 \%$ by having everyone guess randomly.
You can save $50 \%$ or more if every even person agrees to call out the colour of the hat in front of them. That way the person in front knows what colour their hat is, and if the person behind also has the same coloured hat then both will survive.

So how can 99 people be saved? The first wise man counts all the red hats he can see $(Q)$ and then answers "blue" if the number is odd or "red" if the number is even. Each subsequent wise man keeps track of the number of red hats known to have been saved from behind $(X)$, and counts the number of red hats in front $(Y)$.
If $Q$ was even, and if $X \& Y$ are either both even or are both odd, then the wise man would answer blue. Otherwise the wise man would answer red.
If $Q$ was odd, and if $X \& Y$ are either both even or are both odd, then the wise man would answer red. Otherwise the wise man would answer blue.

There can be any number of red hats, as the following examples show...

| Prisoner | Hat he <br> wears | Number of <br> red hats he |  | Red <br> hats |  | He says |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | red | 6 | even $(\mathbf{Q})$ |  | N/A | red |
| 2 | blue | 6 | even | 0 | even | blue |
| 3 | red | 5 | odd | 0 | even | red |
| 4 | blue | 5 | odd | 1 | odd | blue |
| 5 | blue | 5 | odd | 1 | odd | blue |
| 6 | red | 4 | even | 1 | odd | red |
| 7 | red | 3 | odd | 2 | even | red |
| 8 | red | 2 | even | 3 | odd | red |
| 9 | red | 1 | odd | 4 | even | red |
| 10 | red | 0 | even | 5 | odd | red |

$\rightarrow$
SERVICES
If you are in need of a doctor or medical assistance you can contact Kim Ellaway through the Administration Desk or alternatively contact the Broadbeach Medical Centre on 07-5531-6344, Suite GO1, 2681 Gold Coast Highway Broadbeach. Please note that they do not bulk bill. Their after-Hours is handled by National Home Doctors Service on 137425 who do bulk bill.

# MONDAY NIGHT 20TH FEBRUARY FROM 6:00PM THIS YEAR THE TRIVIA NIGHT WILL BE HELD AT HATCH \& COBROADBEACH 

## THE OASIS SHOPPING CENTRE, VICTORIA AVE BROADBEACH COMMENCING 5:30PM FOR A 7.00PM START

Once again our hosts will be Jac \& Bill Rossiter-Nuttall who did such a good job last year.
The bridge menu is below and we hope all players will avail themselves of these specials and arrive at 5:30pm to give time to order their meal and drinks ready to start play at 7:00pm.

There will be a fee of $\$ 10.00$ per player with all proceeds going to Friends of Youth Bridge Fund but to be specifically used to facilitate youth attendance at the Gold Coast Congress with the objective of having apartments for the week where youth players can stay for free or minimal ( $+/-\$ 10$ ) charge.

You can enter as teams of 6 to 8 people and may include friends who may not be bridge players. Numbers are limited so please register AND PAY at the Bridge Administration desk at the GCCC before 2:00pm Monday or sold out. If you do not have a full table there is no problem, simply write down your names and we will "marry" players to make up teams.
The members of the winning team will receive prizes including wine or chocolates

## \$20 Trivia Menu Selection

Lemon and Pepper Calamari - GFO Served with shoestring chips, herb salad, lemon aioli Herb Crusted Baby Barramundi Fillets - Tartare sauce, herb salad \& chilli salted chips

Chicken Schnitzel - Lightly crumbed chicken breast served with chips \& herb salad Lasagna - Cape Grim ground beef with house-made Napoli and Béchamel sauce w parmesan Spinach Risotto - Creamy spinach risotto, maple syrup glazed pumpkin \& manchego shavings

Cured Salmon - GFO Tequila cured salmon, fennel salad and water crackers
Caesar - GFO Fresh baby cos lettuce, crispy pancetta, croutons, soft egg \& grated parmesan cheese and Caesar dressing

Pizza Menu
Margarita Margarita - Crushed tomato, torn basil, fior de latte
Mushroom - Marinated mushrooms, roast garlic, chilli and smoked mozzarella
Prosciutto - Sliced Prosciutto ham, olives, rocket salad and parmesan shavings

www.abf.com.au

## Canberra

## Festival of Bridge

## January 9-21, 2018

## New Venue

Canberra Rex Hotel, 150 Northbourne Avenue, BRADDON, ACT Telephone: 0262485311

CHECK OUT THE EXCELLENT OFFER AT THE REX
valid until 31st October 2017
Tournament Organiser: Roy Nixon 0423043220 roy.nixon55@gmail.com

