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## MAGNIFICO



## Gianarrigo Rona - President of the World Bridge Federation

Surveying the tournament from above after noting it was one of the biggest and most successful tournaments in the bridge world.

High Praise indeed for the Australian Bridge Federation, Queensland Bridge Association, Therese Tully and the 80+ workers and volunteers who make this tournament what it is.

THE NUMBERS GAME

| Year |  |  | Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Open | Seniors | Intermediate Novice, Restricted | Swiss Pairs | Total | Open | Seniors | Intermediate Novice, Restricted | Total |
| 2007 | 297 | 91 | 180 |  | 568 | 243 | 45 | 103 | 391 |
| 2008 | 332 | 104 | 162 |  | 598 | 274 | 48 | 96 | 418 |
| 2009 | 284 | 106 | 180 |  | 570 | 246 | 46 | 96 | 388 |
| 2010 | 314 | 102 | 204 |  | 620 | 214 | 44 | 138 | 396 |
| 2011 | 304 | 98 | 292 |  | 694 | 240 | 46 | 150 | 436 |
| 2012 | 264 | 94 | 292 |  | 650 | 220 | 44 | 138 | 402 |
| 2013 | 194 | 88 | 308 | 116* | 706 | 200 | 52 | 174 | 426 |
| Growth 2013 over 2012 |  |  |  |  | +8.6\% | Gro | 2013 | 2012 | +6.0\% |
| 2011 Numbers were unusually high due to the celebration of the tournaments $50^{\text {th }}$ anniversary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As a new major event the Swiss Pairs numbers are now included for comparison purposes
This year we will be dealing a minimum of 29,904 boards. Given that each board takes around 20 seconds that translates into.....one person dealing 8 hours a day 5 days a week for.....exactly one month. I'm sure glad that isn't me.

## YOUR BBQ MENU

During the break today a BBQ lunch will be available on the terrace outside the Convention Centre.

## ALL BURGERS

SERVED WITH PANINI BREAD and SALAD WITH CHOICE OF DRESSING

Bush Spice Rib Fillet \$9.00
Tandoori Chicken Burger with cucumber yoghurt
$\$ 9.00$
Bratwurst Sausage with Onions \$7.50
Vegetarian Burger with Tomato relish $\$ 8.00$
Crisps
\$3.50
Soft Drinks
\$4.50
Water \$4.00
Just Squeezed Juices
$\$ 4.00$
Powerade
\$5.50
Mother


Paul Lavings

Offering his insights into Suit Preference Signalling

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH 3

One for the Books - Barry Rigal

| Dealer: East | A 1032 |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark$ A 75 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 10 | - AK 84 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | NT |
| Open Tms Qual R3 | \& J 85 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\uparrow$ |
| A 9764 |  | A A J 85 | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ Q 6 |  | -K1032 | - | 1 | - | - | * |
| -63 |  | -Q97 | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\%$ |
| \& A Q 942 |  | \& K 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A K Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VJ984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - J 1052 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& 763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Brent Manley declared 2A here as West after a simple auction: 1*:1A:2A. the defenders led a top diamond and shifted to heart. Manley won in hand and passed the spade six. South won and played back a diamond, so North cashed his red winners and played a third heart. Manley played the ten covered with the jack, and ruffed it. Then he led a low spade from hand and when North followed low (yes, the ten, the card you are known to hold might be better) Manley went up with the ace and dropped the remaining honor. Making +140.

|  | A 103 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - --- |  |
|  | -84 |  |
|  | \& J 85 |  |
| A 97 |  | A A J 8 |
| - --- |  | $\bullet$ K |
| - --- |  | - Q |
| \& A Q 942 |  | \& K 10 |
|  | A Q |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J |  |
|  | - 52 |  |
|  | \& 763 |  |

You'll notice I said 'might' be better above. Contrast what happened where Cornell and Bach were defending 2^ by East. The defence went on similar lines. Bach led a diamond to the king, then Cornell cashed $\vee \mathrm{A}, ~ \mathrm{~A}$ and played a second heart. Declarer finessed in trumps to Bach, who played a third heart, and declarer ruffed in dummy and had reached this position.

Here too declarer led a trump from dummy. Cornell played low (of course partner could have the bare spade ace left) and declarer misjudged by putting in the jack. Bach won his honour and played a fourth heart for the overruff. Down one!

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 4

## Barry Rigal

For the fourth match of the open teams qualifier I positioned myself to watch David Hoffmann, playing with Margi Bourke North-South against Neil Stuckey and Christine Wilson (in the other room Hoffman's teammates were Felicity Beale and Robbie van Riel against Barry and Glenis Palmer).

Also on my radar were Julian Foster and David Weston, North-South at thE next table. They were playing Henry Sawicki and Rachel Frenkel. In the other room Foster's teammates were Neville Francis and Magnus Moren playing against Eva and Rena Kaplan.

As you will see, the match turned out to be in sharp contrast to the ones the bulletin had covered earlier. Very few IMPs exchanged hands - but don't be misled into thinking that was because perfect bridge had been played!
On the first deal out the East-West pairs could make a partscore in spades, hearts or clubs. Stuckey and Wilson found their way to the best partscore for a 5 IMP pickup when Beale-van Riel were going overboard in the wrong strain, while in the other room Francis/Moran were selling out to a making partscore in the other direction to give Sawicki 6 IMPs.
On the next deal Sawicki-Frenkel's strong club let them stop safely at the two-level when Foster did not interfere (despite two chances to do so) with \& A K 1063 2. Sawicki led 11-0.

On the next deal no real IMPs changed hands, but I wondered if there was a small technical point in the play here.

Dealer: North
AK Q98764
Vul: None
$\checkmark 6$
Brd 17
A 108
Open Tms Qual R4 \& 95
$\rightarrow$ A J
$\bullet K J 2$
-96543

* A 62

A 1032

- AQ9743
- Q
\& K J 3

Say you play 4^ after 3A:4n. How should you plan the play after the lead of the club seven, second and fourth?

In my opinion this is unlikely to be an underlead of an ace -- maybe when you get to table one but not until then! If so the lead is either fourth highest or second from a bad suit. If the former, playing low from dummy will suffice, if the latter then your play doesn't matter if the AQ10 are wrong, but it certainly does matter if the lead is from $107 \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{x})$. you should play low from dummy at trick one, since you can always finesse the queen later, should the defenders win the club with the ten and shift to ace and another spade. Your plan will be to win and take the club finesse, falling back on the heart finesse if all else fails. As you can see, the line you follow here doesn't matter since you rate to take at least ten tricks whatever you do. But remember the tip for next time -or you could read up on it in 'Breaking the Rules' (Masterpoint Press and all good bridge retailers).

```
Dealer: East
^ AKJ10954
Vul: N-S V96
Brd 18 * 4
Open Tms Qual R4 & A 3 2
A 7 A63
`AKJ10832
* 1086
&84
```

```
A Q 8 2
```

A Q 8 2
v --
v --
A AKJ973
A AKJ973
\&J1095

```
&J1095
```

Again the two matches failed to produce any swings, one pushing the deal in $6 \boldsymbol{A}$, one in 4 A .
 show the void. Now the path to the grand slam might have been easier. On a heart lead you need trumps 2-1 and the diamond queen to fall in four rounds.

Still, that was relatively innocent compared to developments from the other table I was watching.

| West | North | East <br> Pass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & \text { 1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \vee$ | 24 | 36 | 34 |
| Pass | 4\% | 4 | Pass |
| Pass | 4^ | All Pass |  |

Readers may care to distribute $100 \%$ of the blame here. Or they may feel that there is considerably more than $100 \%$ to allocate... still, as slam was missed in the other room maybe it is just unbiddable.

Three virtually flat boards followed, and at the half-way point the two match scores were 5-1 for Palmer, and 11-4 for Sawicki. When Bourke had a blind spot or two to go down in a game, Palmer's lead had climbed to 15-1. Suddenly though, the Hoffman team moved up a gear or three, and started putting IMPs on the board.

