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## FOUR THEY ARE JOLLY GOOD FELLOWS

## SENIORS

Winners of the Seniors Teams Championship


Tony Marinos - Peter Grant
Stephen Mendick - Andrew Creet

| Team | C/F | Set 1 | Total | Set 2 | Total | Set 3 | Total | Set 4 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MENDICK | 0.5 | 58 | 58.5 | 14 | 72.5 | 1 | 73.5 | 32 | 105.5 |
| BLOOM |  | 13 | 13 | 14 | 27 | 43 | 70 | 7 | 77 |

## INTERMEDIATE

Winners of the Intermediate Teams Championship


Alan Currie - Greg Lee
Yolanda Carter - Patrick Bugler
Runners-Up - Intermediate Teams Championship


Vicky Wiley - Biljana Novakovic

| Team | C/F | Set 1 | Total | Set 2 | Total | Set 3 | Total | Set 4 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEE | 0.5 | 41 | 41.5 | 38 | 79.5 | 36 | 115.5 | 35 | 150.5 |
| LISLE |  | 7 | 7 | 38 | 45 | 9 | 54 | 15 | 69 |

## RESTRICTED

Winners of the Restricted Teams Championship


Denise O'Regan - Adrian Lohmann Pam Brewer - Ruth Goerg

Runners-Up - Restricted Teams Championship


Martin Johnson - Jenny Buckley Hope Tomlinson - Barry Foster

| Team | C/F | Set 1 | Total | Set 2 | Total | Set 3 | Total | Set 4 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BREWER |  | 25 | 25 | 15 | 40 | 26 | 66 | 36 | 102 |
| TOMLINSON | 0.5 | 23 | 23.5 | 38 | 61.5 | 16 | 77.5 | 2 | 79.5 |

## NOVICE



Joan Jenkins - Linda Norman Kay Roberts - Ross Currin

Runners-Up -Novice Teams Championship


Christophe Wlodarczyk - Justine Wlodarczyk Gabrielle Elich - John Elich

| Team | C/F | Set 1 | Total | Set 2 | Total | Set 3 | Total | Set 4 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORMAN | 0.5 | 27 | 27.5 | 38 | 65.5 | 25 | 90.5 | 34 | 124.5 |
| ELICH |  | 12 | 12 | 14 | 26 | 26 | 52 | 36 | 88 |



Winners Friday Teams Margaret Millar - Carolyn Woolley Di Marler - Linda Alexander


Winner Mixed Teams
William Jenner O'Shea - Vanessa Brown Viv Wood - Mike Doecke

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 12

Barry Rigal
With one round to go the McGann team were virtually certain to qualify, no other teams being sure to make it. I would watch Tan-Cornell and Travis-McGann. For the second and fourth placed teams it transpired that a draw would see both teams through, while 2nd placed Travis could afford a narrow loss and still make it. Big wins for Burke and Neill would move them into qualifying spots, with McLeod (also getting 25) poised to overtake any of the three teams who slipped up.

What would turn out to be a rollercoaster ride for all four teams started out with the sort of problem that only a relay system would cope with easily.

| Dealer: South | A 754 |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - Q 62 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 15 | -932 |  | 7 | - | 7 | - | NT |
| Op Tms Qual R12 | \& 1043 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| A A 3 |  | AK96 | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark 10954$ |  | - AK3 | 7 | - | 7 | - | - |
| - A Q 5 |  | -KJ874 | 7 | - | 7 | - | $\%$ |
| \& AQJ 2 |  | \& K K 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ヘ Q J 1082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 87$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& 8765 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

McGann-Dyke came close. After 1\&-2\& showing diamonds, McGann showed extras and then diamond support, but answered keycard wrongly and Dyke settled for $6 \downarrow$. Loo-Poon could start 1NT:2*:2v:3 $\boldsymbol{*}$, a very effective start, but their keycard sequence did not get the job done either. 19 out of the 200 open tables did reach a grand slam. Consider yourselves officially commended. And for the simple minded; how about $1 \mathrm{NT}: 2 \mathrm{NT}(* \mathrm{~s}): 3 \star: 6 \mathrm{NT}: 7 \Downarrow$ ? The board was essentially flat in our two matches.

| Dealer: West | A A 8763 |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark 54$ |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 16 | - 3 |  | - | - | - | 1 | NT |
| Op Tms Qual R12 | \& AJ 1075 |  | - | 1 | - | 2 | $\uparrow$ |
| AK942 |  | A J 10 | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark 6$ |  | - KQ10872 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A J } 1095 \\ & \& K \text { Q } 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } 86 \\ & \& 42 \end{aligned}$ | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
|  | A Q 5 <br> - AJ 93 <br> -K742 <br> -983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

On the next deal Dyke was caught speeding, but it took someone with an itchy trigger-finger - step forward Mr Melbourne - to catch him. McGann opened 1*, Travis overcalled 2NT - spades and clubs - Dyke bid 3V natural but non-forcing, and Melbourne's years of rubber-bridge persuaded him to apply the red card. Not only was the contract doomed for one down from the start, Dyke was sure trumps were $5-1$ so he played on diamonds after one round of trumps and let Travis get a ruff. Down 500 was 12 IMPs to Travis, up 14-0.

Tan played $2 \vee$ in one room and 3* in the other to pick up 6 IMPs and lead by that number.

| Dealer: East | A Q J 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\bullet 973$ |  |
| Brd 18 | -KQJ73 |  |
| Op Tms Qual R12 | \& J 10 |  |
| -97642 |  | A. 108 |
| - J 52 |  | - A Q 864 |
| - A 10 |  | - 865 |
| * Q 62 |  | \& K 53 |
|  | ^ AK 3 |  |
|  | - K 10 |  |
|  | -942 |  |
|  | *A9874 |  |

At both the tables I was watching South opened a quasi-strong no-trump and was raised to 3NT on a spade lead. Declarer needed either red-suit ace onside, and a moderately favourable diamond break, and found every card cooperating, for an easy 600. But at the other tables in our two matches the South players ran into a competitive auction. Ng-Tan played 3 for 130, while Nunn ran into a heart lead against 3NT and was immediately down a trick. After four deals Travis led 28-0, while it was 10-9 to Cornell.

| Dealer: West | A 62 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 109762$ |
| Brd 20 | - J 953 |
| Op Tms Qual R12 | \& 103 |
| AK10753 |  |
| $\checkmark$ |  |
| - Q 864 |  |
| \& Q J 85 |  |
|  | A Q 94 |
|  | - Q J 543 |
|  | - 72 |
|  | * ${ }^{\text {A }} 76$ |

Both tables in Cornell-Tam played 4a - the right level to play at if spades are going to be trumps, since you might not only need the spade finesse but also a spade break. That was what Appleton-Reynolds did, but Dyke-McGann's sequence was as shown, to 6NT.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2* | Pass |
| 2* | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 36 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 5NT | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |

McGann was trying to put diamonds on the agenda, but as it transpired, no-trump was probably the best slam. Declarer won the heart lead, knocked out the club ace, Melbourne perhaps misguidedly holding up to the third round to leave himself without an exit. When he chose a diamond declarer ran four diamonds and the clubs and Travis as North pitched a spade from the small doubleton as a sort of double-bluff? Declarer knew just enough from the count in diamonds and clubs to get the spades right nonetheless. It was 28-15 now to Travis.

Travis got it all back, and more, on the next deal.

| Dealer: North | A A 8 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark 10932$ |  |  | 14* [2+] | Pass | 2^[Limit +] |
| Brd 21 | -KQ109 |  | Double | 3\% | 34 | 4\% |
| Op Tms Qual R12 | * A 105 |  | 44 | Pass | Pass | 5\% |
| AKQ954 |  | か J 10762 | Pass | Pass | Double | Pass |
| - AQ 54 |  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K} 7$ | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| - 8754 |  | -62 |  | Makeab | le Contr |  |
| $\%$ |  | \& J 873 | - | 4 | - | 4 NT |
|  | A 3 |  | 4 | - | 4 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - J 86 |  | - | 3 | - | $3 \quad$ |
|  | - A J 3 |  | - | 1 | - | 2 |
|  | *KQ9642 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 |

Dyke could hardly find any lead but a spade here, and Travis drew trumps to pitch a heart on the diamonds. In the other room Brown-Nunn had a system forget when Nunn transferred into clubs with a $2 \uparrow$ call and when this was doubled Brown bid 3* to accept, which he read as denying support. So he sold out to 3A and Travis had 14 IMPs, back up 42-15.
Cornell-Bach did even worse, a system forget (or misunderstanding depending on whether you were North or South) leading to their defending 4A...but Ng -Tam did worse even than that. They doubled 4A and conceded 690, for 7 IMPs to Cornell, leading 17-9 now.

## CORRECTION

The Friday article with the headline "No diamonds, partner?" was submitted by Jandra Faranda, who is a woman. Unfortunately, the pronoun used in the article was "he" instead of "she." The Daily Bulletin staff had determined Ms. Faranda's gender but the change slipped through the cracks. Apologies to Ms. Faranda and thanks for an interesting deal.

It promptly got worse for McGann:

| Dealer: East | A Q J 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AKQ92 |  |
| Brd 22 | -107 |  |
| Op Tms Qual R12 | \& 874 |  |
| A 10743 |  | A AK 98 |
| -J7 |  | - 86543 |
| - AK Q 862 |  | - 5 |
| \& 6 |  | \& Q J 2 |
|  | A 62 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10$ |  |
|  | - J 943 |  |
|  | \& AK 10953 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 1 | - | - | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| - | 2 | - | 1 |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

After a 3\% opening by Melbourne, McGann and Dyke passed, and took the diamond ruff to defeat the partscore. Reynolds-Appleton bid $1 \checkmark:[1 \vee]: D b l:[2 \&]: 3 A(v e r y ~ s i c): 4 A$. Even with the double finesse in trumps working the simple defence of three rounds of hearts leaves declarer a trick short. But what the defence mustn't do is cash two hearts then try to cash two clubs. After the first club either a spade or diamond will set the game. However the defenders got it wrong, and Appleton collected +620 to make it 53-15. If this score held up Travis would overtake McGann for first place.

Both E/W pairs in McGann-Travis did well to bid a no-trump slam making 13 tricks (flat in the other match at 490) then:

| Dealer: East | A A 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 52$ |  |
| Brd 26 | - A 10832 |  |
| Op Tms Qual R12 | \& Q 986 |  |
| AK963 |  | A Q 108 |
| $\checkmark 6$ |  | $\checkmark$ AKQ9 3 |
| -KQ754 |  | -9 |
| \& A 102 |  | \& J 754 |
|  | AJ754 |  |
|  | - J 10874 |  |
|  | - J 6 |  |
|  | - K 3 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | - | 2 | - | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 1 | - |  |
| 3 | - | 2 | - | 4 |

J 754
,

3NT is a touch-and-go contract, being bid and made at about one table in five. Reynolds-Appleton played 4A on a club lead, and were sunk immediately by the ruff. McGann played 3NT, doubled, again by the insatiable Melbourne after Travis had voluntarily come in to show the minors. In hindsight maybe Travis should have led her doubleton heart but she led a diamond to the jack and queen. No harm done yet, and when McGann played a spade to the queen then carelessly blocked the suit by running the eight, the defenders might have prevailed on a low club shift. But Travis switched to the club nine and when Melbourne played the king declarer had three hearts, two spades a diamond and three clubs with the aid of the finesse. That was 14 IMPs back to McGann, meaning they lost the match 21-9 in VPs but still retained first place.

| Dealer: South | A A Q 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: None | $\bullet$ A 73 |
| Brd 27 | A 9 |
| Op Tms Qual R12 | \& Q 10762 |
| A J 932 |  |
| V 102 |  |
| K J 83 |  |
| \& 85 |  |

AK 75
-K 98
-Q10 4
$\%$ AK 93
Tan were at this moment out of a qualifying place; they needed a swing at the end, and got one when they climbed to the quite reasonable 6\% on this deal. Diligent analysis has failed to suggest any obvious reason to guess diamonds. You have about four sensible lines and two work (leading the queen or to the nine) while two
lose (starting with the ace and guessing well or my favourite - leading low towards the Q-10 without playing the ace. Ng guessed the play well in $6 \boldsymbol{\beta}$ to make it - all right if truth be told he received a diamond lead from South. 10 IMPs in, and a 30-21 loss, to produce a 17-13 VP score, and the vital sixth qualifying place for Tan.

