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## LET THE GAMES BEGIN

After a welcome by the head of the ABF and QBA, Mr Keith McDonald as well as Tournament Organiser Therese Tully followed by marching instructions from Chief Tournament Director Laurie Kelso the $51^{\text {st }}$ staging of the Gold Coast Congress. So enjoy the game, the surroundings (despite the weather) your social arrangements and as we always say "remember it's only a game".



Ivy Luck is well known to the Gold Coast Congress as this is her sixteenth consecutive year of competing. However Ivy has another bridge achievement, apart from becoming a Grand Master that I would like to discuss here but first a little bit about Ivy.
Ivy, who doesn't divulge her age and but says this year is her first year of playing in the Seniors, is originally from Singapore where she learned bridge 35 years ago. She moved to Australia in 1989 and started a family settling in Brisbane.
Embroidery and quilting was a pastime she took up some 15 years ago. Not long thereafter she came across a commemorative quilt and thought to herself "I could do that for bridge" and so her "Australian Bridge Friendship Quilt" was born.
Measuring a sizeable $3 \times 31 / 2$ metres the quilt contains the names of bridge players that lvy has played against since starting work on it. Most are originally signed while some are signed by lvy.

The quilt tells a wonderful human story of the different friendships and relationships through our bridge world over the years. Sadness in some instances, where many of these friends have died and instead of a red wedge, a black wedge was sewn next to their signatures. Also among the many friends, relationships have broken up with new partnerships formed.
So in its way, it is quite a nostalgic piece of craftsmanship. Ivy has kindly donated the quilt to the Queensland Bridge Association and it is the general intention that the quilt be hung at the offices of the ABF as soon as it is completed.
Designed to embed around 500 names the list of the currently filled 498 squares is simply too long to reproduce here needless to say they contain most of Australia's noted players as well as a wealth of Queenslanders, overseas and international players. The full list of names will be available at the venue.

There are currently 2 squares unfilled and the Gold Coast Congress is holding a silent auction for the winners to have their names or the names of indeed any bridge player to be inscribed on those two remaining squares. The auction sheet will be available at the venue so if you would like yourself or somebody else to be inscribed on this masterpiece please step up and make a bid. If you would prefer to be anonymous see Kim Ellaway at the Administration desk.

## MICHELLE BRUNNER A FRIEND OF THE GOLD COAST - A REMEMBERANCE



It has long been my policy of not publishing obituaries or tributes to bridge players who have passed away as I always feel that when I miss somebody out it is more than likely to offend. However Michelle Brunner was an excellent ambassador for our tournament and not recognising her achievements and contribution to the bridge world as well as her tenacity would be remiss.
World Champion Michelle Brunner graced this tournament on many occasions, winning the Congress Teams in 2005 and 2006. She died at age 57 in Manchester, England, on June 24 last year after a long battle with cancer.
Long-time friend Maureen Hiron wrote in the obituary that "Michelle never let her successes go to her head. She was outgoing, bubbly, friendly and helpful to all, and with a great sense of humour."
In the obituary, Hiron revealed a secret - that Brunner and long-time partner John Holland had married three years before she died. The two played together in the two winning Congress teams efforts.

Brunner overcame breast cancer in 2001, but it recurred six years later. Although unwell in 2008, she went to Shanghai to compete in the Venice Cup, where a brilliant decision on defence earned her the Gidwani Defence of the Year award from the International Bridge Press Association.

She won it again in 2009 for this remarkable effort, published in the IBPA Bulletin:

| Dealer: East <br> Vul: Both | か J 874 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 5 |  |
|  | - A J <br> \& A Q 1074 |  |
| AK95 |  | - 32 |
| $\checkmark$ J 1094 |  | $\checkmark$ K 762 |
| -9543 |  | - Q 1087 |
| \& 86 |  | \&K 93 |
|  | A A Q 106 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 83 |  |
|  | - K 62 |  |
|  | \& J 52 |  |

Michelle passed as dealer and South opened one no-trump (12-14). North bid two clubs then raised the two spade response to 4A. John Holland, West, led the jack of hearts. Declarer ducked in dummy and Michelle won with her king. What were her chances of defeating four spades, faced with that dummy? Many players would simply return a trump and hope that declarer, left to his own devices, would adopt a failing line.

But Michelle envisaged a position where her partner held the king to three spades and a doubleton club. (He could not hold more than four high-card points, given South's opener.) Even that was not enough; she also had to paint a false picture for declarer.
So she returned the nine of clubs, which, with dummy's assets on view, surely could only have been a singleton. Dummy won, and fearing a club ruff, South continued with ace and another spade. Holland won with his king and returned a club, South playing low from dummy. Michelle Brunner won her king, then gave her partner the club ruff that defeated the game.

About 14 months before her death, she wrote in English Bridge magazine about having been advised after the terminal diagnosis to "reduce my workload and live every day to the full. That is exactly what I have done and although my days are often governed by my state of health, and the necessity to visit the doctor or hospital . . . I am actually having an amazing time!"

## PAIRS QUALIFYING SESSION 1 <br> Barry Rigal

The first deal of the set posed a problem for Michal Kopecky who, with his partner Milan Macura, are visiting from the Czech Republic for their first GCC.

| Dealer: North | A Q |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | -1095 |  |  | Pass | 1\% |  |  |
| Brd 1 | -QJ984 |  | Pass | Pass | 3NT | Pass |  |
| Pairs Qual 1 | \& Q 1095 |  | Pass | Pass |  |  |  |
| A 42 |  | A A 63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q J 82 |  | - A 73 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -K1053 |  | - A |  | Make | Co | cts |  |
| \& J 76 |  | \& A K 8432 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | AKJ109875 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | A |
|  | - K 64 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 762 |  | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& --- |  | 3 | - | 3 | - | $\%$ |

Kopecky, East, opened a forcing club and was end-played into rebidding 3NT when 3A came back to him. Bill Hirst, on lead, toyed with the opportunity to impress the journalists by advancing the AK. That would have defeated 1NT! But he settled for the quite reasonable if less successful low heart. Kopecky after some thought put up the queen at the first trick and Andrew Hirst followed with a discouraging small card. Now if the South hand has ten major-suit cards a bad club break is virtually certain, so Kopecky gave some though to passing the club six, but he eventually led a low club to his ace. When South pitched an encouraging spade declarer continued the attack on clubs and North won and shifted to spades. That left the defenders a tempo ahead in the race to establish their suit before declarer. East had nine winners but could never reach the $\diamond K$ : down one.

The decision not to run the club six was reasonable - in theory. But a look at the matchpoint frequencies suggests that simply coming to nine tricks would have scored very well (if for no other reason than that $5 \%$ was an enormously popular contract here). But let's go back to trick three; declarer can still recover from the bad club break. He wins the MA and plays another heart, taking out South's entry. Now South does best to play a diamond. Declarer wins in hand and ducks a club to North, wins the spade return, and plays \&K and another club. The end-position sees North reduced to Q J 9 4, with dummy having a master heart and K 105 . North exits with a top diamond and dummy ducks, end-playing North to lead into the diamond tenace.

Just for the record, one down scored E/W a 56\% board.
The next deal saw another tight game and again a critical early play to decide the contract's success or failure. The defenders had to deal with the following problem. After you lead the heart ace at trick one against 4^ this is what you see (rotated 90 degrees):

A 8763
$\checkmark 5$
K Q J 102
$\checkmark 94$

Is partner's nine (in a position where he has either two or three cards) suit preference or attitude? Dummy had - A Q 765 and \& K 1095 .

One defender thought it was attitude, the other (correctly to my mind) thought suit preference, and the defenders lost their $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$ when it went on the clubs. Since almost as many people let through this game as beat it, I can imagine this was a common misunderstanding.
When I watched Alex Smirnov of Germany (and Australia) playing with Andy Hung, they produced a very thoughtful sequence:

| Dealer: East | - 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark 983$ |  |
| Brd 6 | - J 76 |  |
| Pairs Qual 1 | \&J97532 |  |
| A A 942 |  | AKQ863 |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 2 |  | -KQ75 |
| - A Q 5 |  | - 83 |
| \& K Q 6 |  | \& 108 |
|  | A J 75 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1064$ |  |
|  | -K10942 |  |
|  | ¢ A 4 |  |

[1] No five-card major, at least one four-card major
[2] Slam-try, both majors
[3] Constructive with spades
Hung's $5 \checkmark$ cue-bid persuaded Smirnov to offer a choice of slams and Hung opted for spades of course. Arch Morrison did well to lead a heart, and avoid giving away the contract at once. Smirnov won and carefully laid down the $\boldsymbol{A A}$ (guarding against the only $4-0$ spade break he could cope with). Then he drew two more rounds of trump and again took care when he played on clubs before diamonds, giving himself two chances instead of one in the minors. Today the cards would forgive either piece of carelessness...but I wonder whether so many pairs would have collected 1430 had the ※A been right and the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ wrong? It earned E/W a $76 \%$ board today, anyway.

| Dealer: North | か A 1074 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vul: Both | \& A K 6 |
| Brd 13 | Q J |
| Pairs Qual 1 | \& K Q 10 |

A 96
$\checkmark 104$
-K 1062
ヶAJ763

$$
\text { A K J } 52
$$

- Q J 952
- 4

542

```
A Q }8
\bullet73
* A 98753
&98
```

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |


| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | NT |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | $\uparrow$ |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | $\ddots$ |
| - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| 1 | - | - | - | $\AA$ |

Michael Courtney reached 3NT after a 19-21 2NT opening and ducked Ozenir's lead of the VQ. Ozenir continued with a low heart and Courtney won and ran the $\downarrow$ J, taken by Tony Nunn with the $\downarrow$ K. Tony returned a low club and Courtney took his king and cashed the $\vee$ Q. what should Ozenir discard?


