

## Bulletin Editor David Stern $\downarrow$ Co-Editors Barry Rigal and Brent Manley <br> Contributions to gcb@thesterns.com.au or phone 04-1111-1655

IF YOU HAVE ENJOYED YOURSELF HERE IS PART OF THE REASON WHY
As we have noted many times in our bulletins, the success of this tournament is successful because of the commitment of a LOT of people. Here are most of those people who dedicate themselves to your comfort and enjoyment for your time on the Gold Coast and this Tournament.


Administration Staff - L to R: Gay Tully, Roni Pieters, Gerald Schaaf, Therese Tully, Kim Ellaway, Ray Ellaway, Pam Cridland, Noeline Rossiter, Sarah Jane Reid, Diana Baumanis, Geoff Goulding, Merv Rossiter


Our Directing Staff - Back Row: Alan Gibson, Laurie Kelso, Chris Snook, David Anderson, Murray Wiggins, Tony Howes, Simon Edler, Trevor Strickland Front Row: Sean Mullamphy, Jan Peach, Allan Joseph, Caroline Wiggins, Peter Marley, Peter Busch,


Our Great Baristas Michael Ellaway, Nicola Goulding


The Schweppes Scorers - L to R: Matthew McManus, Daniel Goulding, Toni Bardon, Gary Hooykaas, Phil Sellars Ed Barnes raised to his level of ??????? - How else could the Scorers ensure the largest photo!!!


Cadbury's Caddies - Back Row: Scott Ellaway, Skye Darrach, Danielle Mitchell, Emily Mitchell, Kim L'Estrange, Rhianwen Laws, Matt Eagers, Cahil Parkes. Front Row: Brittney Burke, Alana Cross, George Nicoll, Will Fisher, Matt Mitchell, Jack Parkes


Floor Managers - L to R: Kim McCusker, Marj Jabore, Chris Heesom, Amber Baumanis
AND FINALLY....


Bulletin Editors - L to R: Brent Manley, David Stern and Barry Rigal


Our Make a Wish Ladies - L to R: Margaret Bray, Julianne Scott, Carmen Enright, Iris Hughes, Colleen Littlejohn and Dale Mansfield.

## SENIORS TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUNDS 5 \& 6

## More Musing or as my Team Mates Suggest Amusings - David Stern

This tournament has confirmed what I have long known - playing bridge periodically just doesn't work. My last game of bridge apart from one or two sessions was exactly a year ago and to achieve results one should be in constant touch with the game.

Bridge is a game of mistakes they often say and it is very hard to avoid mistakes when your mind isn't focused on the task at hand. Playing less than once a week makes error minimisation much harder. In fact I don't recall knowing any leading bridge players, leading in terms of results that is, that don't play on a VERY regular basis.
If it isn't possible to play as often as you would like or as is necessary to maintain your skills then the next best thing you can do is to read bridge books and magazines as much as you can. There are so many fine books available - maybe speak with Paul Lavings at his fine bookstall outside the playing venue for some recommendations.
Peter Gill once noted that my play was always better after I had done sessions of online VuGraph commentary and that is part of the 'keeping in touch process'.
So here are some more 'bloopers" and interesting boards from my debut in the Senior Ranks.

| Dealer: North <br> Vul: N-S | $\checkmark$ Q 62 |  | West | North 3* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East } \\ & 3 v \end{aligned}$ | South 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 5 | - J 94 |  | 5 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| Sen Tms Qual R5 | * A Q J 10963 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AJ104 |  | A A 983 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KQJ1084 |  | - 476532 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 8 |  | -Q32 |  | Make | le Con | acts |  |
| \% K 84 |  | \& --- | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | AK75 |  | 1 | - | - | - | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark 9$ |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $v$ |
|  | - AK 10765 |  | - | 6 | - | 5 | * |
|  | \& 752 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\%$ |

We allowed the opponents to play $5 \checkmark$ which made exactly 11 tricks after declarer showed good technique by eliminating the side suits before running the AJ (effectively endplaying South should South have held both missing spade honours). I really don't think either North or South have any blame in not finding the 6es sacrifice which may have in fact escaped undoubled. Our methods are that a vulnerable 3\% is a very serious bid and equally jumping to $5 \%$ Vulnerable against Not is another serious action. 'Etiquette' dictates that a pre-emptor does not bid again and South certainly has some defensive values. Anyway opportunity lost rather than any blame to be had.

| Dealer: South | AKQJ4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - Q 7 |  |
| Brd 7 | -1084 |  |
| Sen Tms Qual R5 | \& J 1094 |  |
| A9863 |  | A 10752 |
| - A 32 |  | $\checkmark 105$ |
| - Q J 3 |  | - AK762 |
| \& A 85 |  | \&K 3 |
|  | A A |  |
|  | -KJ9864 |  |
|  | -95 |  |
|  | \& Q 762 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |

After an auction which saw N/S compete in hearts, West finished up in 3A on the dead. Declarer won this and played a low spade towards dummy's 110 and North fell from grace by inserting the jack thereby destroying one of our trump tricks and allowing declarer to make 9 tricks. It is interesting to speculate what spade holding declarer could have where ducking the spade from a K-Q-J-4 could be wrong,
Of course it can be wrong if declarer has $\uparrow$ A-9-8-6 but in that situation would declarer play a low spade from hand and why wouldn't he win the first club with the \&K and play a low club from dummy hoping to capture an honour on the first or second round. That resulted in a 7 imp loss when our opponents scored 9 tricks in hearts for +140 in both rooms.
'You don't have to be stiff to be dead' the saying goes.

| Dealer：West | A A K 6 | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vul：E－W | 97 | Pass | 1NT | Pass | 4 |
| Brd 16 | KQ7 | Pass | 4A | Pass | Pass |
| Sen Tms Qual R6 | \＆Q J 10 93 | Pass |  |  |  |

```
A 73
v A Q 3
-106543
&542
AQJ10542
* K J 10
* 982
& 8
```

ค 98
マ 86542
－AJ
\＆AK 76

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | $\uparrow$ |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |

4＊was a transfer to spades and on most days you would want to be in this contract．．．but not on this one．East led a high club and promptly shifted to a heart．With both honours offside that saw the contract fail by two tricks while the other room stopped in 2A making three．

| Dealer：West | AQJ9743 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul：Both | －Q 43 |  |
| Brd 20 | －AK 4 |  |
| Sen Tms Qual R6 | ＊ 3 |  |
| A A 10862 |  | A K 5 |
| －AJ 102 |  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K} 8$ |
| －－－－ |  | －QJ9652 |
| \＆AK 107 |  | \＆ 642 |
|  | ヘ－－－ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 9765$ |  |
|  | －10873 |  |
|  | \＆QJ985 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |  |
| 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 |  |

After an auction in which East had shown an invitational hand with diamonds，West decided to try his hand in 3NT．Now we know Mr Deep Finesse can make it knowing where the VQ is located but in practice what should happen？Declarer won the $\uparrow$ Q opening lead in dummy and sitting South，I was already under pressure．Even knowing that declarer held four hearts I decided to let go of a low heart－something I would soon regret． Declarer played a low club and I elected to split my honours which may not have been optimal but I will never know．After winning the $\boldsymbol{\&} \mathrm{K}$ and having noted my heart discard declarer took the counterintuitive view in hearts by playing the PJ ．That saw him score two spades，four hearts and three clubs for nine tricks and a 10 imp loss for our team．

The following hand contains one of my poorest decisions of the tournament to date，see if you agree．

| Dealer：West Vul：None | $\begin{aligned} & \wedge A K \\ & \vee 93 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Pass | North $1 \%$ | East <br> 1A！！！ | South$2 v$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 24 | －AKQ4 |  | 24 | 3NT | Pass | 4 |  |
| Sen Tms Qual R6 | \＆Q 9872 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| A J 863 |  | ヘ Q 109754 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 102$ |  | $\bullet 75$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| －J 95 |  | －83 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \＆A K J 10 |  | \＆ 643 | － | 5 | － | 5 | NT |
|  | A 2 |  | － | 1 | － | 1 | A |
|  | －AKQJ 864 |  | － | 6 | － | 6 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | －10762 |  | － | 6 | － | 6 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \＆ 5 |  | － | 3 | － | 3 | 9 |

The bid of just 4V on this hand is diabolically bad－apologies to anybody else who followed my lead on this hand．Partner has likely shows 18－19 points with spades well stopped and you have seven solid heart tricks．I believe I showed very poor judgement not to make some move towards slam which raises another issue－just how does one show slam interest．A 4NT bid would have been quantitative and any club bids would have been support for partner．I guess this type of hand is one where you should simply bite the bullet and bid slam．My wet bid of $4 \vee$ cost the obvious 10 IMPs against slam bid and made in the other room．

I guess one of my better plays has been to select caring team mates who play better than me and equally important being prepared to sit out when playing poorly．All is not doom and gloom，at the time of writing my
musings the team was running third in the Seniors qualifying with some chance of making the coveted top two and a spot in the finals.

## OPEN TEAM QUALIFYING ROUND 8

An up and down affair - Barry Rigal
I positioned myself to watch the match between Tan and Travis (Kelvin Ng and Gemma Tan N/S against Peter Appleton and David Reynolds E/W with Choon Chou Lou-Hua Poon taking on Barbara Travis-Howard Melbourne). In the other match it would be Sartaj Hans and Andrew Peake (teammates Peter Gill-Tony Burke) taking on Ralph Parker-Arran Hodkinson, their teammates being Peter Hainsworth-Sanmugaras Kamalarasa.