## OPEN TEAMS QUARTER FINAL 1 <br> Punch and Counterpunch - Brent Manley

Observers who like high-scoring affairs would have enjoyed the match between the Allen Tan and Anthony Burke squads in the Open Teams quarterfinal. Burke emerged from the first 12-board set with a 33-21 lead.

Tan's teammates in the first set were Hua Poon, Kelvin Ng and Choonchow Loo. Burke was playing with Peter Gill, Sartaj Hans and Andrew Peake.

After pushes on the first two boards, Tan untied the match with a double-digit swing.

| Dealer: South | A Q 4 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark$ AK42 |  | Tan | Hans | Poon | Peake |
| Brd 3 | - A 863 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 1 | * A 86 |  | Pass | 2 | Pass | 24 |
| $\rightarrow$ - |  | ヘ 10953 | Pass | 34 | Pass | 4 |
| - Q J 1097 |  | $\checkmark 863$ | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4NT |
| -Q542 |  | - J 109 | Pass | 5 | Pass | 59 |
| \& 952 |  | \& K J 7 | Pass | 6 | Pass | 64 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ^AK } 8762 \\ & \bullet 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } 7 \\ & \& \text { Q } 1043 \end{aligned}$ |  | Burke | Ng | Gill | Loo |
|  |  |  | Pass | 2* | Pass | 24 |
|  |  |  | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3\% |
|  |  |  | Pass | 34 | Pass | 4* |
|  |  |  | Pass | 4 | Pass | 4^ |
|  |  |  | Pass | 54 | All P |  |

On a normal trump split, all you need is some luck in clubs. The club situation was favourable, but the 4-1 trump break doomed the slam. Down one for minus 50.

As we say in America, Ng and Loo stopped on a dime, ending the auction at 5 A . They took the same number of tricks as at the other table, good for an 11-IMP swing.

The Tan team picked up an overtrick IMP on the next board, but Burke struck back on board 5 .

| Dealer: North | ค 107 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark$ AK 2 |  | Tan | Hans | Poon | Peake |
| Brd 5 | - J 4 |  |  | 1\% | Double | Pass |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 1 | * AKQJ5 2 |  | 14 | 3* | Double | Pass |
| AQJ964 |  | A AK 85 | 34 | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $\checkmark 95$ |  | - J 10643 |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 108 |  | - AK2 | Burke | Ng | Gill | Loo |
| \& 964 |  | \& 8 |  | 1\% | Double | Pass |
|  | A 32 |  | 14 | 2* | 3^ | Pass |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 87 |  | 4* | Pass | Pass | Pass |
|  | -97653 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& 1073 |  |  |  |  |  |

The 3* bid from Hans complicated the auction for Poon, who chose a second double as the way to compete. Tan bid his suit again (yes bidding 4^ was an option) and Poon took the conservative route by passing. The play was trivial with trumps going 2-2. That was plus 170.

At the other table, Gill was given room to accurately describe his hand, so the game was reached for a 6 -IMP gain.
Two boards later, Hans managed 11 tricks on a deal where the opponents could have taken the first six tricks.

| Dealer: South | A J 73 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -62 |  | Tan | Hans | Poon | Peake |
| Brd 7 | - K 2 |  |  |  |  | Pass |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 1 | \& A K Q 1043 |  | Pass | 1NT | Pass | 2\% |
| A 1064 |  | AK 85 | Pass | 2 | Pass | 3NT |
| $\checkmark$ KQ1054 |  | - A 87 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| -1095 |  | - A J 8643 |  |  |  |  |
| \& J 7 |  | \& 9 | Burke | Ng | Gill | Loo |
|  | A A Q 92 |  |  |  |  | Pass |
|  | -J93 |  | Pass | 1NT | 2 | Double |
|  | - Q 7 |  | Pass | 2NT | Pass | Pass |
|  | \& 8652 |  | Pass |  |  |  |

The bold bid by Peake paid off when Poon made the normal lead of his long suit. The play record is not complete, but Peake had nine tricks with the diamond lead and somehow added two extras for plus 660.

Ng also got a diamond lead and he played to make, settling for plus 120. That was 11 IMPs to Burke, now leading 17-12.

| Dealer: West | A A 108 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ A 732 |  | Tan | Hans | Poon | Peake |
| Brd 8 | -109 |  | 1NT | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 1 | \& J 953 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| A 652 |  | A Q 74 |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 8 |  | - J 54 | Burke | Ng | Gill | Loo |
| - AKJ 75 |  | - Q 832 | 1NT | Pass | 2* | Pass |
| \& K Q 2 |  | \& A 76 | 2* | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
|  | AKJ 93 |  | Pass | Pass |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 1096 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -64 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ 1084 |  |  |  |  |  |

The lead changed hands again on the next board.
With nothing to go on, Hans started with a low heart. Looking at all the cards, Peake would have won the VK and switched to spades, allowing the defenders to take the first six tricks - two hearts and four spades. Without X-ray vision, he made the normal play of the $\stackrel{\text { G }}{ }$. Declarer won the $V Q$ and cashed his eight minor-suit winners for plus 400.

Ng started with the 10 , and giving up nothing, and Burke had to cash his winners for plus 120 and 7 IMPs to Tan. The final big swing of the set occurred on the next deal.

| aler: North A Q | A Q |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | -AQJ53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A K } 876 \\ & \& 82 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 72 |  | AK9643 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K872 |  | $\checkmark 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 3 |  | -QJ 95 |  | Make | Con |  |  |
| \& K 9753 |  | \& 1064 | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
|  | A J 1085 |  | - | - | - | 1 | 4 |
|  | -1096 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -1042 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | $\& A Q J$ |  | - | - | - | - | 9 |

At both tables, the contract was $4 \checkmark$ by North and the opening lead was the $\$$.
When Hans played it, he won the opening lead with the $\rightarrow$ A and took a club finesse at trick two. Tan won the $\%$ K and underled his AA: queen, king, 5 . Poon returned the $\backslash J$, ruffed by Tan, who then tried to cash the ^A. Hans ruffed, re-entered dummy with the \&A and ran the $\vee 10$. When that held, he could claim. There were good tricks in dummy and an entry in the 10 . That was plus 420 for Burke.

At the other table, Gill also led the $\vee$ Q, taken by the ace, and Ng also took a club finesse at trick two. In with the $\& \mathrm{~K}$, Burke played the AA instead of underleading it. When Burke continued with a spade, Ng ruffed, played a club to dummy and ran the $\mathbf{~ 1 0}$. It held, but the next heart play revealed the $4-1$ trump split. Ng tried the $\vee 8$
from hand, but Gill went up with the jack and gave his partner a diamond ruff. Minus 50 for one down meant 10 IMPs to Burke.

There was a 6-IMP swing to Tan near the end, but Burke had grabbed the lead.

# OPEN TEAMS QUARTER FINAL 2 

Barry Rigal

We shall focus on Burke-Tan, which stood at a 12 IMP lead for Burke, 33-21.

| Dealer: North | A 63 |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - J10852 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 13 | -9865 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 2 | * A 9 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\wedge$ |
| AKQ42 |  | A A985 | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ AK |  | $\checkmark 64$ | 6 | - | 6 | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A J } 10432 \\ & \& 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & \& 1087432 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
|  | ヘ」 J 107 <br> - Q973 <br> - Q 7 <br> \& K Q J 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Both Souths found the automatic precision 1 opening with that hand of power and quality. Both Norths showed hearts, but Gill as East bid spades to get to 4a while Tan heard her partner bid clubs and blasted $3 N T$, a contract that would go down if the $\checkmark Q$ did not fall in two rounds. For the time being the sun was shining on her (if not on the rest of us -- the Gold Coast has been experiencing its annual monsoon season, to coincide with my visit). No swing at 12 tricks apiece.

| Dealer: East | A Q 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark 2$ |  |
| Brd 14 | -A 1073 |  |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 2 | \&KJ732 |  |
| AJ432 |  | AK 107 |
| $\checkmark 10987$ |  | -KJ43 |
| -K98 |  | - Q 652 |
| -9 98 |  | \& Q 10 |
|  | A A 96 |  |
|  | - AQ65 |  |
|  | - J 4 |  |
|  | \& A 654 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | NT |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | $\$$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | $\$$ |

> | A A 96 |
| :--- |
| $\forall A Q 65$ |
| $\forall$ J 4 |
| 654 |

Both tables bid 1NT-3V-3NT, with the $3 \vee$ call showing three spades and a singleton heart and GF values. Both declarers received a top heart lead from West and won cheaply to play clubs. Peake led clubs from the top then tried the spade finesse for a tenth winner. ChoonChou finessed in clubs and the defenders cleared hearts, declarer ducking the second and winning the third pitching diamonds from dummy. On the run of the clubs Burke kept his heart and came down to three diamonds and one spade, and declarer astutely led the spade queen from dummy to pin the jack and establish his ninth winner. Had West kept two diamonds and two spades I think declarer cannot come home.
For the record, though, if declarer keeps two spades and three diamonds in dummy the position is a genuine one, East being forced to unguard either spades or diamonds on the run of the clubs.
Both tables bid and made a straightforward small slam, then both N/S pairs actually defended 3NT by winning partner's opening lead and making the obvious shift.
On the next deal, Burke appeared to have won out in the bidding and play.

[^0]| Dealer: East | AKJ963 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - J 103 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 18 | - J 943 |  | - | - | - | - | NT |
| Open $1 / 4 /$ Final 2 | * 8 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\uparrow$ |
| AA 875 |  | - 42 | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 8754 |  | $\checkmark 9$ | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| - 52 |  | -K Q 86 | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\%$ |
| \&64 |  | \& A K J 1093 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ^ Q 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - AQ6 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - A 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& Q 752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Over East's 1\% opening Peake produced a four-card overcall (which incidentally is a habit that seems ingrained amongst Australian experts, far more than in players of top level in any other country l've seen, probably a reflection of their macho image?). That produced the heart lead to set 3NT. Gill heard his partner respond 1 V and now ended up in $3{ }^{\circ}$. operation successful? No: against $3 *$ Loo led $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$ and Gill won and led a diamond to the king and ace. Back came a spade, overtaken by Ng for a club shift. Declarer took his diamond ruff and led with a spade, ruffed and overruffed. Loo cashed his VA and exited with a club to leave declarer one trick short. No swing.
There was nothing Gill could do here against the spade lead. As the cards lie, though, had he ducked the opening lead North would have had to overtake and shift to a trump.

| Dealer: West | A 1082 |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - A 10952 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 20 | - Q 52 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 2 | * A J |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
| A Q 3 |  | AK95 | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ K Q 8 |  | - J 43 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| - J 10 |  | - A 87643 | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| \&987643 |  | \& 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A AJ764 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 76$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -K9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * K Q 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Both tables bid to 4 A . Both Wests led $\forall J$ and if East ducks this trick declarer has serious problems. He is threatened with a diamond, heart and two trump losers, playing on clubs would be catastrophic - East ruffs in for a defensive cross-ruff. But at all four tables East won the diamond and pressed on with the suit. Some defences may just be too hard.

| Dealer: North | A A 8 |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark 102$ |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 21 | - A Q 985 |  | - | 4 | - | 3 | NT |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 2 | \& 10975 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\uparrow$ |
| -1064 |  | ^ Q 9 | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
| - A 987 |  | - J 653 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| -K73 |  | -10642 | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\propto$ |
| \& Q 64 |  | * A J 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AKJ } 7532 \\ & \vee K Q 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & \& \text { K } 32 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

44 may not be a great contract but if you are aggressive enough (substitute 'insane' if you prefer) to open the North cards you will get there. With spades diamonds and clubs lying well you cannot defeat the contract. Burke led a trump and covered the $\diamond$, and declarer simply played for either the club or heart ace to be onside. Peake got the $\vee A$ lead and a trump shift and ran the trumps. Eventually he played the $\quad \mathrm{J}$ from hand and when it wasn't covered rose with the ace, ruffed a diamond back to hand and exited with a low club, but the defenders had a diamond to exit with and declarer had to lose three clubs. A surprise 12 IMPs, and the match was back to level at 34-34.