Margaret Morgan, playing in the Restricted Teams reports that she was chatting to one of the lifeguards on the beach about the reclamation of the beach by the ocean. The lifeguard enquired what Margaret was doing on the Gold Coast and in response to the fact she was playing in a bridge tournament he said he would look up the rules on Google. Margaret suggested that he would likely need lessons but he could not understand how he could need lessons to play a game of cards - "it cant be that difficult can it"? Margaret couldn't find a suitable answer to that one.

| Dealer: South | A AK |  | West | North | East | Sou |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -K752 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 23 | - Q 1053 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
| Open Tms Qual R4 | \& A 109 |  | 3 | - | 2 | - | A |
| ^ Q J 1098 |  | A 7532 | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark 104$ |  | $\checkmark$ Q | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| -KJ98 |  | - 74 | 1 | - | - | - | $\%$ |
| * Q 6 |  | \&K7543 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - AJ9863 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& J 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Bourke passed the South cards, thereby indicating that she is, like me, ready to join the 'Old Fogeys' club. Hoffman, North opened 1 and heard South's $2 \vee$ response, suggesting these values but perhaps a less good suit. Undaunted though, he jumped to $4 V$ and Bourke claimed +620 a few moments later and a surprise 10 IMPs, when the Palmers missed their game. I like what Sartaj Hans did here, by the way. As North he heard Andrew Peake open $2 v$ and relayed for shortage. When he found none, and a minimum hand, he bid 3NT. Note that this contract has nine tricks even if both club honors are wrong...and imagine that South hand with the same shape but the club jack in either of the other two suits!

| Dealer: West | A --- |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - AJ975 |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 24 | - J 854 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
| Open Tms Qual R4 | \& Q J 63 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\wedge$ |
| AQ1043 |  | AKJ765 | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ K |  | - Q 1086 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| - K 1093 |  | - A 62 | - | 2 | - | 2 | \% |
| \& 1085 |  | \& K |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A A 982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 432$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Q 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& A 974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

At the two tables where I was watching both Easts played 4A. Hoffman had opened the North cards, Weston had not. (And Bourke did not double 4^ either: she must have seen a few of these openings before!)
Both Souths led a low heart to the ace; what should North return? Weston played back a high club Hoffman a low club, which looks like a better idea (notwithstanding the fact that Wilson still went down in 4^). At trick three Bourke returned her low club to the eight and jack, and declarer had to lose a diamond and a spade for down one.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \downarrow$ | $1 a$ | $2 \downarrow$ |
| 2NT[1] | Pass | 4a | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |
| [1] Four Card Constructive Raise |  |  |  |


"Had to" you say? Let's shift to Del'Monte's table, where Ishmael was declaring 4a on the auction:

The defenders led a heart to the king and ace and a low club back to the king and ace. You can forgive South for playing back the 44 but maybe the nine is actually the best technical play, in case the suit looks like this.
When Del'Monte received the low club continuation he found the pressure play of ducking in dummy. North put up the jack, and Del'Monte ruffed, and led the spade jack, ducked all round.
This was the ending. Now look what happens when another trump is led. If South ducks declarer wins in dummy, cashes the diamond king and ace and $¥ Q$ then cross-ruffs clubs and hearts and scores the last trump in dummy en passant, with the diamond and spade winner falling together.

So South won the second spade and played a third spade, won in dummy leaving North to find two discards. One diamond was easy but what would the next discard be? A heart might look safe but declarer ruffs another club, goes to the diamond king, and has an extremely unusual triple squeeze for two tricks as the spade queen is led.
Declarer has only three winners but North cannot pitch either red suit without conceding two tricks and a club simply allows declarer to cash the last club and repeat the squeeze.
For the record South needed to shift to trumps (or a somewhat unlikely $\downarrow$ ! ) at trick three to break up the position.

| Dealer: East | A 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: Both | A 10642 |
| Brd 26 | K 3 |


|  | West | North | East | Sou |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\wedge$ |
| A 1095 | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| - J9853 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | 3 | - | 4 | - | $\%$ |

AQJ8632
AQJ8632
\bulletQ7
\bulletQ7
*QJ10 82
*QJ10 82
\& ---
\& ---

At most tables South opened 2n, natural and weak, and very bad things then happened to N/S or E/W from thereon in. First guess how many pairs made a contract in the 200 tables in play. That's right; zero. Two pairs made spade partscores and two made diamond contracts in the Seniors. In the Intermediate there was one making contract but it was a doozy, Brigid Marland and Robyn Clark notched up 930 from 30x with an overtrick - mind you somebody did go for 1700 here, the biggest penalty yet. One pair in the Restricted made $3 *$, and in the Novices a spade, diamond and club partscore came in. Look at that! We have to get to the Novices to find a pair playing their only sensible partscore! Well done Baiba Mikelsons and Helen Himstedt.
In our featured matches both pairs in Sawicki-Moren played 3 $\boldsymbol{*}$. I liked Sawicki's view here. He overcalled 2NT over $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ and when his partner bid 3 as a transfer to hearts he passed. At the other table I was focusing on Stuckey overcalled 3 over $2 \boldsymbol{A}$. Wilson optimistically tried $3 V$ and now Stuckey bid 3NT. Wilson corrected to 4* - which might well have made, but Stuckey re-corrected to 4 and nobody doubled, down 400, for 7 IMPs when 3 was allowed to escape for down one somehow in the other room. The match score was 24-15 now for Hoffman, with Moren leading Sawicki 12-11.

Hoffman added 4 IMPs when both pairs quite reasonably played the five-level down one. On to the last deal:


In both matches one East opened 1a one opened 2A. Where the one-level action was chosen both Souths bid $2 \vee$ and ended the auction. Am I alone in thinking North has enough for a simple raise to $3 \vee$ ? Apparently yes (and if you've seen a Moren/Francis overcall no doubt you'd be worried they were going to double and take you for 800). That was 10 IMPs to Hoffman and Sawicki, since in their room a $3 V$ overcall over $2 \uparrow$ saw North raise to game. Despite the spade ruff 10 tricks were there for the taking but note that if the $\vee 9$ and $\geqslant 7$ were switched West would take his first spade ruff and have to underlead his club ace to get the second ruff!
The matches finished 39-15 for Hoffman and 21-12 for Sawicki.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING ROUND 6

Barry Rigal
The two matches under review would be Neill against Feiler and Horwitz against Leibowitz. I would be watching Horwitz-De Livera take on Smirnov-Leibowitz and To-Neill playing Edelstein-Cox.
A quiet first deal gave no portents of the wildness to come. On the second deal three of the four tables had to defend 4A by North after a transfer or Texas auction.

| Dealer: West | A AK6 |  | West | North | East | Sout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\bullet 97$ |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 16 | -KQ7 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
| Open Tms Qual R6 | \& Q J 1093 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\wedge$ |
| - 73 |  | ヘ98 | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 3 |  | -86542 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| -106543 |  | - A J | - | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |
| \& 542 |  | \& AK 76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQJ } 10542 \\ & \vee K J 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Where I was watching Cox led the \&A and shifted to $\star \mathrm{A}$. His partner’s violent discouragement sent him to hearts, and third time was a charm. Since Morrison was defending 4^ by South he should have had an easier task...but Hinge had shown hearts and a minor so the opening lead of the VA did not exactly paralyse declarer. Ten IMPs to Feiler, leading 10-2.

In the other match Gosney-van Jole beat 4a when Smirnov led the \&A, and on seeing the five (the rather poor best Leibowitz could do for suit preference - not that he could really be blamed for the spot cards he had been dealt) exited passively with a trump. That let declarer draw trump in two rounds and ruff out the clubs to pitch dummy's diamonds. Horwitz led 10-0.
On the next deal in a contested auction Smirnov-Leibowitz never bid the suit they could make a non-vulnerable game in (but to be fair the datum on the board was 180 so the room had trouble). Neill and Horwitz (the latter having enterprisingly opened a miserable 2-2-5-4 ten count to shut out the opponents) each bid game in one room and picked up a decent swing for their pains.
Neill took back the lead in their match when Feiler-Cohen went down in a 3NT that was making overtricks around the room. After five deals the match score was 19-11 for Neill, 13-0 for Horwitz.

| Dealer: West | A Q J 9743 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - Q 43 |
| Brd 20 | - AK 4 |
| Open Tms Q |  |
| A A 10862 |  |
| - AJ102 |  |
| - --- |  |
| \& AK 107 |  |

$\uparrow$
$\checkmark 9765$

- 10873
*QJ985
Everyone played 3NT here, but both Ishmael Del'Monte and Frank To doubled that contract. Cox received a top club lead and covered in dummy, led a spade to the seven and king, took a club finesse, then misguessed hearts by leading to the king and finessing. To could cash one top diamond to force a club discard from dummy and lock declarer in dummy to concede a heart, two diamonds and two spades.

Gosney won the club lead and played a spade to hand to take the club finesse, (Del'Monte pitching a spade) then exited with a spade from the board. Del'Monte won and led a low diamond. Declarer won in hand pitching a club from dummy, played a club to dummy as Del'Monte threw a heart, then cashed his spade winner and played the heart king and a heart to the jack. When the finesse lost it was -500 instead of +1150 . Leibowitz led 15-13, Neill was up 34-11.
On the next deal Del'Monte and Whibley stayed out of an unlucky game, bid at all three other tables, to add a further 9 IMPs, and Leibowitz then found a vulnerable sacrifice against a non-vulnerable game for a further 6

IMPs. On this second deal Neill bid game in one room and defeated the same contract doubled in the other room. Deep Finesse tells us 12 tricks are obtainable with good guesswork.

| Dealer: South | A A 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 63$ |  |
| Brd 23 | - A 865 |  |
| Open Tms Qual R6 \& A K J 87 |  |  |
| AJ 1053 |  | ヘ 82 |
| - Q J 8 |  | -1097542 |
| - Q J 3 |  | -K72 |
| * 1032 |  | -9 9 |
|  | AKQ974 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK |  |
|  | -1094 |  |
|  | \& Q 64 |  |


| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | NT |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | $\uparrow$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| - | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | $\%$ |

This is a very tough hand to bid intelligently. Neill-To got close, but after 1NT:2\&:2A:3*:3A:4ヶ:4V To simply used keycard and drove to 6NT. Had he offered a choice of slams he would have got to $6 \boldsymbol{\%}$, the slam that cannot be beaten. Not that 6NT is so terrible, but West had been dealt an attractive diamond lead if he dared risk it. Alas for him, though Edelstein chose the diamond lead he selected the deceptive $\quad$ J. His partner naturally played the $\diamond K$ and the defence was over. Neill now led 58-11.
Less than $10 \%$ of the field reached $6 \boldsymbol{\%}$ by the way, and neither table managed it in our other match. But Horwitz-De Livera played $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ for +650 , while though Whibley-Del Monte avoided the small slam their final contract was not exactly what the doctor ordered. Lacking decent methods after a strong no-trump Del'Monte tried 3V (3-1(5-4)) game-forcing. When Whibley jumped to 4a, Del Monte's attempts to get out of spades into clubs were read as grand slam tries so the partnership played 7A, and Horwitz had 13 IMPs to trail 26-30.