On the $\downarrow$ East wanted to retain his clubs and hearts and a low spade looked innocuous. So it was, up to a point, since declarer can never cash his $\star A$ without setting up the fifth defensive winner. But the diamond position was extremely hard to read; Courtney played a low spade next and Ozenir was at the crossroads. Would Nunn have taken the first diamond from a three-card holding? Maybe not, but he would have had to win from an original doubleton. If declarer had four hearts, as he appeared to, he could easily have stared life with a 2-4-4-3 pattern when ducking the spade king would be fatal.

Whatever the rights and wrongs here, Ozenir won his aK - which was still not fatal - and played a second club, which was. As you can see, either a second spade or a top heart would have left declarer unable to develop a second club winner before releasing the $\star$ A. making 3NT here was a $93 \%$ result - even down one would have been an average for N/S.
Even in the open event it is not easy to score a top. It is certainly relatively unusual to concede a zero without doing anything blatantly wrong. So if you have tears prepare to shed them now.

| Dealer: North | AK10864 |  | West Gold | North Griff Ware | East <br> Hollands | SouthMike Ware |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - Q 1087 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 21 | - 42 |  |  | $2{ }^{\text {V }} 1$ ] | Pass | Pass |  |
| Pairs Qual 1 | -6 6 |  | 2NT | Pass | Pass | Doub |  |
| A A Q 3 |  | ヘ975 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A J 6 |  | $\checkmark 952$ | [1] Both | Majors | Weak |  |  |
| -K86 |  | - J 93 |  | Makeab | le Cont | acts |  |
| \& Q J 72 |  | \& A 985 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | A J 2 |  | - | 2 | - | 3 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - 43 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q 1075 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | \& K 103 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | $\%$ |

At most tables South got to open 1 in peace and quiet and West overcalled 1NT. Now if allowed to play there on a spade lead West came to seven tricks. If North could show the majors his side could collect 110 or 140 in a major. But as you will see the Donkey ("Donkey Convention") $2 \vee$ opening wreaked havoc with West. When he bid 2NT - for which he was full values, Michael Ware doubled him in the pass-out seat. Griff Ware obediently led a heart, and the defenders could set up hearts with declarer limited to one spade tricks one heart trick one diamond trick and three clubs. Down 300, gaining a matchpoint only from the N/S pair allowed to play 2 Ax for 870 .

## PAIRS QUALIFYING SESSION 1

## Brent Manley

In bridge, there is no one-size-fits-all anything, from bidding system to carding or even the general approach, and results can be largely the product of a partnership's agreements or one player's perspective on what happens at the table.

In the opening session of the Intermediate Pairs, I watched one set of boards played at nine tables and gained greater awareness of how far apart some views of the same hands can be.
The set was boards 10, 11 and 12. Each produced some surprisingly diverse results. For example, there were 11 different contracts on board 10 .

| Dealer: East Vul: Both | A AK 10 <br> -AJ10653 |  | West | North | East <br> 1NT | South <br> Pass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 10 | - Q 6 |  | Pass | 20 | Pass | 24 |  |
| Pairs Qual 1 | -108 |  | Pass | 30 | Pass | Pass |  |
| A J 85 |  | A Q 4 | Pass |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 92$ |  | - KQ 84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K 10975 |  | - A 422 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& 754 |  | \& A 92 | 3 | - | 3 | - | NT |
|  | A97632 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | A |
|  | $\checkmark 7$ |  | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - J 8 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& K Q J 63 |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | $\%$ |

At 8 of the 9 tables, East opened 1NT (the exception was $1 \diamond$ ) and South, with the long heart suit, ended up as declarer, not always happily.
The first time the board was played, this was as shown on the diagram. There must have been a mixup in the auction, North apparently under the impression that her $2 \downarrow$ bid was interpreted as showing the majors, in which case they could be playing in a 3-3 spade fit with a 6-2 or better fit in hearts.

East did not get off to the best start for the defence, cashing the \&A on the go. Possibly thinking in for a penny, in for a pound, she continued with a club. Declarer took the king in dummy and discarded a diamond on the \&Q, but she pressed her luck by trying the jack, ruffed astutely by West with the 9 . North might have done better to discard her other diamond, but she over-ruffed with the 10 , which was over-ruffed with the queen. The $\bullet$ A was followed by a second diamond, ruffed. Declarer could not escape two more heart losers and a spade for minus 200.

Other declarers in hearts fared a bit better, and those who went plus scored well, but the best scores went to those who found the spade fit. That was easiest when, after the 1NT opening, South bit the bullet and entered the auction to show a two-suiter without excessive concern about the vulnerability.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1 N T$ | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |

West kicked off with the $\vee 9$, taken in dummy with the ace. Declarer then played the $\uparrow A$ and $\uparrow K$, following with the $\& 10$. East took the \&A and could have held declarer to nine tricks by playing a couple of rounds of diamonds, but she attempted to cash the VK. Declarer ruffed and began cashing clubs, discarding a diamond from dummy on the third round. When West ruffed the fourth round of clubs with the AJ , dummy's other diamond went away and declarer was home with plus 170 and most of the matchpoints.

The worst result went to the North player who made an intelligent bidding decision on the fly that went awry because it was incorrectly interpreted by his partner because the situation apparently had not been discussed.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1 N T$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |

South's $2 \boldsymbol{q}$ was explained as showing a single-suited hand. North could see potential if there was a spade fit, and even if there wasn't one, he was willing to have a go at a heart contract. The problem was that if he bid $2 \downarrow$, if South's other suit was diamonds, she would pass and they might languish in an inferior contract. The solution, he decided, was to bid 2NT and hope that it was interpreted correctly.

Unfortunately, South did not divine her partner's intentions. South passed, leaving North in a decidedly inferior spot. The defence was deadly accurate. A low heart lead would not have been out of the question, but East started with a low diamond. North discarded three low hearts on the avalanche of diamonds, and when West got through with that suit, he got out with a heart. North would have done better to insert the jack or 10, but he went up with the ace and played a club. East won the ace and cashed three heart tricks. The final score was East-West nine tricks, North-South four - minus 400 for no matchpoints.
The second board of the set was entertaining and gave East-West the opportunity to make a seven-level save that cost less than any North-South game!


The first two times this board was played, the result was duplicated on somewhat similar auctions. This was from round one:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 19 |
| 2 | 24 | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4a |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 54 |
| Pass | 6 | Pass | Pass |
| Double | Pass | Pass | Pass |

West might not have doubled had her partner raised diamonds, particularly with a jump raise, so West can be forgiven for thinking she had a couple of tricks. She could have not been pleased to see dummy after leading the A9, but with no raise from partner, West probably thought South had two or three diamonds and would need at least a couple of dummy's trumps for ruffing.
Declarer, however, won the spade lead in dummy, played a club to hand and ruffed the $\downarrow$ K. Now the VK was cashed, revealing the bad break, and the $\vee 10$ went to West's queen. It would not have helped for West to duck. Declarer just plays another club. West can ruff but she would have to get out with a diamond, allowing South to ruff and cash the trump ace to pick up the queen. Declarer's clubs would go away on the top spades. Plus 1210. On round two, the boards went to the next lower table, where this transpired:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 ष$ |
| 3 | $4 N T$ | 5 | $5 ष$ |
| Pass | $6 \downarrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| Double | Pass | Pass | Pass |

The $\uparrow 9$ lead was won in dummy. At trick two, declarer played a low heart. Play slowed down when East discarded, but declarer recovered. She won the VA, ruffed her diamond with the $\vee 10$, then cashed the trump king. She entered her hand with the \&A and played the VJ. West could win the queen and play another diamond, but South could draw the last trump with the 9 and claim plus 1210.
On the third round, Kees De Vocht, playing with Jenny Carr, both of New Zealand, did well to pass when the opponents got to 6 V . At the time, minus 980 was the best score on the board in that section. It did not hold up, however, because many pairs missed the slam.

Yet another unusual result occurred on the fourth round, when Carol Singh, playing with Naomi HannahBrown, kept the opponents from bidding slam by doubling them at the five level. This manoeuvre is known as the Striped-Tailed Ape double, because if the opponents redouble, the doubler must run like the mythical, eponymous creature. At the time Singh recorded minus 750 in her scorecard - they made six - she had the best score in the section.

All but two pairs in the section played in hearts. The pairs who landed in spades had cause to regret their decisions, particularly in light of the known heart fit.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 ヵ$ |
| 3 | Double | 4 | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | $5 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $6 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |

The 5-1 trump split made this contract impossible, and the only way to hold it to down one would be to discard on the opening lead instead of ruffing, and then guess every card. In practice, North ruffed the opening lead and, upon cashing the second high spade, could see the handwriting on the wall. The end result was minus 150 and a $7 \%$ score.

It is worth noting that in the Open Qualifying, one East-West pair managed to get to 4^, doubled sportingly by North with his four solid trump tricks. When the smoke cleared, North-South had recorded plus 2300, more than making up for missing their $6 \checkmark$ contract.