After an overtrick IMP had given Burke back the lead, board 24 demonstrated the power of the light opening bid.

| Dealer: West | A 98543 |  | West | North | East | South Pass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -AQ6432 |  | Pass | 10 | Dbl. |  |  |
| Brd 24 | $\checkmark$ |  | 2 | Pass | $2 v$ | Pass |  |
| Open $1 / 4$ Final 2 | \& J 9 |  | 3 | Pass | 30 | Pass |  |
| ヘJ76 |  | A AKQ | 34 | Pass | 5 | Pass |  |
| - J 9 |  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K} 75$ | Pass | Pass |  |  |  |
| -109742 |  | - A Q 8 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& Q 64 |  | \& A K 107 | 4 | - | 4 | - | NT |
|  | A 102 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 |
|  | -108 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -KJ653 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 8532 |  | 4 | - | 3 | - | 9 |

We would all admire Peake's restraint in not doubling 5 if it had made...but it would certainly appear Peake was playing his partner for a hand of this strength when he passed out $5 \downarrow$, don't you think? Not to worry, with 3NT taking ten tricks, the double would only have cost a few IMPs. Burke had won by 11 IMPs , and would face McGann in the semi-finals, with Travis playing Cornell.

## OPEN TEAMS SEMI-FINAL 1

## David Stern

One of the Semi-Final matches saw the Cornell Team (Cornell, Bach, Klinger and M Mullamphy) line up against Travis (Travis, Melbourne, Reynolds and Appleton) while the other match was McGann (McGann, Dyke, Brown, Nunn, Ware and Tislevoll) against Burke (Burke, Gill, Peake and Hans)
In the Cornell match board one saw two imps change hands when Travis played $2 \checkmark$ failing by one trick while 3** made at the other.

Board two saw differing auctions and opening lead opinions result in 11 IMPs to Cornell. Sitting South, what would you lead on the following two auctions

| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South | ^K 8 <br> -KJ3 <br> - AQ 1062 <br> か J 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1\% | 1 |  |  | 1NT | Pass |  |
| 17 | 20\% | 2^ | 3NT | 2* | Pass | 2^1 | Pass |  |
| 4^1 | Pass | Pass | Dble | 4^1 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mullamphy, perhaps alerted by partner's 2\& bid eschewed (my use of the word blessed by the resident logophile, Barry Rigal) the club lead, opting for the killing heart lead. The defence took two hearts, a diamond and a spade.

| Dealer: East | A 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark$ A Q 10 |  |
| Brd 2 | -543 |  |
| Open Tms Semi 1 | \&K98754 |  |
| AQ97532 |  | A A J 104 |
| $\checkmark 96542$ |  | $\checkmark 87$ |
| - J |  | -K987 |
| -6 6 |  | $\because A Q 3$ |
|  | AK 8 |  |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{KJ} 3$ |  |
|  | - A Q 1062 |  |
|  | \& J 102 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |
| - | - | 1 | - |  |
| - | 3 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 | 9 |

AK 8
-KJ3
-A Q 1062
\& J 102
In the other room without any bidding clues available Melbourne elected to lead the perfectly reasonable ad and paid out the unreasonable 11 IMPs when declarer was able to discard dummy's losing diamond on the second club trick.

Two flat boards and a Travis making 1NT for 180 against Cornell's 3* making 130 saw the score Cornell 11 Travis 4.

| Dealer: East | A 10 |  | Bach | Travis | Cornell | Melbourne |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark$ A 6 |  |  |  | 14 | Pass |
| Brd 6 | - A 543 |  | 24 | 3\% | 4^ | Pass |
| Open Tms Semi 1 | \&A108432 |  | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| AJ732 |  | A AK954 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 10873$ |  | - K Q 9 | West | North | East | South |
| -10762 |  | -K98 | Appleton | Klinger | Reynolds | Mullamphy |
| \& Q |  | * K 6 |  |  | 2* | Pass |
|  | - Q 86 |  | 2 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
|  | - J542 |  | Pass | 3* | Pass | Pass |
|  | - Q J <br> \&J975 |  | 34 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Having been alerted by partner's negative response to his opening 2\& bid, plus partner's pass of $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, as well as being minimum himself, Reynolds trod carefully and rested in 3n for 140 while Cornell realistically expecting slightly more for his partner's $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ raise raised to $4 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$ going one down when the defence cashed the - A, eliminating one of the diamond losers. 6 IMPs to Travis trailing 10-11.

A bidding accident or misunderstanding, your choice, by Klinger-Mullamphy combined with $5 \diamond$ making on the next board saw an unexpected 14 IMPs roll into the Travis scoresheet.

| Dealer: South | AJ 1074 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 107$ |  | Bach | Travis | Cornell | Melbourne |
| Brd 7 | - 763 |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| Open Tms Semi 1 | \& A 1073 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 3 |
| A Q953 |  | A A 862 | Pass | 3NT | Pass | 4* |
| - Q 82 |  | $\checkmark 95$ | Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 104 \\ \& K J 54 \end{array}$ |  | - Q J 8 <br> *Q962 | West | North | East | South |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK } \\ & \vee A K J 643 \end{aligned}$ |  | Appleton | Klinger | Reynolds | Mullamphy 10 |
|  | - AK952 |  | Pass | 14 | Pass | 2 |
|  | \& 8 |  | Pass | $2 \vee$ | Pass | 3\% |
|  |  |  | Pass | Pass!!! | Pass |  |

In the open room Travis Melbourne reached 5 , which on first glance seems to be inferior to $4 \checkmark$ but on closer examination the two contracts seem not too dissimilar, with various trump breaks being the primary concern.
In the closed room however it seems that South felt that $3 \%$ would be forcing while North had a differing view and passed. Down 300 combined with the -600 in the open room saw the lead change hands with Travis now leading by 24 to 11 .
Another 4 IMPs came Travis's way when 5* was played and making in both rooms with Klinger-Mullamphy incorrectly expressing their view on the likely outcome and doubling.
Two flat boards saw the first quarter of this semi-final finish with Travis leading Cornell 28-11.

## DRAGONFLY NOVICE

## Gabrielle

Fiery Flutters assault my belly Tremulous muscles, my legs are jelly!

First of all there was the form - Oh drat!
A challenge indeed for those wearing the novice hat!
And so to the great event I came
Had I come for glory or for shame?
Fiery flutters assault my belly
Tremulous muscles, my legs are jelly!
Tentative footsteps marked my arrival
How sure could I be, of my survival
Up I came through the busy hall
Noticeboards holding all in thrall
With my limited knowledge, no mere

Words and lists sparked my fear
Fiery flutters assault my belly
Tremulous muscles, my legs are jelly!
Slick as oil and smooth as glass
Organisers facilitated my entry fast
Friendly smiles and many warm greetings
Settled somewhat, those flutters fleeting
While nervous conniptions try the novice
Congress workers haunt the office
Thank you team for a great time
Your organisation is truly sublime
Fiery flutters doused by exhaustion
Tremulous muscles strengthened by bidding contortions

## OPEN TEAMS SEMI-FINAL 2

Barry Rigal
For set two we would switch to Burke-McGann, with Brown-Nunn coming in for McGann-Dyke.
The E/W pairs at both tables played a 3NT contract that needed four small facing AQ9xxx to come in for six tricks. When it didn't the penalty was three down in one room and four down in the other. Both tables in TravisCornell played hearts the other way, down 100.

| Dealer: West | A A 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark$ A 62 |  |
| Brd 12 | - A 62 |  |
| Open Tms Semi 2 | \& AKQ 76 |  |
| か952 |  | AKQJ108 |
| -KJ1043 |  | - Q 8 |
| -108 |  | -K73 |
| -1093 |  | \& 854 |
|  | A. 743 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 975$ |  |
|  | -QJ954 |  |
|  | ¢J 2 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\$$ |

74
-QJ954
\& J 2
On the next deal it was tit for tat as the N/S pairs at all four tables played 3NT on the diamond finesse, with the opponents ready to run spades when it lost. A flat board at $-100 . .$. . No!

Travis won the spade lead as West followed with an upside down $\uparrow 9$ to the king to show three. She rattled off five clubs and watched East's discards carefully. If he pitched a spade she would set up diamonds, if a diamond she would play ace and another diamond. And if Cornell pitched a heart, the play made at the table, she would cash VA and endplay him in spades to lead into her diamond tenace. Very well done indeed. 12 IMPs made it 43-12.

| Dealer: North | ヘ965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - KJ1064 |  |
| Brd 13 | -1062 |  |
| Open Tms Semi 2 | *Q J |  |
| - Q 43 |  | A A J 102 |
| $\checkmark 952$ |  | $\checkmark$ Q |
| - A 85 |  | -943 |
| -10843 |  | \& AK 962 |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |

AK 87
-A873
-K Q J 7
\& 75
The partscore pick up by McGann is maybe only a few IMPs but it goes to the heart of the LAW. How were N/S supposed to know they had nine trumps? Must north bid again over $3 *$ with his pile of steaming ordure? Should South act again with two clubs and a minimum. The editors just don't know. Normally this doesn't stop us from expressing an opinion, but though we like to be the first to cast a stone, we just can't bring ourselves to do it, particularly since $3 \geqslant$ can be set...not that it would be but it might be. $3 \%$ made 130 while at the other table Peake cashed two clubs and on receiving an unhelpful suit preference signal exited passively and that gave declarer time to build a diamond discard for his spades. It was 46-9 now.

Dealer: East
Vul: None
Brd 14 J73
Open Tms Semi 2
A 102
$\checkmark$ AK J
-K Q 10654
\& J 3

```
A AQ J 7 3
\bulletQ
* J73
&KQ 8 5
A854
\bullet8432
-98
& A 1042
```

| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 1 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | 1 |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| - | 1 | - | 2 | 4 |

AK 96
-109765

- A 2
\& 976

On the next deal both tables continued what appeared to be a thematic attempt to prevent their opponents ever getting to bid constructively on any deal, at the expense of their own constructive auctions, by opening the West hand 1 NT. Both North's could overcall $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ and now West balanced with $3 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$, South balanced with 3A, Mission accomplished.

Just as you or I would have done, Peake led a diamond. Declarer won and led a club to the king, Peake ducking smoothly - well done him. Declarer now gave up a diamond and West won to lead a second club, the jack queen and ace letting Peake cash the club ten and lead a fourth club and force a high ruff from dummy -well guessed Tislevoll. Declarer now led a heart from dummy and could not be prevented from ruffing a diamond in dummy with the spade nine and then drawing trumps.

In the other room Brown found the incisive trump lead to the six ten and jack. Declarer played ace and another diamond and won the trump return in hand to ruff a diamond. When he led a club to his king Brown won the ace and crossed to her partner with a heart. The fourth diamond promoted the spade eight into the setting trick. Very nice too!

| Dealer: West Vul: E-W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK } 10842 \\ & \checkmark \text { A } 53 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 16 | - AK763 |  |
| Open Tms Semi 2 | * |  |
| -97 |  | A Q 63 |
| - J 102 |  | -K8764 |
| - Q 105 |  | - 8 |
| \& K 10875 |  | * AJ96 |
|  | A A J 5 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 9 |  |
|  | -J942 |  |
|  | \& Q 432 |  |


| West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | $\wedge$ |
| - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | - |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ |

While slam is not hopeless, it's certainly not very good. Travis got there when Melbourne produced a 24 response to a 1A opening (I'm assuming artificial rather than GF but the fact that Travis drove to slam after a series of sign-offs suggests some measure of disagreement here). Cornell led a heart and Travis won the queen, ruffed a heart then misguessed spades, and was left with a diamond loser. Since it is easier to be wise after the event about East's non-trump lead, let's just say that l'm sure South was deeply sympathetic with declarer's choice of lines. It was 24-42 now for Travis.