Feiler-Cohen and Del'Monte-Whibley then each bid a laydown slam that was hard to reach scientifically, depending as it did on responder producing a singleton in declarer's long suit or for the opponents not to lead that suit. All was well when partner did produce the right hand, and that was 13 IMPs apiece.
The roundabout continued as Neill and Horwitz each went plus in both rooms on a partscore deal, before the big finish....

| Dealer: East | \& 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: Both | $\vee$ A 6 |
| Brd 26 | $\forall$ AK J 10854 |

Open Tms Qual R6 \& A K 10
A Q J 10853
A764
$\checkmark 4$

- Q 763
\& 62
-QJ983
- 9
\& J 973

| West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | NT |
| - | 3 | - | 3 | A |
| - | 5 | - | 5 | $\vdash$ |
| - | 6 | - | 6 |  |
| - | 6 | - | 6 | $\&$ |

A AK 9
-K10752

- 2
\& Q 854
Bidding scientifically to 6 is far from easy in a competitive auction. Del'Monte had a different problem as after $1 \vee:[2 A]$ he bid 3 and heard his partner correctly alert this as a heart raise. When Whibley jumped to $4 \checkmark$ Del'Monte ethically used keycard and settled in 6V rather than looking for no-trump or diamonds. That was 16 somewhat random IMPs to Horwitz, who certainly wouldn't reject them. That made it 48-41 for Horwitz, and since both pairs had bid $6 \star$ in the other match it was still 62-22 for Neill.


You'd expect most N/S pairs to reach 3NT and make it in comfort. One of our four pairs managed it: HorwitzDe Livera bid 1v-1NT-2NT-3NT. Del'Monte-Whibley played a club partscore after 1V-1NT-2\& - 3\%. +170 still meant 6 IMPs for Horwitz, winners by 54-41. By contrast in our other match Feiler-Cohen played 1 NT, for +180 , while Cox as East thought he could risk a double of a third seat 1V. This was how the auction developed:

| West | North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \downarrow$ | Double | Redouble |
| Pass | Pass | 2 |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Neill led his doubleton heart and To won to shift to a trump. Neill ducked the jack, leaving declarer on lead, the play thus far marking him with 3343 pattern. He chose to exit with a low spade and the defenders cashed three spade tricks then three clubs tricks, took the second heart and a ruff, and finally exited with a club to take one more trump trick at the end. Down 1100 and 14 IMPs to make it 76-22 for Neill.

## PUZZLE DU JOUR

Barry Rigal

Today's deal does not have a decisively correct answer. I can tell you what works (and maybe I can justify my answer) but I can't prove that one answer is decisively right..

```
AKQJ10987
\bulletQ
-8}
%842
```

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 N T[15-17]$ |
| Pass | $4 \vee \mathrm{Tfr}$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

A 543
$\checkmark 10543$

- 1096
\& AK J
You lead a top club and partner discourages - essentially denying the queen or a doubleton club. Over to you


## SOLUTION DU JOUR

Barry Rigal
The full deal from yesterday's puzzle was:

|  | AQ 85 <br> $\checkmark 8753$ <br> - J 4 <br> \&AK 95 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 94 |  | ^10732 |
| $\checkmark 42$ |  | $\checkmark$ AK9 |
| - A 109873 |  | - 52 |
| \& 862 |  | \& Q J 43 |
|  | A AKJ 6 |  |
|  | - Q J 106 |  |
|  | -KQ6 |  |
|  | -107 |  |

At the other table Israel had reached 3NT. On a diamond lead and the threat that the heart trick would come too late, declarer might easily have been tempted into a double club finesse, but in practice declarer played on hearts and with the diamonds 6-2 and West having no entry, the game rolled home.

At the table where Gunnar Hallberg and John Holland faced David \& Daniela Birman a simple Stayman auction led to Four Hearts by South. David Birman led a trump. Daniela won with the king and switched to the diamond five.

David took the inference first that she held the two top trumps and secondly that had West had a singleton diamond it would have been right for her to cash both top trumps before playing the diamond, or perhaps win with the ace first.

So David duly ducked the diamond, following with an encouraging card. Now Daniela won the next trump and led a second diamond to the ace and received her ruff to set the game.

## HAVE YOU DISCUSSED

Brent Manley

Crazy scores during midnight games - where the beer often flows - are not unusual. One late night, the director thought one particular score was worth notice. After the TD announced that one of the competitors had managed minus 3400, someone from the back of the room yelled, "Could you have made it on a different line of play?"

The hapless declarer in this case might well have started with one of the undisciplined weak two-bids that have gained traction with some players. Granted, they can wreak havoc, but the partnership willing to open $2 \diamond$ on six to the jack and a weak hand must have a high tolerance for four-digit minuses.

So, have you and your partner decided on a "style" for your weak two-bids? There are pluses and minuses to both styles. If your approach is too conservative, you will miss out on opportunities to make life difficult for the opponents. If you are too aggressive, you will often find yourself in a top-or-bottom situation, and if your style is really out there, it can be tough on the partnership.
For partnerships committed to weak two-bids that can vary widely in strength and suit quality, there is the Ogust convention invented by Harold Ogust. When partner opens a weak two-bid, 2NT by responder asks for more information. Opener's responses:

3* $=$ minimum strength, poor suit
3 = minimum strength, good suit
$3 V$ = maximum strength, poor suit
3A = maximum strength, good suit
3NT = solid suit (six to the AKQ)
In the original version of this convention, the $3 \diamond$ and $3 \vee$ bids were reversed.
You and your partner should decide what constitutes a "good" suit - perhaps two of the top three honours or three of the top five. Other items for discussion:

Can any five-card suit can be defined as "good?"
Is it okay to have a four-card major suit on the side? How about a side void?
Is it okay to have a seven-card suit?
Is a new suit by responder forcing? If you play it as non-forcing, what does responder do with a strong hand and a strong suit? In such a case, responder would start with 2NT.
When the opponents start with a weak two-bid, do you and partner have agreements about how you compete? Takeout doubles, of course, will necessarily be somewhat aggressive. You can't sit back and wait for the ideal hand to come along. If you do, you will be recording lots of bad scores.

As for bidding instead of doubling, a handy tool is the Rule of 7 or 8 - when you are considering whether to bid directly over an opening two-bid, proceed with the expectation that your partner will have 7 to 8 high-card points. If your hand is worth a bid on that basis, get in there and fight. If partner has the expected high-card strength, he must be careful about raising without good reason - e.g. extra trump support and perhaps a singleton or void. A raise usually shows a bit more than 7-8 HCP.
When you have a hand good enough to be in game if partner has the expected strength, you must make a jump bid over the weak two: e.g. $2 v-3 \boldsymbol{A}$. This tells partner that if he has the expected HCP and any kind of trump support, he should raise to game.
One final point of discussion for competing against weak two-bids: Lebensohl. Suppose your RHO opens $2 \downarrow$ and you hold

## A A Q 9 5 ا 7 6 A Q 107 \& A J 10

You double, of course, and partner bids $3 \downarrow$. Now what? You want to at least try for 3NT with a cue-bid, but what if partner has the following hand?
A 764 V J 64 9 976 \& 44
You would be way too high at $4 \star$. So you pass and find that partner has
A 76 Q Q 10 -K 8654 \& K 54

Now you're cold for game. Must you guess every time?
No, you can agree that when you double a weak two-bid, a bid of 2NT by partner is a relay to 3*. Partner plans to pass if he has five or more clubs or to bid another suit to play. Partner's 2NT shows a weak hand (07). A direct bid at the three level shows 8-10 HCP, allowing the doubler to make an informed decision instead of guessing.

CHOCOLATE FROG AWARD<br>Nomination by Michael Ware - Barry Rigal

Choon Chou Loo reached 5 A in the third round of the Teams Qualifying.

| Dealer: North | ヘ--- |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 10754$ |  |  | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |
| Brd 13 | - A 76 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | NT |
| Open Tms Qual S3 | \& Q J 10986 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\wedge$ |
| A Q 10 |  | A AK96432 | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| - Q J 6 |  | $\checkmark$ K 98 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - |
| -KJ1054 |  | - Q 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\%$ |
| \& AK 7 |  | - 2 |  |  |  |  |  |

> AJ 875
> $\vee A 32$
> 932
> $\& 543$

Defenders led the VA with North signalling for diamonds. South switched to a diamond to partner's ace and a second heart. South followed simultaneously with the $\geqslant 3$ and the $\uparrow \mathbf{J}$. Declarer insisted that South return the card to his hand and played dummy's $\uparrow Q$ and proceeded to go one down. Choon is definitely deserving of a Chocolate Frog..