The final board of the set featured a deal in which most North-South pairs played in no-trump. The optimistic pairs scored the best despite the fact that the defence had six tricks available on the correct opening lead, which was found by no one. The frequency table for the board was:

| Intermediate Players Deborah Dwyer and Barbara Holmes | N/S Score | Number | NS \% | EW \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1210 | 2 | 99 | 1 |
|  | 980 | 7 | 86 | 14 |
|  | 750 | 1 | 74 | 26 |
|  | 500 | 1 | 71 | 29 |
|  | 480 | 7 | 60 | 40 |
|  | 450 | 4 | 44 | 56 |
|  | 300 | 1 | 37 | 63 |
|  | 100 | 1 | 34 | 66 |
|  | -50 | 5 | 26 | 74 |
|  | -100 | 3 | 14 | 86 |
|  | -150 | 2 | 7 | 93 |
|  | -200 | 1 | 3 | 97 |
|  | -550 | 1 | 0 | 100 |


| Dealer: West | A Q 93 |  | West | North | East |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | - AK86 |  | Pass | 1000 | Pass | $1$ |  |
| Brd 12 | -763 |  | Pass | 19 | 14 | Doubl |  |
|  | \& AK 2 |  | Pass | 29 | Pass | 3NT |  |
| A 85 |  | A A 10764 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |  |
| $\checkmark 1095$ |  | $\bullet 742$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q 1082 |  | - K J 5 |  | Makea | le Con | acts |  |
| \& J 53 |  | \& 107 | - | 1 | - | 1 | NT |
|  | AKJ2 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\uparrow$ |
|  | - Q J 3 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -94 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | \& Q 9864 |  | - | 4 | - |  |  |

On this Board (12) despite Deep Finesse suggesting seven trick is the limit for N/S, just about every pair played in no-trump, most in game, everyone scoring plus 630 on the nearly universal spade lead. They won the opening lead and cashed their winners. Kelela Allen also scored 10 tricks playing the contract from the South side, and she showed that she is a spot watcher with some knowledge of the theory of restricted choice.
There would be no story had West, on the auction in the diagram above, started with a diamond, which she might have done in spite of her partner's overcall, but she went with the $\uparrow 8$ (it's good strategy to keep partner happy). Allen won the lead in hand and played a club to dummy's ace. When East followed with the $\& 10$, Allen thought things over briefly before playing a heart to her queen and running the $\% 9$. Had East's card been a singleton, this would have been necessary to pick up four clubs to the jack with West. It was a well-reasoned, intelligent play.
Whereas 3NT can always be defeated with the correct, if unlikely, opening lead, the contract of $4 V$ is impregnable. The only problem is that it doesn't score very well when most other pairs are playing game in notrump. On the following deal, North had no option but to play in the inferior matchpoint spot. The alternative was down two.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \downarrow$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| 2 | Double | 3 | $3 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Once the opponents got the diamond suit into the bidding, no-trump was out of the question. As you can see, the opponents can take only two diamonds and a spade. Failing to bid the game was costly - plus 170 was only a $14 \%$ score - but plus 620 would have been below average.

| Date | Educa Your Pers Activity | Time | Location | xation <br> ent Diary <br> Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sunday 26 February | Walk on the Beach With Mary Wedell | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:30am to } \\ & \text { 7:15am } \\ & \text { [Yes that is am] } \end{aligned}$ | Meet Outside the Surf Club | A brisk walk along our beautiful beach Way to Go! |
|  | Travel Light Travel Bright | 11:30am to 12:30pm | GCC | Your chance to listen to expert travel tips on what to bring \& how to pack including a fashion parade of clothes from House De PJ modelled by 6 bridge players - come along \& cheer them on! |
| Monday 27 February | Walk on the Beach With Mary Wedell | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:30am to } \\ & \text { 7:15am } \\ & \text { [Yes that is am] } \end{aligned}$ | Meet Outside the Surf Club | A brisk walk along our beautiful beach Way to Go! |
|  | Tapas and Trivia with Josey Alexander and Faye Wyer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:00pm to } \\ & \text { 8:30pm } \\ & \text { Players and Non- } \\ & \text { Players Welcome } \end{aligned}$ | Envy Hotel | Enter Teams Up to Six at the hospitality Desk. Entries taken at the venue subject to space. Kibitzers welcome. |
| Tuesday 28 February | Free Yoga in the Park With Susan Rodgers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:00am to } \\ & \text { 7:00am } \\ & \text { [Yes that is am] } \end{aligned}$ | Kurrawa <br> Park Beach <br> End of the <br> Mall - Left of <br> Surf Club | Bring a mat or beach towel and some eyeshades if you have them for some gentle exercise which will energise your day |
|  | Walk on the Beach With Mary Wedell | 6:30am to <br> 7:15am <br> [Yes that is am] | Meet Outside the Surf Club | A brisk walk along our beautiful beach Way to Go! |
|  | Fashion Parade with Sally Elliot | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:00am to } \\ & \text { 10:30am } \end{aligned}$ | Oasis Surf Club in the Shopping Centre | Meegs Boutique Fashion Parade and Morning Tea |
|  | Novice Welcome With Tim Runting and Graham Rusher | 10:00am to 11:00am | GCCC | Help for Novices about the tournament, how it works, filling in your system cards and anything else you want help with |
| Wednesday <br> 29 February | Free Yoga in the Park With Susan Rodgers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:00am to } \\ & \text { 7:00am } \\ & \text { [Yes that is am] } \end{aligned}$ | Kurrawa <br> Park Beach <br> End of the <br> Mall - Left of <br> Surf Club | Bring a mat or beach towel and some eyeshades if you have them for some gentle exercise which will energise your day |
|  | Walk on the Beach With Mary Wedell | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:30am to } \\ & \text { 7:15am } \\ & \text { [Yes that is am] } \end{aligned}$ | Meet Outside the Surf Club | A brisk walk along our beautiful beach Way to Go! |
| Thursday <br> 1 March | Free Yoga in the Park With Susan Rodgers | 6:00am to <br> 7:00am <br> [Yes that is am] | Kurrawa <br> Park Beach <br> End of the <br> Mall - Left of <br> Surf Club | Bring a mat or beach towel and some eyeshades if you have them for some gentle exercise which will energise your day |
|  | Walk on the Beach With Mary Wedell | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:30am to } \\ & \text { 7:15am } \\ & \text { [Yes that is am] } \end{aligned}$ | Meet Outside the Surf Club | A brisk walk along our beautiful beach Way to Go! |

# Education And Relaxation Your Personal Tournament Diary 

|  | Karaoke Night with Nadya <br> Tuxworth | From 8:00pm <br> Players and Non- <br> Players Welcome | Come along and enjoy a meal at the <br> Envy Hotel first to ensure your seat or |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wander in whenever the mood takes you |  |  |  |

## BIDDING THE SAME VALUES TWICE

 Brent ManleyBridge is a partnership game, but many learners seem to lack understanding of all the implications of this simple statement.

Yes, you need someone to sit on the other side of the table and bid with you and turn the dummy when you are declarer.
Some seem to forget that partner is also listening to, and presumably grasping, the bidding.
Say both sides are vulnerable and you hold
A Q 84

- Q1054
- K J 65
\& 65
Your left-hand opponent opens 1\%, your partner bids 1^, RHO makes a negative double and you give partner a raise to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$. Opener passes, as does partner, and RHO doubles for takeout. Opener then bids $3 \boldsymbol{\%}$, which is passed around to you. Now what?

If you even thought about bidding 3n, give yourself a demerit. You told your story with your raise to 2A. Your partner heard you and, with two more chances to bid again, pulled out the pass card both times. Partner knows you might have a hand this good, and he still chose to pass.
There is nothing about your hand that was not covered by your raise to 2n. You don't have extra shape, no fourth trump, no great side suit. If you bid again with that hand, you are telling the same story.
Mike Lawrence once told a great story about playing with another world-class player. Mike heard his partner open a weak $2 \boldsymbol{V}$, which was doubled for takeout by the next player. Mike decided to set a clever trap. Holding a decent hand with a singleton heart and four cards in each of the other suits, Mike leaped to 4V. He hoped his left-hand opponent would think Mike was trying to "steal" from him with his pre-emptive action. Mike's plan, of course, was to double whatever they bid.
Sure enough, LHO bid 4A, and Mike was licking his chops. He was relying on the time-honoured principle that a player who has narrowly described his hand, especially with a pre-emptive bid, will not voluntarily take another bid.

As you might have guessed, Mike never got to double 4a because his expert partner did the unthinkable - he bid 5v! Of course, that was doubled, so the pair writing down the big minus was Mike and his partner, not the opponents.
This is an extreme case, of course. Most players, even newer ones, know that they should not bid again on their own after opening with a weak bid.

There are, however, many examples of players who open with minimum hands and can't restrain themselves when the opponents interfere, jumping right in at the next chance to bid as though holding extra values.

Make an effort to remind yourself that you have a partner sitting over there with the brains to get the picture when you pass - that, too, conveys a message - and who can act if it's appropriate opposite your known minimum opener.

Of course, if you routinely bid like crazy without the "goods," partner won't know when you actually to have a hand with extras. Be a good partner - bid only when you have something to say.

## LOBBY COFFEE SHOP MENU

 REMEMBER OUR COFFEE CADDIES WILL PURCHASE AND DELIVER THESE TO THE TABLE FOR YOU| Regular Cappuccino, Flat White, Latte, |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Long Black, Mocha, Hot Chocolate and Tea | 4.00 |
| Large Cappuccino, Flat White, Latte, |  |
| Long Black, Mocha, Hot Chocolate and Tea | 4.50 |
| Home Style Cookies | 3.00 |
| Crisps | 3.50 |
| Muffins | 4.00 |
| Assorted Sandwiches | 7.00 |
| Onigiri - Japanese Rice Ball with Seaweed | 5.00 |

## TEST YOURSELF DAY 2

## Barry Rigal

Against four hearts West led the club queen, to South's bare ace. What two cards should declarer contribute from hand and dummy to the second trick?

| Dealer: South <br> Vul: E- W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 642 \\ & \vee A Q 84 \end{aligned}$ | West | North | East | South $1 v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -43 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
|  | \& 7532 | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
|  | - AK 3 <br> -KJ1092 <br> -K765 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \& $A$ | Openi | Lead |  |  |

## SOLUTION 2

At the table declarer won the club lead and crossed to dummy with a trump and led a diamond to the king and ace. Having escaped the killing lead of a trump, followed by further attacks on hearts, declarer had rather foolishly rectified the situation for the defence, and they were quick to capitalise on this. West took his diamond ace and returned a trump, and when in with a second diamond, another heart return meant that there was now only one heart in dummy to take care of two losing diamonds. Down one, and no joy in Muddville.