4a by East should be defeated on a heart lead - and at most tables North had suggested that suit. Declarer will almost certainly duck the trick to North, and now a club shift will set up the ruff for N/S. Melbourne did lead a heart, but Travis continued the suit, and that was it for the defence. In the other room Klinger-Mullamphy did defeat the game, and the match was suddenly close at 43-34.

On boards 18 and 19 Tislevoll-Ware suffered two disasters, the first when they led and continued the suit to defeat a game but did not play it a third time (the game had made the other way up in comfort in the other room). The second was when Ware's weak two opening on K7432 got precisely what it deserved when partner jumped to game in that suit instead of playing the cold 3NT. If you listen closely you can still hear the discussions going on about the division of the partnership blame...that made it 51-20 for McGann.

## OK MY BAD

Colin Mitchell thinking he would be one of many (no Colin sorry you were the only one) points out that there are many married (to each other he notes) Grand Masters on the Central Coast NSW including The Mitchells, Lindsays, Crocketts, Vaughans, Clarkes, Johnmans, Dougalls and Berzins. Mea Culpa.

| Dealer: West | $\rightarrow$ Q |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - AKQ73 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 20 | -K9765 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| Open Tms Semi 2 | * A 2 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| A AK 53 |  | ^J109764 | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
| - J 42 |  | $\checkmark 9$ | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| - A Q 3 |  | - 84 | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\%$ |
| $\because K$ Q 4 |  | \&J865 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10865$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - J 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 10973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

On this wild deal we can see the flipside of Ware's hyper-aggressive style (we could say something else but our lawyers have told us that describing it as 'certifiable' might be grounds for libel). His jump raise of hearts persuaded Tislevoll to save in 5 V . Nobody doubled and on a diamond lead declarer had just enough entries to escape for down one. Since Burke did not find the save - and he would have been doubled and set 500 so it hardly cost him. That was 11 IMPs back to McGann, leading 62-20 at the halfway stage.
In the other match Reynolds-Appleton stopped in 3A after a mid-range 2\& opening, while Travis reached 50 in two rounds of the auction and persuaded Cornell to save in 5 A. That meant 7 IMPs to Travis, leading 50-36, instead of 8 IMPs going the other way.

## OPEN TEAMS SEMI-FINAL 3

Barry Rigal

We would be watching Travis against Cornell, the former leading by 14 IMPs.
The first board saw both N/S pairs bid and make 3NT when a weak hand with clubs hit a balanced 18-count with king-fourth of clubs.
The second deal saw E/W with a decent save against 4^ but not in the suit they would bid and raise;

| Dealer: East | A A Q 74 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - AK92 |  | Bach | Travis | Cornell | Melbourne |
| Brd 2 | -109642 |  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ | Double |
| Open Tms Semi 3 | \% |  | $2 V$ | 36 | Double | 34 |
| AJ62 |  | A 85 | Pass | 44 | All Pass |  |
| - Q 74 |  | - J 10865 |  |  |  |  |
| - 5 |  | - A 7 | Appleby | Klinger | Reynolds | Mullamphy |
| *K108765 |  | * A Q 42 |  |  | 17 | Pass |
|  | AK1093 |  | $2 V$ | Pass | Pass | Double |
|  | $\checkmark 3$ |  | 3* | 44 | 5\% | Pass |
|  | -KQJ83 |  | Pass | Double | Pass | 54 |
|  | \& J 93 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

I'm not sure why Mullamphy followed the delayed route to 5 A as opposed to bidding it directly. But his judgment was sound - except for the fact that $6 \star$ is cold. Thus a quiet result was achieved, just an overtrick IMP to Cornell, trailing 38-50.
The next deal continued the trend towards swing, as one N/S pair sensibly stopped in $3 \%$, the other bid to $5 \%$. Game is makeable with a couple of finesses working but you have to play your trump suit of \& K-x facing Q109xxxx by finessing on the second round to pick up AJx. Melbourne did not do this, and also misguessed the rest of the play to go two down. The match margin was back to single figures at 50-44.

On the next deal Reynolds opened a control-rich 4-3-3-3 18-count 1NT, and missed an excellent game facing a shapely seven-count, who might have tried for game independently, but didn't. Suddenly Cornell was in front, 54-50, having trailed 43-12 ten deals ago.

All four tables played 3NT on the next deal, with the defender not on lead having passed in second seat with $5-5$ in the majors at three tables, Brown being the exception, since she had opened 1A. Alas for her the heart lead was the one that would defeat the game (probably by quite a few tricks). The good news was that all the other three defenders led their own five-card suit and let the contract through in a different way.

Cornell added an IMP when Reynolds found a normal but unfortunate lead to let a partscore make, and Mullamphy guessed the play well, while Melbourne was a couple of levels lower in a safer but less rewarding strain. It was 55-50 now. Another potentially swingy hand was flattened round the room on the next deal:

| Dealer: South | A 4 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 875$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 7 | -92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Semi 3 | ¢AKJ7432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ^QJ9763 |  | A A 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K 64 |  | - A J 1093 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KJ5 |  | - A 764 | Makea | e Con |  |  |  |
| \& 6 |  | \& Q 9 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | A K 1082 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\dagger$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 2 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -Q 1083 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
|  | \& 1085 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | 8 |

Everyone reached $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ after North had not really shown his clubs, and played $\uparrow A$ and a spade to the queen to get the bad news, then led $\geqslant \mathrm{K}$ and a heart to dummy, and went down. If this was a good enough line for the four declarers it is good enough for me.

On 28 Burke created a swing for his side by not doubling a 4^ game that was making doubled and generally with overtricks round the room (Cornell picked up 3 IMPs for recording the overtrick). But he gave it back on the next hand when a system accident (transfers do or don't apply after your partner has doubled 1NT?) led to -800. That was enough for Burke, down 103-26, and his team conceded,
The last board saw more potential grief for Travis as the strong no-trump base she was using meant her side bid $1 \&:[1 *=\downarrow]: 1 N T$ All pass, whereas Klinger-Mullamphy bid 1\&:[1v]:2NT:Pass:3NT. With a 4-1-4-4 15-count facing a 10 -count 3NT was excellent, but easier to make with the sight of all four hands.

| Dealer: East | A A 984 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 10 | -KJ 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tms Semi 3 | \& AK 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AK532 |  | A 107 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bullet 872$ |  | -KJ654 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Q94 |  | - A 106 | Makea | Con |  |  |  |
| \& 876 |  | \& Q 105 | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | A Q J 6 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 109 |  | - | 4 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 732 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | ¢ J 32 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | \% |

Mullamphy won the opening lead and should surely have played on diamonds or have passed the $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$. Instead, very curiously, he went after clubs, passing the jack to East's queen. He won the heart back cheaply, then led a club to dummy and played a spade from dummy to the queen and king, misguessed the club return and now when a spade came back he had no communications to unscramble his tricks - a very surprising 9 IMPs to Travis instead of ten the other way. They led by 1 IMP with ten to go, and despite suggestions that Cornell would like to concede, he too decided to play on.

## INTERMEDIATE TEAMS FINAL

Play on - Brent Manley
Going into the second half of their match with the Greg Lee team, the Intermediate Teams squad captained by lan Lisle faced a 79.5-45 deficit - not an enviable position but not hopeless.

The Lisle team needed a rally in quarter three, but it did not happen as the margin grew to 115.5 to 54 . Many teams would concede at that point, but Lisle and company played on. Unfortunately for them, there were not many swingy deals and the Lee team played steadily to win 150.5-54.
The winners are Lee, Alan Currie, Patrick Bugler and Yolanda Carter. Lisle's teammates were partner Vicky Wiley, Lee Weldon and Biljana Novakovic.
There were a couple of big swings in set three that sealed the victory for the winners: a vulnerable $4 V$ that was off four top tricks but was let through at one table and defeated at the other. The other was a 6 contract that depended on a non-heart lead and a successful spade finesse (declarer had to lose to the aA). Lisle, playing
the slam, got a club lead and was still in with a chance, but the spade finesse lost and 12 IMPs went away (the contract at the other table was 5 ).
The most interesting deal of the set was this board, played in slam at both tables.

| Dealer: South | A AK2 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - J 10653 |  | Wiley | Lee | Lisle | Currie |
| Brd 3 | - K 10 |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| Inter Final Set 3 | \& K 102 |  | Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \%$ |
| A Q J 1096 |  | A 8754 | Pass | 34 | Pass | 4 |
| $\checkmark$ K 9 |  | $\bullet 7$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5\% |
| - 843 |  | - Q J 9762 | Pass | 5 | Pass | 6 |
| * Q 43 |  | \& 85 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
|  | A 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - A Q 842 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - A 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& A J 976 |  |  |  |  |  |

The auction from the other table was not available, but the contract was the same $-6 v$ by South. The play record indicates the opening lead was a club, solving that suit for declarer. The trump finesse was off, but the result was plus 980 for the Lisle team.

Against Currie, the opening lead was the AQ. Currie won the AA, played the $\vee J$ from dummy, going up with his ace. He then played the $\Delta A$ and a diamond to the king, followed by the $A K$ and a spade ruff. He exited with a heart and claimed, not caring which opponent won the trick.

No matter whether it was East or West, that person would have to play a club, solving his problem in that suit, or play another suit, allowing him to ruff in hand and discard a club from dummy. Either way, he had 12 tricks in a well-played contract.

The Lee team won the set 36-9 and started stanza four with a 13-IMP gain on an unusual deal.

| Dealer: North | A J 108765432 | West | North | East | South <br> Currie |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark$ | Wiley | Lee | Lisle |  |  |
| Brd 13 | -8 |  | Pass!! | 1NT | Double |  |
|  | \& 742 | 2 | 4a | Pass | Pass |  |
| A Q | A K 9 | Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q 962 | $\checkmark 743$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 752 | - A Q 104 |  | Makea | le Con | acts |  |
| \& 105 | \& K 983 | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
|  | A A | - | 5 | - | 5 | 4 |
|  | - K J 105 | 2 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K963 | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& A Q J 6 | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\%$ |

Not many players would pass holding a nine-card suit, even one headed by the jack, but Lee did. Lisle's 12-14 1NT opener was doubled by Currie, showing a good hand, and Wiley transferred to hearts. Had Lee passed a second time, he would have been a candidate for the front page of today's issue. He bid, however, charging into the spade game.

Lisle led a heart to the jack and queen, and Lee ruffed. He crossed to the AA, dropping Wiley's queen, and he called for the $\vee K$, ruffing when Wiley covered with the ace. Lisle was marked with the $\& K$, but Lee played a low one from hand and went up with the ace to discard his low diamond on the good $\mathbf{\vee 1 0}$. He eventually lost a club and a spade for plus 650.

It was a 13-IMP gain because the contract at the other table was 6\%, going one down on the lead of the $\vee \mathrm{A}$.
The rest of the boards in the set were relatively quiet, although everyone at the table was joking at one point that Wiley appeared to be determined to open $3 \%$ every time it was her turn to bid. The Lisle team played valiantly, but the Lee squad was in good form on their way to an impressive win.

## PUZZLE DU JOUR

Barry Rigal

| Dealer: South | A 109 | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Nil | - A 765 |  |  |  | 2NT |
|  | -94 | Pass | 3\% | Pass | 34 |
|  | \& 107432 | Pass | 3NT | Pass | Pass |
| AJ 4 |  | Pass |  |  |  |
| - J 942 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 852 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& K 98 |  |  |  |  |  |

Against $3 N T$, you lead the $\uparrow 2$ to the $4 \star, 10 \star$ and $\uparrow$ K. At trick two, declarer’s low club goes to the $\uparrow 8$, $\uparrow 2$ and partner's $\& \mathrm{~J}$. Back comes the $\downarrow 7$. Declarer plays the $\downarrow 3$ and you win the queen. What next?