The looker-on is supposed to see most of the game, but I will freely admit that the results of the matches I was watching did not seem that clear-cut to me, though it transpired that both matches finished in blowouts.

| Dealer: South | AJ 62 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark 9$ |  |
| Brd 15 | -Q87432 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | \& K 104 |  |
| AK74 |  | A Q 10853 |
| - AKJ6 3 |  | - Q 72 |
| -6 |  | - J 9 |
| \& Q J 93 |  | \& 872 |
|  | A A 9 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10854$ |  |
|  | - AK 105 |  |
|  | * A 65 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| 2 | - | 2 | - |  |
| - | 4 | - | 4 |  |
| - | - | - | - | $\vdots$ |

After a strong no-trump from South, West should come in to show a major and minor or maybe just hearts. Now North will sign off in $3 *$ and play there. Not so fast....one table in each of our matches did this, one pair found a way to crawl to the hopeless $5 \downarrow$. Hopeless...not so fast. With North declarer the contract is cold on East's low heart lead. West can never broach clubs, so declarer can arrange to ruff a spade in dummy and even though East can win a spade to lead clubs once declarer can win the \&A and use the $\vee Q$ as an entry to ruff a spade then run the trumps and squeeze West in hearts and clubs. The record shows that ChoonChou led the $V Q$ against $5 \triangleleft$. if he did so, the club shift would have come in time. But Travis ended up making 5 while Hainsworth went down against Burke. 6 IMPs for Burke, 10 for Travis.

The next three deals did not produce much in the way of IMPs. Travis-Melbourne went for 300 in a partscore to give Tan 5 IMPs. It was 10-5 in that match, 7-1 in the other encounter.

| Dealer: South | A 82 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AJ5 4 |  |
| Brd 19 | -K Q J 109 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | \& 65 |  |
| - Q 4 |  | AK753 |
| - K 73 |  | - Q 9862 |
| - 32 |  | -85 |
| \&KQJ942 |  | -103 |
|  | A A J 1096 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10$ |  |
|  | - A 764 |  |
|  | \& A 87 |  |

## STAYING FOR THE CREDITS

An old man lay sprawled across three entire seats in the movie theatre. When the usher came by and noticed this, he whispered to the old man, "Sorry sir, but you're only allowed one seat."
The old man groaned but didn't budge.
The usher became impatient.
"Sir, if you don't get up from there I'm going to have to call the manager." Once again, the old man just groaned.
The usher marched briskly back up the aisle, and in a moment he returned with the manager.

Together the two of them tried repeatedly to move the dishevelled man, but with no success. Finally, they summoned the police.
The officer surveyed the situation briefly then asked, "All right, buddy, what's your name?"
"Fred," the old man moaned.
"Where ya from, Fred?" asked the police officer.
With terrible pain in his voice and without moving a muscle, Fred replied, "The balcony."


While 5 is very straightforward to play, and was reached by TanNg that meant a loss of 3 IMPs since their teammates had been caught speeding for 500 in $2 \&(!)$. Both tables wended their way to 3NT in our other clash. This has no genuine play but Peake gave it the old college try. He ducked two clubs and won the third, pitching a heart from dummy, then ran five diamonds, hoping for something good to happen. This was the ending.
As the last diamond is led East must pitch a low heart (or the spade king). Declarer pitches a spade and West must bare his heart king. Then declarer cannot establish a spade without letting West in, and the hearts are dead. In fact East pitched a heart but West bared his spade queen so Peake led a spade to his ace and exited with a spade. East did his best by winning and shifting to the heart queen, but Peake ducked, and had the last two tricks in one hand or the other. That was worth 10 IMP ; Burke led 17-1.

| Dealer: West | A 8 |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark$ KQJ |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 20 | -Q943 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | NT |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | \&J10973 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\wedge$ |
| ヘQ 6 |  | A A 10542 | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark 9875$ |  | $\checkmark 102$ | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| - AJ102 |  | -K875 | - | 5 | - | 5 | $¢$ |
| \& 864 |  | -5 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AKJ973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - A 643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& AK Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |

If you have a natural auction to 3NT you had better guess spades (on a spade lead Hans did so for fear of a diamond shift beating him by force if he put in the jack) and it was right. That was a flat board in his match, and Tan also made 3NT - but she played it from South after a contested auction and received the helpful lead of $\uparrow$ Q. Travis reached $5 \%$ on a trump lead. This can be made: win the club, cross to a heart then guess spades and lead a diamond. When the defenders win and play a second trump, unblock hearts and ruff a diamond to dummy, then cash the fourth heart as East is forced to follow suit. But Travis had no particular reason to follow this line and went one down. Tan had 12 IMPs and led 17-10.
After Parker-Hodkinson had bid a hopeless slam to lose 13 IMPs, Both Reynolds and Appleton took conservative views on the same deal.

| Dealer: South | A 84 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 83$ |  |
| Brd 23 | - J 8 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R8 | *AK97653 |  |
| -93 |  | A A Q 1065 |
| $\checkmark$ K972 |  | - QJ 105 |
| - AKQ 103 |  | - 974 |
| \& 102 |  | \& Q |
|  | AKJ72 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 64 |  |
|  | -652 |  |
|  | \& J 84 |  |

The auction at their table was as shown above. I'm not sure which player was at fault here; it seems to me both players did something reasonable though I suspect the final pass is too close to landing on the head of a pin. Since 4Vx made 790 in the other room Tan's lead was up to 30-13.
Melbourne brought home a thin game on the next deal not bid in the other room to make it 30-19, while Peake did the same thing to increase Burke's lead to 35-2. Then a wild deal:

| Dealer: North | A 83 | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vul: E-W | $\vee$ J 1086432 |  | $3 \vee$ | Pass |
| Brd 25 | K 3 | Double | Pass | 4A..... |

## Open Tms Qual R8 \& Q 6

```
A A 9 4
\bullet
-Q982
*AK732
AQ 765
\(\bullet A\)
\(\bullet J 764\)
\&J 985
```

AKJ102

- KQ 97
- A 105
\& 104

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | $\square$ |
| 3 | - | 3 | - |  |
| 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |

Two of the four tables auctions started as shown above (the other two tables stopped in 30 for 140 and played $4 V$ down one for -50 ). Peake did not double 4a but Tan did. In 4A undoubled declarer won the heart and led a club to dummy to play a spade to the queen and ace. Nothing went well for him after that and he ended up down 300, and a loss of 4 IMPs against his teammates +140. Appleton was playing for higher stakes. He won the heart lead and led a trump to the nine (bravo!) then ran clubs from the top. Ng failed to ruff in on the third, perhaps thinking his partner has the club jack, so South was forced to shorten her trumps, and now led $\downarrow$ A and another diamond, losing the ruff. Declarer had escaped for a miraculous -200 and held the loss on the deal to 6 IMPs when $4 V$ went down one in the other room.

On the next deal Reynolds and Appleton had a system glitch that meant they missed a 5-3 major fit to play what should have been a hopeless no-trump partscore instead of a delicate game contract (in practice accurate defence would beat it) but it was bid and made at the other three tables. 10 more IMPs to Tan up 4719.

And finally;

| Dealer: West | A K 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - AKJ 3 |  |
| Brd 28 | - Q 103 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R8 \& A 1042 |  |  |
| AJ97542 |  | A A Q 108 |
| $\bullet$ Q |  | $\checkmark 1094$ |
| -KJ964 |  | - 7 |
| \& 5 |  | \& K Q 873 |
|  | A 3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 87652$ |  |
|  | - A 852 |  |
|  | \& J 96 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 2 | - | 1 | NT |
| 4 | - | 4 | - | 1 |
| - | 4 | - | 3 | $\downarrow$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\vdots$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\AA$ |

Where West opened a spade pre-empt it was realistically impossible for $N / S$ to do anything but defend 4a probably doubled. However Reynolds passed the West cards, and after his partner came in over a strong no-trump to show diamonds or a major and a minor he misread the auction. Thus he persuaded himself not to compete at all, and defended to $4 V$ making 620, for a further 14 IMPs to Tan. Both the other tables flattened the deal in 590 for E/W, leaving Burke the winner 40-6. Both the leading teams would be well in contention for a top six place while Travis despite the big loss was not done yet.


## Online

Duplicate Bridge Tournament March 1-30, 2013
All competitive bridge players are invited to compete online in individual bridge for a share of $€ 10,000$ (nearly $\$ 13,000$ ), the biggest cash prize ever offered online. Qualify in March for just \$4! More information

# OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 10 

Barry Rigal
This would be my first look at the leaders. Fiona Brown-Tony Nunn would be against Bob Richman-Jeanette Reitzer (at the other table GeO Tislevoll-Michael Ware would be playing Hugh Grosvenor-Ann Paton). I would also be watching Sartaj Hans-Andrew Peake against Michael Wu-William Chang (with Tony Burke-Peter Gill taking on Jin Li-Michael Chen).