Yes, it was unlucky that the diamond ace was offside and that the hearts broke 3-1, but none of this need have proved to be a problem if declarer had taken steps to guard against his potential problem at the right moment. Paradoxically, had South held four small diamonds, it is unlikely that he would have gone wrong. On winning the club ace, the immediate play of a small diamond from hand, followed by winning the trump shift in dummy for another diamond at the next opportunity, towards his king, would have preserved his opportunity for the overtrick and ensured that there were two trumps available in dummy to take care of the last two diamonds, against any defence.

|  | ヘ 642 <br> -AQ84 <br> -4 4 <br> \&) 7532 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AJ 95 |  | A Q 1087 |
| $\checkmark 753$ |  | $\checkmark 6$ |
| - A Q 109 |  | - J 82 |
| \& Q J 10 |  | \& K 9864 |
|  | A AK 3 |  |
|  | -KJ1092 |  |
|  | -K765 |  |
|  | \& A |  |

Remember to keep a record of your answers to this series of problems published in the Bulletin - you'll need them all for the final quiz of the week.

Australian National Championships
Darwin 2012
ANC


Saturday $7^{\text {th }}$ July to Thursday $19{ }^{\text {th }}$ July 2012
Darwin Convention Centre - Waterfront Precinct

Interstate Teams Championships
Butler Pairs Championships
Territory Gold Bridge Festival
ANC Congress Events

Sunday $8^{\text {th }}$ to Friday $13^{\text {th }}$ July Saturday $14^{\text {th }}$ to Friday $19^{\text {th }}$ July Saturday $7^{\text {th }}$ to Thursday $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ July Sunday $8^{\text {th }}$ to Friday $19^{\text {th }}$ July

For information visit: www.ntba.com.aulanc Phone (08) 8981-7287 or email: anc2012@abf.com.au

There is still plenty of accommodation in Darwin.
If you contact hotels etc. directly you may find they say they have no rooms left
This is because they have block booked their rooms out to travel agents and wholesalers, such as Territory Discoveries.
Contact Territory Discoveries 134-383 and quote NT Bridge for your 5\% discount. For the ANC period Territory Discoveries currently has room at
Value Inn, Cullen Bay Resorts (in 4 different room types), Palms City Resorts (Duplex Villa), Mediterranean All Suites, Travelodge
Mirambeena, Holiday Inn Esplanade, Mantra on the Esplanade, Mantra Pandanas, Novotel Atrium, Crowne Plaza.

## Moving Experts Specializing in:

Local \& Interstate Removals

- All size Houses and Offices
- Packing and Unpacking Services We are:
- AFRA Certified Removal Insurance Provider
- Australian Family Owned and Operated 1300880412 www.twomen.com.au



## PAIRS QUALIFYING SESSION 2

Barry Rigal
One pair of consecutive deals offered considerable play in a large number of strains.

| Dealer: North Vul: E-W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Q J } 94 \\ & \vee A 1093 \end{aligned}$ |  | West | North Hughes | East | South Giura |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 9 | - K 64 |  |  | 14 | Pass | 2\% | lay] |
| Pairs Qual 2 | \& J 2 |  | 2 | Pass | Pass | 4 |  |
| A 5 |  | ヘ 732 | Pass | 4 | Pass | Pass |  |
| -K J 5 |  | $\checkmark 2$ | Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - J 10985 |  | - A Q 732 |  | Makea | e Con | acts |  |
| \& AKQ 7 |  | \&10986 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | A AK 1086 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |
|  | -Q8764 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | ---- |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | $¢ 543$ |  | 2 | - | 3 | - | $\%$ |

Nick Hughes' systemic opening with the North cards is $1 \uparrow$, and when Nicoleta Giura jumped to $4 \diamond$ to show short diamonds his $4 V$ call suggested a balanced hand $4-4$ in the majors. Giura passed since it is often the case that playing the weaker suit makes taking the ruffs harder. On the lead of the $\downarrow$ A Hughes ruffed and realised that the heart king had to be well placed so he could tackle trumps by running the $\mathbf{V 6}$ - picking up the singleton king onside, and more importantly holding his losers to one if the cards lay as they did. Making $4 \vee$ was a great score here $-84 \%$.

It was possible to do better. The partnership of Nicky and Peter Strasser reached 4^ doubled when they came to rest in $3 \boldsymbol{A}$, were re-opened by their opponents into $4 \star$, and taught the insolent $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ pair a lesson by bidding
4A. Messrs Wiltshire and Wyer said 'You can't do that to us" and found they were wrong. N/S had $100 \%$ of the MP.

Meanwhile some E/W pairs thought it was their deal and not the opponents'. When Fiona Brown and Tonje Brogeland held the E/W cards. This was the auction at their table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $1 *$ | Pass | $2 \diamond[\operatorname{lnv}]$ | Double |
| $3 \star$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 *$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |

With the diamond finesse succeeding Brogeland wrapped up 11 tricks painlessly enough despite the 3-0 trump break, and that was a $90 \%$ board.

Board 10 saw all of the pairs who had done poorly on the first deal getting their own back.

| Dealer: East | A A 962 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | - J 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brd 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } 72 \\ & \& K \text { Q J } 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ^ K Q } 1085 \\ & \vee 76 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 743 \\ & \vee Q 842 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 9 |  | - A J 1063 |  | Make | Con | acts |  |
| \& A 863 |  | \& 5 | - | - | - | - | NT |
|  | A J |  | 2 | - | 3 | - | A |
|  | -AK1053 |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - 854 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 10942 |  | - | 2 | - | 2 | $\%$ |

Let's revisit the tables in the same order, Grant and Morris bought the hand as E/W in 2A when West passed in third seat but balanced over a 1 NT opening and $2 \diamond$ transfer. On a top club lead against $2 \wedge$ declarer won the ace and ruffed a club then played a trump to the jack and king, ducked by Hughes. Another club ruff and a low diamond from dummy saw South take her king, and the defenders could score two hearts a a club and two spades, but +110 was worth $63 \%$ for E/W.
Paul Wyer played $2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ on an unopposed auction here $(1 \uparrow-2 \boldsymbol{A})$ on a club lead. He passed the $\uparrow$, covered all round, crossed back to the $\$ 9$ and ruffed a club then cashed a third diamond (pitching a heart) and led a fourth
diamond, ruffed with the jack king and ace. Back came a third club so he ruffed, and led the fifth diamond to pitch another heart, and lost just one more trump trick for +200 and $91 \%$ of the MP.

Brogeland and Brown were all set to rest in 2A after a Drury auction when Aya/Mitamura balanced with a double as South. Kian Toh bid 3\&, and Brogeland doubled him there. On a top spade lead declarer could arrange to cash three plain winners and ruff three spades in dummy and two hearts in hand and come to one more trump in hand for 670. There is a defence though; because of the heart blockage the defenders can lead ace and another club or a club to the ace for a shift to the $\diamond$ Q to cash out the diamonds and let West pitch a heart. Again, a spade shift will now leave declarer unable to come to nine tricks. +670 was all the MP for N/S.

## SYSTEM CARDS HOW AND WHY - PART 2 THE INSIDE

Yesterday we looked at filling in the outside of the system card and today we will complete "System Cards 101" by showing you how to complete the inside.

| RESPONSES TO OPENING BIDS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Describe strength, minimum length or specific meaning |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1. | Natural | 2NT | 12-13 Balanced |
|  | 1v/* | Natural | 34 | Weak |
|  | ${ }^{1 N T}$ | 6-10 Generally Denies Major |  | Splinter |
|  | 2 | Inverted Raise 5+ GF |  | Splinter |
|  | 2 | Limit Raise 5+ 10-11 |  | Splinter |
|  | 2 | Weak | ${ }^{3 N T}$ | 14-15 4333 no Major |
|  | 2. | Weak | 4 bids | $4=$ Wk Others Nat |
| 1* | 1*/4 | Natural | 34 | Limit Raise 5+ 10-11 |
|  | ${ }_{1} \mathrm{NT}$ | 6-10 Generally Denies Major |  | Weak Raise |
|  | 2 | 10+Forcing to 2NT |  | Splinter |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ | Inverted Raise 5+ GF |  | Splinter |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ | Weak | ${ }^{3 N T}$ | 14-154333 no Major |
|  | 2 | Weak | 4. | NNF |
|  | ${ }^{2 N T}$ | 12-13 Balanced | 4 Other | Natural |
| 1/** | $1{ }^{\text {NT }}$ | Forcing One Round | $3 *$ | Bergen $6-9$ with 4 card raise |
|  | 2 | Nat GF | 34 | Limit Raise with 4 card raise |
|  | 2 | Nat GF | 37/* | 1v:3v=WK: 1 4 :3v 3 CD Limit |
|  | 20/4 | 1M:2M $=3 \mathrm{w}$ 6-9: Jump OM=3 Card Limi | ${ }^{3 N T}$ | 12-14 with a 4333 |
|  | 2 NT | Jacoby GF Raise; Then Short | 4** | Splinter |
| 24 | 2 | Positiv/Negative Response | 2v/4 | Semi-Positive |
|  | other | Natural Semi-Positive |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | Correctible | 3*/4 | NNF |
|  | 2. | Correctible | 3v/* | Correctible NF |
|  | ${ }^{2 N T}$ | Game Interest Enquiry | ${ }^{3 N T}$ | NNF |
| 2v/* | 2 NT | Game Interest Enquiry | ${ }^{3 N T}$ | NNF |
|  | 3*** | NNF | 4*** | Splinter Agreeing Major |
|  | 3v/* | Correctible NF | 4*/* | 4 and $4 *$ To Play |
| 2NT | $3+$ | Puppet Stayman | 4 | Transfer to 4* |
|  | 3. | Transfer to 3v | 4. | Transfer to 4* |
|  | ${ }^{3 v}$ | Transfer to 3. | 4 | Slam Interest Clubs |
|  | $3 *$ 3NT | Minors [5/4] with a Short Major NNF | 4. other | Slam Interest Diamonds |



One double for penalties all penalties
Doubles of low level bids usually takeout
Doubles where opponents have bid and raised suits (esp aggressively) is takeout

The left side of the inside of the card describes your first round bidding with partner. It is quite amazing how many times partnerships have confusion about the most simple of responses and I would urge all players to sit down together and discuss all of these responses in detail.