## SOLUTION DU JOUR

Barry Rigal
The full deal from yesterday's puzzle was:

|  | ^ Q 4 <br> - Q 9654 <br> - 5 <br> \& K Q 743 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKJ1032 |  | A -- |
| $\checkmark 73$ |  | $\checkmark$ AK 102 |
| -K3 |  | - A Q J 64 |
| \& 8652 |  | \& A J 109 |
|  | A A 98765 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 8 |  |
|  | -109872 |  |
|  | \& -- |  |

I was lucky enough to be sitting East and didn't have to get involved in deciding what to do. At least two defenders - Billy Eisenberg and my partner, Glenn Milgrim - found the play to collect the maximum penalty: the AK .

After that play, declarer could win the ace, but if she ruffed a diamond with dummy's $\uparrow Q$, the trump trick Milgrim seemingly gave up would come back. On a low spade switch, declarer could have put up the queen, ruffed out the club ace, then ruffed a diamond low and take a discard on the club queen. At the end West would be the victim of a trump endplay and declarer would have finished only one down.
At my table and Eisenberg's - and likely a few others - the end result was plus 500, good for 44 out of 51 matchpoints.

## HAVE YOU DISCUSSED?

## Brent Manley

Gordon Parmes tells of the local expert who lost an important match in a Swiss teams but won some wine in a drawing. A local wag was heard to remark, "Too bad - he won the bottle but lost the war."
When you come right down to it, bridge is war. It's friendly war, but make no mistake - the opponents are trying to do you in. They bid like maniacs, interfere with your auctions and generally make life miserable for you when they can. Of course, you're busy doing the same to them.

It doesn't happen often, but the opponents will even interfere with your Blackwood auctions. Have you and partner discussed how to cope with such annoyances? Say the bidding goes like this:

| West | North <br> Partner | East | South <br>  <br>  <br> $5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| You |  |  |  |

In this case, partner would use a convention known as DOPI, for Double $=$ zero key cards, Pass $=1$. With two key cards, bid $5 \star$, etc. Change the auction and you would need to use a different convention.

| West | North <br> Partner | East <br> Youth |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $1 ष$ | Ya | $4 N T$ |

In this case, partner can't bid without putting your side at the six level. Your weapon of choice in this case is DEPO: Double = an even number of key cards ( 0,2 or 4 ); Pass = an odd number (1 or 3).

You can use Roman responses to these conventions. For example, in the first auction, Double would indicate 0 or 3 key cards ( 1 or 4 if your agreement is 1430), Pass would show 1 or 4 ; $5 \downarrow$, two key cards without the queen, and $5 \checkmark$ two key cards with the queen.
Another aspect of Blackwood you should discuss is responding to 4NT when you have a void. There are various schemes for getting your message across (you will have no chance if you don't decide with partner about how to do it).

One is to jump to $6 *$ with one ace and an unspecified void, using 6 to show the same with two aces.
Another method uses 5NT to show two aces and a void, six of a suit ranking below the agreed trump suit to show a void in that suit and one or three aces; six of the agreed suit to show one or three aces and a void in a suit ranking higher than the agreed trump suit.

One aspect of Blackwood that is overlooked by newer players is that the convention is not really designed to get you to slam. Rather, its purpose is to keep you from bidding bad slams, i.e., slams missing two aces or two key cards. Furthermore, you will pick up many hands where bidding Blackwood is simply wrong - as when you have two or more quick losers in a side suit.
That's where cue-bidding - a highly effective method for exploring slam - comes in. Fans of RKCB should consider using Italian-style cue-bids.

Many players cue-bid only first-round controls - aces or voids - as a way of probing for slam. In the Italian style, first- and second-round controls are treated equally. In other words, cue-bids can include voids, singleton, guarded kings and aces. A big advantage of this style is that if a player bypasses a suit, he denies a control in that suit. In this way, uncontrolled suits are identified quickly, so the bidding can stop at a reasonable level.

On the other hand, if you wanted to bid Blackwood but were hindered by possession of, say, two low cards in some suit, you can bid 4NT if partner cue-bids that weak suit. If he skips that suit, you can give up and not worry about missing slam.
There is ambiguity in this method, but you can use a second cue-bid in a suit to confirm first-round control.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 11

## Not Easily Fooled - David Stern

| Dealer: East | A A 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ J 72 |  |
| Brd 14 | - 7652 |  |
| Open Tms Q R11 | \&J965 |  |
| A 742 |  | AKQ985 |
| - A 1063 |  | $\checkmark$ Q 8 |
| - 9 |  | - K Q 108 |
| ¢ A 7432 |  | \& K 10 |
|  | か J 106 |  |
|  | -K954 |  |
|  | - AJ4 3 |  |
|  | \& Q 8 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | $\$$ |

This hand was submitted by Sue Lusk. It demonstrated nice technique by her brother Bruce Neill in the second last round of the Teams Qualifying.
East declared 4^ on the $\boldsymbol{A}$ J lead, which seems to be helpful for declarer. North won the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and noting the singleton diamond in dummy, continued with spades.
It seems that declarer could try and cross to dummy and play a diamond to the ten and hope for something good in either diamonds ( $\checkmark$ J-X or $\checkmark$ J-X-X in the North hand) or the less than likely chance that the defence would not be able to play a third trump.

Declarer however had a seemingly better plan. He played $\AA \mathrm{K}$, a club to the ace and a club ruff. It was at this point that Neill found the best defence by not accepting the 'Greek gift' of an over-ruff but simply discarding a heart - a diamond would have been fatal.

This left East without recourse, as a diamond play would allow the defence to cash the third trump leaving declarer with one spade loser, one heart loser and two diamond losers.
By overruffing, you endplay yourself to lead hearts or diamonds, both of which give declarer a trick. Declarer will allow a heart switch by South to run around to his queen while an overruff and diamond shift will allow declarer to score two diamond tricks a diamond ruff and to pitch his losing heart on the long club, which will be established by ruffing another club in hand.
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BRIDGE FOR THE IMPROVER Ron Klinger

| Dealer: South | North | West | North | East | South <br> Vul: Nil |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | AK Q |  |  |  |  |

## South

- 54
- K Q 107
- J 1086
\& K Q 2
West leads $\$ 5$. Plan your play.


## THERE'S ONE BORN EVERY MINUTE

On this deal from a Swiss Pairs scored by Imps, declarer succumbed to temptation and paid a heavy price:

|  | AK Q 9 <br> - A842 <br> - A Q 4 <br> \& 954 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -107632 |  | A A J 8 |
| - J 93 |  | $\checkmark 65$ |
| -5 |  | -K9732 |
| ¢ J 1083 |  | \& A 76 |
|  | A 54 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K Q 107 |  |
|  | - J 1086 |  |
|  | - K Q 2 |  |

West led the $\uparrow 5$. Declarer should rise with the $\star A$ and play trumps, relying on a favourable trump break. If hearts are 3-2, the contract is safe. After trumps have been drawn, you can knock out the $\$ K$. One of dummy's clubs can go away on your fourth diamond if necessary and you can ruff a spade loser in hand and a club loser in dummy.
West had no good lead but a singleton is an attractive start when you have a very weak hand and poor trumps. Declarer erred by taking the diamond finesse. East won and returned the $\$ 2$, suit-preference for clubs. West ruffed and played a club to East. Another diamond ruff followed and declarer had to lose to the AA for two down in a cold contract.

|  |  |  | ReStricted | SWiSS Pairs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Pair |  | Score | Rank | Pair |  |
| 1 | 41 | Ian BARFOOT - Peter ROBBINS | 136 | 28 | 28 | Dot PIDDINGTON - Norma CAMERON |
| 2 | 31 | Lex RANKE - Jack ROHDE | 131 | 29 | 34 | Kevin BALKIN - Pauline BALKIN |
| 3 | 37 | Vivien ELDRIDGE - Rachael LOOMES | 126 | 29 | 20 | Vivienne NABARRO - Colin MCGREGOR |
| 4 | 38 | John SHARP - Meg SHARP | 124 | 31 | 13 | Barbara ANDERSON - Janet BELL |
| 4 | 26 | Deborah MATTHEWS - Gwyneth HOPKINS | 124 | 32 | 8 | Kay KERR - Fran MCDONALD |
| 6 | 23 | Sally LAZAR - Richard LAZAR | 122 | 32 | 43 | Helen ROLLOND - Sue HAPEK |
| 7 | 21 | John NIBBS - David LEHMANN | 121 | 34 | 10 | Glenda PARMENTER - Barbara O'SHEA |
| 8 | 51 | Gregory GOSNEY - Byron LONGFORD | 119 | 34 | 9 | Margaret PARTRIDGE - John ROGERS |
| 9 | 6 | Andrew WOOLLONS - Alan BOYCE | 118 | 34 | 42 | David CORNEY - Margaret CORNEY |
| 9 | 35 | Helen HELLSTEN - Catherine HOOD | 118 | 37 | 24 | Bridget RYAN - Jane DOIG |
| 11 | 45 | Malcolm CLIFT - Kathy CLIFT | 117 | 38 | 3 | Edgar BECKETT - Janice BECKETT |
| 12 | 12 | Mike EDWARDS - Allan PIKE | 115 | 38 | 39 | Diana MCKENZIE - Heather REYNOLDS |
| 12 | 25 | Laurie SKEATE - Denis WARD | 115 | 40 | 11 | William HOWARD - Geraldine HOWARD |


| 14 | 19 | Cassie MORIN - Helen ARENDTS | 113 | 40 | 48 | Shelley TAYLOR - Charlotte HARRISON |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 40 | Robyn CLARK - Brigid MARLAND | 111 | 42 | 30 | Maureen TREACEY - Kath PEEVER |
| 15 | 22 | Prunella ADAMS - Malcolm ADAMS | 111 | 43 | 44 | Marsha KNOLL - Kay ROE |
| 17 | 47 | Jillian GRIFFITH - Norma BROWNE | 110 | 43 | 54 | Joyce DONOVAN - Carolyn MCMURRAY |
| 18 | 53 | Norma NEWTON - Peter HOOPER | 109 | 45 | 49 | Marie IRVING - Allison SIMON |
| 18 | 17 | Jennifer HOLLINGWORTH - Peter COPPIN | 109 | 46 | 36 | Janette KOLLISCH - Natasha THOMAS |
| 20 | 15 | Nini PERKINS - Yvonne HOUBOLT | 108 | 47 | 7 | Judy WILKINSON - Sew YOON YAP-GILES |
| 20 | 4 | Kay SIMPSON - Ruth YOUNG | 108 | 47 | 16 | Vivien SOLO - Shirley KING |
| 20 | 50 | Claudie ALPHANDARY - Margaret SCOTT | 108 | 49 | 1 | Paul ROSE - Margaret PISKO |
| 23 | 27 | Isobel FURNIVAL - Marie SIGANTO | 106 | 50 | 29 | Joan LECKIE - Margaret WILLIAMSON |
| 23 | 32 | Noel GRIGG - Bruce TURNER | 106 | 51 | 5 | Margaret AISTON - Jenny CRAWT |
| 23 | 46 | Heather BROATCH - Diana PERRY | 106 | 51 | 52 | Arjen DRAAISMA - Margot HARRIS |
| 26 | 2 | Gordon BROADLEY - Ian BROADLEY | 105 | 53 | 18 | Diane CONNORS - Sally GRAHAM |
| 26 | 33 | Trevor DWERRYHOUSE - Noriko NISHIGAMI | 105 | 54 | 14 | Archibald FRASER - John MOEN |


