

(b) In a matchpoint pairs duplicate session, West came to you and said that he heard North at the next table say that he had a void in spades. It was almost certainly Board 24 as the round was about to end and the West who spoke to you would play Board 24 in the following round. How do you handle this?

.....
.....
.....
..... What Law applies?

(c) When may a player (other than dummy or the presumed dummy) ask for an explanation of an auction that has ended?

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
..... What Law applies?

(d) Within what time may a ruling be requested of the director?

.....
..... What Law applies?

(e) When does the Clarification Period end and the Play Period commence?

.....
.....
.....
..... What Law applies?

(f) At the end of the auction, must a player who has mis-bid advise the opponents of this fact?

..... What Law applies?

(g) Dummy has noticed that a defender has pulled two cards from his hand? May he draw attention to this?

..... What Law applies?

(h) May a player pretend to study his hand, as if he had something to seriously think about, for the purpose of misleading an opponent?

..... What Law applies?

(i) A player realises during the auction that he has given a wrong explanation to the opponents. By what time is he obligated to call the director?

.....
.....
.....

..... What Law applies?

(j) Do the following calls require an alert?

(i) A response of 3C, asking about the majors, in the auction 2NT¹ (Pass) **3C**.

¹ Balanced 21-22 HCP

(ii) A 3C overcall showing a strong hand with hearts in the auction (2NT²) **3C**.

² An 8-11 HCP hand with 5+ clubs and 5+ diamonds.

What QBA Regulation applies? (i) (ii)

(k) A double is for penalties in the auction (1S) **X**. Should this have been pre-alerted?

..... What QBA Regulation applies?

QUESTION TWO

East is the presumed declarer. North faces the ♦10 simultaneously with South facing the ♥2 as the opening lead. What is your ruling?

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

..... What Law applies?

QUESTION FIVE

WEST	NORTH	EAST	SOUTH
	1NT	Pass	1S

West does not accept South's insufficient bid. You learn away from the table that South had been trying to open the auction, playing Standard American. A 1S opening bid would have shown 5+ spades.

(a) How do you rule if South bids 2H? Systemically, 2H shows 5+ spades.

.....
.....
.....
..... What Law applies?

(b) How do you rule if South's replacement bid is 3S (instead of 2H)? Systemically, 3S shows 6+ spades and slam interest.

.....
.....
.....
..... What Law applies?

QUESTION SIX

Having won trick 10, South, declarer, claimed two heart winners and conceded a spade loser, without actually showing his spade. The score was entered accordingly but immediately after the session ended South came to you to say that he now realised his spade would have won Trick 13.

East said, "I thought his spade was good but he conceded it so I agreed."

What is your ruling?

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
..... What Law applies?