Some examples of where confusion arises include:

- 2\% response to $1 \%$ - is it a GF raise or a limit raise;
- 3V response to 1\% - is it natural pre-emptive or splinter agreeing clubs;
- If you are NOT playing Bergen what is a $3 \star$ or $3 \diamond$ response to a $1 \wedge$ opening;
- What is a $4 \diamond$ response to a $1 \diamond$ opening and what can partner really expect. Many players don't set upper limits on this bid and can easily miss game - certainly worthy if discussion;
- Many players agree to play Jacoby raises over 1V or 1A opening but fail to discuss further bidding over $1 \mathrm{M}: 2 \mathrm{NT}$. While it is not contained on the card the mere discussion of the 2NT should raise this issue with partner;

Make every effort to add as much information as you can like point ranges and further bidding if there is space to do so.

The right side of the inside of the card is often the one that requires the most partnership discussion as it contains a lot of information about partnership agreements and further bidding. Treatments of bids like fourth
suit, defence to strong club openings, treatments over high level pre-empts by opponents all require a great deal of partnership discussion.
At the bottom is a place to make notes that don't fit elsewhere on the card. Treat this as a reminder to yourself and partner of things you have discussed but may forget.


[^0]

We would also like to thank Anthony Leigh Dower Boutiques for their generous donation of gift voucher prizes for the Gold Coast Congress

## CANBERRA <br> 2013 Summer OF BRIDGE

## 14th to 27th January Including the New "Chris Diment Memorial Pairs" For details visit www.summerfestivalofbridge.com

## Australian Bridge Players

PLAY BRIDGE WHILE YOU SEE THE WORLD


Ocean Cruising River Cruising
Special Interest Small Group Tours
Best Ships ... Best Prices ... Best Destirations
Your Bridge to a World of Travel
SIGNUP TO OUR E-NEWSLETTER \& RECEIVE FANTASTIC TRAVEL SPECIALS www.travelmasters.com.au

|  | Q Super Centre Bermuda St, Mermaid Waters Ph (07) 55727272 | Travel Masters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cnr Cotton \& Ferry Sts } \\ \text { Nerang } \\ \text { Ph (07) } 55542777 \end{gathered}$ | lifestyle <br> Travel \& Cruise Centre | Beachcomber Arcade 122 Griffith St, Coolangatta Ph (07) 55992929 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Holiday Pairs Event 1 - Session 1

| N-S | Score |  | E-W | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Kathy JOHNSON - Lois STEINWEDEL | 61.81 | 1 | Beth GREENWOOD - Gerry GREENWOOD | 61.34 |
| 2 Peter CAMPBELL - Colin REGAN | 61.11 | 2 | Sunil MUKHERJEE - Gordon GEMMELL | 55.56 |
| 3 Margaret TAYLOR - M BERNAN | 60.88 | 3 | Ross STEINWEDEL - Elaine HENNIG | 53.24 |
| 4 Linda OSMUND - Donny OSMUND | 56.94 | 4 | Coral AIKIN - Michael AIKIN | 50.93 |
| 5 Ted HOBSON - Patricia HOBSON | 50.46 | 5 | Minnie BRAGG - Chris BRAGG | 50.00 |
| 6 Leonie DELLA - Sew Yoon YAP-GILES | 48.15 | 6 | Beverley WELCH - Jeanne ADAMS | 49.54 |
| 7 Me YOUNG - Ja YOUNG | 44.91 | 7 | Bruce JENNINGS - Barbara PIGDON | 49.31 |
| 8 Patricia HILLE - Sarah STRICKLAND | 44.21 | 8 | Carmel DENARO - Beb HOGERHEYDE | 49.07 |
| 9 Adina MORRIS - Les MORRIS | 43.29 | 9 | Kiyomi AVUNDUK - Kemal AVUNDUK | 48.84 |
| 10 Sandra MITCHELL - Jan JACKSON | 40.05 | 10 | Rhonda WIECKHORST - Trish LYE | 47.45 |
| 11 Patricia RYAN - Idalia DE VOS | 38.19 |  | P.L CREUGNET - Guy ESCHEMBRENNER | 34.72 |

## OPEN PAIRS QUALIFYING LEADING QUALIFYING SCORES

North-South

| Place | Pair |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | Julian FOSTER - David WESTON |
| 2 | 164 | Sue INGHAM - Michael COURTNEY |
| 3 | 23 | Nicoleta GIURA - Nick HUGHES |
| 4 | 92 | Andrew TARBUTT - Duncan BADLEY |
| 5 | 32 | Tom JACOB - Martin REID |
| 6 | 124 | Richard BRIGHTLING - Kathy BOARDMAN |
| 7 | 122 | Magnus MOREN - Neville FRANCIS |
| 8 | 172 | Ian PRICE - Bruce TIER |
| 9 | 191 | Sartaj HANS - Helena DAWSON |
| 10 | 21 | Ajit CHAKRADEO - Pranjal CHAKRADEO |
| 11 | 142 | Ishmael DEL'MONTE - Ross HARPER |
| 12 | 88 | Paul LAVINGS - Robert KROCHMALIK |
| 13 | 86 | Griff WARE - Michael WARE |
| 14 | 186 | Debbie MCLEOD - Greg ALDRIDGE |
| 15 | 68 | Bruce NEILL - Richard JEDRYCHOWSKI |
| 16 | 182 | Julie SHERIDAN - Karen MARTELLETTI |
| 17 | 106 | Sara TISHLER - Bob RICHMAN |
| 18 | 50 | Terry BROWN - Avinash KANETKAR |
| 19 | 189 | Bill HAUGHIE - Ron KLINGER |
| 20 | 130 | Christine DUCKWORTH - Agnes WESSELING |
| 21 | 47 | Noel WOODHALL - Alister STUCK |
| 22 | 173 | Anthony BURKE - Peter GILL |
| 23 | 25 | Susan HUMPHRIES - Stephanie JACOB |
| 24 | 193 | George RISZKO - Alan GRANT |
| 25 | 45 | Wayne BURROWS - Kaylee LEMON |
| 26 | 29 | Mindy WU - Lorraine STACHURSKI |
| 27 | 72 | Michael PEMBERTON - Graham WAKEFIELD |
| 28 | 162 | Yuzhong CHEN - Jeffrey LUH |

East-West

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sess } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Place | Pair |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57.15 | 66.65 | 123.8 | 1 | 61 | Ashley BACH - Nabil EDGTTON | 61.68 | 59.76 | 121.4 |
| 55.58 | 65.27 | 120.8 | 2 | 41 | Michal KOPECKY - Milan MACURA | 59.45 | 61.57 | 121 |
| 63.16 | 56.99 | 120.2 | 3 | 133 | Michael DRAPER - Charles KLASSEN | 60.69 | 58.93 | 119.6 |
| 65.36 | 54.11 | 119.5 | 4 | 90 | Giza FLETCHER - Jeany SIMONS | 60.26 | 58.86 | 119.1 |
| 56.78 | 62.14 | 118.9 | 5 | 24 | Peter SHAW - Lyndall SHAW | 56.07 | 62.39 | 118.5 |
| 60.84 | 57.56 | 118.4 | 6 | 181 | David LILLEY - Zolly NAGY | 54.70 | 63.73 | 118.4 |
| 55.25 | 62.28 | 117.5 | 7 | 67 | Steve BOUGHEY - Carol RICHARDSON | 58.02 | 59.93 | 118 |
| 56.16 | 60.57 | 116.7 | 8 | 21 | Tom HANLON - Hugh MCGANN | 57.67 | 59.88 | 117.6 |
| 62.76 | 53.94 | 116.7 | 9 | 165 | David MCLEISH - Paula MCLEISH | 56.76 | 60.08 | 116.8 |
| 57.93 | 57.71 | 115.6 | 10 | 85 | Justin HOWARD - Cathy MILL | 63.85 | 51.42 | 115.3 |
| 54.49 | 60.93 | 115.4 | 11 | 141 | Warren LAZER - Pauline GUMBY | 61.32 | 53.67 | 115 |
| 59.03 | 56.11 | 115.1 | 12 | 47 | Karl HAYES - John DAVIDSON | 58.87 | 56.04 | 114.9 |
| 61.47 | 53.31 | 114.8 | 13 | 9 | Alan GLASSON - Ben GLASSON | 61.11 | 53.44 | 114.6 |
| 59.32 | 55.32 | 114.6 | 14 | 152 | Allan MORRIS - Beverley MORRIS | 60.32 | 54.22 | 114.5 |
| 61.58 | 52.77 | 114.4 | 15 | 121 | John WIGNALL - Bob SCOTT | 52.72 | 61.21 | 113.9 |
| 55.82 | 58.39 | 114.2 | 16 | 145 | Pamela NISBET - David APPLETON | 58.70 | 55.17 | 113.9 |
| 59.82 | 54.37 | 114.2 | 17 | 7 | Ian CLAYTON - Cynthia CLAYTON | 56.18 | 55.79 | 112 |
| 60.37 | 52.95 | 113.3 | 18 | 43 | David BEAUCHAMP - Elizabeth ADAMS | 50.14 | 61.49 | 111.6 |
| 59.14 | 54.11 | 113.3 | 19 | 122 | Michael ROSS - Adrian THOMPSON | 59.01 | 51.91 | 110.9 |
| 57.03 | 56.17 | 113.2 | 20 | 183 | Brian CALLAGHAN - Niels VAN DER GAAST | 65.84 | 44.81 | 110.7 |
| 59.71 | 53.09 | 112.8 | 21 | 149 | Neil STUCKEY - Christine WILSON | 53.30 | 57.32 | 110.6 |
| 58.88 | 53.73 | 112.6 | 22 | 169 | Wayne SMITH - Grant COWEN | 57.27 | 52.80 | 110.1 |
| 56.12 | 56.11 | 112.2 | 23 | 101 | Simon HINGE - Kim MORRISON | 55.76 | 54.01 | 109.8 |
| 58.27 | 53.86 | 112.1 | 24 | 161 | Tony NUNN - Serhat OZENIR | 52.78 | 56.89 | 109.7 |
| 57.96 | 54.14 | 112.1 | 25 | 72 | Trish ANAGNOSTOU - Gillian GONTHIER | 53.58 | 55.66 | 109.3 |
| 52.30 | 59.24 | 111.5 | 26 | 163 | David WILTSHIRE - Paul WYER | 58.98 | 49.97 | 109 |
| 55.03 | 56.45 | 111.5 | 27 | 25 | Solvi REMEN - Paula LESLIE | 45.53 | 63.27 | 108.8 |
| 53.34 | 58.01 | 111.4 | 28 | 167 | Peter HAINSWORTH - Gary MALINAS | 57.56 | 51.12 | 108.7 |