Both matches were essentially level after two deals, before this wild deal.

| Dealer: North | AK 4 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vul: None | S4 |  |  | Nunn | Reitzer | Brown | Richman

Personally I would have been tempted to bid 4NT as North at my second turn, though maybe passing as opposed to doubling shows long clubs and a weak hand? Not to worry: Richman did the heavy lifting on his own. 4NT is of course a save against 4V - one doesn't get to say that very often. It got better when Brown saved in $5 \vee$ - curiously that only cost 2 IMPs since $4 V \times$ was racking up 590 in the other room.
In our other match after the same first four calls Zhang saved unilaterally in $5{ }^{\circ}$ and Peake did not double though his partner's short spades were surely almost a given? 5\% went down three, and +150 was worth 12 IMPs when in the other room the bidding started 1ヵ:1v:2v:2A:3@:Pass:3NT. Now Chen misguessed badly by bidding 4^ (4* as a choice of suits might be best but a simple $4 \boldsymbol{0}$ cannot be too far off base?). On a club lead and trump shift declarer misguessed and was down 500 without the option thereafter. 12 IMPs to both Burke and McGann.

On the next deal there was the potential for disaster in both directions.

| Dealer: East | - 965 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - J97654 |  | Nunn | Reitzer | Brown | Richman |
| Brd 18 | - 7 |  |  |  | Pass | 1 * |
| Open Tm Qual R10 | * 1043 |  | Double | 1 V | 1NT | 2 |
| A A J 73 |  | A K 104 | Double | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| - A Q 83 |  | -K102 |  |  |  |  |
| - A |  | - J 932 |  |  |  |  |
| \&J652 |  | \& A 87 | Grosven'r | Tislevoll | Paton | Ware |
|  | A Q 82 |  |  |  | 1NT | 3 |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  | Double | Pass | $3{ }^{3}$ | Pass |
|  | -KQ108654 <br> *K Q 9 |  | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Richman must have been somewhat disappointed with dummy, but on a club lead and helpful heart shift he ruffed and cashed his clubs then exited with $\leqslant$ to the bare ace, ruffed the heart continuation, and got out with a spade. Had the defenders cashed their three spades next they might have managed two trump tricks, but Brown erred by playing a third heart and herself became the victim of the trump endplay. So Richman escaped for down one. But that was still a loss of 6 IMPs when $4 V$ proved too hot to handle for Paton.
Curiously the unlikely $4 V$ contract was reached in both tables of our other match too! But one table was allowed to bring it home (only two declarers did in the open series). Hans won the top diamond lead to play a heart to the ten; good news bad news. Next came three spades with the aid of the successful finesse, and when he led the fourth spade from dummy North did not ruff in. so the club ace and three trump tricks made ten winners. Had North trumped the fourth spade the defenders appear to have the upper hand.
A series of relatively flat boards followed. At the halfway stage the scores were: 13-9 for Reitzer and 27-10 for Burke.

| Dealer: East | A. 102 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AQ 82 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 22 | -Q1073 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
| Open Tm Qual R10 | \& Q 107 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| A A Q 953 |  | ^ 64 | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark 654$ |  | - K 93 | 2 | - | 2 | - | * |
| -9 |  | - AK 862 | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\%$ |
| \& K 96 |  | ¢J 42 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AK87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - J 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - J 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * A 853 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All our tables declared $4 \boldsymbol{A}$. A heart lead is the most challenging but Peake got the lead of $\boldsymbol{A} 10$ round to his AA-Q-J. He won the first trick cheaply, cashed two diamonds to pitch his third heart, then repeated the spade finesse and was now able to maximize his play in the club suit by leading to the jack. The point is that you need the $\vee A$ to be onside, so you can make the second-degree assumption that you can lead first to the ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{J}$ then back to the of later on.

Two of our other declarers made 4a on similar lines but Paton made the mistake on a heart lead and continuation of taking her discard immediately. Now she could not get back to hand to take the spade finesse. Best is to finesse in spades, cash $\diamond A-K$, repeat the spade finesse, then lead to the $J$ and cross your fingers.

The next two deals saw some less than stellar bidding, as first Zhang-Wu missed a vulnerable game, then on the next deal played a makeable 4A in 2NT down a trick. Bourke went down in the game to flatten the result, while Tislevoll-Ware missed 4A, bid by Richman-Reitzer, leaving the match score 21-20 for McGann. Burke led 37-10.

There followed one of the luckiest gains of IMPs l've ever seen - and l've watched a LOT of bridge hands.

| Dealer: North | A 98652 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark 84$ |  |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| Brd 25 | - 32 |  | 1*[1] | Pass | $2 \vee$ | Pass |  |
| Open Tm Qual R10 | \& 1052 |  | 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |  |
| A AKQ 3 |  | A 10 | 64 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| - AK972 |  | $\checkmark 10653$ | [1] Strong |  |  |  |  |
| - 9 |  | - A Q 106 |  | Makea | Con | acts |  |
| \& K J 9 |  | \& Q 863 | 6 | - | 6 | - | NT |
|  | AJ74 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | a |
|  | $\bullet$ Q J |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - J 8754 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
|  | * A 74 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | ¢ |

Any guesses as to what might be going on? The response of $2 V$ to $1 \%$ showed a three-suiter, and was read as 1V, $5+$ hearts $8+$ points. West drove to his known $5-5$ fit and you could argue that he bought worse trumps than he expected but better trumps than he deserved. With trumps 2-2 onside that was 1430 and 13 IMPs to Wu.

| Dealer: South | A A 9872 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ K |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 27 | - AK 1074 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Tm Qual | かJ 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A J |  | A K Q 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A 954 |  | - J 1073 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - J 8 |  | -952 |  | Makea | e Con |  |  |
| \& K Q 9873 |  | * 642 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | A 10543 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\dagger$ |
|  | - Q 862 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q 63 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | * |
|  | \% A 10 |  | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |

Everyone played 4^ here, and after a club lead two declarers were allowed to sneak a heart to the VK through at trick two. Anyone who made the contract legitimately (after a 1NT response from East?) is due our commendations. The winning line of cashing the trump ace then running diamonds to discard dummy's club is
not one that would occur to many! 10 IMPs to McGann and Wu, leaving McGann up by 31-20 and Wu trailing 33-37, where the match finished.

| Dealer: West | ヘ 742 |  | West | North | East | Sou |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | $\checkmark 108$ |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 28 | -K J 72 <br> \& 10975 |  | 3 | - | $\overline{3}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NT } \\ \wedge \end{gathered}$ |
| A Q 63 |  | AKJ10985 | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
| - Q J 75 |  | $\checkmark 4$ | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| -1094 |  | - A Q 853 | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\%$ |
| \& J 84 |  | \& K |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A A <br> -AK 9632 <br> - 6 <br> \& A Q 632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

At a couple of the tables I was watching East opened 4A. When Brown tried this, Richman sensibly bid 4NT two places to play. Alas for him, Reitzer picked diamonds and that put Richman back to 5V, down 200 and lucky not to be doubled. In the other room Ware was able to get his partner to pick clubs (probably the right technical response even if partner has the minors) and this contract played like a dream. On a heart lead GeO tried the $\&$ A, and could romp home with 11 tricks from thereon in. 13 IMPs to McGann, for a 44-20 win.

## SENIORS TEAM QUALIFYING MATCH 7 <br> Match wits with Deep Finesse - Barry Rigal

Brent Manley, ever the perfectionist as Bulletin Editor, is never happy with his play or bidding either. Having picked up 12 IMPs by making 3NT when the other room was failing, he brought me this hand telling me that Deep Finesse didn't like his line of play. Let's revisit the deal and keep DF happy. While looking at the deal, you might care to estimate which is the smallest spot-card without which you couldn't make 3NT legitimately.

| Dealer: West | - AJ108 |  | West | North | East | South Pass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | $\bullet$ J 7 |  | 1NT | Dble [1] | 3NT |  |  |
| Brd 8 | -K965432 |  | Pass | Pass |  |  |  |
| Sen Tms Qual R7 | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K Q 5 |  | A 9732 | [1] Spades and another |  |  |  |  |
| - A962 |  | $\checkmark 108$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - QJ 7 |  | - A 108 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| * K 73 |  | \& AJ 102 | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  | A 64 |  | - | - | - | - | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - KQ543 |  | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - --- |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | * |
|  | \&Q98654 |  | - | - | 1 | - | 0 |

After a diamond lead Manley won in dummy as South pitched a club, and led the club jack from dummy. South defended nicely by rising with the queen and Manley won to play a low heart from hand. North won the jack to lead a second low diamond. Manley won in hand and led a low heart up to South's queen.

Back came a spade to the king and ace (the critical defensive error) and a third diamond was won in dummy. Now Manley cashed his clubs and threw South in with the fourth club to lead hearts for the finesse, and that was declarer's ninth trick.

At double-dummy North defeats the game by ducking the first spade. This means that declarer must play the heart 10 at trick two, and South must duck this to North's jack. Declarer wins the second diamond and leads a club to the ace to advance the heart eight.
South covers and the fall of the heart seven means declarer can lead out the heart nine and build a heart trick for himself. Then he knocks out the spade ace and takes the club finesse in good time.
All of this means that the critical spot card is the heart six. Switch the six and five and the game cannot be made...unless you know better?
Felicity Beale made 3NT as East on a low club lead, rather more easily. She put in the $\boldsymbol{\$ 7} 7$ and led a diamond to her $\$ 10$ (yes, North might have found the blocking play with the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ ). She now had the entries for four club tricks, three diamond tricks and one trick in each major.

## ON A ROLL <br> Brent Manley

Heading into round 9 of the Intermediate Teams, the lan Lisle squad was doing well. They faced a Brisbane team led by Lorraine Collins and had a good set, winning handily to maintain their first-place standing. Lisle played with Vicky Wiley. Their teammates were Lee Weldon and Biljana Novakovic. Their opponents were Collins, playing with Brian Horan. Their teammates were Andrea Smith and Margaret Williamson.