## CHOCOLATE FROG AWARD II <br> David Stern

## A 2

$\checkmark$ A 6
-AKJ10854
\& A K 10

Holding this hand Ishmael Del'Monte heard partner open 1v, right hand opponent overcall 2A and he made what he thought was a natural forcing $3 \checkmark$ bid only to hear his partner give the correct systemic explanation of a raise to $3 \vee$ ! Partner then bid $4 \vee$ leaving Ishmael in an ethical bind. Had there been screens and he not heard the explanation what would he do? He bid 4NT, RKC Blackwood and after partner's two key card and no queen of hearts response bid 6V down two with 6 b and 6NT both cold. This is active ethics deserving of a chocolate frog award.


41 BULCOCK ST, CALOUNDRA, QLD 4551
HEAD OFFICE: $07-5437-0666$
PH:
FAX: 07-5437-0966
EMAIL: admin@cosmeticsplus.com.au

## SUPPORT THOSE WHO SUPPORT BRIDGE

## 2013 NEW ZEALAND NATIONAL BRIDGE CONGRESS Kingsgate Hotel, Hamilton September 28 to October 52013



IF YOU LOVE BRIDGE CONGRESSES THEN THIS CONGRESS IS A MUST FOR YOU PICK UP A BROCHURE AT THIS ADMINISTRATION DESK OR FIND ONE ON-LINE AT WWW.NZBRIDGECONGRESS.CO.NZ EVENTS FOR ALL GRADES OF PLAYERS, OPEN, INTERMEDIATE AND JUNIOR NEW EVENT IS THE OPEN POINT A BOARD TEAMS
FULL CONGRESS REGISTRATION IS NZ\$390 WITH A VARIETY OF PARTIAL PACKAGES AVAILABLE PARTNERS AND TEAMMATES CAN BE ARRANGED
STAY AT THE KINGSGATE HOTEL AND PLAY IN AND ENJOY OUR COMPETITIVE BUT VERY SOCIAL CONGRESS FOR ENQUIRIES, PLEASE CONTACT RICHARD SOLOMON - RKSOLOMON@XTRA.CO.NZ +64 932-8494 PLEASE JOIN US AS WE LOVE HAVING OUR VISITORS JOIN US FROM ACROSS THE TASMAN


## AUTUMN NATIONAL SENIOR, WOMEN'S \& RESTRICTED SWISS PAIRS AUTUMN NATIONAL OPEN \& RESTRICTED TEAMS

Senior, Women's \& Restricted Swiss Pairs: Open \& Restricted Teams: Open Teams Final/Consolation: $6^{\text {th }}$ May 2013 Entry fees: - \$150 per pair and \$440 per team Entry forms are now available at http://www.abf.com.au/events/anot/index.html Additional info available from Di Marler: (08) 81167282 (W) or 0414689620 Run by the SA Bridge Federation under license from the ABF Inc

| Date | Event | Website / Contact / Venue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ to $10^{\text {th }}$ June 2013 | Barrier Reef Congress | brc@abf.com.au Janet Hansen 07-4954-6844 North Mackay Bowls Club |
| 7th to 18th July 2013 | Australian National Championships and Butler Pairs - Adelaide | anc2013@abf.com.au <br> Deb Wellman <br> Wayville Showgrounds, Adelaide |
| 13th to 18th August 2013 | Coffs Coast Gold Congress | helenblewitt@gmail.com <br> Helen Blewitt 02-6651-1686 <br> Coffs Harbour (Opal Cove Resort) |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ September 2013 | Territory Gold Bridge Festival Pairs and Teams | www.ntba.com.au <br> Eileen Boocock (08)8952+4061 or 0409-677-356 <br> Alice Springs Convention Centre |
| 23rd to 31st October 2013 | Spring Nationals <br> Open Teams, Restricted Teams <br> Dick Cummings Open Pairs <br> New Restricted Pairs Event <br> Linda Stern Women's Teams <br> Bobby Evans Seniors Teams | sn@abf.com.au <br> Marcia Scudder - NSWBA 02-9264-8111 <br> Canterbury Racecourse |
| SUMMER FESTIVAL OF BRIDGE $14^{\text {TH }}$ TO $26^{\text {TH }}$ JANUARY 2014 |  |  |



Learn how to improve your
bridge and find out more
about my upcoming
holidays and seminars at
RonKlingerBridge.com

## Regards,

## Sign up to gain access to <br> $\$$ Daily Problems <br> Weekly Quizzes <br> full of my bridge articles

$Q$
Make sure to sign up for Premium Membership to get access to all RonKlingerBridge.com has to offer.

## BRIDGE FOR THE IMPROVER

Ron Klinger

| Dealer: West | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E/W | Pass | 1 | 19 | 1A[1] |
| West | Pass | 2V[2] | 30 | Pass |
| A 543 | Pass | 4a | All Pass |  |
| -1082 |  |  |  |  |
| - J 765 | [1] 5+ S | ades |  |  |
| \& 652 | [2] Stro | Hand |  |  |

What should West lead against $4 \uparrow$ on the auction above?
GROSS COMPETENCE
From a National Swiss Teams Event:
A A Q 7
$\checkmark 96$

- AK 104
\& A J 43

> A 543
> $\forall 1082$
> $\forall 765$
> $\& 652$

AK 6
-AKQJ43

- 82
- K 98

A J 10982

- 75
-Q93
*Q Q 107
West led the V8. East won with the VQ and cashed the VA, on which West played the $\vee 10$. East was already end-played and exited with the $\downarrow 2$ to the jack and ace.

East's exuberant bidding at unfavourable vulnerability convinced South that East held all the significant high cards. He therefore declined the chance of the spade finesse and cashed the $\uparrow A$ at trick 4 . Then came the $\$ 4$ to the queen and a diamond back to dummy's ten.

East declined to ruff, but it was irrelevant. South pitched a club on the $\downarrow K$ and then played a spade. East won and had the choice of playing a club or conceding a ruff-and-discard. Either way declarer had no club loser. That was worth +420 . Datum: N-S 110.

An initial club lead would be enough to defeat 4A, but there was still a realistic chance at trick two if West had made a more helpful lead initially. It is often an error to play middle-up-down with $10-x-x$. It is standard to lead low from three cards headed by a single honour, whether it is from $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$ or $10-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$. Middle-up-down denies holding an honour in the suit.

From the $\vee 8$ lead, East could not tell whether that was a singleton or top from a doubleton or an error from $10-8$-x. Suppose West had correctly led the $\geqslant 2$. This denies a doubleton and promises either a singleton or an honour card in the suit. East can win trick one and play a low heart at trick 2 to West's ten. Now a club switch will defeat declarer.

Ed: Although non-systemic leading the 110 allows West to retain the lead for the club shift.

## SNIPPET

Dr Peter Lewin, in his eighties, achieved the rank of Grand Master at the Summer Festival of Bridge. His wife, Fran, with close to 990 master points has a strong chance to reach Grand Master status at the Gold Coast Congress. There are not many husbands and wives who have both become Grand Masters. (Ed: Specially those still playing together and... breaking news... Fran has now reached Grand Master playing here on the Gold Coast)

## A SCIENTIST AT WORK

Brent Manley



The first time Laurie Kelso went to the bridge club in Townsville, where he lived, he thought there were three things wrong with the situation. One, he needed a partner to play (he had been a competitive chess player). Two, the 6 '3" teenager thought the bridge tables were two low. Three, "Everyone wanted to socialize. I was there to play bridge."

Those who know Kelso as the chief director at the Gold Coast Congress and one of Australia's most highly regarded TDs - can accurately surmise that he has changed his view of the game. In fact, he says, "I don't mind the social aspect these days."
Kelso was born in Townsville and got his early education there. After high school, he went to work for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park, eventually enrolling at James Cook University, where he mostly studied chemistry. After earning his Bachelor of Science degree, he went to work in the gold mining industry. In 1990, he returned to school and earned a PhD in inorganic chemistry, working mostly in an academic environment with several universities, including one in the USA.
Kelso got into bridge through chess. "Some of the players," he says, "also played bridge." That served as his introduction, so one day he showed up at the Townsville club. "I had no social skills," he recalls. A future partner told him some time later that in his early playing days she didn't care where she finished in a bridge game "as long as it was ahead of that horrible Laurie Kelso."