## SENIORS PAIRS QUALIFYING LEADING QUALIFYING SCORES

North-South
East-West

| Place | Pair |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sess } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Place | Pair |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 21 | Michael KENT - Robert SLOBOM | 56.84 | 69.63 | 126.5 | 1 | 81 | Andrew CREET - Stephen MENDICK | 65.44 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.88 \\ & 60.42 \end{aligned}$ | 125.3 |
| 2 | 1 | Arthur ROBBINS - Gary RIDGWAY | 59.00 | 59.37 | 118.4 | 2 | 83 | Chris DIBLEY - Tony HUTTON | $\begin{aligned} & 57.16 \\ & 61.07 \end{aligned}$ |  | 117.6 |
| 3 | 9 | Peter BUCHEN - Kathy BUCHEN | 57.64 | 58.71 | 116.4 | 3 | 41 | Elizabeth HAVAS - Gordon SCHMIDT |  | 52.89 | 114 |
| 4 | 41 | Robyn FLETCHER - Paul MCGRATH | 55.14 | 60.70 | 115.8 | 4 | 46 | Judy SHAPIRO - Maxi FOGELGARN | $52.20$ | $61.23$ | 113.4 |
| 5 | 81 | David HARRIS - Sandra REGAN | 51.89 | 63.01 | 114.9 | 5 | 21 | Ross CRICHTON - Pam CRICHTON | 49.06 |  | 112.2 |
| 6 | 28 | Johan ROOSE - Judith ROOSE-DRIVER | 55.19 | 59.12 | 114.3 | 6 | 63 | Bill HUNT - Rosa LACHMAN | 57.24 | $\begin{aligned} & 63.12 \\ & 54.61 \end{aligned}$ | 111.9 |
| 7 | 82 | Peter GRANT - Tony MARINOS | 59.26 | 54.46 | 113.7 | 7 | 24 | Val BROCKWELL - Emlyn WILLIAMS | 55.11 | 54.69 | 109.8 |
| 8 | 84 | Steven BOCK - Elly URBACH | 63.15 | 48.11 | 111.3 | 8 | 65 | Patsy WALTERS - Lynne GEURSEN | 54.93 | 53.77 | 108.7 |
| 9 | 25 | Sue MABIN - Maggie CALLANDER | 52.12 | 56.89 | 109 | 9 | 29 | Betty MILL - Beverley JAFFREY | 49.56 | 58.03 | 107.6 |
| 10 | 88 | Sybil HURWITZ - Monica GINSBERG | 58.10 | 50.48 | 108.6 | 10 | 66 | Paul CHALMERS - Carol SHENNAN | 55.43 | 51.25 | 106.7 |
| 11 | 27 | Roman MORAWIECKI - Jan VARMO | 51.82 | 56.16 | 108 | 11 | 67 | Richard GRENSIDE - Sue GRENSIDE | 55.18 | 51.42 | 106.6 |
| 12 | 5 | George WILKINSON - Carol WILKINSON | 48.99 | 58.45 | 107.4 | 12 | 6 | Bill LOCKWOOD - Darryl COUZNER | $\begin{aligned} & 57.42 \\ & 52.24 \end{aligned}$ | 49.14 | 106.6 |
| 13 | 48 | Peter KAHLER - Jeannette COLLINS | 53.75 | 52.30 | 106.1 | 13 | 88 | Anita THIRTLE - Pat O'NEILL |  | 54.17 | 106.4 |
| 14 | 64 | Bal KRISHAN - Hashmat ALI | 53.49 | 52.23 | 105.7 | 14 | 27 | Gordon FALLON - Alison FALLON | $54.47$ | 51.43 | 105.9 |
| 15 | 46 | George CZUBALA - Wally MALACZYNSKI | 56.82 | 48.71 | 105.5 | 15 | 45 | Janet KAHLER - Alison FARTHING | $\begin{aligned} & 49.58 \\ & 54.74 \end{aligned}$ | 56.07 | 105.7 |
| 16 | 24 | Susanne SARTEN - David SARTEN | 46.85 | 58.49 | 105.3 | 16 | 9 | Elizabeth FANOS - John SCUDDER |  | 50.87 | 105.6 |
| 17 | 63 | Lilli ALLGOOD - Gordon SHINEWELL | 53.57 | 51.24 | 104.8 | 17 | 25 | Ron CLARK - Tony JACKMAN | $\begin{aligned} & 54.74 \\ & 53.12 \end{aligned}$ | 51.44 | 104.6 |
| 18 | 49 | Gary LYNN - Jack PIERCE | 50.79 | 53.93 | 104.7 | 18 | 5 | Sue COLEMAN - Wendi BURGESS | $53.02$ | 51.22 | 104.3 |
| 19 | 45 | Roger MINCHIN - Carol MINCHIN | 52.57 | 51.99 | 104.6 | 19 | 1 | Martin BLOOM - Nigel ROSENDORFF | 58.23 | 45.02 | 103.3 |
| 20 | 66 | Andrew JANISZ - Trevor ROBB | 46.26 | 57.95 | 104.2 | 20 | 3 | Peter QUACH - Gytis DANTA | 53.83 | 48.55 | 102.4 |
| 21 | 4 | Neil GIBSON - Elizabeth GIBSON | 53.44 | 50.75 | 104.2 | 21 | 89 | Ian MOORE - Pam MOORE | 50.33 | 51.79 | 102.1 |
| 22 | 43 | Susan CLEMENTS - Toby MANFORD | 55.63 | 47.05 | 102.7 | 22 | 68 | Glenys DEAN - Elizabeth CORNFORD | 46.1149.38 | 55.85 | 102 |
| 23 | 61 | Ron LORRAWAY - Jan DOONER | 59.68 | 42.77 | 102.4 | 23 | 26 | Juliana MAHER - Brian RICHARDSON |  | 50.80 | 100.2 |
| 24 | 30 | Richard WALLIS - John BROCKWELL | 46.41 | 55.57 | 102 | 24 | 49 | Larry MOSES - John GOUGH | $\begin{array}{ll}41.03 & 58.99 \\ 49.62 & 50.30\end{array}$ |  | 100 |
| 25 | 62 | Sharon JACKSON - Patricia SCOTT | 55.30 | 46.40 | 101.7 | 25 | 47 | Ellie FITZ-GERALD - Jim FITZ-GERALD |  |  | 99.92 |
| 26 | 23 | Pat BACK - Lynette VINCENT | 49.46 | 50.17 | 99.64 | 26 | 22 | Connie SCHOUTROP - Judy PERL | 50.74 | 48.54 | 99.28 |
| 27 | 42 | Harry WALSH - Gail WALSH | 49.07 | 50.08 | 99.15 | 27 | 23 | Stan KLOFA - Robert STEWART | $\begin{aligned} & 48.85 \\ & 43.52 \end{aligned}$ | $49.21$$53.71$ | 98.06 |
| 28 | 22 | Denise KEENAN - Dawn SWABEY | 49.76 | 49.31 | 99.07 | 28 | 61 | Robert MILWARD - Les GREWCOCK |  |  | 97.22 |