| Ivy Dahler Swiss Butler Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Pair | North-South | Total | Rank | Pair | East-West | Total |
| 1 | 12 | Sharon MAYO - Greg MAYO | 140 | 1 | 601 | Herve CHEVAL - Pierre CRIFO | 130 |
| 2 | 83 | Tim RUNTING - Murray PERRIN | 135 | 1 | 534 | Alison DAWSON - Elizabeth ZELLER | 130 |
| 3 | 7 | Lorraine STACHURSKI - Mindy WU | 134 | 3 | 562 | Ann PATON - Hugh GROSVENOR | 128 |
| 3 | 1 | Patrick CARTER - Tom JACOB | 134 | 4 | 578 | Judy MARKS - Adam RUTKOWSKI | 127 |
| 5 | 39 | Hugh MCALISTER - Diana MCALISTER | 133 | 5 | 574 | Wayne BURROWS - Peter HALL | 125 |
| 6 | 28 | Yuzhong CHEN - Gary FOIDL | 131 | 5 | 557 | Sue PICUS - Peter BUCHEN | 125 |
| 7 | 20 | Len MEYER - Phyllis MORITZ | 130 | 5 | 558 | Bob RICHMAN - Jeanette REITZER | 125 |
| 7 | 5 | George SMOLANKO - Jane DAWSON | 130 | 8 | 564 | Noelene LAW - Julia HOFFMAN | 124 |
| 9 | 15 | Murray GREEN - Fran MARTIN | 129 | 9 | 550 | Bev GUILFORD - Sue SPENCER | 122 |
| 10 | 4 | Michael WILKINSON - Susan HUMPHRIES | 127 | 9 | 575 | Nicky STRASSER - Justin HOWARD | 122 |
| 10 | 2 | Marlene WATTS - Michael PRESCOTT | 127 | 11 | 565 | Terry STRONG - Jill MAGEE | 121 |
| 10 | 19 | Peter LIVESEY - Roger THOMAS | 127 | 11 | 610 | Pam SCHOEN - Phil HALE | 121 |
| 13 | 16 | Pam MORGAN-KING - Leigh THOMPSON | 126 | 13 | 560 | Stan KLOFA - Douglas NEWLANDS | 118 |
| 14 | 102 | Keith LONG - Brodie LOXTON | 123 | 13 | 567 | Nevena DJUROVIC - Giselle MUNDELL | 118 |
| 14 | 26 | Kendall EARLY - Rosemary CROWLEY | 123 | 15 | 606 | Noel WOODHALL - Moss WYLIE | 117 |
| 16 | 10 | Sharmini HOOLE - David ANDERSON | 120 | 15 | 568 | Eva BERGER - Jay FARANDA | 117 |
| 16 | 21 | Ken WILKS - Rosalie BROUGHTON | 120 | 15 | 594 | Jan HACKETT - Tom HACKETT | 117 |
| 18 | 104 | Kemal AVUNDUK - Kiyomi AVUNDUK | 119 | 15 | 573 | Eva SAMUEL - Jeff FUST | 117 |
| 18 | 31 | Jeanne HEY - Joan VALENTINE | 119 | 15 | 506 | Rosemary GLASTONBURY - Betty HOBDELL | 117 |
| 20 | 13 | Tom STRONG - Edda STRONG | 118 | 20 | 561 | Andrew HEGEDUS - Andrew MILL | 116 |
| 21 | 44 | Jan MALINAS - Kitty MUNTZ | 117 | 20 | 603 | Richard TOUTON - Ryan TOUTON | 116 |
| 21 | 41 | Alison TALBOT - Margaret TILDESLEY | 117 | 22 | 559 | Tony LEIBOWITZ - Alex SMIRNOV | 115 |
| 21 | 27 | Joan STOBO - Titus LING | 117 | 22 | 588 | Sylvia KUDELKA - Leone SZABO | 115 |
| 21 | 18 | Denis GRAHAME - Jeanette GRAHAME | 117 | 22 | 545 | Brian LEACH - Peter MIKA | 115 |
| 21 | 63 | Paul WEAVER - Terry BODYCOTE | 117 | 25 | 533 | Kaylee LEMON - Ronnie NG | 114 |
| 26 | 23 | Graham WAKEFIELD - Michael PEMBERTON | 116 | 25 | 572 | Annette MALUISH - Neville FRANCIS | 114 |
| 26 | 59 | Andrew TARBUTT - Alister STUCK | 116 | 25 | 571 | Eva SHAND - Les VARADI | 114 |
| 28 | 43 | Alan GLASSON - Kathie RADCLIFFE | 115 | 25 | 580 | Larry MOSES - James EVANS | 114 |
| 28 | 76 | Roman MORAWIECKI - Carolyn MILLER | 115 | 25 | 553 | Elizabeth GRIEVE - Judith PERRY | 114 |
| 28 | 100 | Barry O'DONOHUE - Margie KNOX | 115 | 25 | 582 | Rosemary MOONEY - Tania GARIEPY | 114 |
| 31 | 11 | Neil PERRY - Elly URBACH | 114 | 31 | 563 | Barry JONES - Jenny MILLINGTON | 113 |
| 31 | 6 | Peter KAHLER - Jeannette COLLINS | 114 | 32 | 577 | Jane JENSEN - David JENSEN | 112 |
| 31 | 8 | Nick HUGHES - Nicoleta GIURA | 114 | 33 | 511 | Jocelyn STEELE - John STEELE | 111 |
| 34 | 55 | Andrew STRUIK - Nikki RISZKO | 113 | 33 | 538 | Jenny WILLIAMS - Monica PRITCHARD | 111 |
| 35 | 73 | Ian BRASH - Chris TURNER | 112 | 33 | 609 | Susanne MOULD - Shirley WANZ | 111 |
| 35 | 46 | Bastian BOLT - Rita KAHN | 112 | 33 | 570 | Marilyn CHADWICK - Toni SHARP | 111 |


| 35 | 24 | Witold CHYLEWSKI (JUN) - Milan DUROVIC | 112 | 37 | 584 | Mads EYDE - Lisbeth GROVE | 110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 107 | Pat KENNEDY - Geoffrey NORRIS | 111 | 37 | 599 | Anne SMALL - Ronald SMITH | 110 |
| 39 | 89 | Brian JACOBSON - Bill WEBSTER | 110 | 37 | 531 | Kathy PALMER - Helen CLAYTON | 110 |
| 39 | 65 | Stephen STENING - Graham RUSHER | 110 | 37 | 589 | Denise KEENAN - Margaret YUILL | 110 |
| 39 | 84 | Patricia LACEY - Carmel BOURKE | 110 | 41 | 542 | Lou TILLOTSON - Sue ROBINSON | 109 |
| 39 | 37 | Geoff ALLEN - Pat ALLEN | 110 | 41 | 605 | Gary LYNN - Jack PIERCE | 109 |
| 39 | 48 | Marian OBENCHAIN - Suzy NARITA | 110 | 41 | 509 | Shirley WATSON - Chris WATSON | 109 |
| 44 | 62 | Penny CORRIGAN - Judith CRAFTI | 109 | 41 | 592 | Wendy HARMAN - Carmen JACKSON | 109 |
| 44 | 77 | Janet MUNRO - Val CHURCHILL | 109 | 45 | 510 | Bruce FRASER - Helen KEMP | 108 |
| 44 | 14 | George FINIKIOTIS - Elizabeth FANOS | 109 | 45 | 593 | Peter ANDERSSON - Ashok TULPULE | 108 |
| 47 | 42 | Neil GIBSON - Elizabeth GIBSON | 108 | 45 | 604 | Carmel THOMPSON - Denise BUTTROSE | 108 |
| 47 | 61 | Kim PRESCOTT - Mark JANOR | 108 | 48 | 529 | Ken MOFFITT - Sue MOFFITT | 107 |
| 49 | 29 | Jean HALL - Franci HALMOS | 107 | 48 | 513 | Lisa MA - Emlyn WILLIAMS | 107 |
| 49 | 45 | Kate TERRY - Sheryl WINDSOR | 107 | 48 | 516 | Jill PIKE - Patricia HENDERSON | 107 |
| 49 | 97 | George POWIS - Patricia POWIS | 107 | 48 | 555 | Barbara DALY - Lyn MANSFIELD | 107 |
| 49 | 35 | Janet CLARKE - Frances LYONS | 107 | 48 | 503 | Ron SPEISER - Patricia MANN | 107 |
| 49 | 109 | Johan ROOSE - Judith ROOSE-DRIVER | 107 | 53 | 520 | Desma SAMPSON - Gwen CORDINGLEY | 106 |
| 54 | 87 | Lynn BAKER - Harry BAUMANIS | 106 | 53 | 566 | Julie SHERIDAN - Karen MARTELLETTI | 106 |
| 54 | 95 | Lise ALLAN - Rilla ENGLAND | 106 | 53 | 586 | Chris WILLIAMS - Ian LINCOLN | 106 |
| 56 | 32 | Kerry WOOD - Robin O'DELL | 105 | 53 | 552 | Allayne GRAY - Anne SIMPSON | 106 |
| 56 | 103 | Irene CHAU - Rebecca ROOKE | 105 | 57 | 576 | Roger WEATHERED - Birgitt BINGHAM | 105 |
| 56 | 81 | Valerie KELLERMAN - Christine PARKIN | 105 | 57 | 595 | Margaret TAYLOR - Beverley GOSS | 105 |
| 56 | 60 | Vasantha KUMAR - Janeen SOLOMON | 105 | 59 | 546 | Rosemary MATSKOWS - Fern MCRAE | 104 |
| 60 | 71 | Pat LEIGHTON - Gaylene BROWN | 104 | 60 | 591 | Anne YOUNG - Adrienne KELLY | 103 |
| 60 | 98 | Dorothy READ - Geoff READ | 104 | 60 | 598 | Lex BOURKE - Stephen Ja BARON | 103 |
| 60 | 108 | John KEARNS - Glenys DEAN | 104 | 62 | 535 | Darrell WILLIAMS - Jackie WILLIAMS | 102 |
| 60 | 54 | Bernie ADCOCK - Janet HILL | 104 | 62 | 537 | John PUUSEPP - Frank VEARING | 102 |
| 64 | 30 | Maggie CALLANDER - Frank POWER | 103 | 62 | 514 | Lucy BARUA - Ruth CHAPMAN | 102 |
| 64 | 72 | John LANHAM - Peter RANDALL | 103 | 65 | 569 | Astrid GONCHAROFF - Tony HUTTON | 101 |
| 66 | 112 | Dale PEAK - Roger PEAK | 102 | 65 | 519 | John KABLE - Ruth WEBB | 101 |
| 67 | 57 | Michael JOHNSON - David TUCKER | 101 | 67 | 585 | Tony BERGER - Merle BOGATIE | 100 |
| 67 | 86 | Geoffrey ROBERTS - Kevin DEAN | 101 | 67 | 508 | Richard FOX - Errol MILLER | 100 |
| 67 | 36 | Andrew HOOPER - Pippa HOOPER | 101 | 67 | 590 | Frank KOVACS - David MCRAE | 100 |
| 67 | 88 | Heather GRANT - Frank CAMPBELL | 101 | 70 | 528 | Margaret MCNEE - Gail WALSH | 99 |
| 71 | 52 | Gregory CARTER - Devika CARTER | 100 | 70 | 527 | Frances GARRICK - Bruce DAGLISH | 99 |
| 71 | 70 | Andrea GLUYAS - Virginia HALL | 100 | 70 | 540 | Janet WALLIS - Ann BULLOCK | 99 |
| 71 | 106 | Trish ANAGNOSTOU - Gillian GONTHIER | 100 | 73 | 544 | Joy TRIGG - Karin OLISLAGERS | 98 |
| 74 | 85 | Robert WYLIE - Merleine WYLIE | 99 | 73 | 523 | Susan SCERRI - Allan SCERRI | 98 |
| 74 | 69 | Ian PATTERSON - Peter COX | 99 | 73 | 504 | Marion JEFFERSON - Marleen MEDHAT | 98 |
| 74 | 38 | Allison DAWSON - Meredith LAMBERT | 99 | 73 | 517 | Matt BLACKHAM - Michelle TREDENICK | 98 |
| 74 | 75 | Barbara GRANT - Louis KOOLEN | 99 | 77 | 612 | David FLYNN - Jill PERCIL | 97 |
| 74 | 33 | Niek VAN VUCHT - Wendy BOXALL | 99 | 77 | 551 | Kees DE VOCHT - Jenny CARR | 97 |
| 74 | 93 | Anne LAMPORT - Linden RAYMOND | 99 | 77 | 507 | Wayne HOUGHTON - Christine HOUGHTON | 97 |
| 80 | 3 | Betty MILL - Vicki TAYLOR | 98 | 80 | 532 | John TREDREA - Phil RAINS | 96 |
| 80 | 22 | Bente HANSEN - Madge MYBURGH | 98 | 80 | 587 | Monica DARLEY - Kath POOLE | 96 |
| 80 | 17 | Garry CLARKE - Sally CLARKE | 98 | 82 | 522 | Neville DE MESTRE - Lazar MIKATA | 95 |
| 83 | 90 | Heather FLANDERS - Irene HAMILTON | 97 | 82 | 597 | Bill NASH - Alex MCAULEY | 95 |
| 83 | 9 | Peter HAINSWORTH - Gary MALINAS | 97 | 82 | 515 | Tony ALLEN - Kelela ALLEN | 95 |
| 83 | 49 | Malcolm ALLAN - Diana STEWART | 97 | 82 | 607 | Kaye HART - Jeff CARBERRY | 95 |
| 83 | 80 | Ros WARNOCK - Valerie ISLE | 97 | 86 | 549 | Brian ASHWELL - Jan ASHWELL | 94 |
| 87 | 67 | George FLEISCHER - Jenny ROSE | 96 | 86 | 518 | Beryl DAWSON - Maureen COOKSLEY | 94 |
| 87 | 51 | Judith IVANYI - Alexander IVANYI | 96 | 86 | 611 | Gizella MICKEVICS - Mary WATERHOUSE | 94 |
| 89 | 99 | Rosemary KELLEY - Ned KELLEY | 95 | 89 | 579 | Nicole MCMANAMNY - Kathy YANG | 93 |
| 89 | 40 | Rick RHODES - Maura RHODES | 95 | 89 | 602 | Sue O'BRIEN - Maha HOENIG | 93 |