Kelso is a naturally organized person who relentlessly studies anything that interests him. "I'm obsessive about most things," he says. Once he found out about bridge, he read books about the game. It was the same with directing when that part of his bridge career began.
He recalls going to the club one time when most of the regulars were off at a tournament and only about four tables were playing. Somehow, he says, the movement got fouled up and people kept playing the same boards again and again. Finally, he says, they decided to just put all the names of the players together and drew for first, second and third.
Less than a year later, Kelso started directing at the club.
Kelso has held a number of key positions with a variety of bridge entities, including the Australian Bridge Federation and the Victorian Bridge Association. He and Matthew McManus work as tournament coordinators for the ABF. At most of the tournaments where he works, he is the chief TD.
Kelso lives in Melbourne with his wife, Sue, and he notes that both his grandchildren were born during the Gold Coast Congress - the first entering the world "during the second session of the Barometer Pairs."
He doesn't play a lot these days, although he says he still tries to compete in a couple of national events each year. When he isn't playing or working at bridge, he likes to do genealogical research and has helped adoptees find their natural parents. "I'm a data accumulator," he says.

Kelso does enjoy the challenges of organizing bridge tournaments - and the satisfaction of seeing it all come together successfully.
As with everything else, Kelso views the game of bridge and the players as worthy of study. "l'm a people watcher," he says.

## SENIORS TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH 5

Brent Manley
It is well known that a good partnership is essential to success in bridge, and some of the most successful pairs are married to each other, defying the widely held notion that married couples should not sit across the table from each other.

In the Restricted Teams on Wednesday, two couples from Noosa showed that they can function well as partners in bridge and in life. The squad was captained by Bill Howard, playing with his wife, Geraldine. Their teammates were Malcolm and Prunella Adams.


Smooth operators Left to right: Geraldine Howard, Malcolm and Prunella Adams and Bill Howard
Their opponents in the fifth round were Richard Walsh and Kate Balmanno, of the Sunshine Coast, playing with Dennis Moody and Monty Dale. The Moody team was leading 5-4 when this wild deal came along. Geraldine Howard was North, Balmanno East, Bill Howard South and Walsh West.

| Dealer: North Vul: N-S | $\text { A Q } 62$ |  | West | North 1\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East } \\ & 1 \nabla \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 5 | - J 94 |  | $4 \checkmark$ | 5\% | Pass |  |  |
| Rest Tms Qual R5 | *A Q J 10963 |  | Pass |  |  |  |  |
| かJ104 |  | A A 983 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -KQJ1084 |  | - A 7652 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 8 |  | - Q 32 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& K 84 |  | \& --- | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | AK 75 |  | 1 | - | - | - | $\uparrow$ |
|  | $\checkmark 9$ |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - AK 10765 |  | - | 6 | - | 5 | - |
|  | \& 752 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | \% |

Prunella started with the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, ruffed by declarer, who cashed the \&A and continued with the queen to Walsh's king. He exited with the $\boldsymbol{A} J$, taken by East with the ace to exit with a diamond. Geraldine went up with the VA, pulled the last trump with a club to her hand, then played a diamond to dummy's king. The contract was down when West showed out. It's easy to see the correct play looking at all the cards (finesse East for the $\downarrow$ Q), but the play was not unreasonable.
As it happened, the Howard team gained 9 IMPs on the board because of what happened in the replay.
At the other tables, North was doubled in $6{ }^{\circ}$ and appeared to have made the contract, but a revoke by declarer was discovered and declarer had to give back two tricks. Plus 1540 turned into minus 500, good for 9 IMPs to the Howard team.
Another 13 IMPs went to Howard when a slam went down at their table and only game was bid at the other, making all 13 tricks.

Board 8 would have been more interesting in a matchpoint game, but Balmanno still made a good decision on defence that gained an IMP for her side.

| Dealer: West | A AK 82 |  | West | North | East | South 3NT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - KQ4 2 |  | Pass | 1\% | 2* |  |  |
| Brd 8 | - Q |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| Rest Tms Qual R5 | \&J 654 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 1076 |  | A Q J 53 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 4975 |  | $\checkmark 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 872 |  | - AJ9654 |  | Make | le Con |  |  |
| -1082 |  | \&97 | - | 4 | - | 4 | NT |
|  | A 94 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - J 1083 |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - K 103 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | \& AKQ 3 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |

Both North-South pairs missed the heart game, which is cold for 11 tricks. At the Howard table, Walsh led his partner's suit: queen, ace, 3. Balmanno recognized her partner's lead as top of nothing and exited with a club. Howard knocked out the VA and eventually claimed plus 430. At the other table, East apparently returned a diamond, allowing South to insert the 10 for a well-deserved overtrick. Balmanno's decision would have earned a lot of matchpoints in a pairs game.

The next board represented a big swing for the Howard team, as the duo showed they are on the same wavelength in the bidding.

| Dealer: North | A J 6 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - AKQ 85 |  |  | $1{ }^{10}$ | 1^ |  |  |
| Brd 9 | - AQ 754 |  | Pass | 3 | Pass | 4* |  |
| Rest Tms Qual R5 | \& 2 |  | Pass | 4a | Pass | 5\% |  |
| - 543 |  | A A Q 982 | Pass | 5 | Pass | 6 |  |
| $\checkmark 1042$ |  | $\checkmark$ J 76 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| -63 |  | -108 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| ¢K9875 |  | \& 643 | - | 5 | - | 6 | NT |
|  | AK 107 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\wedge$ |
|  | $\checkmark 93$ |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -KJ92 |  | - | 6 | - | 6 | - |
|  | ¢ A Q J 10 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\%$ |

After her partner made a negative double, Geraldine showed her extras with the jump rebid in diamonds. It was off to the races from there, and they landed in the excellent slam. East cashed the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ at trick one, but that was it for the defence. The good splits in the red suits made playing the slam that much easier. It was a 10IMP gain because at the other table, South played in the curious contract of 5NT, making 12 tricks on a club lead from West.

On the final deal of the match, Walsh made a slip as declarer but recovered nicely to earn a 4-IMP swing for his side.

| Dealer: East | A 1094 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - KQ 73 |
| Brd 14 | - A Q 84 |
| Rest Tms Qual R5 | ¢K 4 |
| - K 8 |  |
| - J 964 |  |
| -K63 |  |
| \& A J 95 |  |
|  | A A 7653 |
|  | $\bullet 1052$ |
|  | - 9 |
|  | \& 10732 |

Geraldine led a low heart, ducked by Walsh to his jack. He erred by playing a low spade to dummy's queen, blocking the suit. Bill won the $A A$ and cleared the heart suit with a low one to the ace. When Walsh ran the *C to Geraldine, she won the king, cashed two hearts and would have done well to exit with a spade, but she cashed the $\vee$ A. She then played a spade to Walsh's king. The $\sim \mathrm{J}$ was stranded in dummy at that point, but it wasn't marooned for good. Walsh cashed his two club winners and astutely exited with a low club to Bill, who won the $\boldsymbol{\&} 10$ but was left with nothing but spades. When Bill played a spade, Walsh tossed his losing diamond on the $\uparrow \mathrm{J}$ and claimed plus 90 .
At the other table, the contract was a more ambitious 3NT, which failed by a trick. That earned the Moody team a $4-\mathrm{IMP}$ swing in a losing cause. The final score was $43-16$ for Howard.

## ACTUAL ANSWERS GIVEN ON TV QUIZ SHOWS

Q: Which pop group, who once made a record with footballer Paul Gascoigne, took their name from an island off the coast of Northumberland?
A: Gerry and the Pacemakers
Q: Which William discovered that blood circulates arounf the body?
A: Shatner
Q: What was Hitler's first name?
A: Heil
Q: What is the most northerly city in the British Isles?
A: Italy
Q: Which Joseph founded a famous chain of teashops, the first one opening in London in 1894?
A: Goebbels

Q: How many r's are there is "irreversible"?
A: Twelve
Q: In the USA, an unidentified dead body is sometimes known as a John what?
A: Wayne
Q: How many Christmases took place during the Second World War?
A: 58
Q: How many leaves are there on a four-leaf clover?
A: Three
Q: Which Middle Eastern country's flag contains a line from the Quran over a sword on a green background?
A: Israel

## GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2013

|  | Thursday 28th February | Friday 1st March | Saturday 2nd March |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPEN CHAMPIONSHIPS |  |  |  |
| Open Teams Finals <br> Open Teams Championship Qualifying | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | Q/F Teams S/F Teams <br> 9:30am $2 \times 12$ $2: 00 \mathrm{pm} 4 \times 10$ <br> Brds Brds | 9:00am Start <br> $4 \times 12$ Brds Final |
| SENIORS CHAMPIONSHIPS |  |  |  |
| Seniors Teams Championship | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | 10:00am Start $4 \times 12$ Brds Final | All Are Dinner |
| INTERMEDIATE CHAMPIONSHIPS |  |  |  |
| Intermediate Teams Championship | 10:30am Start $4 \times 14$ Brds R9-R12 | 10:00am Start <br> $4 \times 12$ Brds Final | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 7:30pm } \\ \text { for } & \text { Bookings } \\ \text { are }\end{array}$ |
| RESTRICTED CHAMPIONSHIPS |  |  | 8:00pm Essential |
| Restricted Teams Championship <br> Ivy Dahler Restricted Swiss Butler Pairs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | 10:00am Start 4x12 Brds Final $1: 00 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{1/3} \quad 7: 30 \mathrm{pm} 2 / 3$ | 10:30am 3/3 |
| NOVICE CHAMPIONSHIPS |  |  |  |
| Novice Teams Championship | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:30am Start } \\ 4 \times 14 \text { Brds R9-R12 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 10:00am Start } \\ \text { 4x12 Brds Final } \end{array}$ |  |
| GENERAL NOVICE |  |  |  |
| Rookie Novice Prs - Single Session Events Friday Novice Pairs | 10:30am 1/1 | 10:30am 1/2 3:00pm 2/2 |  |
| GENERAL OPEN |  |  |  |
| Ivy Dahler Swiss Butler Pairs Friday One Day Teams |  | $1: 00 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{1/3}$ $7: 30 \mathrm{pm} 2 / 3$ <br> $10: 30 \mathrm{am} 1 / 2$ $3: 00 \mathrm{pm} 2 / 2$ | 10:30am 3/3 |
| MIXED |  |  |  |
| Seres/McMahon Mixed Teams |  | 10:30am 1/2 3:00pm 2/2 |  |
| WALK-IN PAIRS |  |  |  |
| Holiday Walk in Pairs 1 - Play 1, 2 or 3 Holiday Walk in Pairs 2 - Play 1, 2 or 3 | 10:30am 3/3 | 10:30am 1/3 3:00pm 2/3 | 10:30am 3/3 |
|  | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |

## CALENDAR OF SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2013

|  | Your Hosts | Thursday 28th February |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROBERTSLAW CELEBRITY SPEAKERS For the Love of the Game - Main Plaving |  |  |
| Suit Preference <br> Balancing | Paul Lavings Phil Gue | 9:00am to 10:00am |
| EARLY MORNING YOGA IN THE PARK Kurrawa Park left of Surf Club |  |  |
| Bring a Mat or Towel \& Sunnies/Cap Recommended | Susan Rodgers | 6:00am to 7:00am |
| NOVICE AND ROOKIE ACTIVITIES Main Playing Area |  |  |
| GCC Rookies Welcome <br> Rookies Discussion of Hands Just Played <br> Rookies Discussion of Hands Just Played | Graham Rusher \& Team <br> Ron Klinger <br> David Beauchamp | 9:30am to 10:30am <br> 2:15pm to 3:00pm |
| OTHER ACITVITIES <br> Locations as Noted |  |  |
| Dealing Machine Demonstration - Paul Lavings Bookshop area TBIB Insurers - All Day in Foyer <br> Make a Wish Donation Acceptance - All Day in Foyer | Paul Lavings TBIB <br> Make-a-Wish | 9:30am to 10:30am All Day in Foyer All Day in Foyer |
|  |  | Thursday |

# MAKE A WISH OUR CHARITY FOR 2013 

## MAKE. A.WÍSH.

Australia

## Supporter Event 2013

The Queensland Bridge Association would like to announce that Make-A-Wish® Australia volunteers will be fundraising at the Gold Coast Bridge Congress on Wednesday 27th and Thursday 28th February 2013.
The aim of Make-A-Wish Australia is to grant wishes to children and young people across Australia with life-threatening medical conditions, giving them hope, strength and joy at a time when they need them most.

- Over 7,000 wishes have been granted to children with life-threatening medical conditions since their inception in Australia 27 years ago
- Children with life-threatening illnesses who are under three years of age receive a 'Wish Hamper' - a selection of fun and age-appropriate toys. Once they are three, they are able to apply for a wish.
- Once a child has been found to be eligible, local Make-A-Wish volunteers visit the family and ask the child to reach into their imagination and think of their one cherished wish
- Their ultimate vision is for every child in Australia diagnosed with a life-threatening illness to have the opportunity to experience the hope, strength and joy that come from a Make-A-Wish wish.

Make-A-Wish® has been endorsed by the Australian Taxation Office as a deductable gift recipient All donations of \$2 or more are tax deductable.

## WE HOPE YOU WILL OFFER YOUR SUPPORT FOR MAKE-A-WISH



## Demonstration of the Features of this Australian made Dealing Machine With Paul Lavings - 09:30am Thursday $28^{\text {th }}$ February 2013 Ground Floor in the Paul Lavings Books and Bridge Supplies Stall Strongly recommended for all clubs and anybody interested in Dealing Machines



Laurie Kelso conducting the Director's Seminar

| Open Teams Qualifying |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 1 | Hugh McGann-Kieran Dyke-Fiona Brown-Tony Nunn-Michael Ware-Geo Tislevoll |  |  |  | 170 |
| 2 | 5 | Allen Tan-Choonchou Loo-Hua Poon-Kelvin Ng-Gemma Tan-Hongjun Wu |  |  |  | 162 |
| 3 | 31 | Henry Sawicki-Eva Caplan-Rachel Frenkel-Rena Kaplan |  |  |  | 157 |
| 4 | 29 | Keith Barrie-Tim O'Loughlin-Phil Gue-Bill Hirst |  |  |  | 151 |
| 4 | 9 | Helen Horwitz-Arjuna De Livera-Paul Gosney-Nathan Van Jole |  |  |  | 151 |
| 4 | 40 | Chris Sundstrom-Dennis Zines-Neil Perry-Elly Urbach |  |  |  | 151 |
| 7 | 7 | Anthony Burke-Peter Gill-Andrew Peake-Sartaj Hans |  |  |  | 150 |
| 7 | 16 | Siegfried Konig-James Wallis-David McLeish-Paula McLeish |  |  |  | 150 |
| 7 | 59 | Andrew Hegedus-Andrew Mill-Len Meyer-Phyllis Moritz |  |  |  | 150 |
| 10 | 19 | Jeanette Reitzer-Bob Richman-Ann Paton-Hugh Grosvenor |  |  |  | 148 |
| 10 | 8 | Bruce Neill-Frank To-Simon Hinge-Kim Morrison |  |  |  | 148 |
| 10 | 3 | Terry Brown-Paul Lavings-David Lilley-Zolly Nagy-Avinash Kanetkar-Robert Krochmalik |  |  |  | 148 |
| 13 | 4 | Mike Cornell-Ashley Bach-Matthew Mullamphy-Ron Klinger |  |  |  | 147 |
| 13 | 35 | Pauline Evans-Jay Faranda-Giselle Mundell-Nevena Djurovic |  |  |  | 147 |
| 13 | 2 | Tony Leibowitz-Alex Smirnov-Andy Hung-Michael Whibley-Ishmael Del'Monte |  |  |  | 147 |
| 16 | 33 | Marjorie Askew-William Powell-Janet Brown-Eric Hurley |  |  |  | 142 |
| 16 | 6 | Barbara Travis-Howard Melbourne-Peter Reynolds-David Appleton |  |  |  | 142 |
| 18 | 24 | George Smolanko-Jane Dawson-Michael Prescott-Marlene Watts |  |  |  | 141 |
| 19 | 27 | Owen Camp-Anisia Shami-Michael Courtney-Sue Ingham |  |  |  | 140 |
| 19 | 15 | Christine Duckworth-Brian Callaghan-Valerie Gardiner-Carlos Pellegrini-Pascale Gardiner |  |  |  | 140 |
| Place | No. | Team Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 19 | 18 | Wu 140 | 111 | 70 | Van Vucht | 116 |
| 22 | 34 | Malinas 139 | 111 | 147 | Mcalister | 116 |
| 22 | 166 | Porter 139 | 111 | 98 | Meldrum | 116 |
| 22 | 67 | Lemon 139 | 114 | 25 | Henry | 115 |
| 22 | 90 | Williams 139 | 114 | 77 | Steinwedel | 115 |
| 26 | 199 | Prescott 138 | 114 | 170 | Howard | 115 |
| 26 | 73 | Woodhall 138 | 114 | 76 | Doddridge | 115 |
| 26 | 200 | Varmo 138 | 114 | 108 | Morrison | 115 |
| 26 | 57 | De Luca 138 | 119 | 162 | Kearns | 114 |
| 30 | 183 | Rodgers 137 | 119 | 89 | Ashman | 114 |
| 30 | 12 | Hoffman 137 | 119 | 154 | Kaplan | 114 |
| 30 | 30 | Nixon 137 | 119 | 71 | Walters | 114 |
| 33 | 20 | Beauchamp 136 | 119 | 141 | Wilson | 114 |
| 33 | 11 | Skipper 136 | 119 | 151 | Mann | 114 |
| 33 | 22 | Encontro 136 | 125 | 32 | Cornell | 113 |
| 33 | 82 | Samuel 136 | 125 | 130 | Grant | 113 |
| 33 | 28 | Tarbutt 136 | 125 | 36 | Herden | 113 |
| 38 | 21 | Hughes 135 | 125 | 120 | Steele | 113 |
| 38 | 105 | Clyne 135 | 129 | 92 | Gunner | 112 |
| 38 | 26 | Parker 135 | 129 | 171 | Tall | 112 |
| 41 | 13 | Moren 134 | 129 | 150 | Rose | 112 |
| 41 | 50 | Maclaurin 134 | 129 | 103 | Barclay | 112 |
| 43 | 61 | Martelletti 133 | 129 | 198 | Humphreys | 112 |
| 43 | 177 | Collins 133 | 129 | 75 | Brockwell | 112 |
| 43 | 66 | Luck 133 | 129 | 173 | Eddie | 112 |
| 46 | 60 | Afflick 132 | 129 | 72 | Mottram | 112 |
| 46 | 109 | Bugeia 132 | 129 | 176 | Maltby | 112 |
| 46 | 58 | Steffensen 132 | 138 | 133 | Rusher | 111 |
| 46 | 88 | Bogatie 132 | 138 | 132 | Cooke | 111 |
| 46 | 62 | Hood 132 | 140 | 139 | Campbell | 110 |