## INTERMEDIATE PAIRS QUALIFYING LEADING QUALIFYING SCORES

North-South
East-West

| Place | Pair |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 25 | Helen KITE - Janet MUNRO |
| 2 | 28 | Michael STONEMAN - Val ROLAND |
| 3 | 3 | Kathy PALMER - Helen CLAYTON |
| 4 | 27 | Kevin WARD - Jan WARD |
| 5 | 43 | Craig FRANCIS - Tim RUNTING |
| 6 | 1 | Tony ALLEN - Kelela ALLEN |
| 7 | 64 | Frances GARRICK - Bruce DAGLISH |
| 8 | 49 | Roman PAWLYSZYN - Amanda LEVICK |
| 9 | 5 | Frank CAMPBELL - Heather GRANT |
| 10 | 10 | Peter FARLEY - Myong CAMPBELL |
| 11 | 47 | Dale WELLS - Ruth YOUNG |
| 12 | 8 | Kevin WALKER - Helen WALKER |
| 13 | 44 | Donna UPCHURCH - Nebojsa DJOROVIC |
| 14 | 9 | Alexander COOK - Robin HO |
| 15 | 61 | Robin ERSKINE - Lisa MA |
| 16 | 42 | John HAM - Janet HAM |
| 17 | 62 | Elaine BARIC - Noelene GILLESPIE |
| 18 | 67 | Megan SUTHERLAND - Gerald DAWSON |
| 19 | 2 | Larry ATTWOOD - Kathryn ATTWOOD |
| 20 | 7 | Rod BINSTED - Judy SCHOLFIELD |
| 21 | 23 | Maureen COSBY - Margaret BORGENICHT |
| 22 | 29 | Penny COCKBILL - Gillian RICHMOND |
| 23 | 21 | Julie GUTHRIE - Annette HARTLAND |
| 24 | 26 | David MITCHELL - Sharon STRETTON |
| 25 | 48 | Neville DE MESTRE - John SEAR |
| 26 | 22 | Tere WOTHERSPOON - Eric BAKER |
| 27 | 45 | Dell GOODRICK - Margaret DARKE |
| 28 | 66 | Margaret KEATING - Diane WENHAM |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sess } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Place | Pair |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57.69 | 61.75 | 119.4 | 1 | 23 | Andrea SMITH - Paul ROBERTS |
| 64.08 | 52.65 | 116.7 | 2 | 61 | Patrick BUGLER - John KELLY |
| 60.65 | 49.95 | 110.6 | 3 | 47 | David YARWOOD - Derek RICHARDS |
| 59.48 | 50.53 | 110 | 4 | 41 | Valerie ISLE - Ros WARNOCK |
| 55.85 | 53.60 | 109.4 | 5 | 25 | Jane SWANSON - Bob HUNT |
| 60.17 | 48.62 | 108.8 | 6 | 67 | Darrell WILLIAMS - Jackie WILLIAMS |
| 52.37 | 56.14 | 108.5 | 7 | 45 | Rosina GRAHAM - Lynne FISHER |
| 59.73 | 47.78 | 107.5 | 8 | 10 | Jenny CARR - Kees DE VOCHT |
| 56.73 | 50.52 | 107.2 | 9 | 28 | Allayne GRAY - Anne SIMPSON |
| 50.37 | 56.75 | 107.1 | 10 | 24 | Elma PAULL - Tom GODDARD |
| 52.28 | 54.18 | 106.5 | 11 | 4 | Mary TOUGH - Val CARMODY |
| 57.46 | 48.61 | 106.1 | 12 | 46 | Jenny HOFF - Kay LEETON |
| 53.78 | 51.69 | 105.5 | 13 | 2 | Jenny HOMER - Sandra COOMES |
| 59.69 | 45.45 | 105.1 | 14 | 69 | George POWIS - Patricia POWIS |
| 56.17 | 48.15 | 104.3 | 15 | 63 | Robert WYLIE - Merleine WYLIE |
| 47.03 | 55.50 | 102.5 | 16 | 7 | Colleen BOSCI - Anne SYMONS |
| 50.32 | 50.11 | 100.4 | 17 | 5 | Irene HAMILTON - Susie HALL |
| 47.12 | 52.80 | 99.92 | 18 | 43 | Geoffrey ROBERTS - Kevin DEAN |
| 39.97 | 59.37 | 99.34 | 19 | 42 | Rodney CURTIN - Graeme BOWMAN |
| 36.85 | 60.94 | 97.79 | 20 | 1 | Susan SCERRI - Allan SCERRI |
| 44.10 | 53.60 | 97.7 | 21 | 65 | Lorraine COLLINS - Pauline KRUEGER |
| 43.06 | 53.81 | 96.87 | 22 | 44 | Judith OXLEY - Kay POTTER |
| 45.71 | 50.26 | 95.97 | 23 | 3 | Jenny WILLIAMS - Katrina HEWINGS |
| 47.20 | 48.57 | 95.77 | 24 | 29 | Joan CAMPBELL - Pat CREMA |
| 50.05 | 45.56 | 95.61 | 25 | 26 | David GARDINER - Julia GARDINER |
| 48.32 | 46.83 | 95.15 | 26 | 66 | David FEATHERSTONE - Meg FEATHERSTONE |
| 41.32 | 51.69 | 93.01 | 27 | 64 | David TUCKER - Glenda PARMENTER |
| 46.71 | 45.98 | 92.69 | 28 | 49 | Lalita KANETKAR - Sheela SAHASRABUDDHE |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60.94 | 60.90 | 121.8 |
| 64.62 | 56.08 | 120.7 |
| 61.28 | 51.32 | 112.6 |
| 55.52 | 56.19 | 111.7 |
| 50.10 | 59.74 | 109.8 |
| 54.97 | 54.27 | 109.2 |
| 48.99 | 59.31 | 108.3 |
| 52.99 | 54.97 | 108 |
| 50.58 | 56.56 | 107.1 |
| 54.96 | 51.32 | 106.3 |
| 50.61 | 54.60 | 105.2 |
| 48.04 | 57.09 | 105.1 |
| 52.78 | 51.43 | 104.2 |
| 49.91 | 53.45 | 103.4 |
| 48.84 | 53.12 | 102 |
| 48.07 | 53.81 | 101.9 |
| 56.06 | 43.92 | 99.98 |
| 47.16 | 52.33 | 99.49 |
| 44.13 | 54.92 | 99.05 |
| 46.18 | 52.65 | 98.83 |
| 58.62 | 39.15 | 97.76 |
| 49.13 | 47.88 | 97.01 |
| 46.62 | 50.11 | 96.73 |
| 41.63 | 54.79 | 96.42 |
| 51.94 | 42.96 | 94.91 |
| 47.83 | 46.89 | 94.72 |
| 51.88 | 42.55 | 94.44 |
| 46.14 | 48.10 | 94.23 |