| 89 | 25 | Ken STORR - Phaik YAO | 95 | 91 | 554 | Jill CHURCH - Rhondda SWEETMAN |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 89 | 111 | Murray WIGGINS - Rosa MISHKIN | 95 | 91 | 583 | Joyce O'BRIEN - lan BROOKES |
| 93 | 96 | Carol WILSON - Laurie MCROBERTS | 94 | 93 | 539 | Joan CAMPBELL - Pat CREMA |
| 94 | 64 | Carole COOKE - Carolyn LEWIS | 92 | 94 | 596 | Janina FLEISZIG - Gabor FLEISZIG |
| 94 | 53 | Helen WILSON - Jane NORTH | 92 | 94 | 505 | Jenny DATE - Ros LUCAS |
| 94 | 47 | Tim HEALY - Helen HEALY | 92 | 94 | 547 | Jenny HOFF - Kay LEETON |
| 97 | 110 | Derek SNELLING - Isobel ROSS | 91 | 97 | 524 | Marion BUCENS - Brian HORAN |
| 98 | 56 | Ron HUMPHREYS - Warren LUEY | 90 | 97 | 536 | Alexander COOK - Robin HO |
| 99 | 68 | Dianne BENVIE - Christina MANDER | 89 | 99 | 501 | Judith APFELBAUM - Margaret LIVERSAGE |
| 100 | 82 | Judy HAVERCROFT - Max HAVERCROFT | 88 | 100 | 525 | Mike ROBERTSON - Gene EFINGER |
| 100 | 66 | Mary ALLISON - Catherine WHIDDON | 88 | 101 | 556 | Jean BENNETT - Robin BENNETT |
| 102 | 78 | Pamela SMITH - Judith SELLECK | 87 | 101 | 608 | Eileen JOSEY - Valma MCCLEMENT |
| 103 | 74 | Alan DODDRIDGE - Jenny WILSON | 86 | 101 | 521 | Barbara HOSPERS - Gladys TULLOCH |
| 104 | 79 | Lyn TURNER - Gwen GRAY | 85 | 101 | 541 | Diane NICHOLS - Sue EASTMAN |
| 105 | 101 | Ken ANDERSON - Lindy ANDERSON | 84 | 101 | 530 | Jan CLYNE - Jenny HOMER |
| 106 | 34 | Betty PRIESTLEY - Pauline O'DONOGHUE | 83 | 101 | 526 | Carolyn LEACH - Sandy LEACH |
| 107 | 58 | Christina MACQUARRIE - Steven WHITE | 82 | 101 | 543 | Megan SUTHERLAND - Gerald DAWSON |
| 108 | 105 | Sue BEER - Sally MOORE | 81 | 108 | 581 | Theo MANGOS - Leigh FORAN |
| 109 | 91 | Peter NILSSON - Deborah NILSSON | 80 | 109 | 548 | Diana WILSON - Anthea GEDGE |
| 110 | 94 | Ken MOSCHNER - Saftica POPA | 74 | 110 | 512 | Evol CRESSWELL - Sandra ALLEN |
| 111 | 92 | $B e t h ~ C A L C I N O ~-~ M a r i a ~ R U T T I M A N ~$ | 68 | 111 | 502 | Eunice STRINGFELLOW - Derek STRINGFELLOW |
| 112 | 50 | Alan CLAYTON - Ann NICHOLS | 67 | 112 | 600 | Jilliana BELL - Dorothy BEIL |



## COSMETICS PLUS

## Our Major Sponsor

Stores: Australia Fair, Browns Plains, Bundaberg, Cairns Central, Caloundra, Capalaba, Carindale, Chermside, Garden City, Helensvale, Hervey Bay, Indooroopilly, Ipswich, Logan Hyperdome, Mackay, Morayfield, North Lakes, Pacific Fair, Redbank Plaza, Robina, Rockhampton, Springfield, Strathpine, Sunshine Plaza, Townsville (Stocklands \& Willows), Tweed City, Victoria Point, Toowoomba (Grand Central \& Clifford Gardens), Wynnum

HEAD OFFICE: 41 BULCOCK ST, CALOUNDRA, QLD 4551
PH: 07-5437-0666
FAX: 07-5437-0966
EMAIL: admin@cosmeticsplus.com.au

## SUPPORT THOSE

 WHO SUPPORT BRIDGE

For further enquiries or to register, contact: KIM ELLAWAY
Call: +61 733518602 or +61 412064903 Fax: +61731034799 Email: manager@qIdbridge.com

Want to know more? www.qldbridge.com/gcc

| Holiday Pairs Event 2 - Session 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | Score |  | E-W | Score |
| 1 | Tony WOOLFORD - Noelle KEBBY | 63.83 | 1 | Philip HOULTON - Rod BINSTED | 63.18 |
| 2 | Danny OSMUND - Linda OSMUND | 59.26 | 2 | Kathryn ATTWOOD - Larry ATTWOOD | 62.55 |
| 3 | Penny STYLES - Val FERREIRA | 56.09 | 3 | John BAMFIELD - Susan HENDERSON | 58.39 |
| 4 | Lorraine FREDERICKS - Peter FREDERICKS | 54.58 | 4 | Raymond JONES - Rita JONES | 57.84 |
| 5 | Val HOPWOOD - Muffy SMITHSON | 54.36 | 5 | Bal KRISHAN - Phil TIMMINS | 55.54 |
| 6 | John EVANS - Maggie KELLY | 54.14 | 6 | Barbara KENT - Jane EBERHARDT | 54.74 |
| 7 | Helen MCLAUCHLAN - Pam HORTON | 53.70 | 7 | Kay GOODWIN - Sharon CLIFFORD | 53.59 |
| 8 | Eilis CLILVERD - Alison HEATHCOTE | 53.16 | 8 | Pat BACK - Jim ASCIONE | 52.82 |
| 9 | Jenifer CODOGNOTTO - Annette ROSE | 52.72 | 9 | Beverley WELCH - Jeanne ADAMS | 52.37 |
| 10 | Philip MORONEY - Michael BUSH | 50.34 | 10 | Minnie BRAGG - Chris BRAGG | 49.56 |
| 11 | Chris NETTLE - Michael WARD | 49.26 | 11 | Fiona SMITH - Keith MABIN | 48.16 |
| 12 | Eileen SEABORN - Helen KITE | 48.20 | 12 | Ray INGIELEWICZ - Pat SLEAT | 46.84 |
| 13 | Zona TRIPP - Julia CLIVE | 47.39 | 13 | Carol SHELDRAKE - Kathie DE PALO | 45.10 |
| 14 | Michael LARCOMBE - Rob ZIFFER | 44.78 | 14 | Ming Shu YANG - Jim SKEEN | 44.85 |
| 15 | Janet TRELOAR - Margaret WEEKES | 44.55 | 15 | Misako JAMES - Sue KLEEMAN | 43.90 |
| 16 | Jane BOLLES - Lorna SMITH | 42.46 | 16 | Pam BRADFORD - Rosemary THOMSON | 43.46 |
| 17 | Annette SULLIVAN - Denise HARTWIG | 41.50 | 17 | Jill WARD - Fiona SAGE | 42.80 |
| 18 | Madge BAKER - Joan HAZLEHURST | 40.43 | 18 | Stephanie MATHEWS - Martie PETTIFER | 37.58 |
| 19 | Marylou SHAW - Michelle JAMES | 39.24 | 19 | Lindsay KUBLER - Kirsty DAWE | 36.71 |
| Holiday Pairs Event 2 - Session 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N-S | Score |  | E-W | Score |
| 1 | Paul THIEM - Jim WOOD | 62.50 | 1 | Ella PATTISON - Michael WARING | 64.81 |
| 2 | Choon Chou LOO - Hongjun WU | 58.80 | 2 | Barbara KENT - Jane EBERHARDT | 54.40 |
| 3 | Linda OSMUND - Danny OSMUND | 53.70 | 3 | Minnie BRAGG - Chris BRAGG | 53.70 |
| 4 | David O'GORMAN - Julie JEFFRIES | 52.55 | 4 | Molly BUTCHER - Fatma AHMET | 52.31 |
| 5 | Tony WOOLFORD - Noelle KEBBY | 52.31 | 5 | Misako JAMES - Sue KLEEMAN | 52.08 |
| 6 | Helen ARCHIBALD - Virginia GAVEL | 50.23 | 6 | Pat BACK - Jim ASCIONE | 51.16 |
| 7 | Aloysius WANG - Rhonda WANG | 50.00 | 6 | Michelle JAMES - Marylou SHAW | 51.16 |
| 8 | Alison HEATHCOTE - Eilis CLILVERD | 46.06 | 8 | Roger JANUSZKE - Bill LOCKWOOD | 46.30 |
| 9 | Helga CORBETT - Alex BREMNER | 42.82 | 9 | Bob MCKEON - Patricia MCKEON | 44.44 |
| 10 | Annette SULLIVAN - Denise HARTWIG | 41.67 | 10 | Susan HENDERSON - Noeline ROSSITER | 42.13 |
| 11 | Eileen SEABORN - Helen KITE | 39.35 | 11 | Stephanie MATHEWS - Martie PETTIFER | 37.50 |