| 46 | 74 | Dawson | 132 | 140 | 128 | Struik |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 52 | 39 | Waring | 131 | 140 | 86 | Martin |
| 52 | 184 | Littler | 131 | 143 | 80 | Mooney |
| 52 | 97 | Bernau | 131 | 143 | 167 | Kudelka |
| 52 | 23 | Livesey | 131 | 143 | 180 | Kellerman |
| 56 | 17 | Kalmin | 130 | 143 | 102 | Edwards |
| 56 | 14 | Carter | 130 | 147 | 129 | Power |
| 58 | 78 | Johnson | 129 | 147 | 136 | Foots |
| 58 | 93 | Valentine | 129 | 147 | 85 | Munro |
| 60 | 48 | Palmer | 128 | 147 | 146 | Rose |
| 60 | 128 | 151 | 127 | Reid | 109 |  |
| 60 | 53 | McLeod | 128 | 151 | 123 | Beil |
| 60 | 164 | Budai | 128 | 153 | 54 | Moses |
| 60 | 55 | Coutts | 127 | 153 | 112 | Fraser |
| 64 | 179 | Lacey | 127 | 153 | 193 | Barda |
| 64 | 51 | Mayo | 127 | 153 | 96 | Banks |
| 64 | 43 | Allen | 127 | 153 | 189 | Guthrie |


| 105 | 155 | Brandt | 118 | 195 | 174 | Bourke | 85 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 105 | 163 | Lorraway | 118 | 196 | 135 | Campbell | 83 |
| 107 | 69 | Fanos | 117 | 197 | 185 | Whigham | 80 |
| 107 | 140 | Sher | 117 | 198 | 158 | Wallis | 77 |
| 107 | 181 | Krishan | 117 | 199 | 144 | Ivanyi | 73 |
| 107 | 196 | De Vocht | 117 | 200 | 168 | Roughley | 62 |

## Seniors Teams Qualifying

DUE TO A TECHNICAL PROBLEM, SOME MATCH RESULTS FROM THE SENIORS' TEAMS IN ROUND 8 ARE INCOMPLETE. IF ONE OR MORE OF YOUR SCORES IS MISSING, PLEASE SUPPLY THE SCORING DESK WITH WRITTEN DETAILS ON THURSDAY MORNING THANK YOU FOR YOUR UNDERSTANDING AND WE APOLOGISE FOR THIS INCONVENIENCE

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | Stephen Mendick-Andrew Creet-Tony Marinos-Peter Grant |  |  |  | 161 |
| 2 | 3 | John Brockwell-Eric Ramshaw-Gary Ridgway-Arthur Robbins |  |  |  | 146 |
| 2 | 4 | Martin Bloom-Nigel Rosendorff-Steven Bock-Les Grewcock |  |  |  | 146 |
| 4 | 6 | David Stern-Robert Grynberg-Tom Moss-Peter Buchen-Sue Picus-Brent Manley |  |  |  | 145 |
| 5 | 13 | Meta Goodman-Sue Lusk-Tony Jackman-Therese Tully-Richard Wallis-Wynne Webber |  |  |  | 143 |
| 5 | 7 | Stan Klofa-Douglas Newlands-Robert Gallus-Robert Stewart |  |  |  | 143 |
| 7 | 1 | Alan Walsh-Barbara McDonald-Elizabeth Havas-Gordon Schmidt |  |  |  | 140 |
| 7 | 8 | Bruce Marr-Merle Marr-Ian Clayton-Cynthia Clayton |  |  |  | 140 |
| 9 | 44 | Wayne Houghton-Christine Houghton-Adrian Haar-Lydia Adams |  |  |  | 138 |
| 10 | 14 | Trevor Robb-Andrew Janisz-Patsy Walters-Lynne Geursen |  |  |  | 137 |
| Place | No. | Team Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 10 | Smee 135 | 32 | 26 | Goncharoff | 115 |
| 12 | 52 | Greenwood 132 | 33 | 41 | Desmond | 114 |
| 13 | 2 | Lockwood 131 | 34 | 45 | Adcock | 112 |
| 13 | 16 | Grenside 131 | 35 | 11 | Milward | 111 |
| 15 | 15 | Kahler 130 | 35 | 39 | Robinson | 111 |
| 15 | 37 | Hooper 130 | 37 | 32 | Biro | 110 |
| 15 | 23 | Berzins 130 | 38 | 42 | Kite | 108 |
| 18 | 9 | Robson 129 | 39 | 48 | Rose | 106 |
| 19 | 36 | Shine 127 | 40 | 33 | Hey | 105 |
| 20 | 28 | Obenchain 126 | 41 | 22 | Strasser | 104 |
| 21 | 20 | Strong 125 | 41 | 19 | Lanham | 104 |
| 22 | 18 | Lynn 123 | 43 | 21 | Stobo | 103 |
| 22 | 27 | Byrnes 123 | 44 | 34 | Glasson | 99 |
| 24 | 43 | Jefferson 122 | 45 | 49 | Maher | 98 |
| 24 | 17 | Ascione 122 | 46 | 46 | Gutteridge | 97 |
| 26 | 29 | Nichols 121 | 47 | 38 | Andersson | 96 |
| 26 | 30 | Parkin 121 | 48 | 24 | Allan | 95 |
| 26 | 12 | Creugnet 121 | 49 | 40 | Jeffery | 92 |
| 26 | 25 | Hall 121 | 50 | 35 | Allgood | 91 |
| 30 | 51 | Daly 120 | 51 | 47 | Martin | 81 |
| 31 | 31 | Talbot 117 | 52 | 50 | Knight | 75 |


| Intermediate Teams Qualifying |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place |
| 1 | 8 | lan Lisle-Vicky Wiley-Biljana Novakovic-Lee Weldon | Team | Score |
| 2 | 2 | Greg Lee-Alan Currie-Patrick Bugler-Yolanda Carter | 161 |  |
| 3 | 10 | David Johnson-Mandy Johnson-lan Doland-John Watson | 152 |  |
| 4 | 13 | Lorraine Collins-Brian Horan-Margaret Williamson-Andrea Smith | 146 |  |
| 4 | 22 | Derek Richards-David Yarwood-Gwen Gray-Lyn Turner | 143 |  |
| 4 | 52 | Penny Schmalkuche-Robyn Palethorpe-Anna Bell-Anne Nothling | 143 |  |
| 7 | 16 | Tony Allen-Kelela Allen-Alexander Cook-Robin Ho | 143 |  |
| 8 | 17 | Bernie Atkins-Tony Wagstaff-Judy Atkins-Kate Cafe | 141 |  |
| 9 | 29 | Craig Francis-Tim Runting-Alison Dawson-Elizabeth Zeller | 140 |  |