## RESTRICTED PAIRS QUALIFYING LEADING QUALIFYING SCORES

North-South
East-West


## NOVICE PAIRS QUALIFYING LEADING QUALIFYING SCORES

North-South
East-West

| Place | Pair |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sess } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Place | Pair |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sess } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 49 | Annette SULLIVAN - Byron LONGFORD | 63.93 | 59.72 | 123.7 | 1 | 13 | Elizabeth PLATT - Glenys NICE | 60.98 | $63.66$ <br> 55.61 | 124.6 |
| 2 | 50 | Colleen SOBEY - Tilley THILLAINATHAN | 57.11 | 57.89 | 115 | 2 | 25 | Ann KLIBBE - Joan HARMS | 61.15 |  | 116.8 |
| 3 | 1 | Ashok CHOTAI - Veena CHOTAI | 57.03 | 56.25 | 113.3 | 3 | 45 | Paul BRAKE - Jessica BRAKE | 51.73 | $\begin{aligned} & 55.61 \\ & 64.42 \end{aligned}$ | 116.2 |
| 4 | 52 | Mike EDWARDS - Allan PIKE | 57.48 | 53.98 | 111.5 | 4 | 41 | Alan BOYCE - Andrew WOOLLONS | 60.32 | $55.49$ | 115.8 |
| 5 | 7 | Neville WILLIAMS - Ross SHARDLOW | 59.65 | 49.81 | 109.5 | 5 | 27 | Irene CHAU - Lynne COOKE | 56.79 | 56.62 | 113.4 |
| 6 | 46 | Walter and Annemarie HUGENTOBLER | 60.71 | 48.59 | 109.3 | 6 | 1 | Kathy HART - Sally GRAHAM | 55.56 | 55.58 | 111.1 |
| 7 | 47 | George GIBSON - Lynne LAYTON | 53.26 | 55.99 | 109.3 | 7 | 5 | Gila RUBINSTEIN - Morris RUBINSTEIN | 59.99 | 51.15 | 111.1 |
| 8 | 14 | Joyce DONOVAN - Carolyn MCMURRAY | 54.18 | 54.22 | 108.4 | 8 | 22 | Maggie GREY - Candy REITSEMA | 54.32 | $56.51$ | 110.8 |
| 9 | 31 | Rebecca KNIGHT - Naureen GEARON | 44.32 | 63.95 | 108.3 | 9 | 50 | Mick FAWCETT - Tracey MACBETH-DUNN | 52.63 | 56.08 | 108.7 |
| 10 | 24 | Prunella ADAMS - Malcolm ADAMS | 45.44 | 61.95 | 107.4 | 10 | 10 | Ann BENNETT - Elizabeth GILLIES | 52.81 | 54.68 | 107.5 |
| 11 | 29 | Suzanne PURNEL - Trevor SHAW | 56.36 | 50.38 | 106.7 | 11 | 8 | Anthony PHILIPS - Greg KERSWELL | 50.08 | 56.79 | 106.9 |
| 12 | 21 | Diane ELIOTT - Daniel CHUA | 52.76 | 53.16 | 105.9 | 12 | 11 | Julie NYST - Carolin MORAHAN | 54.88 | 49.86 | 104.7 |
| 13 | 3 | Anne GUNST - Alison FEINER | 54.38 | 50.77 | 105.2 | 13 | 30 | Philip ROBERTS - Lynne GRAY | 54.20 | 49.56 | 103.8 |
| 14 | 9 | Raymond POWLEY - Susan POWLEY | 60.44 | 44.70 | 105.1 | 14 | 14 | Lesleigh EGAN - Lynne HENLEY | 6 | $50.24$ | 103.5 |
| 15 | 41 | Diana BANKS - Angela HALL | 53.73 | 50.45 | 104.2 | 15 | 6 | Jan LENTON - Rosemary PARKER | 40.84 | 61.94 | 102.8 |
| 16 | 25 | Brian WIPPELL - Michael DOHERTY | 55.60 | 48.49 | 104.1 | 16 | 47 | John STUART - Frances STUART | 45.12 | 57.02 | 102.1 |
| 17 | 51 | John FOX - John SERRY | 59.78 | 41.92 | 101.7 | 17 | 44 | Jackie YUNG - Sonia BRODMAN | 37 | 51.26 | 101.6 |
| 18 | 2 | Odette MAYNE - Anita MOEN | 50.09 | 50.40 | 100.5 | 18 | 43 | Sandor VARGA - Terry NADEBAUM | 50.65 | 50.59 | 101.2 |
| 19 | 12 | Arjen DRAAISMA - Margot HARRIS | 54.97 | 45.17 | 100.1 | 19 | 28 | Ann CARTER - Button HOWITT | 44.75 | 56.36 | 101.1 |
| 20 | 33 | Jayant KULKARNI - Jyotsna KULKARNI | 54.97 | 43.84 | 98.82 | 20 | 51 | Diane SARGENT - Gail O'DONOGHUE | $46.29$ | $54.25$ | 100.5 |
| 21 | 32 | Sharon CLIFFORD - Kay GOODWIN | 44.29 | 54.23 | 98.51 | 21 | 21 | Rosemary CHALK - Jan JONES | $\begin{aligned} & 54.37 \\ & 49.33 \end{aligned}$ | $45.70$ | 100.1 |
| 22 | 6 | Malcolm GARDEN - Jane GARDEN | 45.81 | 52.66 | 98.47 | 22 | 31 | Patricia GARNER - Susan WRIGHT |  |  | 99.93 |
| 23 | 42 | Sandra COOL - Jenny MAWSON | 45.39 | 52.59 | 97.98 | 23 | 23 | Denise HARTWIG - Sheryl HASLAM | $\begin{aligned} & 49.33 \\ & 56.46 \end{aligned}$ | $50.60$ | 97.85 |
| 24 | 10 | Daniele BURCKHARDT - Brian MILLER | 48.32 | 48.41 | 96.72 | 24 | 46 | Carla FERRO - Trish WIEMERS | $\begin{aligned} & 56.46 \\ & 49.28 \end{aligned}$ | $41.39$ | 97.59 |
| 25 | 13 | Susan LIPTON - Maureen GIBNEY | 42.38 | 53.63 | 96 | 25 | 24 | Margaret ZIFFER - Rob ZIFFER | $\begin{aligned} & 49.28 \\ & 55.53 \end{aligned}$ | $42.00$ | 97.53 |
| 26 | 26 | Sean QUINN - Terry CLARKE | 47.28 | 48.64 | 95.92 | 26 | 3 | Rob GAULT - Helen GAULT | $\begin{aligned} & 55.53 \\ & 44.84 \end{aligned}$ | $52.15$ | 96.99 |
| 27 | 8 | Janine BUDGEON - Jenny ILIESCU | 45.87 | 48.92 | 94.79 | 27 | 12 | Leanne NUGENT - Anne RUSSELL | $\begin{aligned} & 44.84 \\ & 48.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.36 \\ & 50.76 \end{aligned}$ | 95.34 |
| 28 | 44 | Beverley O'HARA - Susan KENNARD | 40.49 | 54.21 | 94.7 | 28 | 33 | Patricia WAKEFORD - Dianne MUSGRAVE | $\begin{aligned} & 48.98 \\ & 41.74 \end{aligned}$ |  | 92.5 |

## THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2012

| Sunday 26-Feb-2012 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Monday } \\ \text { 27-Feb-2012 } \end{gathered}$ | Tuesday 28-Feb-2012 | Wednesday 29-Feb-2012 | Thursday 01-Mar-2012 | Friday <br> 02-Mar-2012 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Saturday } \\ \text { 03-Mar-2012 } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1 Walk In 1:00pm | E1 Walk In 1:00pm | E2 Walk In 11:00am | E2 Walk In 11:00am | E2 Walk In 11:00am | E3 Walk In 10:30am |  | E3 Walk In 3:00pm |  | E3 Walk In 10:30am |  |
| 1:00pm Pairs Championship <br> Final 1/3 <br> Open, Seniors, Intermediate, Novice and Restricted | 1:00pm Pairs Championship Final $3 / 3$ Open, Seniors, Intermediate, Novice and Restricted | 11:00am $\&$ 3:30pm Teams Championships Qualifying Rounds 1/2/3/4 of 12 | 11:00am $\&$ 3:30pm Teams Championships Qualifying Rounds 5/6/7/8 of 12 | 11:00am $\&$ $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Teams Championships Qualifying Rounds 9/10/11/12 of 12 | Starting 9:30am Open Teams Championship Qualifying Playoff <br> 3rd through 6th $2 \times 12$ Boards <br> Starting 10:00am Intermediate, Restricted \& Novice Championship Final | 10:30am Novice Pairs Session 1 of 2 Entries Close 12:00 Thursday | 1:00pm Ivy Dahler Swiss Butler Pairs <br> Matches $1 / 2 / 3 / 4$ of 10 Entries Close 12:00 Thursday | 10:30am Seres-McMahon Mixed Teams <br> Non-Mixed Teams <br> Matches $1 / 2 / 3$ of 6 <br> Entries Close 12:00pm Thursday | 09:00am Open Teams Championship Final $4 \times 12$ | 10:30am Ivy Dahler Swiss Pairs <br> Matches 8/9/10 of 10 |
| 7:30pm Pairs Championship <br> Final $2 / 3$ <br> Open, Seniors, Intermediate, Novice and Restricted | Enjoy Your Evening Off | Restricted \& Novice Championship <br> Expected Finish 7:00pm | Restricted \& Novice Championship <br> Expected Finish 7:00pm |  <br> Novice <br> Championship <br> Expected Finish 7:00pm | Starting 10:00am Seniors Championship Final $4 \times 12$ Boards <br> 2:00pm Open Teams Championship Semi-Final $4 \times 10$ Boards | 3:00pm Novice Pairs Session 2 of 2 | 7:30pm Ivy Dahler Swiss Butler Pairs <br> Matches 5/6/7 of 10 | 3:00pm Seres-McMahon Mixed Teams <br> Non-Mixed Teams <br> Matches 4/5/6 of 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7:30pr } \\ \text { 8:00pm } \end{gathered}$ | ks for Dance |
| Sunday 26-Feb-2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Monday } \\ \text { 27-Feb-2012 } \end{gathered}$ | Tuesday 28-Feb-2012 | Wednesday 29-Feb-2012 | Thursday 01-Mar-2012 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Fr} \\ 02-\mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $2012$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Sa} \\ 03-1 \end{array}$ | $012$ |



## COSTELLO CALLS TO BUY A COMPUTER FROM ABBOTT

ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO: ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:
(A few days later)
ABBOTT:
COSTELLO:
ABBOTT:

What about Windows?
Why? Will it get stuffy in here?
Do you want a computer with Windows?
I don't know. What will I see when I look at the windows?
Wallpaper.
Never mind the windows. I need a computer and software.
Software for Windows?
No. On the computer! I need something I can use to write proposals, track expenses and run my business. What do you have?
Office.
Yeah, for my office. Can you recommend anything?
I just did.
You just did what?
Recommend something.
You recommended something?
Yes.
For my office?
Yes.
OK, what did you recommend for my office?
Office.
Yes, for my office!
I recommend Office with Windows.
I already have an office with windows! OK, let's just say I'm sitting at my computer and I want to type a proposal. What do I need?
Word.
What word?
Word in Office.
The only word in office is office.
The Word in Office for Windows.
Which word in office for windows?
The Word you get when you click the blue 'W'.
I'm going to click your blue 'W' if you don't start with some straight answers. What about financial bookkeeping? Do you have anything I can track my money with?
Money.
That's right. What do you have?
Money.
I need money to track my money?
It comes bundled with your computer.
What's bundled with my computer?
Money.
Money comes with my computer?
Yes. At no extra charge.
I get a bundle of money with my computer? How much?
One copy.
Isn't it illegal to copy money?
Microsoft gave us a license to copy Money.
They can give you a license to copy money?
Why not? THEY OWN IT!
Super Duper computer store. Can I help you?
How do I turn my computer off?
Click on 'START'.......

Medium Calcudoku


Hard Sudoku

| 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 2 |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 7 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |

THAT'S ENTERTAINMENT - YESTERDAY'S SOLUTIONS

Medium Calcudoku

| $15 \times 1$ | ${ }^{10+} 5$ | 4 | $5^{5+}$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | $8 \times$ | 4 |
| 6x 3 | 1 | $10 x_{2}$ | ${ }^{60 \times}$ | 5 |
| $10+4$ | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 | $8_{3}$ | 5 | $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 & \\ & 1\end{array}$ |

Hard Sudoku


An overheard snippet: A player was laid up in Ballina Hospital with a health issue. Fred Whittaker comes to the rescue, as he often does and substitutes. Next we hear the invalided bridge player has left her hospital bed to make the second session of the pairs immediately after hearing that Fred was substituting - draw your own conclusions.

Bairnsdale (Vic) Bridge club has around 90 members of which 22 are attending this tournament. Well done to an enthusiastic club membership.


[^0]:    Wide Bay Australia Ltd ABN 40087652060 Australian Financial Services \& Australian Credit Licence No 239686 . Fulil details of the products and services we offer (including terms, conditions, fees and charges) available on application. Deposits up to $\$ 250,000$ per entity are guaranteed by the Australian Govemment under the Financial Claims Scheme.