The table played board 14 first, and Lisle (East) quickly found himself in $5 \boldsymbol{*}$ doubled. Lisle was East.

| Dealer: East Vul: None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A J } 42 \\ & \bullet 10532 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North | East $1 \%$ | South Double |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 14 | - AJ86 |  | 4* | Pass | 5* | Dou |  |
| Int Tms Qual R9 | ¢ 83 |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| A Q 975 |  | A 103 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 8$ |  | - AQ 74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Q1095 |  | -4 |  | Make | le Con | acts |  |
| * Q 1076 |  | * AJ9542 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | A AK86 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | -KJ96 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -K732 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | \& K |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\%$ |

Horan started with the $\uparrow A$ and continued with the king. A third trick was available for the defence with a diamond switch, but Horan played another spade, allowing Lisle to discard his diamond. Lisle played a heart to his ace and ruffed a heart.

He then ruffed a diamond, ruffed a heart, ruffed a diamond and ruffed his last heart with dummy's queen. The \&10 went to his jack and Horan's singleton king. Lisle was only mildly annoyed when he found out. He did, after all, make the percentage play.
There was a lot of joking during the match when board after board went by without Wiley declaring a single contract. She took it in stride, however, confident that she would eventually get her chance (she did in the next round).
On this board, Lisle stole the contract with an aggressive bid that paid off.


After the 1a opener, Lisle naturally wanted to bid his heart suit, but doing so at the two level would have been an overbid, even at favourable vulnerability. It's a bridge irony, of course, that a bid one level higher pretty much described his weak hand, although he was short by one trump of having a classic three-level overcall.
Horan's shape wasn't ideal, but he did have support and some values.
The defenders took two spades, two clubs, a diamond and a heart for plus 100, which did not compare favourably with the minus 800 suffered by East-West at the other table, an apparent sacrifice against 4a.
It is worth noting that when Lisle sat down at the table against Horan and Collins, Horan had spoken only a few words when Lisle said, "You're from Manchester, right?" Horan acknowledged that it was true.
Turns out both men are originally from England, and although Lisle has spent much more time in Australia than in his birthplace, he still knows his accents (he was from Leeds).


L to R: Vicky Wiley, Lee Weldon, Ian Lisle and Biljana Novakovic.
PABLO ON THE SPOT!
Barry Rigal
Pablo Lambardi gave me a great declarer play problem from the first day of the teams

| Dealer: East | A 43 | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - AQ753 |  |  | 3 | 4* |
| Brd 14 | -10743 | 4^ | 5\% | Pass | Pass |
| Open Tms Qua | * A 4 | Pass |  |  |  |

AKQJ852
-K864

- Q 2
$\because 6$
a
- J 92
-AKJ9865
\& 852

A A 10976
$\checkmark 10$
-
\& K Q J 10973

## HAVE YOU DISCUSSED?

Brent Manley

This one is from 1984, when the World Bridge Championships took place in Seattle, Washington. Two employees of the host hotel had been examining the leader board, which included all the matches on a giant grid. "I can't believe so many countries are here," one of them said. "There's even one country I never heard of - Bye."

No matter what country you are from, part of your bidding arsenal will surely be Blackwood or one of the variants. The most popular these days is Roman Key Card Blackwood.
The question is whether you and your partner have discussed the various aspects of the world's most popular convention.
If you are playing RKCB, do you employ the original version ( $5 \neq 0$ or 3 key cards, $5 \uparrow=1$ or 4 ) or Eddie Kantar's favourite permutation - the so-called 1430 ( $5 *=1$ or 4,5 = 0 or 3 )? Do you know why some experts prefer 1430 ?

It all has to do with the queen ask, which is another topic for discussion. You want to nail this down with partner to avoid screw-ups.

In original RKCB, after the response to 4NT, you can ask about the queen of trumps after a bid of $5 \%$ (if spades or hearts are trumps) or of $5 \diamond$ (if spades are trumps). For example:

| Partner | You |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \&$ | $2 *$ |  |
| $2 \downarrow$ | $3 *$ |  |
| $4 N T$ | $5 *$ | (old-fashioned, 0 or 3 ) |
| $5 *$ | $? ?$ |  |

Your 5 asks whether partner has the trump queen. With no trump queen, partner signs off at $5 \vee$. With the queen, partner bids kings up the line, starting with $5 \boldsymbol{A}$. With the trump queen but no side kings, partner bids 5NT. Let's change the auction slightly.

| Partner | You |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2\% | 2 |  |
| 27 | 37 |  |
| 4NT | 5 | (old-fashioned, 1 or 4) |

## ??

You know you are off one key card, but you are willing to bid slam if your side has the trump queen. You can't ask, however, because 5 has already been bid. Your choices are to sign off in $5 \checkmark$ or bid the slam and hope. Using 1430 responses, you can bid $5 \diamond$ when partner shows 1 or 4 key cards with a bid of $5 \%$.

You can see that when the trump suit is spades, you have no problem with either 03-14 or 14-30. Over $5 *$ you can bid 5 as the queen ask; over $5 \diamond, 5 \vee$ does the trick.

Touching on another aspect of Blackwood, everyone knows that 5NT asks for kings. What is your agreement with partner about the responses? In earlier times, the responses were $5 \%$ to show all the kings or none, $5 \diamond$ to show one, etc.

Experienced players now bid kings up the line. Skipping a level denies holding the king of the skipped suit. For example, 5NT - $6 \downarrow$ denies possession of the $\% \mathrm{~K}$. This can come in handy when you have a hand such as

## A AK Q 87 V 5 - A Q J 109 \& K 4

Partner has supported your spade suit and you learn by checking for key cards that he has two aces, so you are naturally interested in a grand slam. If your partnership answers only in numbers of kings, when partner shows one you won't know whether it is the VK or the $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$.

If you respond specific kings, partner's bid of $5 \checkmark$ would deny the $\downarrow K$, so you would probably settle for $6 \boldsymbol{A}$. If, however, partner bids $6 V$ over your $5 N T$, you can count 13 tricks so you would bid 7NT with confidence.

## BRIDGE FOR THE IMPROVER

 bridge and find out more about my upcoming
holidays and seminars at RonKlingerBridge.com

## Regards,



Make sure to sign up for
Premium Membership
to get access to all
RonklingerBridge.com
has to offer. Ron Klinger

| Dealer: South |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Nil |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | East | 14 | 1NT | Pass | Pass |
| AK10932 | $\rightarrow$ A J | 2\% | Pass | 2^ | Pass |
| $\checkmark 7$ | - Q 9832 |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 3 | -9742 |  |  |  |  |
| *AK543 | \& J 10 |  |  |  |  |

North leads the $\downarrow$ A, followed by the $\uparrow$ to South's king. South continues with the $\downarrow$ and you discard the $\mathbf{V 7}$ as North discards the $\mathbf{V 6}$. South now plays the VA, which you ruff, North playing the $\geqslant 10$. You cash the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}, \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ K, all following and South playing $\% 9, \AA Q$. How should you proceed?

## TWO PAID OFF OR 2^ OFF

Without due care it is easy enough to take your eye off the ball when you are in a low-level contract. This arose in a National Swiss Teams:

It is a simple matter now to play a third club at trick seven and ruff with the $\uparrow A$, ruff a heart low and ruff a fourth club with the $A J$. Even when this is over-ruffed, you score five spades in hand, one in dummy and two club tricks.

Although the $\uparrow Q$ is likely to be with North on the bidding, you do not to need risk ruffing the third club with the AJ. If you do a nasty surprise follows. South over-ruffs and plays a fourth diamond. You can ruff high, but when North sheds the VK, you are limited to four trump tricks in your own hand and will be one down.

## SNIPPETS

Ellie Spiro is competing in her $50^{\text {th }}$ consecutive Gold Coast Congress. The Organisers are hopeful of seeing her for many more years to come. Well done Ellie
Allen Rosenberg reports from Round 3 of the Open Teams Qualifying it took a moment or two for them to score Board 14. Both N/S and E/W had contracted to make ten tricks in spades and surprisingly neither was doubled. It's OK though, the maths adds up with N/S taking 7 tricks while E/W took 6 for a total of 13 tricks - Voila!
An anonymous contribution notes the discussions in this magazine on concentration and expresses some doubts as to its validity.
On Board 7 of the Pairs Final 2 partner opened 2 multi. My correspondent held 0-2-4-7 hand and was considering how to deal with it, whether to bid 3* or make an enquiry. During that thought process instead of writing the relay bid he put down a pass stroke which the opponents passed gleefully. The play resulted in four down -400 upon which my correspondent thought he would come under some criticism from his wife on the road home for having made such a careless mistake. When they saw that the board yielded $85 \%$ with opponents cold for +600 in notrumps the rode home became much more comfortable for him.