| 9 | 63 | Christine Kershaw-Judy Jackson-Dariusz Drozd-Manda Labuschagne |  |  |  | 137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 20 | Roberts 135 | 43 | 4 | Nimmo | 116 |
| 12 | 11 | Moffat 134 | 43 | 39 | Jury | 116 |
| 12 | 45 | Ranke 134 | 43 | 41 | Pritchard | 116 |
| 12 | 23 | Wylie 134 | 46 | 34 | Sear | 115 |
| 15 | 19 | Krosch 133 | 46 | 35 | Campbell | 115 |
| 16 | 28 | Wright 132 | 46 | 6 | Fraser | 115 |
| 16 | 40 | Collie 132 | 46 | 32 | Baker | 115 |
| 16 | 43 | Muir 132 | 50 | 31 | Keating | 114 |
| 19 | 68 | Andrews 131 | 50 | 18 | Isle | 114 |
| 20 | 38 | Giles 130 | 50 | 72 | Mitchell | 114 |
| 21 | 50 | Sharp 129 | 53 | 73 | Rozier | 113 |
| 22 | 5 | Bolt 127 | 54 | 70 | Gray | 112 |
| 22 | 25 | Mills 127 | 55 | 59 | Gosney | 111 |
| 24 | 53 | Roberts 126 | 56 | 12 | Leighton | 110 |
| 24 | 74 | Peak 126 | 57 | 26 | Ferguson | 109 |
| 24 | 1 | Weaver 126 | 58 | 61 | Bush | 107 |
| 27 | 57 | Hurst 125 | 59 | 42 | Lee | 105 |
| 27 | 51 | Pincus 125 | 59 | 69 | Church | 105 |
| 29 | 64 | Webcke 124 | 61 | 58 | Scott | 104 |
| 29 | 21 | Graham 124 | 61 | 15 | Watson | 104 |
| 29 | 44 | O'Donohue 124 | 63 | 7 | Munro | 103 |
| 32 | 48 | Binsted 123 | 64 | 36 | Harington | 100 |
| 32 | 56 | Nicholson 123 | 65 | 37 | Cockbill | 99 |
| 34 | 3 | Stoneman 122 | 65 | 47 | Campbell | 99 |
| 35 | 67 | Fraser 121 | 65 | 49 | Leckie | 99 |
| 35 | 65 | Davies 121 | 68 | 24 | Havercroft | 97 |
| 37 | 55 | Bailey 120 | 69 | 60 | Avunduk | 94 |
| 38 | 33 | McGhee 119 | 70 | 71 | Nabarro | 93 |
| 38 | 9 | Dwerryhouse 119 | 70 | 62 | Kelley | 93 |
| 38 | 54 | Lawrence 119 | 72 | 30 | Walsh | 86 |
| 41 | 14 | Quigley 118 | 72 | 46 | Featherstone | 86 |
| 42 | 27 | De Mestre 117 | 74 | 66 | Stacey | 84 |
| Restricted Teams Qualifying |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 4 | Pam Brewer-Ruth Goerg-Denise O'Regan-Adrian Lohmann |  |  |  | 156 |
| 2 | 16 | Chris Sinclair-Jill Reid-Kath Hilder-Frank Sommerton |  |  |  | 150 |
| 3 | 3 | Cassie Morin-Helen Arendts-John Hughes-Kristin Hughes |  |  |  | 148 |
| 4 | 51 | Marcia Krampel-Joe Krampel-Lillian Pearce-Gerald Pearce |  |  |  | 144 |
| 5 | 29 | Helen Rollond-Sue Hapek-Raymond Jones-Rita Jones |  |  |  | 143 |
| 6 | 53 | Marlise Jones-Kerry Watson-Carolin Morahan-Julie Nyst |  |  |  | 142 |
| 7 | 11 | Marie Irving-Jillian Griffith-Norma Browne-Allison Simon |  |  |  | 141 |
| 8 | 40 | Camilla Boyd-Cherry Mcwilliam-Sudi Horsfield-Annie Pilcher |  |  |  | 140 |
| 9 | 49 | Noreen Armstrong-Patricia Armstrong-Sue Luby-Margaret Stevens |  |  |  | 139 |
| 10 | 5 | Henry Hirschhorn-Mary Leonard-Bob Hart-Jennifer Wardley |  |  |  | 138 |
| Place | No. | Team Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 2 | Fulton 136 | 38 | 57 | Pearce | 118 |
| 11 | 24 | Fletcher 136 | 39 | 47 | Serry | 116 |
| 13 | 19 | Mander 134 | 39 | 56 | Miller | 116 |
| 14 | 9 | George 133 | 41 | 6 | Tuckey | 115 |
| 14 | 22 | Brodie 133 | 42 | 20 | Cullen | 111 |
| 16 | 18 | Carroll 131 | 43 | 63 | Ryan | 110 |


| 16 | 7 | Murray | 131 | 43 | 23 | Morris | 110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 61 | Mabin | 131 | 45 | 35 | Wippell | 108 |
| 19 | 64 | Wang | 130 | 46 | 10 | Carson | 107 |
| 19 | 36 | Devries | 130 | 47 | 59 | Jacobs | 106 |
| 19 | 21 | Tomlinson | 130 | 47 | 41 | Gooding | 106 |
| 22 | 12 | Lazar | 129 | 49 | 27 | Chamberlain | 105 |
| 23 | 13 | Clifford | 128 | 50 | 15 | Morgan | 104 |
| 24 | 17 | Weaver | 127 | 51 | 28 | Barry | 102 |
| 24 | 39 | Ward | 127 | 52 | 50 | Paul | 101 |
| 24 | 42 | Look | 127 | 52 | 43 | Parmenter | 101 |
| 24 | 33 | Howard | 127 | 52 | 58 | Sher | 101 |
| 28 | 52 | Chalk | 126 | 55 | 1 | Aiston | 100 |
| 28 | 62 | Holmes | 126 | 55 | 8 | Moody | 100 |
| 30 | 14 | Chamberlin | 125 | 55 | 44 | Farmer | 100 |
| 30 | 38 | Munro | 125 | 58 | 60 | Carr-Boyd | 98 |
| 32 | 37 | Pike | 123 | 59 | 32 | Treloar | 96 |
| 33 | 46 | Fraser | 121 | 60 | 26 | Hooper | 89 |
| 33 | 34 | Stuart | 121 | 61 | 48 | Tyler | 86 |
| 35 | 30 | Crothers | 120 | 62 | 54 | Hall | 82 |
| 36 | 25 | Hancock | 119 | 63 | 55 | Gearon | 78 |
| 36 | 31 | Forsyth | 119 | 64 | 45 | Corney | 75 |
| Novice Teams Qualifying |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team Memb |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 25 | John Elich-Gabrielle Elich-Christophe Wlodarczyk-Justine Wlodarczyk |  |  |  |  | 154 |
| 2 | 11 | John Elich-Gabrielle Elich-Christophe Wlodarczyk-Justine Wlodarczyk <br> Linda Norman-Kay Roberts-Joan Jenkins-Ross Currin |  |  |  |  | 151 |
| 3 | 12 | Lesleigh Egan-Lynne Henley-Colleen Sobey-Tilley Thillainathan |  |  |  |  | 148 |
| 4 | 8 | Helen Gault-Rob Gault-Margaret Ziffer-Rob Ziffer |  |  |  |  | 144 |
| 5 | 16 | Odette Mayne-Susan Walters-Pamela McKittrick-Lee Egerton |  |  |  |  | 139 |
| 6 | 3 | Pam Nearhos-Diane Sargent-John Stuart-Frances Stuart |  |  |  |  | 136 |
| 7 | 24 | Sandra Mulcahy-Anne Russell-Sheila Wills-Jane Postle |  |  |  |  | 134 |
| 8 | 35 | Kevin McMenamin-Barbara Geddes-Jan Lenton-Rosemary Parker |  |  |  |  | 133 |
| 8 | 20 | Ros Durrant-Pattye Laing-Susan Speiser-Nicki Franks |  |  |  |  | 133 |
| 10 | 36 | Coleen Gambetta-Leonie O'Brien-Pamela Brown-Graham Ardern |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 13 | Macintosh | 130 | 24 | 6 | Meakin | 114 |
| 12 | 4 | Gibson | 128 | 25 | 15 | Garden | 110 |
| 13 | 27 | Carter | 126 | 26 | 18 | O'Reilly | 108 |
| 13 | 19 | Hugentobler | 126 | 27 | 30 | Lloyd | 107 |
| 13 | 9 | Collins | 126 | 28 | 7 | Nice | 105 |
| 16 | 2 | Shaw | 124 | 29 | 5 | Wilson | 103 |
| 17 | 21 | Carter | 120 | 30 | 31 | Phillips | 102 |
| 18 | 10 | Neary | 118 | 30 | 22 | Mathews | 102 |
| 19 | 14 | Shardlow | 117 | 30 | 33 | Taylor | 102 |
| 20 | 29 | Renton | 116 | 33 | 34 | Ball | 98 |
| 20 | 1 | Stewart | 116 | 34 | 28 | Ackman | 97 |
| 20 | 17 | Gibney | 116 | 35 | 32 | Webb | 92 |
| 23 | 23 | Davis | 115 | 36 | 26 | Fisher | 81 |

## SHE COULDN'T WAIT

It happened on Wednesday. The reporter, who requested anonymity, says there are many witnesses.
During a break, the men's restroom was nearly full with a crowd of men all facing the wall doing what men do in these situations.
All of a sudden, a female voice was heard to say, "The queue for the ladies is too long, so l'm just going to duck into one of these stalls."

None of the men turned around for the obvious reason, prompting the woman to add, "Don't worry. I've been married three times, so l've seen it all."

Difficult Calcudoku


Hard Sudoku

|  | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 |  |  | 5 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 7 |
|  |  | 2 | 8 |  | 5 | 6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 8 |  |  | 5 |
|  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |

## THAT'S ENTERTAINMENT - YESTERDAY'S SOLUTIONS

Difficult Calcudoku


Hard Sudoku

| 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| 6 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| 9 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
| 1 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 2 |
| 3 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 1 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 9 |

## TBIB INSURANCES REPRESENTATIVES

Representatives from TBIB will be available on Wednesday $27^{\text {th }}$ and Thursday $28^{\text {th }}$ February to discuss travel and general insurance issues. Look for their banners in the foyer.

A Paraprosdokian is a figure of speech in which the latter part of a sentence or phrase is surprising or unexpected in a way that causes the reader or listener to reframe or reinterpret the first part.

Do not argue with an idiot. He will drag you down to his level and beat you with experience.
The last thing I want to do is hurt you. But it's still on my list.
Light travels faster than sound. This is why some people appear bright until you hear them speak.

If I agreed with you, we'd both be wrong.
We never really grow up, we only learn how to act in public.
War does not determine who is right - only who is left.
Knowledge is knowing a tomato is a fruit. Wisdom is not putting it in a fruit salad.