Friday Novice Pairs - Overall

|  |  | Average | MPs |  |  | Average |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Ann CARTER - Button HOWITT | 58.88 | 2.61 | 21 | Pamela MCKITTRICK - Lee EGERTON | 49.46 |
| 2 | David MUNRO - Peter ROLLOND | 58.23 | 1.83 | 22 | Sandra MULCAHY - Anne RUSSELL | 48.97 |
| 3 | Raymond POWLEY - Susan POWLEY | 57.03 | 1.31 | 23 | Hazel PARKINS - Peter STAINES | 48.75 |
| 4 | Sandra COOL - Daniele ESCREET | 56.81 | 0.87 | 24 | Helen BLAIR - Anthony MARSLAND | 48.53 |
| 5 | Annette SCOTT - Janet LOWE | 56.16 | 0.65 | 24 | Maureen GIBNEY - Susan LIPTON | 48.53 |
| 6 | James WILLIAMSON - Neil CASEY | 56.10 | 0.52 | 26 | Coleen GAMBETTA - Leonie O'BRIEN | 48.48 |
| 7 | Theresa YOUNG - Catherine ANG | 55.45 | 0.41 | 27 | Greta DAVIS - Anita MOEN | 48.42 |
| 7 | Annette HYLAND - Paula CASSIN | 55.45 | 0.41 | 28 | Diane MCCLINTOCK - Heather WHITEL | 48.21 |
| 9 | Cathy CRAWFORD - Jenelle DALTON | 55.12 | 0.33 | 29 | Leslie DECKER - Rosemary MCCALLUA | 47.71 |
| 10 | Sean QUINN - Terry CLARKE | 53.98 | 0.29 | 30 | Jo NEARY - Dennis SULLIVAN | 46.73 |
| 11 | Norma HALE - Fiona LAW | 53.87 | 0.26 | 31 | Kevin MCMENAMIN - Barbara GEDDES | 46.63 |
| 12 | George GIBSON - Lynne LAYTON | 53.71 | 0.24 | 32 | Sonia BRODMAN - Jackie YUNG | 46.52 |
| 13 | Siamak PARSANEJAD - Hamid SADIGH | 53.49 | 0.22 | 33 | Judith DAVIS - Doreen HINTON | 45.86 |
| 14 | Lynn KELLY - Sue HERBERT | 53.32 | 0.2 | 34 | Jennifer SAWYER - John SAWYER | 43.90 |
| 14 | Keith THORBURN - Graham ARDERN | 53.32 | 0.2 | 35 | Roland TREVISANELLO - Louise SMITF | 43.09 |
| 16 | Maureen COLLINS - Janice STEWARD | 52.45 | 0.17 | 36 | Patricia GARNER - Susan WRIGHT | 42.21 |
| 17 | Wanda BLOK - Hendrik BLOK | 52.40 | 0.16 | 37 | Deanne GASKILL - Rita FATSEAS | 40.74 |
| 18 | Christine NICE - Faye WRIGHT | 51.31 | 0.15 | 38 | Bill ARMSTRONG - June ORFORD | 40.69 |
| 19 | Suzi LEDGER - Barbara SIMPSON | 50.82 | 0.15 | 39 | Mark STELLER - Rose WORAHAEMA | 39.71 |
| 20 | Ros DURRANT - Pattye LAING | 49.57 | 0.14 | 40 | Marguerite BETTINGTON - Jan DEAVIL | 39.49 |


| Mixed Teams Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 12 | Vanessa Brown - William Jenner-O'Shea - Mike Doecke - Viv Wood |  |  |  | 115 |
| 2 | 10 | Stephen Lester - Jan Cormack - Kathryn Yule - Ken Yule |  |  |  | 113 |
| 3 | 6 | Christine Duckworth - Brian Callaghan - Valerie Gardiner - Carlos Pellegrini |  |  |  | 112 |
| 4 | 18 | Debbie Mcleod - Brian Cleaver - Alan Grant - Kirstin Gardiner |  |  |  | 109 |
| 5 | 32 | Barry Palmer - Christine Wilson - Neil Stuckey - Glenis Palmer |  |  |  | 106 |
| 6 | 1 | Margaret Bourke - David Hoffman - Felicity Beale - Robbie Van Riel |  |  |  | 105 |
| 6 | 2 | Elizabeth Havas - Arjuna De Livera - Pablo Lambardi - Anita Thirtle |  |  |  | 105 |
| 8 | 3 | Michael Courtney - Sue Ingham - Paul Wyer - Joan Butts - Terry Brown |  |  |  | 104 |
| 9 | 15 | Dave Munro - Joan Prince - Robert Prince - Pauline Collett |  |  |  | 101 |
| 10 | 7 | Charlie Schwabegger - Kerrin Daws - Margaret Walters - Terence O'Dempsey |  |  |  | 100 |
| Place | No. | Team Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 9 | Smee 99 | 24 | 21 | Ingold | 84 |
| 12 | 23 | Biro 98 | 25 | 31 | Serry | 83 |
| 13 | 36 | Andrew 96 | 26 | 35 | Mitchell | 82 |
| 14 | 20 | Robb 95 | 27 | 26 | Dick | 80 |
| 15 | 8 | Brightling 93 | 28 | 11 | Clarke | 79 |
| 15 | 13 | Grenside 93 | 29 | 25 | Wagstaff | 78 |
| 17 | 17 | Creugnet 92 | 30 | 33 | Molloy | 72 |
| 17 | 19 | Marr 92 | 31 | 28 | Hurst | 71 |
| 19 | 4 | Robson 91 | 32 | 16 | Lorraway | 70 |
| 20 | 5 | Goodman 90 | 33 | 29 | Knight | 66 |
| 21 | 14 | Gray 89 | 33 | 27 | Webcke | 66 |
| 22 | 22 | Meldrum 88 | 35 | 24 | Quigley | 60 |
| 23 | 30 | Bouton 87 | 36 | 34 | Anderson | 30 |
| Friday Teams Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 4 | Linda Alexander - Dianne Marler - Margaret Millar - Carolyn Woolley |  |  |  | 119 |
| 2 | 5 | Therese Tully - Annette Henry - Paul Hooykaas - Stephen Henry |  |  |  | 117 |
| 3 | 8 | Mylene Encontro - Joseph Maliwat - Ann De Guzman - Angeles Quimson |  |  |  | 116 |
| 4 | 2 | Ishmael Del'Monte - Michael Whibley - Andy Hung - Pascale Gardiner |  |  |  | 115 |
| 5 | 1 | Julian Foster - David Weston - Phil Gue - Bill Hirst - Michael Waring |  |  |  | 111 |
| 6 | 13 | Rosemary Green - Peter Evans - Ken Dawson - Geoffrey Hart |  |  |  | 109 |
| 7 | 3 | David Mcleish - Paula Mcleish - Siegfried Konig - James Wallis |  |  |  | 106 |
| 8 | 12 | Normand Maclaurin - Ken Berry - Michael Draper - Margaret Draper |  |  |  | 104 |
| 9 | 9 | Claire Hyne - Claire Green - Robyn Clayton - Alan Smith |  |  |  | 98 |
| 10 | 27 | Keith Barrie - Tim O'Loughlin - Helen Stewart - Freda Banner |  |  |  | 95 |
| Place | No. | Team Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 20 | Bernau 94 | 22 | 32 | Barclay | 83 |
| 12 | 6 | Scudder 91 | 23 | 31 | Barry | 82 |
| 13 | 21 | Morrison 90 | 24 | 23 | Brown | 81 |
| 14 | 7 | Luck 88 | 24 | 22 | Hennig | 81 |
| 14 | 14 | Barnett 88 | 26 | 24 | Townend | 80 |
| 16 | 16 | Halford 87 | 27 | 28 | Tyler | 71 |
| 16 | 18 | Tuckey 87 | 27 | 19 | Scott | 71 |
| 16 | 15 | Shinewell 87 | 29 | 17 | Byrnes | 66 |
| 16 | 26 | Mcdonald 87 | 30 | 25 | Pearson | 59 |
| 20 | 10 | Harrison 85 | 31 | 29 | Harburg | 50 |
| 20 | 11 | Abbenbroek 85 | 32 | 30 | Forsyth | 41 |

# KERI KLINGER MEMORIAL AWARD <br> INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE PRESS ASSOCIATION 

Declarer Play of the Year
Each year the International Bridge Press Association (IBPA) selects the best hand in certain categories - best played, defended, bid and junior hands. Additionally the Alan Truscott award is presented on an ad hoc basis to anyone who has contributed in a way that we think our long-time president would have appreciated. And the most prestigious award is the IBPA personality of the year. If you are interested, we are always on the lookout for sponsors! In 2012 the winner of the Declarer play was Terje Lichtwark of Norway.

NORWEGIAN BRILLIANCY
Knut Kjærnsrød, Tored, Norway
This board was played recently in one of our clubs in the far north, Harstad. Anders Kristensen, one of the opponents, reported declarer's brilliant play.

| Dealer: East Vul: Both | A AK 64 - 1097 |  | West | North | East Pass | South $2 v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 8 |  | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 30 |
|  | \& AK 1085 |  | Pass | 69 | Pass | Pass |
| A 1093 |  | A J 852 | Pass |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$--- |  | - J 854 |  |  |  |  |
| -AKQJ632 |  | -97 |  |  |  |  |
| \& J 74 |  | \& Q 93 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A Q 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - AKQ6 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -1054 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -6 2 |  |  |  |  |  |

Two hearts showed six hearts and 10-13 points and three hearts showed a (semi-)balanced minimum. Despite that, North decided to jump to slam. West had decided to "wait in the bushes" with his solid suit. West started with the ace of diamonds and continued with the king, ruffed in dummy. With the trumps 2-2 or 3-1 the contract is easy, but when Terje Lichtwark played a trump to his queen, West discarded a diamond. South played a club to the king and played the ten of trumps, which East had to cover. Then Terje played a club to the ace and ruffed a club. It may seem natural to play the queen of spades now, but that would not work. Instead he played a spade to the king and a club from dummy. East discarded a spade and South his remaining diamond. Now the situation was:

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\vee \text {--- }$ |  |
|  | --- |  |
|  | \& 8 |  |
| A 109 |  | ヘ J 8 |
| - --- |  | $\checkmark 85$ |
| - Q J |  | --- |
| \& --- |  | \& --- |
|  | $\wedge$ Q |  |
|  | VK63 |  |
|  | --- |  |
|  | a) --- |  |

To fulfill his brilliancy, Terje played dummy's last club and trumped with his three. The spade queen overtaken with the ace left East helpless.

Shortlist:
Bill Jacobs (Ron Klinger)
Ronny Jorstad (Knut Kjærnsrød)
Matias Rohrberg (Roland Wald)
Franck Multon (Brian Senior)
Sven-Åke Bjerregård (Micke Melander)
Carla Arnolds (Mark Horton)

Impossible Calcudoku


Impossible Sudoku

|  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 2 | 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 7 |
| 4 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 4 |  | 8 |  | 3 |  |  |

## THAT'S ENTERTAINMENT - YESTERDAY'S SOLUTIONS

Difficult Calcudoku


Hard Sudoku

| 1 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| 2 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| 5 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 9 |
| 7 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| 6 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 1 |

## SNIPPETS

Submitted by Roy Roberts....Free bridge lessons at the table are worth precisely what you pay for them!
Bridge is a Game of Mind over Matter or with some Opponents, No Mind and No Matter!
The difference between genius and stupidity is that genius has limits!
A Club Professional is a Player who is paid a stipend as partial compensation for his Rubber Bridge losses!
The road to hell is paved with good conventions!
I won't say that I am egotistical, but I do call all my Bridge Partners my "Disciples".
Anonymous Submission: One of our lovely experiences of this congress has been meeting our caddy Will. After pleasantries had been exchanged, Will told us that this was all a bit of a shock to him as he thought we would be playing Bingo. His interest was obvious but he did have a couple of questions - did he need to use that paper in the middle of the table (the bidding pad) and did he need to bring his own calculator (the Bridgemate)? Having queries answered and playing close attention to proceedings he was super keen to fill in for any absentee dummies and was adept in properly placing the completed tricks. We presented Will with a beginners book and expressed our wish to playing against him in the open next year.

The photo on page 19 was kindly provided by Janet Brown and shows Tony Nunn and Fiona Brown, then two years old and Tony Nunn and was taken in Darwin in 1987.


[^0]:    A kibitzer had been watching for some time when one of the players, a doctor, was called away on an emergency. He said to the kibitzer, "Here, play my hand. l'll be right back." When the kibitzer said he didn't know how to play, the doctor said, "You've been watching us for hours, so surely you know a little something. I'll only be gone for a few minutes. Just bid what you have in your hand and do the best you can."
    The doctor handed his 13 cards to the kibitzer and rushed off. The kibitzer opened 4\%, followed by Pass - Pass - Double. He then bid 4*: Pass - Pass - Double. Again, the kibitzer bid, this time 4V. That bid was followed by two passes and a double.
    Finally, the kibitzer said, "And the jack of spades." (A 1-4-4-4)