From Allan Barclay of NZ: Who loves caddies? We all do. They are doing a top job. Thanks from the thousands of players you serve. Coffee, Tea and Hot Chocolate - what a winner. You know we love you by the snake in the break. Programmers - You've spiced up the hands with variety that entertains both those who love playing and those who can win. Not even the leaky roof could divert the wonderful team of directors from achieving satisfactory movements. To the directing team 7NT doubled and redoubled. [Ed: do you think they enjoyed themselves]

RESTRICTED PAIRS FINAL 2 - BOARD 10
Bid 'em up - Brent Manley

| Dealer: East | A Q 95 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - 983 |  |  |  | 1 | Pass |  |
| Brd 10 | -K1097 |  | 10 | Pass | $2 v$ | Pass |  |
| Rest Prs Fin R2 | \& 964 |  | 4NT | Pass | 5a | Pass |  |
| AKJ643 |  | A $A$ | 5NT | Pass | 6\% | Pass |  |
| -AK1064 |  | - Q 52 | 70 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| - J |  | - A5432 |  | Makea | le Con | acts |  |
| \& K J |  | \& A 872 | 5 | - | 5 | - | NT |
|  | A 10872 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | A |
|  | - J 7 |  | 7 | - | 7 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - Q 86 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q 1053 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | 9 |

Diana Ellis and Chris Hannan won the Restricted Pairs Final A and were mentioned in a write-up about another pair because of the way Ellis played $6 \vee$ in the second session, smoothly taking all the tricks.
It was, of course, a fine score, but Ellis could not resist telling the Daily Bulletin about the only pair in her event to bid the grand slam. That would be Steven Haites and Bernardo Gama Silva of Brisbane. Their auction is was shown above (Haites was East).

Gama Silva's 5A showed three aces. The opening lead was the $\% 9$, taken by Haites in hand with the jack. He played a diamond to the ace, cashed the AA, ruffed a diamond and ruffed a spade, ruffed another spade, noting the fall of the queen. He cashed the $\vee Q$ and played a club to his king, then played the trump ace. He breathed a sigh of relief when both players followed to that trick (a 4-1 split would have doomed the contract). All he had to do was pull the last trump and claim 13 tricks.

Plus 2210 was good for well-deserved $100 \%$ on the board.

## NO DIAMONDS, PARTNER?

Brent Manley
Jandra Faranda reported this unusual deal from the second round of the Open Teams.


North's $2 V$ showed five hearts and four or five of a minor.
Sitting East, Faranda thought the deal was so interesting because the diamond suit was never played. All 13 diamonds were discarded on other suits.
The play went as follows. South started with the VQ, covered by the king and ace. A heart was returned to South's jack. Thinking his partner's minor was clubs, South exited with a low club. Dummy's \&Q held the trick and Faranda was in business.

After playing a spade to his ace, he cashed the 10 , discarding one of dummy's two remaining clubs. Now he cashed the \&A and ruffed a club, felling the king. He then played a spade back to his hand and started playing winning clubs. When South ruffed with the master trump, Faranda could claim.
Reflecting on the strange deal, Faranda wrote, "It was a very lucky make - and the poor diamond suit missed out entirely!"

## PUZZLE DU JOUR

Barry Rigal
This deal was played in the first qualifying session of the von Zedtwitz Life Master Pairs last spring. See if you can find the textbook defensive play found by at least two defenders.

| Dealer: North | ค Q 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul N/S | - Q 9654 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \& K Q 43 \end{aligned}$ |
| AKJ1032 |  |
| $\checkmark 76$ |  |
| -K3 |  |
| ¢ 8652 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | 1 | $2 \AA$ |
| Pass | Pass | Double | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

Sitting West you start with the $\nabla \mathrm{K}$. The singleton diamond in dummy is not a welcome sight. How do you proceed?

## SOLUTION DU JOUR

Barry Rigal
The full deal from yesterday's puzzle was:

```
^KQJ10987
\veeQ
-84
&842
```

| - 543 | A A |
| :---: | :---: |
| -10543 | $\checkmark 9876$ |
| -1096 | -KJ73 |
| \% A K J | \&9765 |

A 62

- AKJ2
- AQ 52
\& Q 103
After a top club lead gets discouragement, a spade shift might be best in theory and certainly works in practice.
The logic is that if you shift to a red-suit and are wrong you are almost certain not to get another chance. But if
 may still not be able to set up a discard in time).

| GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Friday 1st March | Saturday <br> 2nd March |
| OPEN CHAMPIONSHIPS |  |  |
| ns finals | AFTo |  |
| en Teans Championstip Quailiting | 2022.0.00m |  |
| SENORS CHAMPIONSHIPS |  |  |
| Seniors Teans Championstip |  |  |
| INTERMEDATE CHAMPIONSHPS |  |  |
| Interreciate Teans Cham |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { 7:30pm } & \text { Bookings } \\ \text { for } & \text { are } \\ \text { 8:00pm } & \text { Essential } \end{array}$ |
| RESTRICTED CHAMPIONSHPS |  |  |
| Restricted Teams Championstip | divin |  |
| wy Danter Restricted Swiss Buter Pairs | 1:00p 113 7:309m23 | 0.30an |
| NoVICE CHAMPIONSHII |  |  |
| Novice Teans Chanpionstip |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ivy Dahler Swiss Butler Pair Friday One Day Teams | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|c} \hline 1: 00 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{1/3} & 7: 30 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{2/3} & 10: 30 \mathrm{am} 3 / 3 \\ 10: 30 \mathrm{am} 1 / 2 & 3: 00 \mathrm{pm} 2 / 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| MIXED |  |  |
| WALK-IN PARS |  |  |
| Pais 2 - Play |  | ${ }_{\text {deam }}^{\text {paturday }}$ |


| Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 1 | Hugh McGann - Kieran Dyke - Fiona Brown - Tony Nunn - Michael Ware - Geo Tislevoll |  |  |  | 233 |
| 2 | 6 | Barbara Travis - Howard Melbourne - Peter Reynolds - David Appleton |  |  |  | 228 |
| 3 | 7 | Anthony Burke - Peter Gill - Andrew Peake - Sartaj Hans |  |  |  | 226 |
| 4 | 8 | Bruce Neill - Frank To - Simon Hinge - Kim Morrison |  |  |  | 221 |
| 5 | 4 | Mike Cornell - Ashley Bach - Matthew Mullamphy - Ron Klinger |  |  |  | 220 |
| 6 | 5 | Allen Tan - Choonchou Loo - Hua Poon - Kelvin Ng - Gemma Tan - Hongjun Wu |  |  |  | 218 |
| 7 | 53 | Debbie Mcleod - Brian Cleaver - Kirstin Gardiner - Alan Grant |  |  |  | 217 |
| 8 | 16 | Siegfried Konig - James Wallis - David Mcleish - Paula Mcleish |  |  |  | 216 |
| 9 | 9 | Helen Horwitz - Arjuna De Livera - Paul Gosney - Nathan Van Jole |  |  |  | 215 |
| 10 | 14 | Patrick Carter - Julie Atkinson - Barry Jones - Jenny Millington |  |  |  | 214 |
| 10 | 3 | Terry Brown - Paul Lavings - David Lilley - Zolly Nagy - Avinash Kanetkar - Robert Krochmalik |  |  |  | 214 |
| 12 | 2 | Tony Leibowitz - Alex Smirnov - Andy Hung - Michael Whibley - Ishmael Del'Monte |  |  |  | 213 |
| 12 | 26 | Ralph Parker - Arran Hodkinson - Peter Hainsworth - Sanmugaras Kamalarasa |  |  |  | 213 |
| 14 | 19 | Jeanette Reitzer - Bob Richman - Ann Paton - Hugh Grosvenor |  |  |  | 212 |
| 14 | 15 | Christine Duckworth - Brian Callaghan - Valerie Gardiner - Carlos Pellegrini - Pascale Gardiner |  |  |  | 212 |
| 16 | 11 | Jane Skipper - John Skipper - Bob Scott - John Wignall - Joan Butts - Paul Wyer |  |  |  | 211 |
| 17 | 166 | Arthur Porter - Ann Clarke - Leonie Clarke - Bob Clarke |  |  |  | 210 |
| 18 | 18 | Michael Wu - William Zhang - Jin Li - Michael Chen |  |  |  | 209 |
| 18 | 50 | Normand Maclaurin - Ken Berry - George Bilski - Michael Draper |  |  |  | 209 |
| 20 | 31 | Henry Sawicki - Eva Caplan - Rachel Frenkel - Rena Kaplan |  |  |  | 207 |
| Place | No. | Team Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 20 | 67 | Lemon 207 | 110 | 140 | Sher | 174 |
| 20 | 12 | Hoffman 207 | 110 | 131 | Allison | 174 |
| 20 | 23 | Livesey 207 | 110 | 181 | Krishan | 174 |
| 24 | 30 | Nixon 206 | 114 | 113 | Jensen | 173 |
| 24 | 35 | Evans 206 | 114 | 173 | Eddie | 173 |
| 26 | 13 | Moren 205 | 114 | 102 | Edwards | 173 |
| 26 | 61 | Martelletti 205 | 117 | 184 | Littler | 172 |
| 28 | 20 | Beauchamp 204 | 117 | 123 | Beil | 172 |
| 28 | 36 | Herden 204 | 117 | 141 | Wilson | 172 |
| 30 | 44 | Richman 203 | 120 | 98 | Meldrum | 171 |
| 31 | 10 | Lester 202 | 120 | 77 | Steinwedel | 171 |
| 31 | 74 | Dawson 202 | 120 | 100 | Darley | 171 |
| 31 | 39 | Waring 202 | 120 | 69 | Fanos | 171 |
| 31 | 46 | Crichton 202 | 120 | 165 | Dick | 171 |
| 35 | 60 | Afflick 199 | 120 | 127 | Reid | 171 |
| 35 | 27 | Camp 199 | 126 | 89 | Ashman | 170 |
| 35 | 59 | Hegedus 199 | 126 | 72 | Mottram | 170 |
| 35 | 51 | Mayo 199 | 128 | 186 | Raymond | 169 |
| 39 | 21 | Hughes 198 | 128 | 56 | Speiser | 169 |
| 39 | 25 | Henry 198 | 128 | 92 | Gunner | 169 |
| 41 | 38 | Ginsberg 195 | 128 | 86 | Martin | 169 |
| 42 | 22 | Encontro 194 | 128 | 108 | Morrison | 169 |
| 42 | 183 | Rodgers 194 | 133 | 118 | Pike | 168 |
| 42 | 48 | Palmer 194 | 133 | 68 | Feiler | 168 |
| 42 | 58 | Steffensen 194 | 133 | 143 | Rawson | 168 |
| 42 | 177 | Collins 194 | 133 | 151 | Mann | 168 |
| 42 | 32 | Cornell 194 | 137 | 132 | Cooke | 167 |
| 42 | 196 | De Vocht 194 | 137 | 107 | Crafti | 167 |
| 49 | 17 | Kalmin 193 | 139 | 70 | Van Vucht | 166 |


| 49 | 73 | Woodhall | 193 | 139 | 88 | Bogatie | 166 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 40 | Sundstrom | 193 | 139 | 124 | Brown | 166 |
| 52 | 24 | Smolanko | 192 | 139 | 161 | Allan | 166 |
| 52 | 65 | Alexander | 192 | 143 | 164 | Budai | 165 |
| 52 | 155 | Brandt | 192 | 143 | 97 | Bernau | 165 |
| 55 | 82 | Samuel | 191 | 143 | 156 | Garrick | 165 |
| 55 | 93 | Valentine | 191 | 143 | 162 | Kearns | 165 |
| 55 | 175 | Mangos | 191 | 143 | 160 | Ham | 165 |
| 55 | 194 | Ajzner | 191 | 148 | 176 | Maltby | 164 |
| 59 | 105 | Clyne | 190 | 149 | 43 | Allen | 163 |
| 60 | 29 | Barrie | 189 | 149 | 81 | Snelling | 163 |
| 60 | 138 | Tarszisz | 189 | 149 | 129 | Power | 163 |
| 60 | 75 | Brockwell | 189 | 152 | 198 | Humphreys | 162 |
| 60 | 62 | Hood | 189 | 152 | 99 | Scown | 162 |
| 60 | 147 | Mcalister | 189 | 152 | 178 | Wilkinson | 162 |
| 65 | 109 | Bugeia | 188 | 155 | 133 | Rusher | 161 |
| 65 | 119 | Osmund | 188 | 155 | 167 | Kudelka | 161 |
| 65 | 52 | Tucker | 188 | 155 | 87 | Howard | 161 |
| 68 | 57 | De Luca | 187 | 158 | 130 | Grant | 160 |
| 68 | 134 | Terry | 187 | 158 | 120 | Steele | 160 |
| 68 | 79 | Halford | 187 | 158 | 149 | Tredrea | 160 |
| 68 | 71 | Walters | 187 | 158 | 195 | Inglis | 160 |
| 72 | 37 | Hyne | 186 | 158 | 187 | Leach | 160 |
| 72 | 45 | Gluyas | 186 | 163 | 94 | Priestley | 159 |
| 74 | 78 | Johnson | 185 | 163 | 172 | Feeney | 159 |
| 74 | 199 | Prescott | 185 | 163 | 95 | Mitchell | 159 |
| 74 | 34 | Malinas | 185 | 166 | 63 | Andrew | 158 |
| 74 | 33 | Askew | 185 | 166 | 169 | Morgan-King | 158 |
| 74 | 170 | Howard | 185 | 166 | 180 | Kellerman | 158 |
| 79 | 41 | Maluish | 184 | 166 | 80 | Mooney | 158 |
| 79 | 90 | Williams | 184 | 166 | 83 | Fletcher | 158 |
| 79 | 200 | Varmo | 184 | 166 | 85 | Munro | 158 |
| 79 | 122 | Rutter | 184 | 166 | 153 | Townend | 158 |
| 79 | 42 | Scudder | 184 | 173 | 104 | Allen | 157 |
| 79 | 114 | Dawson | 184 | 173 | 174 | Bourke | 157 |
| 85 | 55 | Coutts | 183 | 175 | 192 | Evans | 155 |
| 85 | 106 | Cullen | 183 | 175 | 121 | Kable | 155 |
| 85 | 91 | Colmer | 183 | 177 | 154 | Kaplan | 154 |
| 85 | 146 | Rose | 183 | 177 | 110 | Travers | 154 |
| 85 | 128 | Struik | 183 | 177 | 111 | Eastment | 154 |
| 90 | 28 | Tarbutt | 182 | 177 | 137 | Flynn | 154 |
| 91 | 152 | Blackham | 181 | 181 | 197 | Spencer | 153 |
| 91 | 103 | Barclay | 181 | 181 | 136 | Foots | 153 |
| 93 | 182 | Ross | 180 | 183 | 159 | Mcarthur | 152 |
| 93 | 115 | Hoff | 180 | 183 | 193 | Barda | 152 |
| 93 | 179 | Lacey | 180 | 185 | 101 | Ashwell | 151 |
| 93 | 171 | Tall | 180 | 186 | 145 | Ingold | 150 |
| 93 | 76 | Doddridge | 180 | 187 | 150 | Rose | 149 |
| 93 | 116 | Lindsay | 180 | 188 | 148 | Homik | 147 |
| 99 | 64 | Assaee | 179 | 188 | 142 | Siganto | 147 |
| 99 | 163 | Lorraway | 179 | 190 | 157 | Graham | 145 |
| 101 | 66 | Luck | 178 | 191 | 190 | Corbett | 143 |
| 101 | 49 | Clarke | 178 | 192 | 117 | Mickevics | 142 |
| 101 | 191 | Fox | 178 | 193 | 112 | Fraser | 140 |


| 101 | 189 | Guthrie 178 | 194 | 185 | Whigham | 137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | 54 | Moses 177 | 194 | 188 | Winter | 137 |
| 105 | 84 | Maltz 177 | 196 | 158 | Wallis | 133 |
| 107 | 139 | Campbell 176 | 197 | 126 | Lewin | 128 |
| 107 | 96 | Banks 176 | 197 | 135 | Campbell | 128 |
| 109 | 125 | Lowe 175 | 197 | 144 | Ivanyi | 128 |
| 110 | 47 | Cheval 174 | 200 | 168 | Roughley | 110 |
| Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 5 | Stephen Mendick - Andrew Creet - Tony Marinos - Peter Grant |  |  |  | 222 |
| 2 | 7 | Stan Klofa - Douglas Newlands - Robert Gallus - Robert Stewart |  |  |  | 219 |
| 3 | 4 | Martin Bloom - Nigel Rosendorff - Steven Bock - Les Grewcock |  |  |  | 217 |
| 3 | 1 | Alan Walsh - Barbara McDonald - Elizabeth Havas - Gordon Schmidt |  |  |  | 217 |
| 5 | 6 | David Stern - Robert Grynberg - Tom Moss - Peter Buchen - Sue Picus - Brent Manley |  |  |  | 214 |
| 6 | 13 | Meta Goodman - Sue Lusk - Tony Jackman - Therese Tully - Richard Wallis - Wynne Webber |  |  |  | 210 |
| 7 | 23 | Dorothy Berzins - Peter Berzins - Irma Heyting - Gary Heyting |  |  |  | 208 |
| 8 | 2 | Bill Lockwood - Peter Chan - Roger Januszke - Robert Bignall |  |  |  | 204 |
| 9 | 10 | David Smee - Mischa Solar - Virginia Dressler - Ian Mckinnon |  |  |  | 203 |
| 10 | 44 | Wayne Houghton - Christine Houghton - Adrian Haar - Lydia Adams |  |  |  | 195 |
| Place | No. | Team Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 16 | Grenside 194 | 32 | 52 | Greenwood | 172 |
| 11 | 36 | Shine 194 | 33 | 51 | Daly | 171 |
| 13 | 17 | Ascione 193 | 34 | 39 | Robinson | 170 |
| 14 | 15 | Kahler 192 | 34 | 26 | Goncharoff | 170 |
| 15 | 9 | Robson 190 | 34 | 12 | Creugnet | 170 |
| 15 | 14 | Robb 190 | 37 | 34 | Glasson | 168 |
| 15 | 11 | Milward 190 | 38 | 33 | Hey | 167 |
| 18 | 18 | Lynn 189 | 39 | 38 | Andersson | 165 |
| 19 | 3 | Brockwell 187 | 40 | 43 | Jefferson | 164 |
| 20 | 29 | Nichols 186 | 41 | 45 | Adcock | 161 |
| 21 | 28 | Obenchain 185 | 42 | 19 | Lanham | 160 |
| 22 | 8 | Marr 184 | 43 | 32 | Biro | 157 |
| 22 | 37 | Hooper 184 | 44 | 49 | Maher | 156 |
| 22 | 31 | Talbot 184 | 44 | 46 | Gutteridge | 156 |
| 25 | 42 | Kite 183 | 46 | 35 | Allgood | 154 |
| 26 | 30 | Parkin 182 | 47 | 48 | Rose | 153 |
| 27 | 20 | Strong 179 | 48 | 22 | Strasser | 152 |
| 28 | 21 | Stobo 177 | 49 | 40 | Jeffery | 148 |
| 29 | 25 | Hall 174 | 50 | 24 | Allan | 141 |
| 29 | 41 | Desmond 174 | 51 | 47 | Martin | 124 |
| 31 | 27 | Byrnes 173 | 51 | 50 | Knight | 124 |
| Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 2 | Greg Lee - Alan Currie - Patrick Bugler - Yo | nda Car |  |  | 232 |
| 2 | 8 | Ian Lisle - Vicky Wiley - Biljana Novakovic | ee Weld |  |  | 223 |
| 3 | 17 | Bernie Atkins - Tony Wagstaff - Judy Atki | Kate Ca |  |  | 217 |
| 4 | 1 | Paul Weaver - Terry Bodycote - Lisa Ma - | lyn Willia |  |  | 215 |
| 5 | 16 | Tony Allen - Kelela Allen - Alexander Cook | Robin Ho |  |  | 210 |
| 6 | 5 | Bastian Bolt - Margaret Pisko - Bev Cross | - Bruce | ssman |  | 207 |
| 7 | 29 | Craig Francis - Tim Runting - Alison Daws | - Elizabe | eller |  | 205 |
| 8 | 22 | Derek Richards - David Yarwood - Gwen | - Lyn T |  |  | 204 |
| 8 | 38 | Deirdre Giles - Barbara Mackay - Philip T | pson - | O'Don | hue | 204 |
| 10 | 11 | Leone Moffat - Cate Carr - Susan Scerri - | n Scerri |  |  | 203 |


| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 43 | Muir | 199 | 42 | 21 | Graham | 176 |
| 12 | 57 | Hurst | 198 | 44 | 42 | Lee | 175 |
| 13 | 52 | Schmalkuche | 197 | 45 | 59 | Gosney | 174 |
| 14 | 74 | Peak | 196 | 46 | 15 | Watson | 173 |
| 15 | 19 | Krosch | 195 | 47 | 3 | Stoneman | 172 |
| 15 | 53 | Roberts | 195 | 48 | 32 | Baker | 171 |
| 17 | 51 | Pincus | 194 | 48 | 73 | Rozier | 171 |
| 18 | 10 | Johnson | 193 | 50 | 20 | Roberts | 170 |
| 19 | 12 | Leighton | 192 | 50 | 26 | Ferguson | 170 |
| 19 | 35 | Campbell | 192 | 52 | 69 | Church | 168 |
| 21 | 56 | Nicholson | 191 | 52 | 64 | Webcke | 168 |
| 21 | 55 | Bailey | 191 | 54 | 62 | Kelley | 167 |
| 23 | 67 | Fraser | 190 | 55 | 14 | Quigley | 165 |
| 24 | 40 | Collie | 189 | 56 | 7 | Munro | 164 |
| 24 | 50 | Sharp | 189 | 57 | 37 | Cockbill | 162 |
| 26 | 45 | Ranke | 188 | 57 | 58 | Scott | 162 |
| 26 | 6 | Fraser | 188 | 59 | 9 | Dwerryhouse | 161 |
| 28 | 65 | Davies | 187 | 60 | 41 | Pritchard | 160 |
| 29 | 13 | Collins | 183 | 61 | 68 | Andrews | 158 |
| 29 | 28 | Wright | 183 | 61 | 61 | Bush | 158 |
| 29 | 39 | Jury | 183 | 63 | 36 | Harington | 156 |
| 32 | 70 | Gray | 181 | 63 | 30 | Walsh | 156 |
| 32 | 31 | Keating | 181 | 63 | 24 | Havercroft | 156 |
| 32 | 72 | Mitchell | 181 | 66 | 34 | Sear | 155 |
| 35 | 25 | Mills | 180 | 66 | 46 | Featherstone | 155 |
| 35 | 54 | Lawrence | 180 | 68 | 18 | Isle | 153 |
| 35 | 33 | Mcghee | 180 | 68 | 66 | Stacey | 153 |
| 38 | 48 | Binsted | 178 | 70 | 60 | Avunduk | 151 |
| 38 | 4 | Nimmo | 178 | 71 | 47 | Campbell | 149 |
| 40 | 23 | Wylie | 177 | 72 | 27 | De Mestre | 146 |
| 40 | 63 | Kershaw | 177 | 73 | 49 | Leckie | 139 |
| 42 | 44 | O'Donohue | 176 | 74 | 71 | Nabarro | 136 |
| Restricted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Member |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 21 | Hope Tomlinson - Barry Foster - Jenny Buckley - Martin Johnson |  |  |  |  | 220 |
| 2 | 4 | Pam Brewer - Ruth Goerg - Denise O'Regan - Adrian Lohmann |  |  |  |  | 219 |
| 3 | 49 | Noreen Armstrong - Patricia Armstrong - Sue Luby - Margaret Stevens |  |  |  |  | 215 |
| 4 | 39 | Michael Ward - Chris Nettle - Jill Byrne - Sue Ormsby |  |  |  |  | 212 |
| 5 | 2 | Robert Fulton - Larraine Sutton - Edwina Willis - Rhondda Dean |  |  |  |  | 209 |
| 6 | 3 | Cassie Morin - Helen Arendts - John Hughes - Kristin Hughes |  |  |  |  | 207 |
| 7 | 12 | Sally Lazar - Richard Lazar - Yong White - David Grout |  |  |  |  | 206 |
| 7 | 53 | Marlise Jones - Kerry Watson - Carolin Morahan - Julie Nyst |  |  |  |  | 206 |
| 9 | 22 | Penny Brodie - Madeleine Gray - Catherine Drury - Maggie Campbell |  |  |  |  | 197 |
| 10 | 51 | Marcia Krampel - Joe Krampel - Lillian Pearce - Gerald Pearce |  |  |  |  | 196 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 10 | 18 | Carroll | 196 | 37 | 41 | Gooding | 176 |
| 10 | 40 | Boyd | 196 | 37 | 24 | Fletcher | 176 |
| 13 | 61 | Mabin | 195 | 40 | 58 | Sher | 172 |
| 14 | 14 | Chamberlin | 194 | 41 | 15 | Morgan | 171 |
| 14 | 37 | Pike | 194 | 42 | 59 | Jacobs | 170 |
| 16 | 5 | Hirschhorn | 193 | 43 | 43 | Parmenter | 169 |
| 16 | 11 | Irving | 193 | 44 | 27 | Chamberlain | 168 |


| 18 | 16 | Sinclair | 190 | 44 | 23 | Morris | 168 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 62 | Holmes | 189 | 44 | 44 | Farmer | 168 |
| 19 | 8 | Moody | 189 | 47 | 38 | Munro | 166 |
| 21 | 33 | Howard | 187 | 48 | 63 | Ryan | 165 |
| 21 | 6 | Tuckey | 187 | 49 | 42 | Look | 164 |
| 21 | 32 | Treloar | 187 | 50 | 26 | Hooper | 162 |
| 24 | 36 | Devries | 186 | 50 | 57 | Pearce | 162 |
| 24 | 20 | Cullen | 186 | 52 | 47 | Serry | 161 |
| 24 | 50 | Paul | 186 | 52 | 28 | Barry | 161 |
| 27 | 7 | Murray | 185 | 54 | 34 | Stuart | 160 |
| 27 | 29 | Rollond | 185 | 54 | 31 | Forsyth | 160 |
| 27 | 52 | Chalk | 185 | 54 | 54 | Hall | 160 |
| 30 | 1 | Aiston | 184 | 57 | 10 | Carson | 159 |
| 31 | 30 | Crothers | 182 | 58 | 13 | Clifford | 158 |
| 31 | 35 | Wippell | 182 | 59 | 56 | Miller | 154 |
| 31 | 17 | Weaver | 182 | 60 | 64 | Wang | 151 |
| 34 | 19 | Mander | 181 | 61 | 45 | Corney | 145 |
| 34 | 46 | Fraser | 181 | 62 | 60 | Carr-Boyd | 139 |
| 36 | 9 | George | 178 | 63 | 48 | Tyler | 137 |
| 37 | 25 | Hancock | 176 | 64 | 55 | Gearon | 115 |
| Novice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Memb |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 11 | Linda Norman - Kay Roberts - Joan Jenkins - Ross Currin |  |  |  |  | 231 |
| 2 | 25 | John Elich - Gabrielle Elich - Christophe Wlodarczyk - Justine Wlodarczyk |  |  |  |  | 227 |
| 3 | 12 | Lesleigh Egan - Lynne Henley - Colleen Sobey - Tilley Thillainathan |  |  |  |  | 209 |
| 4 | 4 | George Gibson - Lynne Layton - Sheryl Haslam - Ann Klibbe |  |  |  |  | 204 |
| 5 | 3 | Pam Nearhos - Diane Sargent - John Stuart - Frances Stuart |  |  |  |  | 203 |
| 6 | 24 | Sandra Mulcahy - Anne Russell - Sheila Wills - Jane Postle |  |  |  |  | 197 |
| 7 | 29 | Vivienne Renton - Gay Thompson - Helen Bowra - Jenny Bryant |  |  |  |  | 193 |
| 8 | 2 | Trevor Shaw - Suzanne Purnell - Barbara Rydon - Roxane Brayshaw |  |  |  |  | 192 |
| 8 | 19 | Walter Hugentobler - Annemarie Hugentobler - Tom Goddard - Judith Hope |  |  |  |  | 192 |
| 10 | 13 | Jann Macintosh - Helen Acton - Elizabeth Hone - Tweed Holman |  |  |  |  | 190 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 10 | 35 | McMenamin | 190 | 24 | 15 | Garden | 171 |
| 10 | 10 | Neary | 190 | 24 | 18 | O'Reilly | 171 |
| 13 | 20 | Durrant | 187 | 26 | 30 | Lloyd | 170 |
| 13 | 8 | Gault | 187 | 27 | 22 | Mathews | 169 |
| 15 | 16 | Mayne | 184 | 28 | 9 | Collins | 167 |
| 16 | 17 | Gibney | 183 | 29 | 23 | Davis | 163 |
| 17 | 36 | Gambetta | 181 | 29 | 31 | Phillips | 163 |
| 18 | 27 | Carter | 180 | 31 | 6 | Meakin | 160 |
| 19 | 7 | Nice | 178 | 32 | 5 | Wilson | 154 |
| 20 | 14 | Shardlow | 177 | 33 | 33 | Taylor | 153 |
| 21 | 1 | Stewart | 176 | 34 | 34 | Ball | 146 |
| 22 | 28 | Ackman | 174 | 35 | 32 | Webb | 137 |
| 23 | 21 | Carter | 173 | 36 | 26 | Fisher | 132 |


| Holiday Pairs Event 1 - Session 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N-S | Score |  | E-W | Score |
| 1 | Antoinette REES - Sidney REYNOLDS | 60.93 | 1 | Beverley WELCH - Jeanne ADAMS | 64.44 |
| 2 | Ken CLEM - Janet LOOSMORE | 53.89 | 2 | Patricia HOBSON - Carole ROACHE | 60.19 |
| 3 | Barbara HERRING - Brenda HERRING | 53.33 | 3 | Geoff READ - Dorothy READ | 59.81 |
| 4 | Lorraine FREDERICKS - Peter FREDERICKS | 52.96 | 4 | Eddie MULLIN - Dianne MULLIN | 58.15 |
| 5 | Pam LAWSON - Janice GLADDERS | 50.74 | 5 | Robert SUTTON - Robert COWLEY | 57.96 |
| 6 | Tony WOOLFORD - Noelle KEBBY | 50.56 | 6 | Denise HARTWIG - Mavis SIMPSON | 50.93 |
| 7 | Penelope JOHNSON - Rosalyn STEVENS | 50.37 | 7 | Delma CLARK - Judith BRIGGS | 49.44 |
| 8 | Joan BANNAH - Alison BANNAH | 49.26 | 8 | Ray INGIELEWICZ - Pat SLEAT | 47.96 |
| 9 | Catherine ANG - Theresa YOUNG | 48.52 | 9 | Jim SKEEN - Ming Shu YANG | 44.44 |
| 10 | Susan HERSE - Di HODGES | 46.48 | 10 | Minnie BRAGG - Chris BRAGG | 44.26 |
| 11 | Chung Lin STOCK - Maria CREMONA | 42.96 | 11 | Lynn KELLY - Sue HERBERT | 40.00 |
| 12 | Irene CHAU - Rebecca ROOKE | 40.00 | 12 | Robine BLACKLOCK - Eunice FOO | 22.41 |
| Holiday Pairs Event 1 - Session 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N-S | Score |  | E-W | Score |
| 1 | Eddie MULLIN - Dianne MULLIN | 63.14 | 1 | Fred WHITAKER - Anna MONKS | 63.14 |
| 2 | Tony WOOLFORD - Noelle KEBBY | 60.10 | 2 | Carol WYLIE - Brian PATTERSON | 62.82 |
| 3 | Antoinette REES - Sidney REYNOLDS | 59.29 | 3 | Delma CLARK - Judith BRIGGS | 61.86 |
| 4 | Catherine ANG - Theresa YOUNG | 58.01 | 4 | Minnie BRAGG - Chris BRAGG | 56.41 |
| 5 | John DENNIS - David READ | 51.28 | 5 | Dorothy READ - Geoff READ | 55.93 |
| 5 | John BAMFIELD - Joan BANNAH | 51.28 | 6 | Odette HALL - Connie CASSAR | 52.08 |
| 7 | Barbara HERRING - Brenda HERRING | 48.56 | 6 | Sew Yoon YAP-GILES - Royala ROONEY | 52.08 |
| 8 | Denise RICHARDS - Lois ROBINSON | 47.12 | 8 | Ray INGIELEWICZ - Pat SLEAT | 50.48 |
| 9 | Ken CLEM - Janet LOOSMORE | 46.79 | 9 | Jill WARD - Fiona SAGE | 44.55 |
| 10 | Thea CATSOULIS - Ann SLADE | 45.03 | 10 | Arjen DRAAISMA - Margot HARRIS | 43.91 |
| 11 | Paul THIEM - Margaret LIVERSAGE | 44.07 | 11 | Norma CAMERON - Estelle SEGAL | 40.87 |
| 12 | Kay WATKINSON - Joan ANDERSON | 37.82 | 12 | Catherine BROWN - Jim WOOD | 37.66 |
| 13 | Lorraine FREDERICKS - Peter FREDERICKS | 37.50 | 13 | Eunice FOO - Robine BLACKLOCK | 28.21 |
| Holiday Pairs Event 1 - Overall |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average | MPs |  |  |
| 1 | Eddie MULLIN - Dianne MULLIN | 60.41 | 1.51 |  |  |
| 2 | Antoinette REES - Sidney REYNOLDS | 59.13 | 1.06 | Er |  |
| 3 | Geoff READ - Dorothy READ | 56.30 | 0.76 |  |  |
| 4 | Delma CLARK - Judith BRIGGS | 54.17 | 0.50 |  |  |
| 5 | Janet LOOSMORE - Ken CLEM | 50.49 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Minnie BRAGG - Chris BRAGG | 48.42 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Lorraine FREDERICKS - Peter FREDERICKS | 43.07 |  | Winners of First Holiday Pairs Eddie \& |  |
| 8 | Eunice FOO - Eunice FOO | 30.73 |  | Winers of First Holiday Pairs Eddie |  |



Last year, the well-known Magnolias - four women who play together regularly - arrived at the Gold Coast Congress with a missing "petal." That would be Barbara Hospers. This year, all four Magnolias made it to the tournament. From left, Patty Leighton, Gladys Tulloch, Gayleen Brown and Hospers. They finished a respectable $19^{\text {th }}$ out of 74 in the Intermediate Teams.

Difficult Calcudoku


Hard Sudoku

| 1 |  | 7 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 9 |
|  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |
|  | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  | 1 |

## THAT'S ENTERTAINMENT - YESTERDAY'S SOLUTIONS

Difficult Calcudoku


Hard Sudoku

| 5 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| 9 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 6 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| 2 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 4 |
| 3 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 1 |


| Rookie Novice Pairs - Thursday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North-South |  |  |  | East-West |  |  |  |
| Place |  | \% | MPs | Place |  | \% | MPs |
| 1 | Suzi LEDGER - Barbara SIMPSON | 60.61 | 0.46 | 1 | Lynn KELLY - Gregory BARBE | 64.77 | 0.46 |
| 2 | Wendy CROMBIE - Julie STOCKLEY | 57.77 | 0.32 | 2 | Rob OLANDER - Rick WEBSTER | 61.36 | 0.32 |
| 3 | Leonie ELPHINSTONE - Gordon PLANT | 54.55 | 0.23 | 3 | Kim REEDER - Sue JONES | 58.71 | 0.23 |
| 4 | Lavinia NAPIER - Audrey NAPIER | 54.17 | 0.15 | 4 | Colleen PARSELL - Desolie PARSELL | 54.17 | 0.15 |
| 5 | Maureen LUBINSKY - Stanley LAW | 53.03 | 0.12 | 5 | Daina GEISE - Kay PEACHEY | 51.33 | 0.11 |
| 6 | Michelle BEHRENS - Jim SKEEN | 51.89 | 0.09 | 5 | Donna RIX - Helen HARKIN | 51.33 | 0.11 |
| 7 | Beverley NORTHEY - Dianne THATCHER | 51.14 | 0.08 | 7 | Leanne NUGENT - Peter ALLINGHAM | 49.05 | 0.08 |
| 8 | Ros FRANCIS - Kathi VINCE | 50.95 |  | 8 | Tom LYONS - Gail PERRY | 49.05 |  |
| 9 | Alison BANNAH - Alison DAVIS | 50.76 |  | 9 | Geoff WILLSON - John WILSON | 47.92 |  |
| 10 | Claudia LEE - Desley CHADWICK | 50.00 |  | 10 | Marguerite BETTINGTON - Jan DEAVILLE | 47.73 |  |
| 11 | Carol MACKENZIE - Annie SINCLAIR | 48.30 |  | 11 | Lile WILLIAMS - Lana MELDON | 47.35 |  |
| 12 | Helen BLAIR - Anthony MARSLAND | 47.16 |  | 12 | Glenda LLOYD - Ruth HOFFENSETZ | 44.51 |  |
| 13 | Sue CLARE - Cherie ORCHARD | 41.10 |  | 12 | Susie THOMSON - Janet WARBY | 44.51 |  |
| 14 | Virginia SANDERS - Eunice SETON | 39.39 |  | 14 | Debbie NEVIN - Lynn BROWN | 40.91 |  |
| 15 | Ming SHU YANG - Kris ROSSITER | 39.20 |  | 15 | Karin IOVANNELLA - Leonie BROWN | 37.31 |  |

